REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE,

IN THE

NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES,

DURING THE YEAR 1863,

2788

INCLUDING THE COURT'S REPORT

ON THE

OPERATION OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS

IN THE SAME YEAR;

WITH THE

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT IN REPLY,

AND

AN APPENDIX OF NUMERICAL RETURNS

ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS

OF THE

NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES,

DURING THE YEAR 1863.

Allahabad:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES.

1864.

REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT,

NORTH WESTERN PROVINCES,

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE,

For the year 1863.

No. 512.

FROM

JAMES SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,
North Western Provinces,

TO

ROBERT SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government,
North Western Provinces.

DATED AGRA, THE 26TH FEBRUARY, 1864.

SIR,

Submits Report and usual Returns.

I AM directed to submit, for the information of His Honor the Lieutenant Governor, the Court's Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863, accompanied by the usual Tabular Returns.

Kumaon no longer directly subordinate to the Court in Civil matters. Its results will, therefore, be excluded from comparison.

2. Under the Orders of Government, No. 2207 A., of 22nd August, 1862, the Rules for the Administration of Civil Justice in the Province of Kumaon have been considerably modified, and the Civil Statements assimilated to those furnished to Government from the Commissionership of Jhansie. Kumaon is not now, therefore, as formerly, under the Court's direct administration, and in the present report, except where the contrary is expressed, the Returns of Kumaon will be excluded from the computation of the totals and averages of the year 1862, as well as of that under review.

3. On the present occasion, the Court have not thought it necessary to transmit to Government copies of the Annual Resolutions or replies to the District Reports of the Zillah Judges, as these have hitherto been encumbered with details of mere local interest, and their submission to Government tended to delay the despatch of the Court's General Report.

Copies of Court's Annual Resolutions no longer submitted.

In reviewing the Reports and Returns of the past year, the Court have only commented on such salient features of the Administration as seemed to require special notice, or to be deserving of particular commendation, or the reverse, and they propose in this address to advert to those matters of general interest which appear to be of sufficient importance to be brought to the notice of Government.

Court's replies to the Reports of the Zillah Judges now only embrace salient points of Administration.

4. The number of Regular Suits and Appeals instituted, disposed of, and pending in the various Civil Courts in these Provinces during the year 1863 is shewn below:—

States of Regular Files.

	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Saharunpore, ...	3,601	4,045	2,789	3,964	2,801	4,034	1	1	525	553
Meerut, ...	2,693	3,711	2,768	3,365	3,080	3,668	7	1	285	344
Allygurh, ...	3,093	3,802	3,585	4,200	3,427	3,916	0	0	491	430
Moradabad, ...	4,714	5,589	4,449	5,687	4,558	5,631	2	4	575	623
Bareilly, ...	4,614	5,203	4,983	5,886	4,160	4,934	0	0	616	930
Shahjehanpoor, ...	2,171	2,878	2,695	3,268	2,398	2,818	0	2	260	347
Agra, ...	2,415	3,071	2,900	3,609	2,367	2,909	26	50	472	699
Furruckabad, ...	3,620	4,469	4,319	5,237	3,915	4,554	4	0	307	188
Mynpoorie, ...	2,806	3,760	3,096	3,569	3,447	3,823	1	2	460	466
Cawnpoor, ...	1,995	2,107	2,093	2,572	1,852	2,231	2	0	378	287
Futtehpoor, ...	1,812	2,336	2,247	2,714	2,005	2,375	1	5	238	213
Allahabad, ...	2,138	1,647	2,310	2,770	1,431	1,655	4	0	165	170
Goruckpoor, ...	3,672	3,802	3,991	4,554	4,132	4,468	4	7	1,061	516
Azimgurh, ...	2,889	2,943	2,791	3,753	2,349	2,921	3	4	362	483
Jounpoor, ...	2,323	2,386	3,602	4,102	2,348	2,554	3	1	491	390
Mirzapoor, ...	1,493	1,854	1,758	2,121	1,544	1,863	14	0	360	375
Benares, ...	710	634	777	909	547	632	1	1	33	60
Ghazeepoor, ...	2,788	3,688	3,332	3,641	3,066	3,427	4	26	291	581
Total, ...	49,547	57,925	54,485	65,871	49,457	58,413	77	104	7,370	7,685
Increase, ...	...	8,378	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	315
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	5,028	7,458	...	...	...	...

57,925 Regular Suits and Appeals were instituted in 1863, as compared with 49,547 in 1862, being an increase of 8,378 cases.

57,925 Suits and Appeals filed in 1863, 49,547 Ditto. Ditto. in 1862; or, inclusive of Small Cause Courts, 67,683 in 1863, and 59,669 in 1862.

If the suits instituted in the* three Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, and in the Province of Kumaon,† be added to the above in each year, the total income of

* 6,045 in 1862. 5,681 in 1863.

† 4,077 in 1862. The same number has been assumed in 1863, in which year 8,413 Regular and Miscellaneous Suits were instituted, but no details of these are now given

suits would be 67,683 in 1863, as contrasted with 59,669 in 1862, or a net increase of 8,014 in the year under report.

5. Of the 57,925 Regular Suits and Appeals instituted within the year, 49,912 were original suits and 8,013 appeals, as compared with 40,416 of the former and 9,131 of the latter in 1862.

49,912 *original* suits and 8,013 Appeals filed in 1863, compared with 40,416 of the former and 9,131 of the latter in 1862.

6. The income of litigation has thus recovered in a great measure from the reactionary check which it sustained in 1862 after the exceptional influx of suits in 1861, caused by the change of the Law of Limitation; and although it still falls short of the income of 1859, it slightly exceeds that of 1860, in which year, owing to the pressure of famine in these Provinces, and the operation of the new Rent Law passed in the previous year, litigation in the Civil Courts had somewhat fallen off.

Litigation has in a great measure recovered the reactionary check of 1862.

7. It is noticeable, I am to observe, that Benares* and Allahabad † are the only two Districts in which no increase has taken place in the number of suits and appeals instituted. That the decrease of litigation in those Districts is not attributable to the Small Cause Courts, seems evident from the fact that a corresponding diminution has likewise occurred in the number of suits preferred in these Courts.

	1862.	1863.
* Benares, ...	710	634
† Allahabad, ...	2,138	1,647

In only two Districts, Benares and Allahabad, has a decrease of litigation occurred.

8. On the whole, however, the Court remark, litigation shews a general tendency to revert to the numerical position it held in these Provinces in 1859.

Generally, litigation shews a tendency to revert to the status of 1859.

9. Here, I am to observe in passing, that it is a fallacious test in comparing the amount of litigation accruing in two different Governments, to compare only the state of the *Regular* files in each, as it not unfrequently happens that many suits which in one Province are included under the denomination of Regular suits, in another come under the category of Miscellaneous cases, *e. g.*, in 1862, 1,02,483 regular cases are stated to have been disposed of in the Punjab, as compared with 69,534 in the North Western Provinces. While, on the other hand, only 60,163 Miscellaneous cases are entered as having been disposed of in the former, as contrasted with 1,39,088 in the latter. In comparing the other results, therefore, of two Provinces, these distinctions should not be lost sight of, as they often tend to explain discrepancies which would otherwise be unaccountable.*

Fallacy of comparing only the *Regular* cases of two Provinces.

E. g., 1,02,483 *Regular* cases were disposed of in the Punjab in 1862, and 69,534 in the North Western Provinces, as compared with 60,163 *Miscellaneous* cases in the former, and 1,39,088 in the latter.

10. During the past year further trial has been made of the system of Revised Moonsiffee jurisdictions, introduced experimentally in February, 1862; and with a few exceptions, the arrangements then made have been found to work satisfactorily.

The Revised Moonsiffee jurisdictions, on the whole, worked well during the past year.

In some Districts (as for instance, Agra and Goruckpoor) it may probably be found necessary to recommend the establishment of additional Moonsiffs' Courts, to remedy the inconvenience now experienced from the excessive size of some of the present jurisdictions. But such propositions as may be deemed advisable in relation to this subject will be submitted in a separate communication for the consideration of Government.

When necessary, separate communications will be made to Government on this subject.

* In the North Western Provinces, the average duration of cases is calculated on the basis of *Regular* cases only. The average duration of *Miscellaneous* cases is ordinarily very short.

11. Of the 57,925 Regular Suits and Appeals for disposal in the ordinary Civil Courts of the North Western Provinces during the year under report, 49,457 cases were decided on trial, and 58,413 finally disposed of, 7,685 cases being left pending on the files at the close of the year, as compared with 7,370 at the end of 1862.

Of 57,925 cases for disposal, 49,457 decided on trial, and 58,413 finally disposed of, 7,685 being pending at close of year.

12. The following Statement exhibits the comparative state of the pending files at the close of each of the years 1862 and 1863, calculated by the time required to clear the files of each grade of Court at the rate of working attained in each year:—

Comparative state of pending files at close of 1862 and 1863.

Court.	Time necessary to clear file of cases pending at the close of			
	1862.*		1863.	
	Months.	Days.	Months.	Days.
Judges, ...	5	...	3	26
Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	1	10	2	1
Sudder Ameens, ...	1	9	1	23
Moonsiffs, ...	1	...	1	5

This Return shews a general improvement in the condition of the pending files of the Zillah Judges, as compared with the previous year; but the results exhibited in the Courts of the Subordinate Judges are less favorable, and although the number of suits pending trial at the close of the year can hardly be pronounced to be excessive, it is to be regretted that in most Districts the number of these cases has increased. The Court have called for special explanation in regard to those Returns which were most unsatisfactory in this respect.

Improvement in files of Zillah Judges.

Less favorable results in subordinate Courts.

In most Districts the pending cases have increased, and special explanation has been called for.

13. The increase of 27 in the number of cases which had been pending more than 12 months at the close of the year, is an unfavorable feature in the year's Administration. As in previous years, however, the number of these cases was insignificant, except in one or two Districts.

Increase of 27 in the number of cases pending more than one year.

14. In Agra, where the number has increased from 26 to 50, the increase was due to the change of incumbency in the Judgeship consequent on Mr. Paterson's departure to England. In the interim, the Office of Judge was vacant for a month till the arrival of Mr. Lushington, who had previously had but brief experience of the duties of the Judicial Office.

Exceptional result of Agra District explained.

The Court are glad to learn that Mr. Paterson, since he resumed charge of his Office, has been able to dispose of 35 of these old cases.

15. The increase of 22 in the number of these cases pending in the Ghazeepoor District is attributable to an interregnum of two months which took place in the Office of Judge, between Mr. Carnac's appointment to officiate as Commissioner of Agra and Mr. Best's joining at Ghazeepoor. Mr. Best

Cause of unfavorable results in District of Ghazeepoor.

* Kumaon excluded.

was also new to the Office of Judge, and could not have been expected to leave such a clear file as a more experienced Officer.

The Court have no doubt that Mr. Sapte, who has entered most zealously on the discharge of his judicial functions, will soon succeed in reducing his pending file within moderate limits.

Exclusive of these two Districts, there were only 28 old cases pending in ten other Zillahs.

16. If the above cases be deducted, there only remain 28 old cases to be accounted for. These were pending in ten different Districts, and the Court have passed such orders as appeared suitable in each particular case.

Classification of Districts according to the amount of Regular Civil business finally disposed of in 1863.

17. In paragraph 8 of their last year's Report, the Court shewed in a marginal memo. the different Districts arranged in order according to the amount of *Regular* Civil business finally disposed of in each during the year 1862. Although this arrangement of Districts, as remarked by His Honor in paragraph 9 of your letter No. 209 A. of 4th July, 1863, is not such a good criterion of the amount of work done in each Judgeship as that founded on the comparative number of cases decided on their merits and *ex parte*, the return nevertheless contains useful information, and the corresponding Statement of 1863 exhibits the following results:—

Number.	Zillah.	Total No. of cases finally disposed of during the year 1863.
1	Moradabad,	5,631
2	Bareilly,	4,934
3	Furruckabad,	4,554
4	Goruckpoor,	4,468
5	Seharunpoor,	4,034
6	Allygurh,	3,916
7	Mynpoorie,	3,823
8	Meerut,	3,668
9	Ghazeepoor,	3,427
10	Azimgurh,	2,921
11	Agra,	2,909
12	Shahjehanpoor,	2,818
13	Jounpoor,	2,554
14	Futtehpoor,	2,375
15	Cawnpoor,	2,231
16	Mirzapoor,	1,863
17	Allahabad,	1,655
18	Benares,	632
	Total,	58,413
	Average of 18 Zillahs,	3,245

Moradabad, Bareilly, Furruckabad, and Goruckpoor, the highest in 1863; Bareilly, Moradabad, Furruckabad, and Goruckpoor, in 1862, Benares being last in each.

It will be observed that the District of Moradabad heads the list, in which 5,631 cases were finally disposed of during the year. This District and that of Bareilly, which are now first and second in order, have exchanged positions, Bareilly having been first in 1862. The Districts of Furruckabad and Goruckpoor were third and fourth, and Benares last, in each year.

Classification of Districts according to total number of cases decided on their merits and *ex parte*.

18. It will be convenient for comparison's sake to introduce in this place the Statement showing the Districts arranged

according to the total number of cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* in each:—

Number.	Zillah.	Number of cases decided on merits and *ex parte*.
1	Moradabad, ...	3,001
2	Furruckabad, ...	2,978
3	Goruckpoor, ...	2,949
4	Bareilly, ...	2,858
5	Ghazeepoor, ...	2,730
6	Allygurh, ...	2,655
7	Mynpoorie, ...	2,269
8	Jounpoor, ...	2,245
9	Meerut, ...	1,942
10	Azimgurh, ...	1,824
11	Seharunpoor.	1,637
12	Agra, ...	1,569
13	Shahjehanpoor, ...	1,565
14	Cawnpoor, ...	1,396
15	Futtehpoor, ...	1,241
16	Mirzapoor, ...	1,085
17	Allahabad, ...	1,056
18	Benares, ...	491
	Total, ...	35,491
	Average of 18 Zillahs, ...	1,971

Moradabad, Furruckabad, Goruckpoor, Bareilly, and Ghazeepore are first in order.

Mirzapoor, Allahabad, and Benares are last.

Here also it will be seen that Moradabad ranks first in order, followed (second) by Furruckabad, which was third in the former Statement, and then by Goruckpoor, which was formerly fourth. Bareilly, which stood second in the first list, stands fourth in this; and Ghazeepoor, which was only ninth in the one, is fifth in the other.

In *both* Statements Mirzapoor, Allahabad, and Benares are the three *last* Districts on the list.

Results of disposal of Regular Litigation.

19. The next Tabular Return shews the manner in which the Regular Suits and Appeals were disposed of during the year under review:—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.
Zillah.	Total No. of Cases disposed of during 1863.								Average of Moonsiff's Courts.	Remarks.
	Ex parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By Decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.		
Seharunpoor,	464	929	235	129	1,104	1,173	4,034	29·	21·	
Meerut, ...	68	992	146	82	506	1,874	3,668	51·	45·	
Allygurh, ...	833	692	80	56	433	1,822	3,916	46·	40·	
Moradabad, ...	627	1,284	273	136	937	2,374	5,631	42·	36·	
Bareilly, ...	730	1,232	70	159	615	2,128	4,934	43·	36·	
Shahjehanpoor,	208	784	49	114	306	1,357	2,818	48·	40·	
Agra, ...	325	747	51	174	368	1,244	2,900	43·	28·	
Furruckabad, ...	812	796	171	243	366	2,166	4,554	48·	42·	
Mynpoorie, ...	465	1,028	150	101	275	1,804	3,823	47·	38·	
Cawnpoor, ...	255	448	8	108	271	1,141	2,231	51·	40·	
Futtehpoor, ...	185	718	46	114	256	1,056	2,375	44·	40·	
Allahabad, ...	241	293	82	50	174	815	1,655	49·	37·	
Goruckpoor, ...	170	1,043	140	176	160	2,779	4,468	62·	43·	
Azimgurh, ...	121	481	44	156	416	1,703	2,921	58·	38·	
Jounpoor, ...	199	66	37	111	95	2,046	2,554	80·	74·	
Mirzapoor, ...	233	362	97	122	197	852	1,863	46·	32·	
Benares, ...	43	43	13	19	66	448	632	71·	...	
Ghazeepoor, ...	221	202	134	37	324	2,509	3,427	73·	67·	
Total, ...	6,200	12,140	1,826	2,087	6,869	29,291	58,413	50·	41·	

It will be observed that 11 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *Ex parte*, 21 per cent. on Confession of Judgment, 3 per cent. by Arbitration, 3 per cent. Dismissed on Default, 12 per cent. Adjusted or Withdrawn, and 50 per cent., or one-half, Decided on their Merits.

	Per cent.
Ex parte, ...	11
Confession, ...	21
Arbitration, ...	3
Default, ...	3
Adjusted, ...	12
Merits, ...	50
Total,	100

Percentage of *ex parte* cases the same as last year, and not considered excessive.

20. The percentage of cases decided *ex parte* (11 per cent.) is the same as last year. The Court are not disposed to look upon this proportion as excessive, or as necessarily evincing want of due diligence in the service of Processes on the part of the Peons employed. Great care is invariably inculcated on the presiding Judges in the scrutiny of all cases of alleged non-service of summons, and the Court believe the instances are comparatively rare where the defendant fails to attend from want of notice that suit has been brought.

In Districts where the jurisdictions are large, the defendants do not care to defend just claims.

Many *ex parte* decrees sought to secure debts not denied.

21. In Districts where the jurisdictions are extensive and the means of communication indifferent, it is probable, as remarked by Mr. Lance, Judge of Cawnpoor, that the defendants do not care to put themselves to inconvenience for the purpose of disputing what they know to be a just claim: while it is equally probable, as observed by Mr. Dunlop, Judge of Bareilly, that many *ex parte* decrees are sought to secure debts not denied, though the debtor is distrusted, and the decree is preferred to a bond.

Confession cases 21 per cent., as compared with 20 per cent. in 1862.

Most of these cases are *bonâ fide* attempts to obtain security for just debts. Some represent a compromise between debtor and creditor, or an agreement to pay an admitted loan by instalments.

22. The proportion of suits decided on Confession of Judgment was 21 per cent., as contrasted with 20 per cent. in 1862. The Court have no new remarks to offer on this subject, and they would merely observe that the reports of the past year bear out their often-expressed opinion that the generality of these cases are *bonâ fide* attempts to obtain security for the payment of just claims. Some of them also represent a compromise between the debtor and creditor, or an agreement to repay a pecuniary loan admitted by periodical instalments.

Arbitration cases 3 per cent. for the last three years.

The Court do not approve of extra-judicial references to Arbitration by presiding Officers, except under special circumstances.

23. The percentage of cases disposed of by Arbitration (3 per cent.) has not varied for three years. The Court are not inclined to look with favor on the exertion by the Judges of their influence with a view to the reference of cases to Arbitration, except when expressly desired by the parties, or in intricate cases of disputed accounts, being of opinion that, *after the parties have brought their cases into Court*, a decision on the merits by the presiding officer is more likely to give final satisfaction to those concerned.

50 per cent. of the cases decided on their Merits, as compared with 46 per cent. in 1862, and 35 per cent. in 1861.

24. The proportion of cases decided on their Merits (50 per cent.) is better by four per cent. than the corresponding percentage attained in 1862, and it is in the Court's opinion matter for congratulation that the proportion of this description of cases continues steadily to improve in each successive year.

25. The following Statement shews the Districts classified in order according to the number of cases decided on their Merits, and it is interesting to perceive how closely the order of classification approximates to the corresponding table given in para. 13 of last year's report :—

Classification of Districts according to number of cases decided on their Merits.

Number.	Zillah.	Percentage of cases decided on Merits, to total disposed of.
1	Jounpoor, ...	80·
2	Ghazeepoor, ...	73.
3	Benares, ...	71·
4	Goruckpoor, ...	62·
5	Azimgurh, ...	58·
6	Meerut, ...	51·
7	Cawnpoor, ...	51·
8	Allahabad, ...	49·
9	Shahjehanpoor, ...	48·
10	Furruckabad, ...	48·
11	Mynpoorie, ...	47·
12	Allygurh, ...	46
13	Mirzapoor, ...	46·
14	Futtehpoor, ...	44·
15	Bareilly, ...	43·
16	Agra, ...	43·
17	Moradabad, ...	42·
18	Seharunpoor, ...	29·
	General Average, ...	50·

The proportion of these cases was greatest in Jounpoor, where it was 80 per cent., and least in Seharunpoor, where it was only 29 per cent. Five of the Districts comprised in the former Benares Division stand at the top of the list, as in the previous year.

Jounpoor, 80 per cent.; Ghazeepoor, 73 per cent.; Benares, 71 per cent.; Goruckpoor; 62 per cent.; and Azimgurh, 58 per cent.; at head of list. Seharunpoor, 29 per cent.; last on the list.

26. The Court, I am to observe, do not lay undue stress on the proportion of cases decided on their merits, for, as remarked by Mr. M. Thornhill, Judge of Furruckabad, in a very large number of cases the assistance of the law is invoked, not for the determination of a disputed claim, but for the enforcement of an admitted one. In the greater number of small suits for the recovery of petty debts the defendant does not dispute the claim, but will not discharge it till compelled to do so by law, or till the creditor commences to have recourse to it.

The Court do not lay undue stress on the proportion of these cases.

In many of these cases, the assistance of the law invoked for the enforcement of an admitted claim.

27. In many other cases, as the Court have on former occasions observed, a suit is instituted by the consent of the debtor, the creditor insisting on a decree for the amount in lieu of security. In these, and in many suits of a similar description, an *ex parte* decree, or a decree passed on Confession of judgment, naturally results.

In numerous other cases suits are instituted by the consent of the debtor, a decree being sought as security and obtained *ex parte* or on Confession of judgment.

28. Still the Court are careful to enjoin the observance of every precaution to secure the proper service of notices, and thus increase the number of suits decided on their Merits.

The Court, however, enjoin the observance of all due precautions in serving summons.

State of *Miscellaneous* Files.

29. The state of the Miscellaneous files was as follows:—

Zillah.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Seharunpore, ...	8,256	7,944	4,031	9,704	3,982	8,526	1	...	1,172	1,252
Meerut, ...	7,394	6,896	2,530	8,232	2,482	7,092	10	...	1,271	1,154
Allygurh, ...	9,493	8,765	4,944	10,349	5,356	9,423	7	4	1,452	1,439
Moradabad, ...	13,434	11,927	10,138	14,750	8,769	12,305	...	...	1,946	1,775
Bareilly, ...	8,812	8,897	4,811	8,469	5,613	8,967	...	4	1,415	1,433
Shahjehanpore, ...	9,008	6,704	5,570	9,365	3,421	7,411	1	2	1,256	1,016
Agra, ...	7,744	7,498	3,277	9,765	3,155	7,908	1	7	1,728	1,534
Furruckabad, ...	11,648	8,644	5,128	11,594	4,698	9,056	...	1	1,594	1,102
Mynpoorie, ...	4,918	6,902	2,593	5,642	3,652	7,195	2	3	813	1,149
Cawnpore, ...	3,769	3,915	2,745	4,299	2,293	3,949	...	...	453	470
Futtehpore, ...	2,875	3,220	2,010	3,422	1,781	3,171	1	2	502	606
Allahabad, ...	4,171	3,659	1,790	5,397	2,034	3,904	...	...	673	532
Goruckpore, ...	11,213	10,745	7,743	11,878	7,687	11,002	...	...	1,544	1,322
Azimgurh, ...	5,112	5,662	3,334	5,987	3,443	5,218	3	2	938	1,397
Jounpore, ...	3,397	4,036	1,942	3,511	2,398	4,248	...	...	745	681
Mirzapore, ...	2,809	2,505	1,434	3,176	1,259	2,518	...	...	411	453
Benares, ...	2,956	2,049	1,883	2,902	1,742	2,423	...	...	852	504
Ghazeepore, ...	8,083	7,267	5,479	9,549	4,669	7,376	4	2	959	920
Total, ...	1,25,092	1,17,235	71,382	1,37,991	68,434	1,21,692	30	27	19,724	18,739
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	7,857	...	...	2,948	16,299	...	3	...	985

1,17,235 Miscellaneous Suits were instituted in 1863, as compared with 1,25,092 in 1862, being a decrease of 7,857. The pressure of *Regular* litigation, which was very great in 1861, tended to swell the Miscellaneous files in 1862; but this influence ceased to operate during the year under report.

1,17,235 Miscellaneous cases filed in 1863, and 1,25,092 in 1862.
The pressure of *Regular* cases, which increased the Miscellaneous files in 1862, ceased to operate in 1863.

The pending Miscellaneous files, it will be seen, are somewhat lighter than they were at the close of 1862, and the number of pending cases of old standing is slightly less than formerly.

Pending Miscellaneous files somewhat less than before, and number of old cases slightly less.

Execution of Decrees.

30.—*Execution of Decrees.*

Zillah.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.					Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2, to Column 5.	
Soharunpore, ...	1,649	1,340	2,940	5,929	28·	
Meerut, ...	1,266	1,111	2,791	5,168	24	
Allygurh, ...	1,254	1,446	2,599	5,299	23	
Moradabad, ...	1,923	1,644	2,728	6,295	31·	
Bareilly, ...	1,880	941	2,545	5,366	35·	
Shahjehanpore, ...	1,154	836	1,700	3,690	31	
Agra, ...	1,037	1,060	3,436	5,533	19·	
Furruckabad, ...	1,690	1,157	2,653	5,500	31·	
Mynpoorie, ...	938	1,361	2,514	4,813	20·	
Cawnpore, ...	604	420	1,295	2,319	26	
Futtehpore, ...	648	624	695	1,967	33·	
Allahabad, ...	1,205	399	1,335	2,939	41·	
Goruckpore, .	1,689	1,992	1,232	4,913	34·	
Azimgurh, ...	862	458	1,460	2,780	31·	
Jounpore, ...	655	495	1,079	2,229	29·	
Mirzapore, ...	483	280	944	1,707	28	
Benares, ...	647	566	645	1,858	35·	
Ghazeepore, ...	1,449	748	1,812	4,009	36·	
Total, ...	21,033	16,878	34,403	72,314	29·	

71,112 applications for execution of Decrees were preferred in 1863, as contrasted with 67,310 in 1862. 72,314 Applications of this nature were disposed of, and of these 21,033, or 29 per cent., were completely executed, as compared with 27 per cent. in the previous year. Of the remainder, 23 per cent. were partially executed, and 47 per cent. struck off in default, as contrasted with 19 and 54 per cent. respectively in 1862.

71,112 Applications for execution made in 1863, and 67,310 in 1862. Of 72,314 disposed of in 1863, 29 per cent. were completely executed (and 27 per cent in 1862); 23 per cent. partially executed; 47 per cent. struck off in default, (compared with 19 and 54 per cent in 1862.)

These results are more favorable than those of last year, and shew progressive improvement to have been effected in this important department of the Judicial Administration.

The above results are more favorable than those of last year.

31. It is frequently, I am to observe, beyond the power of presiding Judicial Officers to control, except indirectly, the number of cases of this description struck off in default, as there is nothing in the present state of the Law to prevent a Decree-holder from taking out execution periodically, and have his case from time to time struck off on default, with the view of keeping his decree floating by way of security. The effect of this procedure, as remarked by the Judge of Furruckabad, is to swell the bill of costs, which are ordinarily payable by the Judgment-debtor, a result which has been represented to be unfair to the Judgment-debtor. But the Court remark that the accumulation of costs and

Judicial Officers can only control indirectly the number of execution cases struck off in default.

interest are evils of which the remedy lies with the Judgment-debtor, on whom the onus rests of promptly satisfying a decree standing against him. At the same time, the Courts are competent to order the Decree-holder to bear the costs in those cases in which his proceedings may appear to be wilfully and unnecessarily harrassing to the Judgment-debtor, and the Court believe that a judicious use of this discretionary power would tend to discourage such proceedings.

Classification of Districts in the order of successful execution of Decree :
Allahabad, Bareilly, Ghazeepore, Benares, } First in order.

32. A classification of the Districts in the order in which success was obtained in the execution of Decrees is given below :—

Number.	Zillah.	Ratio per cent.
1	Allahabad, ...	41·
2	Ghazeepore, ...	36·
3	Bareilly, ...	35·
4	Benares, ...	35·
5	Goruckpore, ...	34·
6	Futtehpore, ...	33·
7	Moradabad, ...	31·
8	Shahjehanpore, ...	31·
9	Furruckabad,...	31·
10	Azimgurh, ...	31·
11	Jounpore, ...	29·
12	Seharunpore,...	28·
13	Mirzapore, ...	28·
14	Cawnpore, ...	26·
15	Meerut, ...	24·
16	Allygurh, ...	23·
17	Mynpoorie, ...	20.
18	Agra, ...	19·
	General Average, ...	29·

It will be observed that the detailed results exhibited in this Statement are more uniform, and consequently more satisfactory, than those shewn in the corresponding Statement of 1862, when the proportion of applications completely executed ranged from 49 to 16 per cent., while in the year under review the ratio varied only from 41 per cent. in Allahabad to 19 per cent. in Agra.

From 19 to 41 per cent. completely executed in 1863, as compared with from 49 to 16 per cent. in 1862.

Act X of 1859 Appeals.

33.—*Appeals under Act X. of 1859.*

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Number.	Zillah.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided in 1863, by the Revenue Authorities.	Number of cases appealed to the Judge.	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
1	Seharunpore, ...	391	32	33	8	8·	24·
2	Meerut, ...	931	33	42	9	4·	21·
3	Allygurh, ...	630	100	71	32	16·	45·
4	Moradabad, ...	670	34	100	34	5·	34·
5	Bareilly, ...	451	108	118	49	22·	42·
6	Shajehanpore, ...	688	101	84	26	15·	31·
7	Agra, ...	604	62	42	10	10·	24·
8	Furruckabad, ...	709	85	89	46	12·	52·
9	Mynpoorie, ...	1,645	176	214	76	11·	36·
10	Cawnpore, ...	359	69	71	17	19·	24·
11	Futtehpore, ...	1,662	48	73	21	3·	28·
12	Allahabad, ...	2,134	83	70	16	4·	23·
13	Goruckpore, ...	815	202	194	80	24·	41·
14	Azimgurh, ...	489	219	275	127	45·	46·
15	Jounpore, ...	476	240	288	145	50·	50·
16	Mirzapore, ...	221	42	72	33	11·	46·
17	Benares, ...	247	29	26	8	12·	31·
18	Ghazeepore, ...	856	129	98	25	15·	26·
	Total, ...	13,978	1,792	1,960	762	13·	39·

The number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts disposed of by the Revenue Authorities during the year amounted to 13,978, as compared with 24,327 in 1862, a decrease of nearly one-half. The six Districts noted marginally, however, exhibited an increased number of these cases. The remaining twelve Districts shewed a large diminution of these suits. In the Bareilly, Shahjehanpore, Agra, Azimgurh, Jounpore, and Benares Districts the decrease is very striking.

Appealable Rent cases, 13,978 in 1863, and 24,327 in 1862.
An increase in only six Districts.
Large decrease in certain Districts: Seharunpore, Ghazeepore, Futtehpore, Allygurh, Goruckpore, Allahabad.

34. The Zillah Judges have generally omitted to explain the causes which are supposed to have led to the large decrease in the number of these suits; and, in the absence of special explanation on the subject, which the Court have desired may be called for from the Collectors, they can only express a somewhat doubtful opinion on the matter. But there can be little doubt, I am to observe, that the promulgation during the past year of the Court's ruling declaring cases under Sections 25, 26, 27, and 28, to be *applications* and not suits, and therefore appealable to the *Revenue*, and not to the Judicial Authorities, has had the effect of considerably reducing the number of Rent cases preferred under Act X. of 1859 in the Revenue Courts, and *appealable to the Civil Courts*.

The Zillah Judges have failed to explain the causes of the decrease.

The Court attribute the diminution to be due in a great measure to their own ruling declaring cases under Sections 25, 26, 27, and 28, not appealable to the Civil Courts.

35. Suits of this description were most numerous in the Districts of Allahabad, Futtehpore, and Mynpoorie, and least so in those of Mirzapore, Benares, and Cawnpore.

In Goruckpore, where the extraordinarily small number of these suits in 1862 attracted the Court's notice, the number of Rent suits disposed of by the Revenue Authorities has increased nearly four-fold.

Suits under Act X. of 1859 most numerous in Allahabad, Futtehpore, and Mynpoorie, and least so in Mirzapore, Benares, and Cawnpore.

36. The number of cases appealed to the Civil Courts was 1,792, or 13 per cent. of the appealable cases disposed of, as contrasted with 9 per cent. in the previous year.

13 per cent. of the appealable cases were appealed, against 9 per cent. in 1862.

37. In the following Statement the Districts are arranged in the order in which the Appeals were most numerous:—

Classification of Districts according to greatest number of Appeals.

According to the Number of Appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859.			According to the Percentage of Appeals preferred under Act X to total Revenue Decisions.		
		Number of Appeals.			*Percentage of Appeals.*
1	Jounpore, ...	240	1	Jounpore, ...	50·
2	Azimgurh, ...	219	2	Azimgurh, ...	45·
3	Goruckpore, ...	202	3	Goruckpore, ...	24·
4	Mynpoorie, ...	176	4	Bareilly, ...	22·
5	Ghazeepore, ...	129	5	Cawnpore, ...	19·
6	Bareilly, ...	108	6	Allygurh, ...	16·
7	Shahjehanpore, ...	101	7	Shahjehanpore, ...	15·
8	Allygurh, ...	100	8	Ghazeepore, ...	15·
9	Furruckabad, ...	85	9	Benares, ...	12
10	Allahabad, ...	83	10	Furruckabad, ...	12·
11	Cawnpore, ...	69	11	Mynpoorie, ...	11·
12	Agra, ...	62	12	Mirzapore, ...	11·
13	Futtehpore, ...	48	13	Agra, ...	10·
14	Mirzapore, ...	42	14	Seharunpore, ...	8·
15	Moradabad, ...	34	15	Moradabad, ...	5·
16	Meerut, ...	33	16	Meerut, ...	4·
17	Seharunpore, ...	32	17	Allahabad, ...	4·
18	Benares, ...	29	18	Futtehpore, ...	3·

The greatest number of Appeals was preferred, as in 1862, in the District of Jounpore, where 240 were instituted. But this number is much smaller than the corresponding number preferred in 1862, *i. e.*, 355. The percentage of cases appealed to the number of appealable cases in that District, 50 per cent., was, however, excessively high, as was also the case in the Goruckpore District, and special explanation has been called for on this point.

Greatest number of Appeals in Jounpore, and percentage of Appeals very high, as also in Goruckpore.

38. The proportion of cases appealed in the Districts of Futtehpore, Allahabad, Meerut, and Moradabad is remarkably small.

Proportion of Appeals very small in Futtehpore, Allahabad, Meerut, and Moradabad.

39. Altogether, 1,960 cases of Appeal were decided by the Zillah Judges. Of these, 964 were confirmed, and in 762, or 39 per cent., the orders of the lower Courts were either modified or reversed, a result which is somewhat less favorable than that of last year, when the percentage of reversals was only 34 per cent.

1,960 Appeals decided by Judges, 964 confirmed, and 762 modified or reversed, *i. e.*, 39 per cent. against 34 per cent. in 1862.

40. The best results in respect to the proportion of orders modified or reversed were attained in the Districts of Meerut, Allahabad, Agra, Seharunpore, and Cawnpore, where the returns evidence good judgment on the part of the Revenue Officers of those Districts. In the Districts of Furruckabad, Jounpore, Azimgurh, Mirzapore, and Allygurh the results, on the other hand, are not nearly so satisfactory.

Best results in Meerut, Allahabad, Agra, Seharunpore, and Cawnpore: the least favorable in Furruckabad, Jounpore, Azimgurh, Mirzapore, and Allygurh.

41. In connection with the working of this Act, I am desired to bring to the notice of His Honor the Lieutenant Governor the views expressed by Mr. Dunlop, Officiating Judge of Bareilly, an intelligent Officer, who has had much experience in Revenue administration in these Provinces, and has had opportunities of becoming acquainted with the feelings and prejudices of the agricultural classes on the subject of rights in land in the North Western Provinces. Mr. Dunlop's remarks, which were embodied in his Annual Report, are too lengthy for insertion in this address, but have been extracted in a separate form, which the Court have no doubt His Honor will peruse with much interest.

Reference to the opinions of Mr. Dunlop, Officiating Judge of Bareilly, in connection with the working of Act X. of 1859, which are extracted separately.

42. In laying before Government the remarks of the above-mentioned Officer on a subject of much importance, and one which so deeply affects the interests of the several classes of the agricultural community, the Court, I am to observe, do not consider that it falls within their province, at least on an occasion like the present, to express an opinion on the working of Act X. of 1859 as it affects the question of landlord and tenant rights, or on the question of the political or social effect of the Act generally. It is for the Executive Departments of the State to watch the working of the Act as it affects the social condition of the people. As a matter of Judicial machinery and procedure, the Court have reason to hope that the enactment is working satisfactorily and they believe that the procedure prescribed by the Act is a great improvement on that which preceded it.

The Court not called upon to express an opinion here on the social working of Act X. of 1859. As a matter of procedure, the Act believed to work satisfactorily.

Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169, 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

43.—*Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and of Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.*

Zillah.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.												Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.									Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.												Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			*Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.*			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			*Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.*			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			
	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	
Seharunpore, ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	..	1	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	1	10	...	1	3	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Meerut, ...	...	1	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allygurh, ...	1	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	1	...	1	1	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	3	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	11	
Moradabad, ...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Bareilly, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	3	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	
Shahjehanpore, ...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	4	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	
Agra, ...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Furruckabad, ...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mainpoorie, ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cawnpore, ...	...	1	1	...	3	1	...	2	1	...	1	...	4	...	1	5	...	2	5	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Futtehpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad, ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Goruckpore, ...	3	...	1	4	...	1	2	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Azimghur, ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jounpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirzapore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	
Benares, ...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	
Ghazeepore, ...	...	...	3	...	...	4	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	5	9	...	...	...	...	...	2	The Sudder Ameens are included with Moonsiffs.
Total, ...	5	6	15	7	8	16	4	5	5	2	3	4	9	1	14	11	1	31	8	1	6	1	...	21	1	4	11	2	10	26	1	2	3	1	5	13	

Prosecutions were instituted in 26 cases of apparent false verification of plaints or written statements, under Section 24 Act VIII. of 1859, as compared with 79 similar prosecutions in the previous year. In these cases 31 persons were committed for trial, of whom 14 were convicted and 9 acquitted. These convictions were obtained in nine Districts.

26 Prosecutions under Section 24, as compared with 79 in 1862.
31 persons committed.
14 ditto convicted.
9 ditto acquitted.

44. Under Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861 24 cases involving charges relating to the giving of false evidence in the Civil Courts were instituted, as contrasted with 41 cases in 1862, and 43 persons were committed for trial. Of these, 15 were convicted in seven Districts and 22 acquitted.

24 cases prosecuted under Section 169 Act XXV. of 1861, contrasted with 41 in 1862.
43 persons committed.
15 ditto convicted.
22 ditto acquitted.

45. Sixteen prosecutions for offences connected with the forgery of documents and papers offered in evidence were made, compared with 36 cases in the previous year. Only 6 persons, however, were convicted, 19 having been acquitted. Of the latter, however, 11 belonged to one District. The convictions occurred in five Districts.

16 Prosecutions under Section 170, and 36 prosecutions in 1862.
6 Persons convicted.
19 ditto acquitted.

46. It must be admitted, I am to remark, that the foregoing results cannot be considered satisfactory. At the same time, the difficulties attending the prosecution of the above description of cases are very great, and much allowance must be made on account of them.

Above results cannot be considered satisfactory, but allowance should be made for difficulties.

47. The main difficulty, as remarked by Mr. Spankie, when Judge of Meerut, "lies in the vast contrariety of opinion "which the different Courts, original and appellate, "form as to the value of the evidence before "them the only evidence as a rule of a person's guilt under Section 24, Act VIII. "of 1859, being presumptive, and derivable from the record and circumstances of "the cases. The evidence which may satisfy a committing officer, having the "parties before him, may appear in a far less satisfactory light to a Court of Ap-"peal, which has not the same advantages; whilst, on the other hand, the Court of "Appeal may justly consider itself more dispassionate, and better able to weigh "the value of the circumstantial evidence, than the Committing Civil Judge."

The main difficulty consists in the different application of evidence by original and appellate Courts.

48. The Court, therefore, are unanimously of opinion that prosecutions for this class of offences should not be entered upon except in cases in which the commission of the offences charged admits of the clearest proof: at the same time, they cannot doubt that the punitive provisions of the Law directed against every description of fraud in connection with Civil suits, if judiciously applied, must serve in some measure to check the commission of such offences. Accordingly, they have impressed on the minds of all Judicial officers the duty of being watchful, so as not to permit a clear case of forgery, false evidence, or false verification to pass unpunished, and they are glad to believe that, in the opinion of the Zillah Judges generally, palpable cases of this description are less frequent than they were before the enactment of the provisions of the Law now under review.

Prosecutions should only be made in the clearest cases.

A judicious exercise of the power of prosecution likely to be beneficial.

Average duration of suits.

49.—*Average Duration of Suits.*

Zillah.	Judges.			P. S. Ameens.			S. Ameens.			Moonsiffs.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Seharunpore, ...	...	2	22	...	2	20	...	4	6	...	1	20	
Meerut, ...	...	1	9	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	
Allygurh, ...	...	4	7	...	2	7	...	...	...	...	1	1	
Moradabad, ...	...	5	2	...	1	8	...	...	29	...	1	1	
Bareilly, ...	...	2	28	...	2	5	...	1	2	...	...	25	
Shahjehanpore, ...	...	5	6	...	1	27	...	1	2	...	...	25	
Agra, ...	...	10	20	...	5	12	...	...	...	...	1	2	
Furruckabad, ...	...	...	27	...	...	24	...	1	29	...	...	22	
Myupoorie, ...	...	7	18	...	1	20	...	...	...	...	1	4	
Cawnpore, ...	...	4	1	...	2	12	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Futtehpore, ...	...	1	...	...	1	19	...	1	5	...	...	29	
Allahabad, ...	...	3	...	...	1	9	...	...	...	...	...	26	
Goruckpore, ...	...	3	29	...	6	19	...	1	17	...	1	11	
Azimgurh, ...	...	2	23	...	2	3	...	1	22	...	...	29	
Jounpore, ...	...	2	20	...	...	28	...	...	...	...	1	23	
Mirzapore, ...	...	11	7	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	28	
Benares, ...	...	...	27	...	...	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ghazeepore, ...	1	1	1	...	1	11	...	...	27	...	...	15	
General Average, ...	...	6	28	...	2	8	...	1	19	...	...	29	

From the above it will be seen that the average duration of suits in the Judges' Courts was 6 months 28 days, as compared with 5 months 18 days in the previous year.

6 months 28 days in Judges' Courts in 1863, and 5 months 18 days in Judges' Courts in 1862.

The best results in this respect were obtained in the Districts of Furruckabad, Benares, Futtehpore, and Meerut, where the respective averages of 27 days, 27 days, 1 month, and 1 month 9 days, are creditable to the despatch exhibited by Messrs. Lind, Thornhill, Horne, Boldero, and Spankie.

Best results in Furruckabad, Benares, Futtehpore, and Meerut, and creditable to Messrs. Lind, Thornhill, Horne, Boldero, and Spankie.

In the Districts of Ghazeepore (one year, one month, one day), Mirzapore (eleven months, seven days), and Agra (ten months, twenty days), the average duration was excessively high. The causes of the delay in the disposal of suits in the first and last of these Districts have already been stated in paras. 14-15 of this address, and the Judge of Mirzapore has been addressed on the same subject. In the other cases in which the average of the Zillah Judges appeared too high, notice of the circumstance has been taken by the Court.

In Ghazeepore, Mirzapore, and Agra, the averages excessive.

Due notice taken of these.

50. In the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, the average duration of suits has been reduced from 2 months 14 days in 1862 to 2 months 8 days in 1863, a result which is very satisfactory. The averages shewn in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens of Furruckabad (24 days), Jounpore (28 days), Benares (29 days), Moradabad (1 month 8 days), and Allahabad (1 month and 9 days), are specially good, and entitle Cazee Inayut Hossein, Hussun Ruzza Khan, Mr. Smith, Mahomed Hussun Khan, and Khoorsheid Alli Khan to commendation.

In Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts average duration 2 months and 8 days in 1863, and 2 months 11 days in 1862.

Best results in cases of Inayut Hossein, Hussun Ruzza, Mr. Smith, Mahomed Hussun, and Khoorsheid Alli.

51. It will be observed that the average duration of cases in the Court of Usud-ool-lah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore, was exceedingly high. The duration of cases in the Court of Mr. Lindsay, the

Average duration in Court of Principal Sudder Ameen and Judge of Goruckpore very high.

Judge of the District, was also very high. But Mr. Lindsay has explained that, owing to the pressure of his duties as Officiating Commissioner, he was compelled to transfer a very large number of suits from his own file to that of the Principal Sudder Ameen. As these cases had already been pending a long time in the Judge's Court, they necessarily injuriously affected the average duration of the suits decided by the Principal Sudder Ameen.

This attributable to the pressure of Mr. Lindsay's duties as Revenue Commissioner.

52. It is much to be regretted, I am to observe, that the delay in the determination of the question of the future organization of the Goruckpore District should have impeded the prompt despatch of business in this overgrown District. There can be no doubt, the Court think, that Mr. Lindsay's circuit and other duties as Commissioner materially interfere with his more purely judicial functions as judge, and the Court earnestly hope that the aforesaid question will receive early settlement at the hands of Government.

Delay in the settlement of the organization of the Goruckpore District much to be regretted, as the duties of Commissioner interfere with those of Judge.

53. The average duration of cases in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens has slightly increased from 1 month 13 days in 1862, to 1 month 19 days in 1863. It is particularly favorable in the Districts of Ghazeepore (27 days), Moradabad (29 days), and Bareilly and Shajehanpore (1 month 2 days each).

Average in Courts of Sudder Ameens 1 month 19 days in 1863, and 1 month 13 days in 1862.

54. The Court consider a general average duration of 29 days in the suits disposed of by the Moonsiffs to be sufficiently short. The results shewn in the Districts of Ghazeepore (15 days), and Furruckabad (22 days), are particularly good.

Average duration of suits in Moonsiff's Courts 29 days; best results in Ghazeepore and Furruckabad.

55. The Return exhibiting the personal attendance of the parties to suits in the various Civil Courts is satisfactory, the general percentage of personal attendance being 81 per cent. as compared with 78 per cent. in 1862:—

Personal attendance of parties to suits.
General average 81 per cent. in 1863, and 78 in 1862.

Number.	Zillah.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of Non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	*Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.*			Remarks.
		In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			Superior Courts.	Moonsiffs.	Total.	
1	Seharunpore, ...	645	386	2,375	3,406	628	4,034	60·	89	84·	The attendance in original cases only is shewn in the Judges' and Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts.
2	Meerut, ...	526	390	2,235	3,151	517	3,668	67·	90·	86·	
3	Allygurh, ...	813	631	1,768	3,212	704	3,916	51·	88·	82·	
4	Moradabad, ...	776	1,159	2,506	4,441	1,190	5,631	38·	85·	79·	
5	Bareilly, ...	875	1,155	1,862	3,892	1,042	4,934	66·	81·	79·	
6	Shajehanpore, ...	360	241	1,938	2,539	279	2,818	88·	91·	90·	
7	Agra, ...	379	619	1,173	2,171	738	2,909	60·	81·	75	
8	Furruckabad, ...	937	531	2,642	4,160	394	4,554	82·	92·	91·	
9	Mynpoorie, ...	473	631	1,881	2,985	838	3,823	31·	87·	77·	
10	Cawnpore, ...	307	225	1,234	1,766	465	2,231	53·	90·	79	
11	Futtehpore, ...	331	356	1,212	1,899	476	2,375	73·	86·	80·	
12	Allahabad, ...	281	385	583	1,249	406	1,655	52	89·	75	
13	Goruckpore, ...	459	522	1,912	2,893	1,575	4,468	44·	88·	65·	
14	Azimgurh, ...	496	407	1,534	2,437	484	2,921	78·	90·	84·	
15	Jounpore, ...	299	224	811	1,334	422	1,756	43·	78·	75·	
16	Mirzapore, ...	336	370	885	1,591	272	1,863	81	89·	85·	
17	Benares, ...	123	99	183	405	227	632	64·	...	64	
18	Ghazeepore, ...	356	404	2,387	3,147	280	3,427	88·	93	92·	
	Total, ...	8,822	8,735	29,121	46,678	10,937	57,615	62·	87·	81·	

56. In the Superior Courts of the Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens 62 per cent. of the parties were present in Court, while in the Subordinate or Moonsiffs' Courts no less than 87 of the parties were thus personally attended in Court. The number of plaintiffs who personally attended in cases where one of the parties only was present in Court was slightly in excess of that of the defendants.

In Superior Courts 62 per cent. present; in Subordinate ditto, 87 per cent.

In more than one-half of all the cases disposed of both parties personally attended.

In this respect the present return differs from that of last year. Of the Superior Courts, the best results were shewn in the Districts of Shajehanpore, Ghazeepore, and Furruckabad. In the Courts of the Moonsiffs, the returns of Ghazeepore, Furruckabad, and Shajehanpore were likewise particularly favorable.

57. The number of *sales* effected in execution of decrees, and the operation of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII, of 1859, are indicated below :—

Return of execution sales, and operation of Sections 243-244, Act VIII, of 1859.

NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING 1863.

Number.	Zillah.	*Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary*						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248*						Remarks.
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1	Seharunpore, ...	...	12	...	4	...	16	2	260	...	...	2	260	
2	Meerut, ...	...	2	...	5	...	7	1	83	...	...	1	83	
3	Allygurh, ...	...	2	...	8	...	10	...	125	...	18	...	143	
4	Moradabad, ...	...	4	...	2	...	6	6	198	1	14	7	212	
5	Bareilly, ...	...	4	...	23	...	27	...	169	...	21	...	190	
6	Shahjehanpore, ...	...	29	...	25	...	54	...	74	...	12	...	86	
7	Agra, ...	...	84	...	75	...	159	...	94	...	62	...	156	
8	Furruckabad, ...	...	45	...	24	...	69	1	145	...	3	1	148	
9	Mynpoorie, ...	...	1	...	65	...	66	...	97	...	42	...	139	
10	Cawnpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	1	6	1	15	
11	Futtehpore, ...	2	..	...	4	2	4	8	34	1	1	9	35	
12	Allahabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	162	...	38	12	200	
13	Goruckpore, ...	...	21	...	36	...	57	2	118	1	19	3	137	
14	Azimgurh, ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	46	...	6	...	52	
15	Jounpore, ...	...	1	...	7	...	8	...	9	...	9	...	18	
16	Mirzapore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	...	7	
17	Benares, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	5	...	26	
18	Ghazeepore, ...	4	21	...	9	4	30	...	45	...	23	...	68	
	Total, ...	6	226	...	287	6	513	32	1,696	4	279	36	1,975	

Slight increase in number of sales of hereditary property.
Small diminution in number of non-hereditary villages sold.

The number of execution-sales has slightly increased from 23 hereditary villages and 1,657 hereditary shares in 1862, to 32 of the former and 1,696 of the latter in 1863. But there was a small diminution in the number of non-hereditary villages and shares sold in execution of decrees, as shewn marginally.

Villages.	*Shares.*	
6	289	in 1862.
4	279	in 1863.

Sale most resorted to in Allahabad, for the reason assigned.

58. The greatest recourse was had to the extreme measure of sale in the District of Allahabad, where 12 hereditary villages and 162 hereditary shares, as well as 38 non-hereditary shares were thus alienated.

The Judge has, however, explained that the rights and interests in most of these villages had previously been sold, and the villages were not in the possession of the original proprietors but of recent auction purchasers. There was thus the less objection to the sales in these cases.

Sales next most numerous in Moradabad, Scharunpore, and Bareilly, and very few in Mirzapore, Jounpore, Cawnpore, and Benares.

59. In the Districts of Moradabad, Seharunpore, and Bareilly, sales were next most numerous, while in those of Mirzapore, Jounpore, Cawnpore, and Benares, they were very few in number.

On the whole, the number of execution-sales not excessive.

Altogether, with reference to the extent of the Provinces under the Court's administration, the Court do not consider the number of execution-sales to have been excessive.

Less effect given to Sections 243-244, Act VIII, of 1859, in 1863, than in 1862.

60. Comparatively less effect was given to the mitigative provisions of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII of 1859, than in the previous year.

Under Section 243, six villages and 226 shares temporarily alienated in 1863, and under Section 244, 287 shares.

Under the former Section, six temporary alienations of entire villages and 226 of shares were carried out, as contrasted with 14 villages and 260 shares in 1862.

Under Section 244, 287 shares of villages were temporarily transferred, in comparison with 14 villages and 375 shares, in 1862.

In practice, shares temporarily alienated are of small value, and co-sharers will not offer, preferring to force the sale.

61. It has been observed that the shares sold in execution of decrees are usually of very little value, and that, unless the judgment-debtor is hopelessly involved, he is usually able to make some adjustment without the intervention of the Court. Co-sharers, it has been remarked, would prefer becoming absolute proprietors by exercising the right of pre-emption, and therefore hang back where temporary alienation only is proposed, and it is very seldom that an outsider will accept the temporary transfer of a portion of a village for a limited period. The result is that the shareholders can often virtually force the sale.

Judgment-debtors seldom apply for execution of their decrees by mortgage or lease, owing to the insufficiency of the profits of the land to pay interest.

62. In practice, it has been found that judgment-debtors seldom or never apply for the realization of their decrees by mortgage or lease, as the income of the land proposed to be thus temporarily alienated is generally insufficient to cover the interest of the debt, and the land is often already burdened by previous mortgage. In these cases, a resort to the sale process in execution of the decree is imperative. On the whole, the Court

Generally a judicious discretion has been exercised in the application of these provisions of the Law.

consider that a judicious discretion has been exercised in the application of the peculiar provisions of the Law now under notice, the general expediency or otherwise of which is not a question before the Court, and has not therefore been discussed.

Statement of *Judgment-debtors* imprisoned, and amount of decrees.

63. The next statement exhibits the number of judgment-debtors imprisoned in execution of decrees during the past year, and the amount of decrees on account of which they were imprisoned:—

Number.	Zillah.	Number.	Amount of debt.	Remarks.
			Rs.	
1	Scharunpore, ...	21	4,511	
2	Meerut, ...	6	7,768	
3	Allyghur, ...	81	7,428	
4	Moradabad, ...	77	10,884	
5	Bareilly, ...	84	9,223	
6	Shahjehanpore, ...	6	1,551	
7	Agra, ...	58	9,435	
8	Mynpoorie, ...	50	6,814	
9	Furruckabad, ...	97	9,880	
10	Cawnpore, ...	16	6,472	
11	Futtehpore, ...	22	5,769	
12	Allahabad, ...	41	14,969	
13	Goruckpore, ...	22	8,877	
14	Azimghur, ...	12	6,514	
15	Jounpore, ...	18	10,464	
16	Mirzapore, ...	33	5,568	
17	Benares, ...	26	8,062	
18	Ghazeepore, ...	1	244	
	Total, ...	671	1,33,928	
	Total for 1862,... ...	833	1,56,836	
	Increase, ...	...	...	
	Decrease,... ...	162	22,908	

Decrease of 162 persons, and of Rs. 22,908.

There was a decrease of 162 in the number of persons imprisoned for debt, and of Rs. 22,908 in the amount of the decrees, results which correspond with the other features of the year's administration.

64. In the following return is shewn the amount of work, Civil and Criminal, performed by each Zillah Judge during the past year. The Districts are primarily arranged according to the greater or less amount of *Civil* business* accomplished in each:—

Classification of Districts according to greater or less amount of work performed by the Zillah Judges.

* *i. e.*, Cases decided on Merits and *ex parte*.

Number in order of Civil work performed.	Zillah.	Work Actually Performed.						Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days on which the judge actually sat on the Civil side.	Criminal.		Total value of Civil and Criminal work performed in days.	Order of Zillah according to the last column.	Remarks.
		Original Suits.	Appeals. From Principal Sudder Ameen.	Appeals. From Collectors under Act X of 1859.	Appeals. From Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.	Miscellaneous Statement No. 2. Headings 1 to 18.	Total.			Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days given to Criminal sittings.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
1	Furruckabad, ...	117	34	77	251	193	672	394	193	73	57	467	I	
2	Azimgurh, ...	8	31	255	459	317	1,070	320	190	59	67	379	II	
3	Goruckpore, ...	1	126	190	134	628	1,079	284	195	77	92	361	III	
4	Jounpore, ...	4	149	255	101	309	818	270	193	53	49	323	V	
5	Meerut, ...	33	85	39	162	220	539	221	157	107	86	328	IV	
6	Futtehpore, ...	22	83	67	123	224	519	194	190	93	75	287	VI	
7	Cawnpore, ...	12	99	60	79	310	560	180	158	80	53	260	IX	
8	Allahabad, ...	18	76	62	92	249	497	174	208	51	50	225	XII	
9	Mynpoorie, ...	9	53	186	24	140	412	143	138	124	108	267	VII	
10	Shajehanpore, ...	2	56	77	159	158	452	143	110	105	73	248	X	
11	Moradabad, ...	31	51	90	3	92	267	140	124	89	144	229	XI	
12	Agra, ...	12	85	18	9	320	444	136	91	128	117	264	VIII	
13	Benares, ...	9	90	24	...	290	413	127	88	96	128	223	XIII	
14	Allygurh, ...	9	64	63	96	90	322	127	184	73	70	200	XV	
15	Bareilly, ...	12	49	110	9	140	320	117	82	78	82	195	XVII	
16	Mirzapore, ...	10	35	59	106	106	316	113	173	67	83	180	XVIII	
17	Seharunpore, ...	5	44	28	90	149	316	99	151	101	113	200	XVI	
18	Ghazeepore, ...	...	33	62	16	340	451	97	81	114	123	211	XIV	
	Total, ...	314	1,243	1,722	1,913	4,275	9,467	3,279	2,706	1,568	1,570	4,847	...	
	Total in 1862, ...	320	1,407	1,962	1,731	4,143	9,563	3,401	2,769	1,820	1,720	5,221	...	
	Increase, ...	...	...	...	182	132	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Decrease, ...	6	164	240	...	...	96	122	63	252	150	374	...	

The largest amount of work was performed in the Furruckabad District, and the result is very creditable to the industry shewn by Messrs. Lind, and M. Thornhill.

Greatest amount of work done by Messrs. Lind and Thornhill in Furruckabad.

In the Districts of Azimgurh, Goruckpore, and Jounpore, the amount of Civil business performed was also very large. Altogether the quantity of Civil work performed in all the Districts was nearly equal to the corresponding amount performed in 1862.

The Judges of Azimgurh, Goruckpore, and Jounpore rank next in order. Amount of Civil business nearly the same as in 1862.

65. The number of *original* suits disposed of by the Zillah Judges was almost the same as in 1862, owing to the exceptionally large number disposed of by Mr. Thornhill, Judge of Furruckabad, who decided 117 original suits himself. The explanation given by Mr. Thornhill of this circumstance was held by the Court not to be sufficient, and they consider that Mr. Thornhill in transferring to his own file without adequate cause so many original suits, many of them of small value, whereby the number of cases appealable to the Sudder Court has been greatly increased, acted injudiciously and without due regard to the principle laid down by the Court in respect to the disposal of original suits by the Zillah Judges.

The number of original suits decided by the Zillah Judges almost the same as last year, owing to the large number decided by Mr. Thornhill.

Mr. Thornhill's special attention called to this matter.

The Court have accordingly directed Mr. Thornhill's special attention to this matter.

Some of the Judges have stated that they confined their selection of original suits for disposal to those of a value exceeding Rs. 5,000, or in which some of the parties were Europeans or Eurasians; but many of the Judges, the Court regret to observe, have paid little attention to the Court's instructions on this subject. They have been, however, specially cautioned to exercise a more judicious discretion during the present year.

Some of the Judges attended to the instructions of the Court, but many did not.

66. It will be observed from the preceding Statement that Furruckabad, which now stands first on the list with reference to the combined pressure of Civil and Criminal duties, was also first in 1862, and Azimgurh, which is now second, was then fourth in order, Goruckpore, which is now third, being then twelfth. Moradabad was the lightest District in 1862, whereas Mirzapore is now in that position, and Bareilly, which was second lightest in 1863, was fourteenth in the list in 1862.

In 1862, the greatest amount of *Civil and Criminal* work performed by the Judges of Azimgurh, Allahabad, Furruckabad, and Jounpore; in 1863, by those of Furruckabad, Azimgurh, Goruckpore, and Jounpore.

Mirzapore the lightest District in 1863, and Moradabad in 1862.

67. The following Statement indicates the results of the Appeals preferred to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut from the decisions of the Zillah Judges:—

Appeals preferred to Sudder Court from decisions of the Zillah Judges.

Names of Judges.	Zillah.	Number of original suits and appeals finally decided by the Judge during the twelve months, commencing the last quarter of 1862 and the three first quarters of 1863.	Number of appeals from those decisions to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Regular and Special, preferred in the twelve months of 1863.	Percentage of appeals to cases and appeals decided.	Number of cases decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during the year 1863.	Number Affirmed.	Number modified or Reversed.	Percentage of cases modified or reversed to total number decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
Mr. A. Ross, ...	Azimgurh, ...	773	265	34·	77	50	27	35·	
" W. J. R. Carnac, ...	Ghazeepore, ...	108	74	68·	37	18	19	51·	
" J. R. Best, ...		37	3	8·	...	...	...	...	
" G. D. Turnbull, ...	Meerut, ...	...	10	...	8	7	1	12·	
" R. Spankie, ...		399	189	47·	64	46	18	28·	
" F. B. Pearson, ...	Cawnpore, ...	...	62	...	46	39	7	15·	
" G. E. Lance, ...		269	63	23·	1	1	...	...	
" J. H. Batten, ...	Mynpoorie, ...	99	51	51·	23	17	6	26·	
" H. Lushington, ...		106	29	27·	1	...	1	100·	
" F. Thompson, ...		89	24	27·	...	...	...	...	
" R. H. W. Dunlop, ...	Bareilly, ...	300	68	22·	6	3	3	50·	
" H. Vansittart, ...		...	26	...	26	9	17	65·	
" J. F. D. Inglis, ...		...	7	...	6	4	2	33·	
" W. Edwards, ...	Benares, ...	...	57	...	49	15	34	69·	
" C. Horne, ...		147	108	73·	42	16	26	63·	
" A. Swinton, ...	Gorackpore, ...	55	56	...	31	21	10	32·	
" C. R. Lindsay, ...		365	104	28·	23	16	7	30·	
" F. P. Buller, ...	Furruckabad, ...	...	1	...	1	1	...	...	
" F. M. Lind, ...		240	189	78·	97	65	32	33·	
" M. B. Thornhill, ...		567	109	19·	1	...	1	100·	
" S. J. Becher, ...	Seharunpore, ...	208	69	33·	31	26	5	16·	
" H. B. Henderson, ...	Jounpore, ...	225	52	23·	7	5	2	28·	
" W. S. Paterson, ...	Agra, ...	135	72	53·	23	12	11	48·	
" H. P. Fane, ...	Mirzapore, ...	222	64	28·	9	7	2	22·	
" J. S. Dumergue, ...	Allygurh, ...	...	36	...	35	18	17	48·	
" W. J. Bramly, ...		245	84	34·	7	2	5	71·	
Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond,	Shahjehanpore,	295	141	47·	34	20	14	41·	
Mr. G. Edmonstone, ...	Futtehpore, ...	286	99	63·	86	36	50	58·	
" E. J. Boldero, ...			82		...	...	...	...	
" A. Shakespear, ...	Moradabad, ...	...	10	...	10	8	2	20·	
" J. Power, ...		178	31	18·	1	...	1	100·	
" R. Money, ...		...	3	...	3	1	2	66·	
" G. P. Money, ...	Allahabad, ...	318	143	46·	22	18	4	18·	
" H. G. Keene, ...			6		...	...	...	...	
" W. P. Masson, ...		...	21	...	18	12	6	33·	
	Total, ...	5,666	2,408	42·	825	493	332	40·	
	Average, ...	314	133	42·	45	27	18	40·	

Out of 5,666 original suits and Appeals finally decided by the Judges during the last quarter of 1862 and first three quarters of 1863, 2,408, or 42 per cent., were appealed to the Court, as compared with only 20 per cent. in the previous year. This proportion is very large.

42 per cent. of the decisions of Zillah Judges appealed to the Court in 1863, and 20 per cent. in 1862.

Omitting the returns where the number of decisions was exceptionally small, the smallest proportion of cases appealed to the Court was shewn by Messrs. Thornhill, Dunlop, Lance, and Henderson, and the largest by Messrs. Lind, Horne, Carnac, and Boldero.

The lowest proportion of Appeals attained by Messrs. Thornhill, Dunlop, Lance, and Henderson; and the highest by Messrs. Lind, Horne, Carnac, and Boldero.

68. Out of 825 cases disposed of by the Court in appeal, 493 were confirmed, and 332, or 40 per cent., reversed or modified, as contrasted with 38 per cent. in 1862.

40 per cent. of the above reversed or modified in 1863, against 38 per cent. in 1862.

The best results were those of Messrs. Spankie, Becher, and Money; and the worst by Messrs. Horne, Vansittart, and Edmonstone.

The best results in this respect were those exhibited by Messrs. Spankie, Becher, and G. P. Money; and the worst by Messrs. Horne, Vansittart, and Edmonstone.

Appeals preferred to the Sudder Court from the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

69. Similar details in regard to the work of the Principal Sudder Ameens will be seen in the following returns:—

Zillah.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Ratio per Cent.			Remarks.
		Appeals to cases decided.	Of decisions modified or reversed.		
			To number of Appeals tried.	To number of cases decided.	
Furruckabad, ...	Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, ...	48	4.	13	
Shahjehanpore, ...	Dubee Dial, ...	46	4.	13	
Ditto, ...	Rai Jowala Pershad, ...	43	40.	15	
Jounpore, ...	Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	34	34.	7	
Mynpoorie, ...	Momin Ulee Khan, ...	34	21.	4	
Benares, ...	Mr. R. H. Smith, ...	33	16.	4	
Agra, ...	„ V. Berkely, ...	32	18.	8	
Allygurh, ...	Rai Kanjee Sahoy, ...	31	25.	22	
Bareilly, ...	Moonshee Kasim Ulee Khan, ...	28	1.	2	
Agra, ...	Moulvee Mahomed Buksh, ...	28	5.	6	
Azimgurh, ...	Abdool Azeez Khan, ...	27	33.	6	
Goruckpore, ...	Mohamed Usud Oollah Khan, ...	26	8.	1	
Cawnpore, ...	Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, ...	24	5.	17	
Ghazeepore, ...	Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	23	38.	2	
Seharunpore, ...	Sookhbasee Lall, ...	23	47.	7	
Mirzapore, ...	Hubeeb Oollah Khan, ...	19	18.	1	
Moradabad, ...	Mahomed Hussun Khan, ...	19	24.	8	
Allahabad, ...	Khoorsheid Ulee Khan, ...	17	24	3	
Meerut, ...	Syud Pygumber Buksh, ...	24	2.	2	
Bundelkhund, ...	Soondur Lall, ...	9	26.	3	
		25·	29	5	

Twenty-five per cent. of the cases disposed of by the Principal Sudder Ameens were appealed to the Court, and in the appeals disposed of 29 per cent. of the orders passed were either reversed or modified. The results are most favorable to Kasim Ulee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly; Syud Pygumber Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Meerut; Mahomed Ussudoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore; and Moulvee Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen, of Agra; and the least so, to the late Doobe Jowala Pershad, Principal Sudder Ameen of Shahjehanpore; Sookbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Saharunpore; and Rai Kanjee Sahoy, Principal Sudder Ameen of Allygurh.

25 per cent. of the Decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens appealed, and 29 per cent. of those disposed of reversed or modified.

The best results are those of Kasim Ali Khan, Syud Pygumber Buksh, Mahomed Ussudoollah Khan, Moulvee Mahomed Buksh; and the worst those of the late Doobe Jowala Pershad, Sookbasee Lall, and Rai Kanjee Sahoy.

70. The following Table exhibits the comparative amount of work performed by each Principal Sudder Ameen in these Provinces per month during the past year :—

Comparative amount of work performed by the Principal Sudder Ameens.

Number.	Zillah.	Name.	Average number of original cases and appeals, reckoning 8 to 1 original suit, decided on Merits and *Ex parte* per mensem.	Remarks.
1	Benares, ...	Mr. R. H. Smith, ...	40	
2	Agra, ...	Mahomed Buksh, ...	37	
3	Banda, ...	Raj Soonder Lall, ...	35	
4	Cawnpore, ...	Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, ...	35	
5	Mirzapore, ...	Hubeeb Oollah Khan, ...	31	
6	Meerut, ...	Syud Pygumber Buksh, ...	31	
7	Moradabad, ...	Mahomed Hussun Khan, ...	24	
8	Azimgurh, ...	Abdool Azeez Khan, ...	23	
9	Goruckpore, ...	Moo'tee Usud Oollah Khan, ...	22	
10	Ghazeepore, ...	Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	20	
11	Allygurh, ...	Roy Kanjee Sahoy, ...	19	
12	Shahjehanpore, ...	Raj Debee Dial, ...	18	
13	Moradabad, ...	Mahomed Hussun (Sudder Ameen), Officiating, ...	17	* Besides Moonsiff's work.
14	Allahabad, ...	Mahomed Khoorsheid Ulee Khan, ...	16	
15	Jounpore, ...	Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	16	
16	Seharunpore, ...	Sookbasee Lall, ...	15	
17	Bareilly, ...	Mahomed Kasim Ulee Khan, ...	15	
18	Furruckabad, ...	Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, ...	14	
19	Mynpoorie, ...	Sheikh Momin Ulee Khan, ...	13	
20	Shahjehanpore, ...	Doobe Jowala Pershad, ...	10	
21	Agra, ...	Mr. V. Berkeley, Judge Small Cause Court,	1	

The Statement shews that Mr. R. H. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares, disposed of the largest amount of work, *viz.*, 40 cases on an average, on their Merits and *Ex parte*, per mensem.

Mr. Smith, Mahomed Buksh, Roy Soondur Lal, and Mahomed Abdoollah, performed most work.

Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, Roy Soondur Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, and Mahomed Abdoollah, Principal Sudder Ameen of Cawnpore, likewise performed a large quantity of Civil business.

71. The results of the Appeals preferred from the decisions of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs, calculated by the number of cases disposed of by each Officer on the Merits and *Ex parte*, were as follows :—

Results of Appeals from orders of Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

Court.	Number of suits decided on Merits and *Ex parte*.	Number of cases appealed.	Appeals modified and reversed.	Appeals confirmed.	Percentage: Of cases appealed to decided on Merits and *Ex parte*.	Percentage: Of cases reversed and modified. To number of appeals decided.	Percentage: Of cases reversed and modified. To number of cases decided on merits and *Ex parte*.	Remarks.
Sudder Ameens, ...	1,655	675	188	548	41·	26·	11·	
Moonsiffs, ...	23,568	4,230	1,419	2,643	18·	35·	6·	

41 per cent of Sudder Ameens' cases, and 18 per cent. of Moonsiffs' cases, were appealed.

The proportion of decisions appealed in these two grades of Courts was 41 and 18 per cent. respectively. The first of these percentages is very high.

26 per cent of Sudder Ameens' orders, and 35 per cent. of Moonsiffs' orders, reversed or modified in appeal.

The percentage of orders reversed or modified to the number of appeals decided, 26 and 35 per cent., was very moderate as regards the Sudder Ameens, and not excessive in respect to the Moonsiffs.

Classification of Districts according to amount of work performed by the Moonsiffs.

72. In the following return, the Districts are arranged according to the average number of cases decided monthly by the Moonsiffs in each, on their Merits and *Ex parte* :—

Number.	Zillah.	Average number of cases decided on Merits and *Ex parte* per mensem per Moonsiff.
1	Azimgurh, ...	66·
2	Allahabad, ...	55·
* 3	Mirzapore, ...	46·
4	Meerut, ...	41·
5	Furruckabad, ...	40
6	Jounpore, ...	40
7	Mynpoorie, ...	38
8	Cawnpore, ...	36·
9	Allygurh, ...	36·
10	Ghazeepore, ...	35·
11	Bareilly, ...	31·
12	Moradabad, ...	31·
13	Agra, ...	28·
14	Goruckpore, ...	24·
15	Seharunpore, ...	23·
16	Shahjehanpore, ...	18·
17	Futtehpore, ...	15·

In 12 Districts the Moonsiffs have exceeded the minimum standard of working.
In 5 they have fallen short.
In some of these last Districts litigation has been unfavorably influenced by the late reduction in the number of Moonsiffees.

In 12 Districts the Moonsiffs have exceeded the minimum rate of work prescribed by the Court (30 cases monthly on their merits and *ex parte*), while in the remaining 5* Districts they have fallen short of this standard. In some of these latter Districts, *e. g.*, in Agra and Goruckpore, the Court believe that the income of litigation has been injuriously affected by the reduction in the number of Moonsiffees in these Districts, which was carried out early in the year 1862: but a separate Report will be submitted on this subject.

* There are now no Moonsiffs in the Benares District.

73. The following Statement exhibits a classification of the suits instituted in the North Western Provinces during the past year, according to the nature of the claims preferred :—

Classification of suits according to nature of claims.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
		Number of suits instituted for						
DIVISION.	ZILLAH.	Land Rent.	Real Property.	Debts on Bond.	Other Suits.	Total.	Proportion of suits for real property to total suits.	Proportion of suits for bond debt to total suits.
MEERUT, ...	Seharunpore, ...	39	159	2,495	981	3,674	4·	68·
	Meerut, ...	...	195	2,745	482	3,422	6·	80·
	Allygurh, ...	...	143	2,625	650	3,418	4·	77·
ROHILCUND,	Moradabad, ...	52	433	3,602	1,045	5,132	8·	70·
	Bareilly, ...	110	405	3,450	662	4,627	9·	75·
	Shahjehanpore, ...	44	127	1,688	620	2,479	5·	68·
AGRA, ...	Agra, ...	...	193	2,141	327	2,661	7·	80·
	Mynpoorie, ...	...	240	2,562	500	3,302	7·	78·
	Furruckabad, ...	1	243	2,606	1,206	4,056	6·	64·
ALLAHABÂD.	Cawnpore, ...	...	106	1,240	428	1,774	6·	70·
	Futtehpore, ...	...	86	1,482	538	2,106	4·	70·
	Allahabad, ...	...	91	834	360	1,285	7·	65·
BENARES, ...	Goruckpore, ...	...	1,134	1,020	826	2,980	38·	34·
	Azimgurh, ...	...	593	732	880	2,205	27·	33·
	Jounpore, ...	...	157	642	897	1,696	9·	38·
	Mirzapore, ...	10	91	974	517	1,592	6·	61·
	Benares, ...	41	137	141	194	513	27·	27·
	Ghazeepore, ...	...	250	1,308	1,432	2,990	8·	44·
	Total, ...	297	4,783	32,287	12,545	49,912	10·	65·

Out of 49,912 regular original suits instituted in 1863, 32,287, or 65 per cent., were based on bond debts, which closely approximates to the proportion, 64 per cent., which obtained in 1862, and 4,783 suits were claims to real property, a proportion of 10 per cent. on the whole, which is also nearly the same (9 per cent.) as in 1862.

65 per cent. based on bond debts, and 10 per cent. were claims to *real* property.

In the Benares Division, as in previous years, the proportion of suits founded on bond debts was comparatively small. In the Districts of Goruckpore and Azimgurh, a very large proportion of the litigation always refers to real property, the proportion being 38 and 27 per cent. respectively. In Benares, also, the proportion of this Class of cases was very large

In the Benares Division the proportion of cases of bond debts comparatively small.

In Goruckpore and Azimgurh 38 and 27 per cent. of the litigation refers to *real* property.

In Benares, also, 27 per cent. were claims to *real* property.

(27 per cent.)

Muzkoorie and Tulubanna Returns.

47. *Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.*

Zillahs.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana realized, after payment of a quarter to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at the close of the year.	Deficit.	Net Surplus.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Scharunpore, ...	163	10,352 5 0	9,697 13 0	654 8 0	0 0 0		
Meerut, ...	136	12,015 6 0	8,813 13 8	3,201 8 4	0 0 0		
Allygurh, ...	101	9,380 9 10	6,530 7 3	2,850 2 7	0 0 0		
Moradabad, ...	255	17,708 0 9	17,484 2 11	718 13 6	494 15 8		
Bareilly, ...	216	14,291 12 6	13,247 14 10	1,103 15 2	60 1 6		
Shahjehanpore,	87	6,810 0 11	5,577 5 1	1,287 3 9	54 7 11		
Agra, ...	178	11,977 2 3	11,405 4 8	645 4 1	73 6 6		
Furruckabad, ...	131	9,848 6 3	8,356 11 8	1,701 3 1	209 8 6		
Mynpoorie, ...	150	10,621 15 3	9,566 6 0	1,055 9 3	0 0 0		
Cawnpore, ...	73	5,351 13 6	4,839 12 2	512 1 4	0 0 0		
Futtehpore, ...	90	7,176 4 0	5,148 0 3	2,028 3 9	0 0 0		
Allahabad, ...	88	6,394 8 9	5,871 6 8	523 2 1	0 0 0		
Goruckpore, ...	339	19,941 7 9	18,400 11 5	1,608 0 7	67 13 3		
Azimgurh, ...	108	7,389 3 9	6,684 3 1	705 0 8	0 0 0		
Jounpore, ...	86	5,407 1 3	5,217 10 2	189 7 1	0 0 0		
Mirzapore, ...	76	5,678 2 6	5,029 5 9	648 12 9	0 0 0		
Benares, ...	20	2,683 15 3	1,733 12 6	950 2 9	0 0 0		
Ghazeepore, ...	108	8,634 1 3	6,337 8 2	2,296 9 1	0 0 0		
Total, ...	2,405	1,71,662 4 9	1,49,942 5 3	22,680 4 10	960 5 4	21,719 15 6	
Average, ...	133	9,536 12 8	8,330 2 0	1,260 0 3	53 5 7	1,206 10 8	

There was a net surplus of Rs. 21,719-15-6 at the close of 1863, after deducting the Nazir's one-fourth share of the fees *(meeran*)* and all other expenses.

Net surplus of Rs. 21,719-15-6 at end of year.

The appropriation of this will be separately reported on

As the appropriation of this surplus will form the subject of a separate communication to Government, the Court will not enlarge on the matter in this letter. Instructions have been issued for the reduction of the number of Peons in those Courts which exhibited a deficit at the close of the year. The provisions of Act XI. of 1863 have been put in force from the beginning of the present year, and the Court have every reason to believe that the system therein prescribed, which is founded on the same principle as that hitherto in force, will work satisfactorily.

Act XI. of 1863 put in force on 1st January, 1864.

* Now abolished by Act XI. of 1863

75. The details of the Income of Stamp Revenue in the subordinate Civil Courts, as contrasted with the costs of these Courts and their Establishments, are exhibited below :—

Stamp Returns.

Zillah.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed in subordinate Civil Courts.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of subordinate Civil Judges and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judges.	Surplus.	Loss to Government.	Net gain to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Seharunpore, ...	42,279 5 0	2,216 8 0	41,062 13 0	20,400 0 0	20,662 13 0	0 0 0		
Meerut, ...	45,144 8 0	726 8 0	44,418 0 0	17,333 0 0	27,085 0 0	0 0 0		
Allygurh, ...	44,864 12 0	686 8 0	44,178 4 0	20,485 0 0	23,966 8 0	273 4 0		
Moradabad, ...	47,286 4 0	1,296 0 0	45,990 4 0	27,840 0 0	19,929 4 0	1,778 0 0		
Bareilly, ...	52,848 12 6	1,082 0 0	51,766 12 6	26,788 0 6	24,978 12 0	0 0 0		
Shahjehanpore,	22,670 6 0	299 0 0	22,371 6 0	24,437 0 6	2,138 9 3	4,204 3 9		
Agra, ...	36,016 15 0	486 0 0	35,530 15 0	15,366 9 0	20,164 6 0	0 0 0		
Furruckabad, ...	40,077 5 0	586 8 0	39,490 13 0	21,798 0 0	17,692 13 0	0 0 0		
Mynpoorie, ...	32,093 8 0	372 8 0	31,721 0 0	21,736 10 9	9,984 5 8	0 0 0		
Cawnpore, ...	29,513 8 6	915 0 0	28,598 8 6	11,880 0 0	16,718 8 6	0 0 0		
Futtehpore, ...	20,425 0 0	108 0 0	20,317 0 0	14,329 2 8	6,179 5 4	191 8 0		
Allahabad, ...	32,352 9 0	318 0 0	32,034 9 0	10,746 10 8	21,287 14 4	0 0 0		
Goruckpore, ...	39,876 1 0	160 0 0	39,716 1 0	21,337 15 10	18,378 1 2	0 0 0		
Azimgurh, ...	26,962 0 0	189 0 0	26,773 0 0	16,440 0 0	10,333 0 0	0 0 0		
Joumpore, ...	23,062 7 0	283 0 0	22,779 7 0	15,240 0 0	7,539 7 0	0 0 0		
Mirzapore, ...	21,689 0 0	535 8 0	21,153 8 0	12,331 0 0	8,822 2 0	0 0 0		
Benares, ...	33,716 4 0	308 0 0	33,408 4 0	9,000 0 0	24,408 4 0	0 0 0		
Ghazeepore, ...	39,507 6 0	534 0 0	38,973 6 0	21,627 0 0	17,346 6 0	0 0 0		
Total, ...	6,31,385 15 0	11,102 0 0	6,20,283 15 0	3,29,176 7 11	2,97,614 6 10	6,446 15 9	2,91,167 7 1	
Average, ...	35,076 15 11	616 12 5	34,460 3 6	18,284 3 11	16,534 2 2	358 2 7	16,175 15 7	

Excluding the Courts of the Zillah Judges, Stamps aggregating in value Rs. 6,31,385 were filed in the subordinate Civil Courts of these Provinces, as compared with Rs. 5,50,887 in the previous year, when the number of cases instituted was fewer. From the above, Rs. 11,102 were ordered to be refunded on account of Razeenamahs, as contrasted with Rs. 36,205 in 1862. This diminution in the amount of refunds is evidently due to the change of Law whereby no more than half of the Stamp affixed on plaints are now returned to the Plaintiffs in cases compromised. The cost of the salaries of the subordinate Judges and their Establishments amounted to

Value of Stamps filed Rs. 6,31,385 in 1863, and Rs. 5,50,887 in 1862.

Refunds Rs. 11,102,

Cause of diminution of those.

Costs of subordinate Courts' salaries, &c., Rs. 3,29,116.

Net gain to Government Rs. 2,91,167, as compared with Rs. 1,82,490 in 1862.

Rs. 3,29,116, so that the net gain to Government from the income of Stamps alone in these Courts amounted to Rs. 2,91,167, as compared with Rs. 1,82,490 in the previous year.

58,413 original suits and appeals, valued at Rs. 3,07,21,313, disposed of. The costs were Rs. 17,81,253. Average value per suit Rs. 526: average cost Rs. 30.

89 per cent. of the costs prescribed by law.

Percentage of costs to value was Rs. 6.

76. During the year under report, 58,413 original suits and appeals, of an aggregate value of Rs. 3,07,21,313, were disposed of; the costs upon which amounted to Rs. 17,81,253. The average value of each suit thus amounted to Rs. 526, and the average cost to Rs. 30. As observed in former years, 89 per cent. of these costs are prescribed by Law, so that little discretion is left in the hands of the Officers presiding in the Judicial Courts in respect to this matter. The percentage of the costs to value of each suit amounted to Rs. 6. This result, as also the very large proportionate value of each suit was due to the exceptionally large value (Rs. 1,53,65,031) of the suits instituted in Meerut, in which District the total value of two suits alone amounted to Rs. 1,36,00,000.

Exceptionally large value of two suits in Meerut affected the general averages.

Sudder Court's files.

77. The condition of the files of the Sudder Court is exhibited in the following table:—

	Pending on 1st January.		Admitted.		Disposed of.		Pending on 31st Dec.	
	1862.	1863.	1862.	1863.	1862.	1863.	1862.	1863.
Regular, ...	148	296	239	223	91	159	296	360
Increase, ...	...	148	...	...	...	68	...	64
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	16	...	...	...	...
Special, ...	702	1,420	1,445	1,740	787	1,091	1,420	2,069
Increase, ...	...	658	...	295	...	304	...	649
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total Increase, ...	...	806	...	295	...	372	...	713
Total Decrease, ...	...	...	...	16	...	...	...	...

296 Regular Appeals were pending at the close of 1862, and 223 cases were instituted during the year 1863, as contrasted with 239 in 1862, shewing a total of 519 Regular Appeals for disposal. Of these, 159 were disposed of, as compared with 91 disposed of in 1862, leaving a balance of 360 pending on the file at the close of the year, or an increase of 64. On the date of despatch of this address the number of regular appeals pending had been reduced to *336*.

REGULAR APPEALS.

Pending at close of 1862.	*Instituted during year.*	*Total.*	*Disposed of.*	*Pending at close of 1863.*
296	223	519	159	360

78. The number of *Special* Appeals on the Court's file at the close of 1862 amounted to 1,420, and 1,740 more were instituted in 1863, as compared with 1,445 in 1862, giving 3,160 for disposal. Of which 1,091 were disposed of, as compared with 787 disposed of in 1862, leaving 2,069 on the file at the close of the year 1863, being an increase of 649.

SPECIAL APPEALS.

Pending at close of 1862.	*Instituted during year.*	*Total.*	*Disposed of.*	*Pending at close of 1863.*
1,420	1,740	3,160	1,091	2,069

On the subject of the heavy arrears on the Court's own file, Government referred to Register's letters No. 1719, of 24th September, 1863, and 130 of 18th January, 1864.

The matter now before Government.

79. The heavy arrears existing on the Court's file has formed the subject of a detailed correspondence with Government during the past year, and I am desired to refer His Honor the Lieutenant Governor to my letters No. 1719, dated 24th September, 1863, and No. 130, dated 18th January, 1864, as containing an expression of the Court's latest views on the subject. The matter, the Court believe, is still under the consideration of the Government of India. Under

these circumstances, it would be out of place to advert further to the subject in this Administration Report.

The Court's rate of work considerably improved by the recent appointment of extra translators.

The Court note that the late increase in their Translation Staff has already been productive of a considerable improvement in their rate of disposal of the appeals which come before them; in illustration of which they would observe that, on the date of the despatch of this Report, the number of *special* appeals on the file had been reduced to 1730.

80. The results of the appeals which were disposed of by the Sudder Court will be seen below:—

APPEALS.	Dismissed on Default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Confirmed.		Amended.		Reversed.		Remanded.	
	1862.	1863.	1862	1863.	1862.	1863.	1862	1863.	1862.	1863.	1862.	1863.
Regular, ...	2	3	5	2	54	83	14	13	10	48	6	10
Special, ...	8	42	17	9	484	666	19	25	149	141	110	208

Results of Appeals.

45 per cent. of the Regular Appeals disposed of were either reversed, modified, or remanded, as compared with 33 per cent in 1862.

Of 159 Regular Appeals disposed of, 83 were confirmed, 3 dismissed on default, 2 adjusted or withdrawn, 48 reversed, 13 modified, and 10 remanded for trial. The percentage of the three last results, *i. e.*, of cases reversed, modified, and remanded to the total number of *Regular* Appeals disposed of was 45 per cent., as compared with 33 per cent. in 1862.

34 per cent. of the *Special* Appeals disposed of were either reversed, modified, or remanded, as compared with 35 per cent. in 1862.

81. Out of 1,091 *Special* Appeals disposed of by the Court, 666 were confirmed, 42 dismissed on default, 9 adjusted or withdrawn, 141 reversed, 208 remanded, and 25 modified. The percentage of cases reversed, modified, and remanded was thus 34 per cent., as contrasted with 35 per cent. in the previous year.

Cause of large proportion of cases remanded in Appeal explained.

82. His Honor will observe that there has been a considerable increase in the proportion of cases remanded on Special Appeal. This has been due in a great measure to the inexperience of some of the Judicial Officers, who not unfrequently recorded inconclusive decisions, failing to determine the real issues for trial. The Court, I am to remark, are not legally competent, if it were otherwise possible from the evidence on the record, to decide these cases themselves; but they have during the past year drawn the attention of the Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens to the evils arising from the neglect of the provisions of Section 359 Act VIII. of 1859, and trust that the number of these cases may be proportionately less during the current year.

Measures taken to remedy this.

The Court consider the results of the Administration of Civil Justice in 1863 to be generally satisfactory.

83. On the whole, the Court desire me to express their opinion that the results of the Administration of Civil Justice in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863 were generally satisfactory, and creditable to the Judicial Officers employed.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

AGRA:

The 26th February, 1864.

APPENDIX A. TO COURT'S REPORT TO GOVERNMENT FOR 1863.

—o—

EXTRACT paras. 6 to 17 of a letter from R. H. W. Dunlop, Esq., C. B., Officiating Judge of Bareilly, submitting his Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in that District during the year 1863.

Para. 6.—WITH reference to the working of Act X. of 1859, and it's supplemental enactment, Act XIV. of 1862, it is, I believe, the desire of the Court that the question should be fully and honestly discussed without reticence or evasion, and full reports on the subject have, with the Court's sanction, been called for from all Judges by the Sudder Board of Revenue also.

7. Looking to the various readings of Act X. of 1859 which have received the sanction of superior experience and legal judgment during the year, I consider that the several Collectorate Officers who have administered its provisions deserve credit for the extent to which a just and uniform practice has been maintained. The only points on which I find a discrepance of practice to exist is in questions of increase and decrease of rent.

8. The remarks which I have to make on the operation of this Act will relate of course especially to the locality in which I have had to administer the law, for, while in Bengal and the permanently-assessed Districts, the class of Khood-Kasht Ryots have been distinctly separated from every other class of tenants, and obtained both the advantages and disadvantages which immutability of rent and a class of middlemen engender, those who here hold a somewhat parallel position run a risk of serious injury by indiscriminate classification with persons having but very inferior claims.

9. Act X. of 1859 was designed by a legislator intimately acquainted with the revenue system of this country, fully alive to the defects which had occurred from faulty procedure and practice, or exaggerated convictions as to the stability or permanence of tenants' rights under the changeable Native governments of old, whether those of external conquerors or internal robbers, *but also* fully alive to the strength of local prejudices or indigenous prepossession in favor of tenancy rights, *i. e.*, he was sensible alike of the unnatural and unhealthy incubus on the soil which had been created in alienating from the capitalist landholder a material portion of his property in favor of tenants, who had by precedent been allowed to assume hereditary rights for a 12 years' occupancy, and who, if allowed a stereotyped tenure thereof, became naturally middlemen with every cause for stagnation present, every promise for progress excluded from their condition: but was also sensible of the impolicy of confiscating abruptly what are vested rights in the Revenue system of this country very much older than mere 12 years' tenancies.

10. I believe that Act X. and its supplement, as interpreted and administered by the great majority of Civilian Judges, has had, and would have, a most beneficial effect on land tenures throughout these Provinces—that it is just and liberal as regards both Zemindars and sub-tenants—being assuredly, even under our more moderate interpretation, a very advanced measure of reform in favor of the Zemindar over the procedure and practice which it immediately supplanted. I have already stated my views on that point in the published Annual Civil Report for 1862. I believe, however, that all Judges who have had Revenue Administration experience have recognized the fact that the occupancy and hereditary rights of tenants, as alluded to in the Act, have a real and tangible significance. As a matter of course, the percentage of discount on rack-renting, which measures the exact value of such occupancy, differs in different Districts, differs in

different localities of the same District, and differs, in short, in accordance with the antecedents of the occupancies. Thus the clearers of jungle, the pioneers of improvement in the Terai, leave their newly-made fields to their heirs at more favorable rates than those who simply hired for cultivation lands already cleared.

11. I repeat that both my predecessor and myself hailed Act X. as a judicious measure of reform in so far as it swept away the abuses engendered by former procedure, and practically annihilated the stagnant and stereotyped tenancies formerly allowed for a simply 12 years' occupancy, while at the same time it left the judicature free to protect, if not intact, which was not to be desired, at any rate in a modified form, the vested interests of those who had held their farms for centuries or by generations of descent, who had invested labor and capital in the first reclamation of their lands, and whose rights no "Zemindar" under the common law of the country would dream of disputing.

12. But the decisions which have recently reached us from the High Court in Calcutta appear to me to prove that, in the opinion of practised lawyer Judges, the wording of the Act is, legally speaking, insufficient for its recognized purport here, and that it leaves the hereditary cultivators without any rights whatever as to the point up to which the rent of their land may be raised excepting that common to all the world, its fair or unfair market value, or, as the Vakeels of this Court do not hesitate to express it, all right of occupancy or hereditary tenancy is now practically abrogated ("Munsookh") by the orders of the High Court.

13. To understand thoroughly the position of this question, I believe it is necessary to hold distinctly in view the condition under which the tenancy rights by the Revenue system of the North West were recorded, under which also they were generated, prior to the permanent settlement in Bengal, and which should, in common right and justice, ensure their being recognized here to-day, not I say in the person of 12 years' occupants, but in that of those who would in Bengal have been Khood-Kasht Ryots.

14. The system very common among English planters, land purchasers, and others, of arguing on the principles of English freehold property leads to much false and inappropriate reasoning, the freehold interest being with Government alone. The Zemindars who so determinately insist on their indefeasible right to practise free and unfettered trade as between themselves and their tenants, are, properly speaking, if their own exorbitant bill of claims be strictly taxed, reduced to the position of a superior class of hereditary tenant or "Mowroosee Assamees" merely, their rents, whether permanent or for a period, were fixed at moderate rates, based in part on the limited dues to be realized from their hereditary tenants. As such only were their estates conferred on them in perpetuity, and the origin of their rights made them for ever inseparable from those of that yeomanry whose interests were as genuine and whose tenancies often as old as their own.

15. In the Judgment delivered by Sir Barnes Peacock in the case of Mr. J. Hills (Plaintiff) Appellant, *versus* Ishur Ghose (Defendant) Respondent, we find,—

"The ryot contends that a share of the net profits ought to have been reserv-
"ed for him, that he, being a ryot possessing a right of occupancy, had an in-
"terest in the land. The present case is that of a ryot having a mere right
"of occupancy, and not a right to hold at a fixed rate of rent. It is a
"mistake to suppose that such a ryot has any interest in the land which
"gives him a right to a share of the rent. He has merely a right to occupy
"the land in preference to any other tenant so long as he pays a fair and
"equitable rent."

16. I feel convinced that some precaution against the universal acceptation of this precedent in the North West Provinces is necessary, for although no precedent can take precedence of the law itself, and the more independent of our Judges may, reading the law as it stands, find that the precedent of the High Court, though applicable in Bengal, does not properly apply to certain of our North West cases, in which persons having merely a right of occupancy (not holding at fixed rates) have nevertheless an interest in the land, and a right to a share of the rent, yet others will unquestionably accept the ruling as absolute, and it will if followed to any extent assuredly lead, in these Provinces at least, to much agrarian discontent.

17. When declaring the difficulties which attend the practical working of any law, I presume that it will not be deemed foreign to the function of a mere administrator to indicate any practical remedies which may suggest themselves, and in this belief I would advance the opinion that, the fixing of 12 years only, as a term of holding creating a right of occupancy is arbitrary and unjust. It was not originated by Act X., as the opponents of that enactment assert, but was quoted in it in a modified form. Its evil action was restrained by Act X. In practice indeed it has proved to be very much mitigated, if not absolutely destroyed by it, but the 12 years' term is neither based on English nor Indian principles; let it, then, be altered to a period which will not outrage the prejudices or unfairly affect the rights of landholders, and then let the terms hereditary tenancy and right of occupancy, or their values, be unmistakably declared, the discount on Rack-renting (or the auctioneering estimate of fair and equitable market values), which is involved in those expressions, should be clearly defined. This is the only radical remedy, but it is meanwhile in the power of the Revenue Board to direct that Settlement Officers shall, after analysing the antecedents of such hereditary tenures, declare their rights and its discount value, not however, by declaring a fixed rental, which I deprecate as being bad policy. A clause may then be introduced into the village administration papers, which would ensure the recognition of the arrangement at once by our Law Courts.

(True Extract.)

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

CIVIL.

No. 1.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits instituted and disposed of in the Courts of the Moonsiffs in the North Western Provinces, during the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inclusive.

	1859.	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.
Depending on 1st January, ...	14,468	9,257	6,613	15,302	3,450
Instituted during the year, ...	59,659	50,644	98,165	34,132	42,133
Received by transfer, ...	1,502	944	3,058	3,180	583
Total, ...	75,629	60,845	1,08,036	52,614	46,166
Decided on trial, ...	48,512	42,374	69,022	36,557	34,116
Dismissed on default, ...	2,867	1,291	3,564	2,078	1,362
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	13,273	9,505	14,701	6,419	5,786
Otherwise disposed of, ...	1,720	1,062	5,447	4,361	657
Total, ...	66,372	54,232	92,734	49,415	41,921
Depending on 31st December, ...	9,257	6,613	15,302	3,199	4,245

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

No. 2.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT shewing the number of Regular and Special Appeals admitted and disposed of in the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North Western Provinces, during the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inclusive.

	1859.		1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.	
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.
Pending on 1st January, ...	177	291	150	724	127	947	148	762	296	1,420
Admitted during the year, ...	75	578	82	732	143	876	239	1,445	223	1,740
Total, ...	252	869	232	1,456	270	1,823	387	2,207	519	3,160
Dismissed on default, ...	36	30	7	56	2	55	2	88	3	42
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	2	1	6	2	4	8	5	17	2	9
Confirmed, ...	38	38	50	265	71	733	54	484	83	666
Amended, ...	6	11	12	18	15	34	14	19	13	25
Reversed, ...	17	41	23	98	19	98	10	49	48	141
Remanded, ...	3	24	7	70	11	133	6	110	10	208
Total, ...	102	145	105	509	122	1,061	91	787	159	1,091
Pending on 31st December, ...	150	724	127	947	148	762	296	1,420	360	2,079

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

No. 3.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT shewing the average duration of suits decided in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North Western Provinces, and the Courts of the Judges, Principal Sudder Ameens, Sudder Ameens, and Moonsiffs during the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inculsive.

	1859.			1860			1861.			1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, ...	0	8	22½	0	1	28	0	3	16	0	2	18	0	2	12
Judges, ...	0	5	8¾	0	5	8½	0	5	10	0	5	18	0	6	28
Principal Sudder Ameens,	0	4	2¾	0	4	5	0	3	4	0	2	14	0	2	8
Sudder Ameens, ...	0	4	6½	0	3	0	0	2	20	0	1	13	0	1	19
Moonsiffs, ...	0	3	3	0	1	24½	0	1	27	0	1	12	0	0	29

SUDDER DEWANNY ADWALUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

J SIMSON,
Register.

No. 4.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT shewing the total value or amount of Regular and Special Appeals instituted, decided, and pending in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North Western Provinces, at the close of the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inclusive.

	1859.	1860.	1861.	1862.			1863.		
				Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided	Pending at close.
Before Sudder Dewany Adawlut,	1,55,19,405	1,67,97,050	27,60,845	63,61,629	17,96,187	45,65,492	58,17,535	34,10,759	77,72,169

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

No. 5.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT shewing the number of Debtors in Jail, and the amount of Debt, in the several Jails of the North Western Provinces, during the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inclusive.

	1859.		1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.	
	Number.	Amount of Debt.	Number.	Amount of Debt.	Number.	Amount of Debt.	Number.	Amount of Debt.	Number.	Amount of Debt.
		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.
At the instance of Individuals, ...	562	1,14,430 13 2¼	703	1,84,471 5 0	607	1,70,749 10 5¼	713	1,42,824 5 7	671	1,33,935 5 2
At the instance of Government, ...	5	1,558 8 10	3	4,203 15 6	1	100 0 0	110	13,119 15 5	...	...
Total, number in Jail, ...	567	1,15,989 6 0¼	706	1,88,675 4 6	608	1,70,849 10 5¼	823	1,55,944 5 0	671	1,33,935 5 2

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

A.

STATEMENT shewing the number of Regular and Special Appeals disposed of, and the aggregate period of attendance of the Judges of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North Western Provinces, during the years 1859, '60, '61, '62, and '63, inclusive.

	1859.				1860.				1861.				1862.				1863.		
		Aggregate attendance of Judges.				*Aggregate attendance of Judges.*				*Aggregate attendance of Judges.*				*Aggregate attendance of Judges.*				*Aggregate attendance of Judges.*	
	Disposed of.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Disposed of.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Disposed of.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Disposed of.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Disposed of.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Regular Appeals,...	102	...	...	...	105	...	...	...	122	...	...	...	91	...	...	...	159	...	...	...
	...	2	2	7½	...	1	1	16	...	...	3	16	...	...	2	18	...	...	2	12
Special Appeals, ...	145	...	...	...	509	...	...	...	1,061	...	...	...	787	...	...	...	1,091	...	...	...
Total, ...	247	2	2	7½	614	1	1	16	1,183	...	3	16	878	...	2	18	1,240	...	2	12

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 26th February, 1864.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 619.

FROM

J. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,
North Western Provinces, Agra,

To

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government of the
North Western Provinces, Allahabad.

DATED AGRA, THE 30TH MARCH, 1864.

SIR,

Submits Report on Small Cause Courts for 1863.

I AM directed to submit the Court's Report on the working of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1863.

5,681 suits instituted in 1863.
6,045 suits instituted in 1862.

2. The number of suits instituted in the three Courts during the year amounted to 5,681, as compared with 6,045 in the previous year, being a decrease of 364 cases in the year under review, notwithstanding the continued operation of the extended jurisdictions of the Allahabad and Agra Courts referred to in para. 4 of my last year's Report.

Proportion of suits instituted in each Court in 1862 and 1863.

3. The proportion of cases instituted in each Small Cause Court in the years 1862 and 1863 respectively was as follows:—

Years.	Benares.	Allahabad.	Agra.
1862, ...	2,093	2,010	1,942
1863, ...	2,079	1,773	1,829

A *slight* decrease in the number of cases instituted in Benares and Agra Courts.

The decrease in Allahabad attributed to the absence of the Judge on leave, while a *locum tenens* officiated.

A decrease also in the litigation of the ordinary Civil Courts of Allahabad.

4. It will be observed that the decrease in the number of cases instituted in the Benares and Agra Courts was not large. The diminution in the income of cases in the Allahabad Court has been attributed by Mr. Robertson (apparently with reason) to the temporary change of incumbency which took place in the 2nd quarter of the year while he himself was absent on leave, during which period a special diminution in the number of suits instituted occurred. The Court also observe that their was a general decrease of litigation observable in the Civil litigation of the ordinary Civil Courts in the Allahabad District.

* Benares, ... 1,056
Allahabad, ... 621
Agra, ... 888

Out of 5,681 suits instituted, 2,565 were for debts on bond. This by far the most numerous class of cases in all the Courts.

5. Of the suits instituted (5,681) 2,565,* or somewhat less than one-half, were for *debts on bond.* In the previous year the proportion of this class of cases was greater. This, still, however, forms by far the most numerous description of suits in *all* the three Districts.

* Benares, ... 332
Allahabad, ... 320

In Benares and Allahabad suits founded on "Shop Debts," and in Agra "money claims unsupported by written "documents" were next most numerous, as in the previous year.

6. In Benares and Allahabad the next most numerous class of cases consisted of "Shop Debts."* In Agra, however, only 39 of these cases were instituted, a large proportion of cases (276) having been instituted for "money claims unsupported by written "documents." These results correspond exactly with those shewn in 1862.

In Benares and Agra 195 and 238 cases respectively were "suits for personal property or its value." In Allahabad these were only 84.

In Benares 195 cases were suits for personal property or *its* value, and 238 were of the same description in Agra. In Allahabad these suits (84) were much less numerous.

128 and 223 money claims unsupported by written documents instituted in Benares and Allahabad.

165 and 136 cases of debts founded on Book Accounts in Benares and Agra.

Money claims unsupported by written documents were instituted in considerable numbers in the Benares and Allahabad Districts, *i. e.*, 128 and 223 respectively; suits founded on debts on Book Accounts were numerous in Benares and Agra, and those for money due on written Contract in Allahabad; suits for Wages, Rent, or Damages were comparatively few in number in all the Districts.

	Benares.	*Allahabad.*	*Agra.*
Suits for money due on written Contract, ...	13	158	82
Do. for Wages,	66	155	63
Do. for Rent, ...	66	93	96
Do. for Damages,	58	42	11

7. Out of 5,898 cases for disposal in the year under review, 5,791 were disposed of, and 107 left pending on the file at the close of the year, *i. e.*,—

Out of 5,898 cases for disposal, 5,791 were disposed of and 107 left pending.

Court.	Disposed of.	Pending.
Benares, ...	2,060	49
Allahabad, ...	1,778	17
Agra, ...	1,953	41

In respect to the small number of cases pending on the file at the close of the year, the return of the Allahabad Court is particularly satisfactory.

Small number of pending cases in Allahabad particularly satisfactory.

Proportion of *ex parte* Cases in each Court.

8. The proportion of cases decided *ex parte* was as follows:—

Court.	Number of cases disposed of.	Decided *ex parte.*	Percentage decided *ex parte.*
Benares, ...	2,060	483	23·
Allahabad, ...	1,778	362	20·
Agra, ...	1,953	135	7·

On the whole, 17 per cent. of the cases decided were disposed of *ex parte*, as compared with 21 per cent. in the previous year, and a general percentage in the North Western Provinces of 11 per cent.

17 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *ex parte*, and 21 per cent. in 1862.

11 per cent. *ex parte* in the North Western Provinces.

The Court consider the decrease in the proportion of these cases to be a satisfactory feature of the administration of the Small Cause Courts. The percentage shewn in Mr. Berkeley's Court is particularly favorable. The Judges of the several Courts report that great care is taken to ascertain that the summonses have been properly served in all cases of this description.

The decreased percentage of *ex parte* cases satisfactory.

Percentage in Mr. Berkeley's Court particularly favorable.

Great care alleged to be taken in the service of summonses.

* 1,226, Mr. Berkeley. 727, Mahomed Buksh.

* 524, or 25 per cent., in Benares.
540, or 30 per cent., in Allahabad.
759, or 39 per cent., in Agra.

31 per cent. of the cases decided on confession of judgment, and 29 per cent. in 1862.

9. 1,823* cases were decided on confession of judgment, *i. e.*, 31 per cent. on the total number disposed of. This proportion is slightly in excess of that (29 per cent.) attained in the previous year.

* 696, or 33 per cent., in Benares.
547, or 30 per cent, in Allahabad.
574, or 29 per cent., in Agra.

31 per cent. of the cases decided on their merits, and 33 per cent. in 1862; 46 per cent. in the North Western Provinces generally.

10. The number of cases decided on their merits amounted to 1,817,* or 31 per cent., as compared with a percentage of 33 in 1862, and a general percentage in the ordinary Civil Courts of 46 per cent.

Execution of Decrees.

2,839 cases disposed of.
1,326, or 47 per cent., completely executed, and 714 or 25 per cent. partly do. This is very favorable.

Detailed results of cases completely executed.

Per cent. completely executed in North Western Provinces.

11. *Execution of Decrees.*—Out of 2,839 cases disposed of in this Department, 1,326, or 47 per cent., were executed in full, and 714 or 25 per cent., executed in part. This proportion is very favorable. In Agra as many as 63 per cent. of these cases were completely executed, in Benares 47 per cent., and in Allahabad 39 per cent., as compared with a general proportion in the North Western Provinces of 29 per cent. Besides the above, applications were made in 866 cases for the sale of *immovable* property of the Judgment-debtors through other Civil Courts, under Section 11, Act XLII. of 1860. In these cases, 15 shares in land were sold in execution; and 96 sales took place of immovable property other than land.

12. *Average Duration of Suits.*—This was as shown in the following Memo:

Average Duration of Suits.

	M.	D.	H.
Benares, ...	0	8	0
Allahabad, ...	0	5	11
Agra, ...	0	29	0

	M.	D.	H.
Small Cause Court, Benares, ...	0	8	0
Small Cause Court, Allahabad, ...	0	5	11
Small Cause Court, Agra, ...	0	29	0

It will be seen that the average duration of cases varied from 5 days 11 hours in Allahabad, which is remarkably low, to 29 days in Agra.

Allahabad result remarkably low.

Mr. Berkeley has attributed the unfavorable return shewn in his Court to his failing health, which necessitated his going on leave for three months during the year, and has noticed that the average duration of cases during the closing months of the year was only 10 days. Mr. Berkeley considers that this average cannot be decreased without injury to the defendants, as some places within his jurisdiction are 24 miles from his Court, and the attainment of a shorter average would have a tendency to increase unduly the number of *ex parte* cases. In this opinion the Court concur.

Mr. Berkeley has attributed his unfavorable result to his failing health and absence on leave.

He does not think a shorter average than 10 days can be attained without injury to suitors, and the Court concur.

13. *Income of Stamps, &c., and cost of Judicial Establishments.*—The gross value of the Stamps filed in the three Courts amounted to Rs. 37,140-14-0, from which Rs. 588 were returned on account of Razeenamahs, leaving a net total of Rs. 36,552-14-0 on account of Stamp Receipts. In addition to the above, Rs. 577-8-6 were realized on account of the penalties levied on insufficiently stamped documents, Rs. 210-4-0 by fines, and Rs. 1,769-5-0 by Surplus Tulubana, making the aggregate income of the Courts amount to Rs. 39,109-10-11. The total costs of the Courts amounted to Rs. 43,418-3-0, so that Government was a loser of Rs. 4,308-8-1 by the Establishment of the Courts during the year.

Income of Stamps and cost of Courts.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Gross income of Stamps,	37,140	14	0
Refunds, ...	588	0	0
Net Total,	36,552	14	0
Add Stamp penalties, ...	577	8	6
Fines, ...	210	4	0
Surplus Tulubana, ...	1,769	0	5
Aggregate income, ...	39,109	10	11
Total costs of Courts, ...	43,418	3	0
Loss to Government, ...	4,308	8	1

The Benares Court alone paid its expenses.

The Court observe that the Benares Court was the only one which paid its own expenses, a loss having accrued in each of the others. As was anticipated last year, there was a decrease in the amount of Stamp refunds owing to the change of Law on this subject; but owing to the diminution in the ordinary Stamp receipts, caused by the diminution of litigation, the aggregate value of Stamps filed is less than in the previous year.

Decrease of Stamp refunds owing to change of Law.

Decrease of Stamp income caused by decrease of litigation.

14. *Applications for New Trial.*—Twenty-five applications for a new trial were pending at the close of 1862, and 132 fresh applications were preferred during the year, making a total of 157 for disposal. Of those newly instituted, 88 were preferred in the Agra Court, and 28 and 16 respectively in the Benares and Allahabad Courts—97 being preferred on the part of Plaintiffs, and 35 by Defendants.

Applications for New Trial.

132 new applications preferred during the year, *i. e.*, 88 in Agra, 28 in Benares, and 16 in Allahabad.

97 were by Plaintiffs, and 35 by Defendants.

Of the above cases for disposal, 91 cases were at once struck off, the rest being admitted for trial, of which 36 were disposed of. In 19 of these the former decision of the Court was reversed or modified, *i. e.*, 8 in Agra, 6 in Allahabad, and 5 in Benares, and in 17 cases no alteration was made in the original decisions passed by the Courts. Judging from the figures, these results, I am to observe, appear to indicate general correctness of judgment on the part of the Judges of the Courts.

91 cases for disposal were at once struck off; out of 36 disposed of, the original decision was modified or reversed in 19 and maintained in 17 cases.

These results appear to indicate general correctness of judgment.

15. Only 7 cases were referred to the Sudder Court for the determination of points of Law under Section 13, Act XLII., of 1860, during the past year, *viz.*, six from Agra, and one from Allahabad. In all the six cases referred from Agra the legal point involved was the same, and the Court concurred with Mr. Berkeley in the opinion formed by him. In the Allahabad case, they differed in opinion from Mr. Robertson.

Only 7 cases referred to the Sudder Court under Section 13, Act XLII. of 1860; but in six of these the point involved was the same, so that, in reality only two opinions were given. In one of these, the Court maintained the opinion of the Judge; in the other, they held a contrary opinion.

16. In remarking on the working of his Court during the past year, Mr. Robertson has observed, "Although the Court "shews deficiency in Stamps, I still adhere to the "opinion expressed in my last year's report of its popularity, especially with the "natives, who have freely resorted to it. Another sign that it has tended to make "people more punctual in paying their debts is, "that no single instance has occurred of a party "turning insolvent, and a rush being made by his "creditors to this Court with as many as 50 claims in one day, although it was by "no means an uncommon occurrence in 1861 and 1862; and I think it may fairly "be taken as a sign that the Court has had a beneficial effect in checking litigation, "and causing prompt payment of debts."

Mr. Robertson's remarks as to the popularity of his Court.

Its tendency to make people more punctual in paying their debts, and to check litigation.

17. Mr. Berkeley, writing on the same subject, says "There is every reason "to think that the Court has been useful to the "public at large; and not a bad criterion of the "estimation in which it is held is, that parties both of the higher and lower ranks "of Native society attend willingly in person to "prosecute or defend claims which may be but small

Mr. Berkeley's opinion as to the usefulness of his Court to the Public.

Many of the parties attend willingly, though their claims may be small.

" and unimportant in amount, and this is perhaps one of the reasons that the " *ex parte* cases are so few. The old habit of in- " stituting a case through a pleader still continues; " but he has little to do except the drawing out of the plaint, and oral com- " ments on the evidence after it has been taken, though as regards this, I have " found the parties who are in Court at the time quite able to argue their own case " themselves; and I still adhere to the opinion that the influence of the Vakeels " has decreased since the creation of those Courts."

By the creation of the Court, the influence of the pleaders has decreased.

18. During three months of the year, Mr. Berkeley, Judge of the Agra Court, was on leave, and Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, officiated for him as Judge of the Court. Similarly, Mr. E. B. Thornhill officiated at Allahabad as Judge of the Small Cause Court at that Station, during Mr. Robertson's absence on leave.

Changes in the *personnel* of the Judges during the year.

Shama Churn, Judge of the Benares Small Cause Court, was present at his post during the whole of the year.

19. Mr. Robertson's proposal for the extension of the jurisdiction of his Court is now before the Court, in connection with the Judge of Allahabad's report on the working of the revised system of Moonsiffee jurisdictions in his District. Should further extension of the Small Cause Court jurisdiction of Allahabad be considered expedient, the Court will address Government on the subject.

Mr. Robertson's proposal to extend the jurisdiction of his Court is now before the Court.

20. As observed in their report of last year, the Court have no means of forming an opinion as to the quality of the decisions of the Small Cause Courts. Looking, however, to the short average duration of suits, and the absence of Appeal, the ends of speedy determination of cases and diminished expense of litigation have undoubtedly been attained, while the small proportion of cases in which new trials have been granted affords grounds for believing that the decisions of the Court are in the great majority of cases correct and satisfactary. Out of 4,298 applications for execution of decrees, 866, or 20 per cent, were preferred under Section 11, Act XLII. of 1860, for the sale of the immovable property of Judgment-debtors, but in these cases only 15 hereditary and 2 non-hereditary shares of villages were actually sold, in addition to 96 cases of execution sales of immovable property other than land.

Court's opinion on the operation of the Courts, generally favorable.

21. In conclusion, I am desired to observe that the Court consider the results exhibited by the several reports and returns to be very creditable to the diligence and zeal of the Small Cause Court Judges.

I have, &c.,

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ANNUAL RETURN No. 1.

STATEMENT of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year, 1863.

Name of Presiding Officer.	Description of Suits.	Pending on the 31st December, 1862.	Instituted during the year, 1863.	Total for trial.	Disposed of in the year 1863.							Pending at the end of December, 1863.	Remarks.
					Ex parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	By decision on Merits.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee. Judge of the S. C. Ct. of Benares.	I. For debts on Bond,	20	1,056	1,076	311	364	10	249	20	97	1,051	25	
	II. For debts on Book Accounts.	3	165	168	28	43	9	65	7	14	166	2	
	III. For Money due on written Contract.	1	13	14	3	3	...	7	...	...	13	1	
	IV. For Shop Debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at Shops or Stores.	...	332	332	66	50	9	117	8	76	326	6	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document.	1	128	129	10	18	2	72	5	22	129	...	
	VI. Suits for Wages, ...	...	66	66	13	1	...	32	7	11	64	2	
	VII. For Rent, ...	...	66	66	9	8	...	31	1	14	63	3	
	VIII. For personal property or its value.	2	195	197	41	31	3	32	8	22	187	10	
	IX. For Damages,* ...	3	58	61	4	6	1	41	...	9	61	...	
	Total, ...	30	2,079	2,109	485	524	34	696	56	265	2,060	49	
J. C. Robertson, Esq., for 9 months. E. B. Thornhill, Esq., for 3 months. Judges of the S. C. Ct. of Allahabad.	I. For debts on Bond,	10	621	631	138	309	2	90	19	64	622	9	
	II. For debts on Book Accounts.	3	77	80	12	7	1	28	5	17	80	...	
	III. For money due on written Contract.	...	158	158	59	34	...	32	6	24	155	3	
	IV. For Shop Debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at Shops or Stores.	3	320	323	84	100	4	75	14	43	320	3	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document.	4	223	227	18	28	1	125	17	36	225	2	
	VI. Suits for Wages, ...	1	155	156	30	19	1	84	5	17	156	...	
	VII. For Rent, ...	...	93	93	10	18	...	44	9	12	93	...	
	VIII. For personal property or its value.	1	84	85	8	14	1	40	6	16	85	...	
	IX. For Damages, ...	...	42	42	3	1	...	29	3	6	42	...	
	Total, ...	22	1,773	1,795	362	540	10	547	84	235	1,778	17	
T. Berkeley Esq., for 9 months. Mahomed Bukeh Khan, for 3 months. Judges of the S. C. Ct. of Agra.	I. For debts on Bond,	70	888	958	86	502	5	202	19	126	940	18	
	II. For debts on Book Accounts.	5	136	141	5	41	1	47	1	44	139	2	
	III. For money due on written Contract.	29	82	111	13	19	2	40	5	27	106	5	
	IV. For Shop Debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at Shops or Stores	14	39	53	4	9	...	22	4	13	52	1	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document.	6	276	282	5	98	1	93	22	58	277	5	
	VI. Suits for Wages, ...	...	63	63	4	...	...	28	3	26	61	2	
	VII. For Rent, ...	10	96	106	7	33	...	36	2	25	103	3	
	VIII. For personal property or its value,	27	238	265	11	57	1	95	15	51	260	5	
	IX. For Damages, ...	4	11	15	...	...	1	11	2	1	15	...	
	Total, ...	165	1,829	1,994	135	759	11	574	73	401	1,953	41	
	GRAND TOTAL, ...	217	5,681	5,898	982	1,823	55	1,817	213	901	5,791	107	

* Besides the number of cases as entered in this Statement, there were nine cases of re-trial in the year 1863, as per return No. V.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 30th March, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN No. II.

RETURN shewing the number of Decrees executed and unexecuted in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year, 1863.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
		Applications received for Execution.				Disposed of.									*Balance of cases pending execution.*		
						Applications executed in full.				*Applications executed in part.*							
Name of Court, and Presiding Officer.	Number of cases pending at the close of the past year.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	Cases involving immovable property transferred for execution to other Courts, under Certificates granted to Decree-holders under Section 11, Act XLII. of 1860.	Total for disposal after deducting cases transferred in Column 5.	Amount of decree realized in full by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in full by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in full after the passing of the decree.	Total No. of applications executed in full.	Amount of decree realized in part by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in part by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily after the passing of the decree.	Total No. of applications executed in part.	Percentage of Column 10 to Column 6.	Cases decided during the current month.	Cases decided previously.	Remarks.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares. ...	122	561	861	258	1,286	13	216	320	549	35	91	95	221	42·	8	115	393 cases struck off on default.
J. C. Robertson, Esq., for 9 months; E. B. Thornhill, Esq., for 3 ditto; Judges of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	95	263	1,050	104	1,304	153	151	150	454	123	48	132	303	46·	15	78	26 cases money paid into Court before judgment.
V. Berkeley, Esq., for 9 months; Mahomed Buksh, Officiating for 3 months; Judges of the Small Cause Court of Agra. ...	88	194	835	504	613	66	122	135	323	70	72	48	190	52·	54	46	454 cases struck off on default.
Total, ...	305	1,018	2,746	866	3,203	232	489	605	1,326	228	211	275	714	41·	77	239	847 cases struck off on default.

Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, N. W. P.,
Agra:
The 30th March, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN No. III.

RETURN shewing the average duration of suits in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra for the year, 1863.

Name and Designaion of Officer.	1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court, Benares, ...	0	0	8
J. C. Robertson, Esquire, from 1st January, 1863, to 6th April, 1863, and from 7th July to the end of the year, and E. B. Thornhill, Esquire, from 7th April, to 6th July, 1863 (Officiating), Judges of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	0	0	5 11
Mr. J. Berkeley for 9 months, and Mahomed Buksh Khan for three months. Judges of the Small Cause Court, Agra, ...	0	0	29
General Average, ...	0	0	14

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.
AGRA:
The 30th March, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN No. IV.

RETURN shewing the value of Stamps sold, Penalties imposed, Fines realized, and Surplus Tulubana accruing, together with the cost of Courts and Establishments, and Balance, Profit or Loss, accruing in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year, 1863.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Receipts.						Disbursements.			Remarks.
	Ordinary Stamp Receipts.									
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Amount of Stamp penalties realized *Vide* Register No. IV.	Amount of fine realized. Register No. 10.	Amount of Surplus Tulubana. Register No. 6.	Cost of Small Cause Courts, including salary of Judge and Establishment.	Net Profit.	Net Loss.	
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares, ...	13,714 15 0	45 0 0	13,669 15 0	165 10 6	40 0 0	952 5 3	12,560 7 5	2,267 7 4	0 0 0	
J. C. Robertson, Esquire, for 9 months. E. B. Thornhill, Esquire, for 3 months, Judges of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	11,854 14 0	99 0 0	11,755 14 0	199 2 0	157 4 0	697 14 6	18,034 0 4	0 0 0	5,223 13 10	
J. Berkeley, Esquire, for 9 months. Mahomed Buksh Khan, for 3 months. Officiating Judges of the Small Cause Court of Agra,	11,571 1 0	444 0 0	11,127 1 0	212 12 0	13 0 0	118 12 8	12,823 11 3	0 0 0	1,352 1 7	Net Loss, Rs. 1,352-1-7.
Total, ...	37,140 14 0	588 0 0	36,552 14 0	577 8 6	210 4 0	1,769 0 5	43,418 3 0	2,267 7 4	6,575 15 5	Net Loss, Rs. 4,308-8-1.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.
AGRA:
The 30th March, 1864.

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN No. V.

RETURN shewing the number and results of the Applications preferred for a new trial under Section 12, Act XLII. of 1860, in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year, 1863.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officers.	Applications and cases for disposal.						Manner in which disposed of.								Remarks.
	By Plaintiff.	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	*Applications struck off or rejected without being entered on Register No. 1 for trial.*		Application's admitted for trial.						
									Cases in which former judgment was maintained.		*Cases in which former judgment was reversed or modified.*		*Cases pending trial at the close of the year, 1863.*		
	Pending trial at close of last year.		Presented during the current year.		Total for disposal.		By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares,	...	...	21	7	21	7	15	4	1	3	5	...	...	...	
J. C. Robertson, Esq., for 9 months. E. B. Thornhill Esq., Officiating for 3 months, Judges of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	...	...	13	3	13	3	5	1	4	...	4	2	...	...	
V. Berkeley, Esq., for 9 months. Mahomed Buksh Khan, Officiating for 3 months Judges of the Small Cause Court of Agra, ...	16	9	63	25	79	34	42	24	3	6	8	...	26	4	
Total, ...	16	9	97	35	113	44	62	29	8	9	17	2	26	4	

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 30th March, 1864.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

APPENDIX containing Numerical Returns illustrative of the Results of the Administration of Civil Justice in the several Zillahs of the North Western Provinces, during the year 1863.

AZIMGURH

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge's, ...	897	738	508	620	757	824	...	...	168	34
Principal Sudder Ameen's, ...	32	71	596	689	220	233	...	1	28	79
Sudder Ameen's, ...	804	863	730	1,104	454	718	3	2	82	114
Moonsiff's, ...	1,156	1,271	957	1,340	918	1,146	...	1	84	256
Total, ...	2,889	2,943	2,791	3,753	2,349	2,921	3	4	362	483
Increase, ...	...	54	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	121
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	442	832	...	...	...	...

Number and Proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits, to the total No. decided.	Remarks.
Judge's Court, ...	Andrew Ross, ...	0	4	5	16	51	748	824	91·	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	Syud Pygumber Buksh and Moulvee Abdool Uzeez, ...	4	4	3	1	12	209	233	90·	
Sudder Ameens' Court,	Dabee Dial and Mohomed Hossein, ...	51	80	7	48	216	316	718	44·	
Mohomdabad Moonsiff's Court, ...	Khadim Hossein and Meer Badshah, ...	40	161	3	35	48	266	553	48·	
Nugra Court, ...	Abdool Mujeed and Bhugwan Porshad, ...	26	232	26	56	89	164	593	28·	
	Total, ...	121	481	44	156	416	1,703	2,921	58·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge's, ...	362	327	295	355	272	317	...	...	77	94
Principal Sudder Ameen's, ...	340	414	153	412	119	309	...	...	88	198
Sudder Ameen's, ...	1,526	1,944	815	1,834	937	1,744	...	...	368	569
Moonsiff's, ...	2,884	2,977	2,071	3,986	2,115	2,848	3	2	405	536
Total, ...	5,112	5,662	3,834	5,987	3,143	5,218	3	2	938	1,397
Increase, ...	...	550	...	...	109	...	...	...	...	459
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	769	...	1	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	862	458	1,460	2,780	31·
1862, ...	1,080	473	1,802	3,355	32·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified, to total of Appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of Cases appealed, to Cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. H. Lushington, Collector, ...	...	12	12	11	...	1	12	...	...	9	...
„ G. E. Watson, Officiating ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
„ H. A. Harrison, Deputy ditto, ...	4	1	5	4	...	1	5	...	...	...	...
„ T. B. Tracy, Assistant ditto, ...	1	28	29	15	13	1	29	...	44·	61	46·
„ J. W. Concannon, Deputy ditto, ...	8	34	42	22	16	2	40	2	40·	31	...
„ W. E. Turner, Assistant ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
„ J. S. Porter, ditto ditto, ...	...	5	5	...	3	...	3	2	100·	23	22·
Kishen Dyal, Deputy ditto, ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
Sufder Hossein Khan, Deputy ditto, ...	...	17	17	12	4	...	16	1	25·	89	19·
Syud Ebadut Allee, with powers of Deputy Collector, ...	30	22	52	19	30	3	52	...	58·	5	...
Syud Allee Hossein, ditto, ...	6	21	27	14	9	4	27	...	33·	92	23.
Sheogholam Singh, ditto, ...	5	59	64	19	39	3	61	3	64·	126	47·
Gholam Russool Khan, ditto, ...	4	2	6	2	2	2	6	...	33·	10	20·
Mahomed Tukee, ditto, ...	3	12	15	5	7	3	15	...	47·	24	50·
Mehdee-ool zuma, ditto, ...	2	4	6	5	1	...	6	...	17·	19	21.
Total, ...	64	219	283	128	127	20	275	8	46·	489	45·

Remarks.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of Cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	
Nugra Moonsiffee.—Ubdool Mujeed, ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.			Remarks
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years	Months.	Days.	
Andrew Ross, Esq., Judge, ...	...	3	11	...	2	23	
Pygumber Buksh and Ubdool Azeez, Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	...	1	25	...	2	3	
Dabee Dyal and Mahomed Hossein, Sudder Ameens,	...	2	12	...	1	22	
Khadim Hossein and Meer Badshah, Moonsiffs of Mohumdabad, ...	...	...	22	...	...	29	
Ubdool Mujeed and Bhugwan Pershad, Moonsiffs of Nugra, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the Parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily or by Summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of Cases decided.	Percentage of Cases of personal attendance to total Cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total Cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. A. Ross, Judge, ...	257	214	134	605	219	824	73·
Pygumber Buksh and Ubdool Azeez, Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	11	14	193	218	15	233	94·
Dabee Dyal and Mahomed Hossein, Sudder Ameens, ...	144	136	288	568	150	718	79·
Khadim Hossein and Meer Badshah, Moonsiffs of Mohumdabad, ...	40	...	430	470	83	553	85·
Ubdool Mujeed and Bhugwan Pershad, Moonsiffs of Nugra, ...	44	43	489	576	17	593	97
Total, ...	496	407	1,534	2,437	484	2,921	84·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent, under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Azimgurh.	Judge's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	3	
	Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Mohumdabad Moonsiff's Court,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	5	...	17	
	Nugra Moonsiff's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	...	...	18	
	Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	46	...	6	...	52	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of Cases appealed of appealable Cases.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Syud Pygumber Buksh, ...	9	7	11·	35·	...		2	13	...	
Moulvie Ubdool Azeez Khan, ...	22	19		...	4	5	2	2	94·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of Cases appealed of appealable Cases.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Dabee Dyal, ...	32	...	16·	32·	...		1	17	...	
Mahomed Hossein, ...	34	...		40·	1	22	1	27	79·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of Cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mohumdabad,	Khadim Hossein, ...	34	26	37	...	0 28	...	
	Meer Badshah, ...			63	3 13	0 29	85	
Nugra, ...	Ubdool Mujeed, ...	18	17	28	...	0 19	...	
	Bhugwan Pershad, ...			...	0 22	1 10	97	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	
Judge's, ...	17	1,265 8 8	1,053 0 0	212 8 8	...	
Principal Suddor Ameen's, ...	20	1,113 10 3	1,082 10 0	31 0 3	...	
Sudder Ameen's, ...	39	2,547 6 6	2,477 0 2	70 6 4	.	
Mohumdabad Moonsiff's, ...	16	1,046 8 9	985 0 3	61 8 6	...	
Nugra Moonsiff's, ...	16	1,416 1 7	1,086 8 8	329 8 11	...	
Total, ...	108	7,389 3 9	6,6[illegible]4 3 1	705 0 8	...	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Principal Suddor Ameen's,	7,491	90	7,401	7,200	201	...	
Sudder Ameen's, ...	11,127	71	11,056	3,960	7,096	...	
Mohumdabad Moonsiff's,	3,669	...	3,669	2,640	1,029	...	
Nugra Moonsiff's, ...	4,675	28	4,647	2,640	2,007	...	
Total, ...	26,962	189	26,773	16,440	10,333	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH BENARES.

Regular Files.

	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	332	121	353	392	124	154	1	...	11	18
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	378	513	424	517	423	478	...	1	22	42
Total, ...	710	634	777	909	547	632	1	1	33	60
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27
Decrease, ...	...	76	...	...	230	277	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By Arbitration.	Decided on default	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Percentage of Cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Benares, ...	Judge, ...	...	1	2	6	24	121	154	79·	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	43	42	11	13	42	327	478	68·	
	Total, ...	43	43	13	19	66	448	632	71·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	385	291	346	420	224	290	...	...	33	34
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	92	65	27	85	34	76	...	...	23	12
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	2,479	1,693	1,510	2,397	1,484	2,057	...	...	796	458
Total, ...	2,956	2,049	1,883	2,902	1,742	2,423	...	...	852	504
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	907	...	...	141	479	...	...	...	348

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1862, ...	906	734	670	2,310	39
1863, ...	617	566	645	1,858	35·

Appeals under Act. X of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified, to total of Appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of Cases appealed, to Cases decided by Revenue Officers
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. J. A. Loch, Deputy Collector,	...	4	4	3	1	...	4	...	26·	...	...
„ W. S. Halsey, ditto, ...	...	7	7	5	2	...	7	...	29·	128	5·
„ C. W. Moore, ditto, ...	...	13	13	5	4	1	10	3	40·	65	20·
Buldeo Bux, ditto, ...	...	4	4	3	...	1	4	...	...	2	...
Mr. Peacock, Assistant ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
„ E. A. Cline, Deputy ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	52	...
Total, ...	...	29	29	16	8	2	26	3	31·	247	12

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170 Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of Cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	Number of Cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of Persons committed.	Number of Persons convicted.	Number of Persons acquitted.	
Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	5	1	4	
Total, ...	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	...	1	5	1	4	

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Judge, ...	...	...	26	...	...	27
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	8	...	...	29

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which one or both of the parties were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by Summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of Cases decided.	Percentage of Cases of personal attendance, to total Cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total Cases of personal attendance.			
Judge, ...	11	12	16	39	115	154	25·
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	112	87	167	366	112	478	77·
Total, ...	123	99	183	405	227	632	64·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Benares.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	5	...	23	
	Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	5	...	26	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original.	Appeal.			M.	D.			
Mr. R. H. Smith, ...	40	...	30·	36·	1	1	29·	77·	

Financial Results of Mazkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	5	473 10 6	382 12 9	90 13 9	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	15	2,210 4 9	1,350 15 9	859 5 0	...	
Total, ...	20	2,683 15 3	1,733 12 6	950 2 9	...	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	
Judge of Small Cause Court, ...	82 8 0	...	82 8 0	...	82 8 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,	33,633 12 0	308	33,325 12 0	9,000	24,325 12 0	...	
Total, ...	33,716 4 0	308	33,408 4 0	9,000	24,408 4 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	625	698	288	310	111	120	3	25	189	227
1st P. S. Ameen, ...	93	97	480	502	557	573	1	1	11	70
2nd. P. S. Ameen, ...	18	...	40	41	...	...	...	...	...	...
Offg. P. S. Ameen, Mr. Smith, ...	...	...	264	270	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sudder Ameen, ...	127	153	167	174	120	128	...	...	10	37
Moonsiffs, ...	1,925	2,740	2,093	2,344	2,278	2,606	...	...	81	247
Total, ...	2,788	3,688	3,332	3,641	3,066	3,427	4	26	291	581
Increase, ...	900	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	290	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	266	214	...	...	...	...

Number and Proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges, ...	W. J. R. Carnac, Esq., ...	...	...	...	...	3	39	42	93·	
	J. R. Best, Esq., ...	1	...	...	2	2	31	36	86·	
	B. Sapte, Esq., C. B., ...	1	...	...	2	...	39	42	93·	
P. S. Ameen, ...	Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	5	3	6	10	6	543	573	95·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Rai Bukhtawur Singh, ...	4	5	8	1	7	103	128	80·	
Moonsiff, Ghazeepore,	Rai Bukhtawur Singh, ...	55	28	28	18	94	350	573	61·	
Do., Bulliah, ...	Baboo Ram Kalee Chowdhree, ...	63	15	22	...	73	415	588	71·	
Do., Mohumdabad.	Lalla Saligram, ...	8	7	5	...	16	110	146	75·	
	Moulvee Zeenoolabdeen, ...	8	28	17	...	35	281	369	76·	
Do., Sydepore, ...	Baboo Kasheenath Biswas,	46	64	25	...	63	358	556	64·	
Do., Rusreh,	Moulvee Zeinoolabdeen, ...	6	7	6	1	4	72	96	75·	
	Lalla Saligram, ...	24	45	17	3	21	168	278	60·	
	Total, ...	221	202	134	37	324	2,509	3,427	73·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January 1863.	On 1st January 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	272	314	175	218	256	340	...	1	111	85
1st P. S. Ameen,	639	640	516	1,150	328	624	...	...	96	144
2nd P. S. Ameen,	85	...	40	280	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sudder Ameen, ...	1,002	921	813	1,211	585	896	...	...	95	121
Moonsiffs, ...	6,085	5,892	3,935	6,690	3,500	5,516	4	1	657	570
Total, ...	8,083	7,267	5,479	9,549	4,669	7,376	4	2	959	920
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	816	...	...	810	2,173	...	2	...	39

Application for execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,449	748	1,812	4,009	36·	
1862, ...	1,711	729	3,194	5,634	30·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Sudder Court decided by the Revenue authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
M. Brodhurst, Esq., Collr., ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
W. E. Moore, Esq., Dy. Collr.,	14	2	16	8	7	6	16	..	44·	...	...
J. W. Quinton, Esq., ditto, ...	40	7	47	20	9	15	44	3	20·	2	...
J. G. Bachman, Esq., ditto, ...	14	28	42	5	8	7	15	27	20·	197	14·
W. Oldham, Esq., ditto, ...	...	15	15	...	...	...	...	15	...	18	83·
W. C. Plowden, Esq., ditto, ...	...	14	14	...	...	...	...	14	...	50	28·
C. Mellor, Esq., Asst. Collr., ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	13	23·
Roy Buldeo Bux, Dy. Collr., ...	17	23	40	...	1	1	2	38	50·	95	24·
Ally Bux Khan, ditto, ...	...	23	23	6	4	6	16	7	25·	39	59·
Ram Gholam Singh, Tehseeldar, ...	1	10	11	1	1	...	2	9	56·	102	10·
Sheikh Umjud Hosseein, ditto,	3	...	3	2	...	1	3	...	...	105	...
Shunker Dial Pandey, ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	235	1·
Total, ...	89	129	218	37	25	36	98	120	26·	856	15·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted	Number of persons acquitted.	
Baboo Ram Kalee Chowdhree, Moonsiff of Bulleah, ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	2	..	2	
Zeinoolabdeen, ditto of Mohumdabad, ...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Baboo Kashee Nauth Biswas, ditto of Sydepoor, ...	2	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	3	7	...	...	
Total, ...	3	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	4	9	...	2	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officers.	1862.			1863.		
	Years	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
W J. R. Carnac, Esquire, Judge, ...	...	11	2	...	11	29
J. R. Best, Esquire, Officiating Judge, ...	...	...	...	1	1	24
B. Sapte, Esquire, C. B., ditto, ...	...	...	...	1	1	11
Abdool Azeez Khan, 1st Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	..	...	16	...	...	...
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	2	...	1	11
Habeeb-oollah Khan, 2nd Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	28	...	...	...
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Sudder Ameen, and Moonsiff of Ghazeepoor, ...	...	1	...	...	...	27
Baboo Kasheenauth Biswas, Moonsiff of Ghazeepoor,	...	...	19	...	...	...
Lalla Saligram, do. of Bulleah, ...	...	...	18	...	...	
Baboo Ram Kalee Chowdhree, do. of do. ...	...	...	19	...	...	15
Baboo Ramkalee do. ditto of Mohumdabad, ...	...	...	11	...	...	...
Lalla Saligram, do. of do., ...	...	...	24	...	...	21
Zeinoolabdeen, do. of do., ...	...	...	...	...	...	14
Noorool Hussun, do. of Sydepoor, ...	...	...	23	...	...	...
Baboo Kashee Nauth Biswas, do. of Sydepoor, ...	...	...	24	...	...	13
Reazut Hossein, Moonsiff of Rusreh, ...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Zeinoolabdeen, do. of do., ...	...	...	26	...	...	12
Lalla Saligram, do. of do., ...	...	...	...	...	...	14
Total, ...	...	1	21	...	1	...

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which one or both of the parties were before the Court in person; voluntarily or by Summons.				Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
W. J. R. Carnac, Esquire, Judge, ...	8	5	11	24	18	42	57·
J. R. Best, Esquire, Officiating Judge, ...	8	5	12	25	11	36	69·
B. Sapte, Esquire, Judge, ...	3	5	26	34	8	42	81·
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	55	49	418	522	51	573	91·
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Sudder Ameen, ...	20	25	76	121	7	128	95·
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Moonsiff of Ghazeepoor, ...	75	92	342	509	64	573	89·
Baboo Ram Kalee Chowdhree, Moonsiff of Bulleah, ...	77	46	410	533	55	588	91·
Lalla Saligram, Moonsiff of Mohumdabad,	11	12	109	132	14	146	97·
Zeinoolabdeen, do. of do., ...	16	28	317	361	8	369	98·
Baboo Kashee Nauth Biswas, do., of Sydepoor, ...	52	75	401	528	28	556	95·
Zeinoolabdeen, do. of Rusreh, ...	8	...	87	95	1	96	99·
Lalla Saligram, do. of do., ...	23	62	178	263	15	278	95·
Total, ...	356	404	2,387	3,147	280	3,427	92·

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.						Involuntary and Permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Ghazeepoor.	Judge, ...	4	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	11	...	1	...	12	...	14	...	8	...	22	
	Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepoor, ...	...	6	...	5	...	11	...	16	...	8	...	24	
	Moonsiff of Bulleah, ...	...	3	...	2	...	5	...	6	...	2	...	8	
	Ditto of Mohumdabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	3	
	Ditto of Sydepoor, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	3	...	2	...	5	
	Ditto of Rusreh, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	4	...	2	...	6	
	Total, ...	4	21	...	9	4	30	...	45	...	23	...	68	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in the Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	7	30	7	37	1	15	1	11	91·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of on Merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in the Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	Month.		
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, ...	10	...	45	42	3	11	1	95·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiffs.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	Days.		
Ghazeepoor, ...	Rai Bukhtawur Singh, ...	36	15	35	2	15	26	89·	
Bulleah, ...	Baboo Ram Kalee Chowdhree,	42	22	36	0	17	15	91·	
Mohumdabad, ...	Zeinoolabdeen, ...	33	18	51	0	28	16	98·	
Sydepoor, ...	Baboo Kashee Nauth Biswas,	36	11	44	1	18	13	95·	
Rusreh, ...	Lalla Saligram, ...	28	32	48	0	18	13	93·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year 1863.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge's Court, ...	8	634 15 9	441 0 0	193 15 9	...	The deposited balance of the last year was Rs. 2,157-11-3.
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	20	1,695 9 9	1,213 16 6	481 12 3	...	
1st Grade Moonsiff and Sudder Ameen's Court at Ghazeepoor, ...	20	1,691 11 6	1,164 2 5	527 9 1	...	
2nd Grade ditto ditto at Bulleah, ...	17	1,258 9 0	1,064 1 8	194 7 4	...	
3rd ditto ditto ditto at Mohumdabad, ...	15	1,243 5 0	871 5 4	371 15 8	...	
3rd ditto ditto ditto at Sydepoor,	16	1,281 6 9	851 8 0	429 14 9	...	
3rd ditto ditto ditto at Rusreh,	12	828 7 6	731 9 3	96 14 3	...	
Total, ...	108	8,634 1 3	6,337 8 2	2,296 9 1	...	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Judge's salary and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	10,408 0 0	275 0 0	10,133 0 0	6,587 0 0	3,546 0 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepoor, ...	11,546 0 0	205 0 0	11,341 0 0	3,760 0 0	7,581 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Bulleah, ...	5,370 0 0	0 0 0	5,370 0 0	3,360 0 0	2,010 0 0	...	
Ditto of Mohumdabad,	4,354 0 0	10 0 0	4,344 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,704 0 0	...	
Ditto of Sydepoor, ...	4,469 0 0	23 0 0	4,446 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,806 0 0	...	
Ditto of Rusreh, ...	3,360 6 0	21 0 0	3,339 6 0	2,640 0 0	699 6 0	...	
Total, ...	39,507 6 0	534 0 0	38,973 6 0	21,627 0 0	17,346 6 0	...	...

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	380	333	333	354	251	276	...	...	107	120
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	257	196	532	669	347	410	2	...	45	37
Sudder Ameen, ...	17	...	27	34	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	1,341	1,578	1,201	1,515	1,254	1,545	...	...	226	130
Total, ...	1,995	2,107	2,093	2,572	1,852	2,231	2	...	378	287
Increase, ...	...	112	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	241	341	...	2	...	91

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their Merits as compared with the number disposed of

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. G. E. Lance,	...	1	...	15	10	250	276	91·	
Principal Sudder Ameens,	Mohomed Hussun, ...	16	37	...	12	41	204	310	66·	
	Abdoollah Khan, ...	7	8	...	5	5	75	100	75·	
Moonsiff of Akberpore,	Mehmood Buksh, ...	92	303	3	72	114	441	1,025	43·	
Moonsiff of Russoolabad,	Enayet Hossein, ...	140	99	5	4	101	171	520	33·	
	Total, ...	255	448	8	108	271	1,141	2,231	51·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	243	312	286	296	302	310	...	...	34	36
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	629	592	398	747	347	629	...	...	79	68
Sudder Ameen, ...	55	...	36	128	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	2,842	3,011	2,025	3,128	1,644	3,010	...	...	340	366
Total, ...	3,769	3,915	2,745	4,299	2,293	3,949	...	...	453	470
Increase, ...	...	146	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	452	350	...	...	...	...

Applications for Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	604	420	1,295	2,319	26·	
1862, ...	726	350	912	1,988	37·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total of Appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. H. B. Henderson, Collector, ...	2	4	6	4	1	1	6	...	17·	4	100·
„ H. Monckton, Ditto, ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	2	100·
„ E. S. Robertson, Assistant Do,	4	...	4	3	1	...	4	...	25·	...	...
„ E. Waterfield, Deputy Do, ...	5	...	5	2	3	...	5	...	60·	5	...
Baboo Debee Churn, Ditto Ditto, ...	19	17	36	17	7	6	30	6	23·	88	19·
Heth Ram, Tehseeldar, ...	1	1	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	14	7·
Gholam Hossein, Ditto, ...	5	14	19	4	2	1	7	12	28·	53	26·
Jearukhun Lall, Ditto, ...	1	2	3	2	...	1	3	...	...	36	6·
Mohomed Mohsin, Ditto, ...	...	23	23	8	2	1	11	12	18·.	58	40·
Madhopershad, Ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	1	...	1	2	100·	17	18·
Nazeer Ahmud, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	30	3·
Hussein Ruza, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·
Baluk Ram, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	3	33·
Mr. Warrand, Assistant Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	1	...
„ Thornton, Deputy Ditto. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...
Zamin Ulee, Tehseeldar, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...
Total, ...	37	69	106	43	17	11	71	35	24·	359	19·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Total.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. G. E. Lance, Judge, ...	...	...	..	...	4	5	5	...	...	..	...	...	
Mohomed Hussun Khan, P. S. A.,	1	3	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abdoollah Khan, Ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mehmood Buksh, Moonsiff of Akberpoor, ...	1	1	1	...	1	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	
Enayet Hossein, ditto of Russoolabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	2	4	3	1	5	7	5	2	...	...	...	...	*1 absconded, proclamation issued.

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.		1862.			1863.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. G. E. Lance, Offg. Judge,	Original ...	...	2	5	...	5	3
	Appeal, ...	...	5	10	...	3	...
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	Original ...	...	3	27	...	2	27
Abdoollah Khan, Ditto.	Appeal, ...	...	1	7	...	1	27
Mehmood Buksh, Moonsiff of Akberpoor,	...	...	1	2	...	...	29
Enayet Hoossein, Moonsiff of Russoolabad,	...	...	1	3	...	1	2

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
G. E. Lance, Esqr., Officiating Judge,	Original ...	2	4	3	9	5	14	64·
	Appeal, ...	6	7	5	18	244	262	7·
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	Original ...	66	107	123	296	54	350	85·
	Appeal, ...	15	10	29	54	6	60	90·
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	Original ...							
	Appeal, ...							
Mehmood Buksh, Moonsiff of Akberpoor,		98	28	816	942	83	1,025	92·
Enayut Hussun, Moonsiff of Russoolabad,		120	69	258	447	73	520	86·
Total, ...		307	225	1,234	1,766	465	2,231	79·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1..	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Cawnpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	6	1	6	
	Moonsiff of Akberpoor, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Ditto of Rusoolabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	8	
	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	1	6	1	15	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, of the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	16	6	25	34·	...	2 27	85·	
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen. ...	55	...	...	...	1 23	...	88·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					Days.	Days.		
Akberpoor,	Mehmood Buksh, ...	45	12·	20·	26	29	92·	
Russoolabad,	Enayut Hossein, ...	26	13·	42·	38	32	86·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	6	439 9 6	385 8 3	54 1 3	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	22	1,330 13 3	1,298 12 5	32 0 10	...	
Moonsiff of Akberpoor, ...	28	2,016 5 3	1,951 12 6	64 8 9	...	
Ditto of Russoolabad, ...	17	1,565 1 6	1,203 11 0	361 6 6	...	
Total, ...	73	5,351 13 6	4,839 12 2	512 1 4	...	

Income of Stamps and Subordinate Judge's Salaries.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Russeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judge's salaries and establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	16,158 9 6	856 0 0	15,302 9 6	6,600 0 0	8,702 9 6	...	
Moonsiff of Akberpoor,	9,375 0 0	59 0 0	9,316 0 0	2,640 0 0	6,676 0 0	...	
Ditto of Russoolabad,	3,979 15 0	0 0 0	3,979 15 0	2,640 0 0	1,339 15 0	...	
Total, ...	29,513 8 6	915 0 0	28,598 8 6	11,880 0 0	16,718 8 6	...	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH MEERUT.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	330	286	292	335	321	333	3	0	41	29
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	160	314	385	418	340	382	4	1	139	75
Sudder Ameen, ...	10	...	23	27	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	2,193	3,111	2,068	2,585	2,419	2,053	...	...	105	240
Total, ...	2,693	3,711	2,768	3,365	3,080	3,668	7	1	285	344
Increase, ...	...	1,018	...	...	312	303	...	...	...	59
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total No. of Cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By Decision on their Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their Merits, to the total number decided.	
Judges, ...	Mr. R. Spankie, ...	1	2	7	5	7	303	325	93·	* Three cases rejected by Moonsiffs are included in this. † One case of rejection in these.
	„ G. D. Turnbull,	...	...	...	...	...	8	8	100·	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Abdool Azeez Khan,	27	35	22	5	31	191	311	61·	
	Pygumber Bux, ...	2	7	5	1	5	51	71	71·	
Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	Mirza Allie, ...	1	365	10	*16	121	396	909	43·	
Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	Mukhun Lall, ...	23	562	99	49	184	247	1,164	21·	
Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad,	Ain-ood-deen, ...	14	21	3	†6	158	678	880	77·	
	Total, ...	68	992	146	82	506	1,874	3,668	51·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Deciped.				Pending			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	167	194	143	226	166	220	...	...	37	56
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	571	764	161	453	384	896	7	...	360	261
Sudder Ameen, ...	111	...	44	173	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	6,515	5,938	2,182	7,380	1,932	5,976	3	...	874	837
Total, ...	7,394	6,996	2,530	8,232	2,482	7,092	10	...	1,271	1,154
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	498	...	...	48	1,140	...	10	...	117

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.*				
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
1863, ...	1,266	1,111	2,791	5,168	24·
1862, ...	942	935	2,723	4,600	20·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	*Disposed of during year.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealed to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Meerut.	Mr. Forbes, Collector, ...	1	8	9	6	2	...	8	1	25·	6	...
	„ Gardner, Assistant Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	3	33·
	„ J. Quinton, Deputy Ditto, ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	...	...
	Wuzeer Allie, Deputy Ditto, ...	1	3	4	4	...	...	4	...	...	18	16·
	Fuzl Ahmed, Tehseeldar of Meerut, ...	1	12	13	11	2	...	13	...	8·	131	9·
	Bishombhur Sahai, Ditto of Haupper, ...	2	1	3	1	...	2	3	...	...	74	1·
	Tuffuzzool Hossein, Ditto of Sirdhana, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	16	6·
	Kurm Alli, Ditto of Ghazeeabad, ...	3	1	4	2	1	1	4	...	25·	147	...
	Total, ...	8	29	37	26	7	3	36	1	19·	395	7·
Boolundshuhur.	Mr. Montague, Assistant Collector, ...	2	2	4	3	1	...	4	...	25·	153	2·
	„ Currie, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·
	Mohib Allie, Deputy Collector, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	41	...
	Bishun Dyal, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	341	...
	Total, ...	3	4	7	4	2	...	6	1	33·	536	1·
	Grand Total, ...	11	33	44	30	9	3	42	2	21·	931	4·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	* Not yet disposed of.
„ G. D. Turnbull, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abdool Azeez, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirza Alli, Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	1	1	...	...	...	*2	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	2	2	...	1	2	4	...	2	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge, ...	...	5	2	...	1	9
„ G. D. Turnbull, Judge, ...	...	9	4	...	...	9
Abdool Azeez, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	3	22	...	3	6
Pygumber Bux, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	2	25
Mirza Allie, Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	...	1	10	...	...	26
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, ...	...	...	27	...	...	22
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	...	...	24	...	...	26

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge, ...	40	44	144	228	97	325	70·
„ G. D. Turnbull, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	8	8	...
Abdool Azeez, Principal Sudder Ameen,	21	80	103	204	107	311	65·
Pygumber Bux, ditto, ...	5	13	30	48	23	71	67·
Mirza Allie, Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	164	...	739	903	6	909	99·
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur,	266	229	555	1,050	114	1,164	89·
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, ...	30	24	664	718	162	880	81·
Total, ...	526	390	2,235	3,151	517	3,668	86·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary or permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Meerut.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	17	...	...	1	17	
	Moonsiff of Meerut,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	...	21	
	Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	...	...	23	
	Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, ...	...	2	...	5	...	7	...	22	...	...	...	22	
	Total, ...	...	2	...	5	...	7	1	83	...	...	1	83	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their Merits and ex-parte, per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Abdool Azeez Khan, ...	16	6	28·	33·	...	3 6	65·	
Pygumber Bux, ...	35	1	17·	...	2 6	2 25	67·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on merits and *ex-parte*, per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	Days.		
Boolundshuhur, ...	Mukhun Lall, ...	31·	4·	21·	0	15	26	87·	
Ghazeeabad, ...	Ain-ood-deen, ...	58·	5·	33·	1	7	22	81·	
Meerut, ...	Mirza Allie, ...	34·	10·	30·	1	11	26	99·	

Financial results of Muzhoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name and Designation of Officer.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of ¼ to the Nazir of the Court.			Amount of Salary of Peons.			Surplus at close of year.			Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Messrs. R. Spankie, and G. D. Turnbull, Judges, ...	9	621	6	9	584	11	3	36	11	6	...	
Abdool Azeez Khan and Pygumber Bux, Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	20	2,330	0	3	1,275	9	6	1,054	6	9	...	
Mirza Allie, Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	36	2,554	14	9	2,338	14	3	216	0	6	...	
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	35	3,380	7	9	2,291	4	0	1,089	3	9	...	
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, ...	36	3,128	8	6	2,323	6	8	805	1	10	...	
Total, ...	136	12,015	6	0	8,813	13	8	3,201	8	4	...	

Value of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.			Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Cost of subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.			Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	23,230	0	0	422	0	0	22,808	0	0	8,800	0	0	14,008	0	0	...	
Moonsiff of Meerut, ...	8,201	0	0	48	8	0	8,152	8	0	3,360	0	0	4,792	8	0	...	
Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur, ...	7,073	0	0	243	0	0	6,830	0	0	2,640	0	0	4,190	0	0	...	
Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad,	6,640	8	0	13	0	0	6,627	8	0	2,533	0	0	4,094	8	0	...	
Total, ...	45,144	8	0	726	8	0	44,418	0	0	17,333	0	0	27,085	0	0	...	

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	499	362	363	398	248	263	4	...	62	94
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	379	287	587	643	300	333	...	...	29	37
Judge, Small Cause Court, ...	1	...	66	91	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff of City Allahabad,	861	998	1,082	1,338	883	1,059	...	...	74	39
Ditto of Munjhinpore....	320	...	127	195	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ditto of Phoolpore, ...	78	...	85	105	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	2,138	1,647	2,310	2,770	1,431	1,655	4	...	165	170
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5
Decrease, ...	...	491	...	...	879	1,115	...	4	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By Decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on the Merits, to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges, ...	Messrs. G. P. Money and H. G. Keene,	5	...	2	4	11	241	263	92·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Moulvee Khoorsheid Alee Khan, ...	44	64	9	4	29	183	333	55·	
Moonsiff of City,	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, ...	192	229	71	42	134	391	1,059	37·	
	Total, ...	241	293	82	50	174	815	1,655	49·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge.	224	223	196	295	166	249	...	...	35	41
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	1,241	863	553	1,431	455	1,022	...	...	263	170
Mr. J. C. Robertson, Judge, Small Cause Court, ...	33	37	24	27	23	40	...	...	6	3
Moonsiffs, ...	2,673	2,536	1,017	3,614	1,390	2,593	...	...	369	318
Total, ...	4,171	3,659	1,790	5,397	2,034	3,904	...	...	673	532
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	244	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	512	...	...	...	1,493	...	...	...	141

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	1,205	399	1,335	2,939	41·
1862, ...	863	515	2,171	3,549	24·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	*Disposed of during year.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total Appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Syud Nasir Alee Khan, Deputy Collector,	3	12	15	9	2	2	13	2	15·	251	5·
Mr. H. Wilson, Ditto, ...	3	...	3	1	2	...	3	...	66·	7	...
Moulvee Mahomed Mobeen, Ditto, ...	5	26	31	20	6	3	29	2	21·	580	4·
Moulvee Mahomed Hussun, Ditto, ...	2	3	5	3	2	...	5	...	40·	143	2·
Collector of Cawnpore, ...	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
Mr. W. Young, Deputy Collector, ...	...	13	13	5	4	...	9	4	44·	109	12·
„ E. B. Thornhill, Ditto, ...	...	6	6	1	...	...	1	5	...	155	4·
Pundit Gopeenath, Ditto, ...	...	10	10	5	...	2	7	3	...	148	7·
Syud Mudud Alee, Ditto, ...	...	6	6	1	...	...	1	5	...	409	1·
Ghazee-ood-deen, Ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	115	3·
Seraj-ood-deen, Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	79	1·
Mr. H. Morris, Collector, ...	...	3	3	1	...	...	1	2	...	73*	4·
Wahid Alee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65	...
Total, ...	14	83	97	46	16	8	70	27	23·	2,134	4·

* Inclusive of two cases decided by Mr. Ricketts.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Allahabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1*	1	1	...	* Defendant in this case was convicted and sentenced to a fine of Rs. 500, and in default for six months' rigorous imprisonment.
Ditto of the Moonsiff of City Allahabad,...	1†	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	† Plaintiff in this case has been committed to the Criminal Court, but no order has as yet been passed in the case.
Total, ...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.			1862.			1863.		
			Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Messrs. G. P. Money and H. G. Keene,	Judges,	Appeal, ...	...	5	2	...	2	24
		Original, ...	...	1	11	...	3	1
Moulvee Khoorsheid Alee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,...			...	1	23	...	1	9
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of City Allahabad, ...			...	1	14	...	...	26

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Messrs. G. P. Money and H. G. Keene, Judges, ...	15	12	19	46	217	263	18·
Moulvee Khoorsheid Alee Khan, P. S. Ameen, ...	59	74	131	264	69	333	79·
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of City Allahabad,	207	299	433	939	120	1,059	89·
Total, ...	281	385	583	1,249	406	1,655	75·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector, Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Allahabad.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	95	...	34	12	129	...
	Moonsiff of City Allahabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	67	...	4	...	71	...
	Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	162	...	38	12	200	...

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Moulvie Mahomed Khoorsheid Alee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	15	4	40	23	40 Days.	M. D. 1 9	79.	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*, per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed, to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
						Days.		
City Allahabad, ...	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, ...	55	20·	27·	13 Days.	26	89·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of ¼th to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	10	673 14 9	591 4 0	82 10 9	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	24	1,972 14 9	1,728 2 8	244 12 1	...	
Moonsiff of City Allahabad,	54	3,747 11 3	3,552 0 0	195 11 3	...	
Total, ...	88	6,394 8 9	5,871 6 8	523 2 1	...	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	24,023 1 0	296 0 0	23,727 1 0	7,386 10 8	16,340 6 4	...	
Moonsiff of City Allahabad, ...	8,329 8 0	22 0 0	8,307 8 0	3,360 0 0	4,947 8 0	...	
Total, ...	32,352 9 0	318 0 0	32,034 9 0	10,746 10 8	21,287 14 4	...	

J. SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	652	576	295	325	181	191	...	...	182	233
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	96	93	428	471	377	398	...	...	90	145
Sudder Ameen, ...	137	260	188	213	200	232	...	...	47	71
Moonsiffs, ...	3,729	4,274	4,072	4,877	3,402	4,113	...	...	297	481
Total, ...	4,614	5,203	4,983	5,886	4,160	4,934	...	...	616	930
Increase, ...	...	589	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	314
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	823	952	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Mr. R. H. W. Dunlop. ...	...	1	1	6	4	179	191	94·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Kasim Alli Khan,	12	12	2	12	9	351	398	88·	
Sudder Ameen,	Alli Bux, ...	40	44	4	14*	18	112	232	48·	
Moonsiff of Bareilly, ...	Alli Bux, ...	129	339	10	67†	153	252	950	27·	
Do. Suburbs of Bareilly, ...	Jugdumba Pershad,	124	207	9	8‡	161	283	792	36·	
Do. Peelebheet,	Muksood Alli Khan,	77	182	5	18§	66	146	494	30·	
Do. Beesalpoor,	Ubdool Basit, ...	60	111	14	1‖	61	229	476	48·	
Do. Islamnuggur, ...	Zuhoor Hossein, ...	92	139	12	20	71	273	607	45·	
Do. Suheswan,	Umanut Hossein, ...	196	197	13	13¶	72	303	794	38·	
	Total, ...	730	1,232	70	159	615	2,128	4,934	43·	

* Including 3 rejected.
† Including 1 rejected.
‡ Including 1 rejected and 1 non-suited.
§ Two non-suited.
‖ Rejected.
¶ Non-suited.

Miscellaneous Cases.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	142	145	62	120	96	140	...	...	85	92
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	303	285	108	452	127	304	...	...	109	114
Sudder Ameen, ...	1,947	1,807	856	1,603	888	1,860	...	...	416	363
Moonsiffs, ...	6,420	6,660	3,785	6,294	4,502	6,663	...	4	805	864
Total, ...	8,812	8,897	4,811	8,469	5,613	8,967	...	4	1,415	1,433
Increase, ...	...	85	...	...	802	498	...	4	...	18
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Applications for Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	1,880	941	2,545	5,366	35·
1862, ...	1,584	1,054	2,669	5,307	30·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. Edwards, Officiating Collector, ...	...	4	4	2	...	...	2	2	...	8	50·
„ Moens, Deputy Collector, ...	2	6	8	1	1	...	2	6	50·	43	14·
„ Crosthwaite, Ditto, ...	4	...	4	2	2	...	4	...	50·	14	...
„ Colvin, Deputy do. of Pilcobheet,	3	6	9	4	3	...	7	2	43·	9	67·
„ Low, ditto ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ Wilson, ditto ditto, ...	3	41	44	24	13	1	38	6	34·	85	48.
Khyr-ood-deen, ditto ditto, ...	9	5	14	6	1	2	9	5	11·	10	50·
Ameer Alee ditto do. of Pilcobheet,	1	2	3	...	...	2	2	1	...	7	29·
Gunga Pershad ditto ditto of Bareilly,	9	14	23	7	15	...	22	1	68·	16	87·
Bhoop Singh, ditto ditto ditto, ...	2	4	6	2	1	2	5	1	20·	7	57.
Budr-ood-deen, ditto ditto ditto, ...	8	4	12	7	3	...	10	2	30·	9	44·
Bukhtawur Singh, do. ditto ditto, ...	7	4	11	...	2	1	3	8	66·	18	22·
Oomrao Singh, ditto ditto ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	14	7·
Salah-ood-deen, ditto ditto ditto, ...	2	1	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	1	100·
Nadir Hossein, ditto ditto ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Mr. Clarke, ditto ditto ditto, ...	3	...	3	...	1	...	1	2	...	...	...
Total, ...	53	92	145	55	45	8	108	37	42·	242	38·
Mr. Carmichael, Collector, ...	2	...	2	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	...
„ Clarke, Officiating Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	5	20·
Salamut Roy, Deputy ditto, ...	...	4	4	4	...	...	4	...	100·	79	5·
Mr. Crosthwaite, Ditto, ...	...	11	11	2	2	...	4	7	50·	125	9·
Kalka Pershad, Ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Pearey Lall, Ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	2	16	18	6	4	...	10	8	40·	209	7·
Grand Total, ...	55	108	163	61	49	8	118	45	42.	451	22·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. Dunlop, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Kassim Alee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	...	
Alee Bux, Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff of Suburbs,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Muksood Alee Khan, Ditto of Peleebheet,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ubdool Basit, Ditto of Beesulpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Zuhoor Hossein, Ditto of Islamnuggur, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Amanut Hossein, Ditto of Suheswan,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	1	...	

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. Dunlop, Judge, ...	...	4	24	...	2	28
Kasim Alee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	3	12	...	2	5
Alee Bukah Khan, Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	4	...	1	2
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff of Suburbs, ...	...	1	18	...	...	25
Muksood Ulee Khan, Ditto of Peleebheet,	...	1	18	...	...	27
Ubdool Basit, Ditto of Beesulpore,	...	3	10	...	...	16
Zuhoor Hossein, Ditto of Islamnuggur,	...	1	3	...	...	29
Umanut Hossein, Ditto of Suheswan, ...	...	1	...	...	1	...

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. Dunlop, Officiating Judge, ...	28	50	50	128	63	191	67·
Kasim Alee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	51	43	73	167	231	398	43·
Alee Buksh, Sudder Ameen, ...	250	400	350	1,000	182	1,182	85·
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff of Suburbs, ...	135	126	389	650	142	792	82·
Muksood Alee Khan, Ditto of Peleebheet, ...	76	150	196	422	72	494	85·
Abdool Basit, Ditto of Beesulpore, ...	72	141	162	375	101	476	79·
Zuhoor Hossein, Ditto of Islamnuggur, ...	99	42	421	562	45	607	93·
Umanut Hossein, Ditto of Suheswan, ...	164	203	221	588	206	794	74·
Total, ...	875	1,155	1,862	3,892	1,042	4,934	79·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and Permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Bareilly.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	14	...	9	...	23	
	Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	...	9	...	41	
	Moonsiff of Suburbs,													
	Ditto of Peleebheet, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...	3	...	20	
	Ditto of Beesulpore, ...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto of Islamnuggur, ...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	13	...	...	...	13	
	Ditto of Suheswan, ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	29	...	...	...	29	
		...	...	...	11	...	11	...	64	...	...	...	64	
	Total, ...	...	4	...	23	...	27	...	169	...	21	...	190	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their Merits and Ex parte.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Kasim Alee Khan,	7	23	10·	8·	4 11	2 5	43·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their Merits and Ex parte.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Moulvee Alee Bux, ...	46	43	27	2 14	1 2	85·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their Merits and Ex parte.	Percentage of cases appealed, to those finally disposed of	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	D.		
Bareilly, ...	Jugdumba Pershad, ...	35	12	22·	1 16	25	82·	Amanut Hossein took charge of the Moonsiffee on 30th March, 1863.
Peleebheet, ...	Muksood Alee Khan, ...	19	8	29·	0 6	27	85·	
Beesulpore, ...	Abdool Basit, ...	25	13	14·	0 5	16	79·	
Islamnuggur, ...	Wuzeer-ood-deen, ...	...	...	25·	...	...	93·	
	Zuhoor Hossein, ...	31	7	17·	1 16	29		
Suheswan, ...	Ali-ood-deen, ...	...	...	85·	1 14	30	74·	
	Mobaruck-ool-la, ...	41	4	43·				
	Amanut Hossein, ...	43	7	33·				

Results of Tulubana and Muzkoorie Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of ⅛th to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge, ...	5	351 4 0	324 0 0	27 4 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	12	728 7 0	764 7 0	...	36 0	
Sudder Ameen, ...	66	4,113 3 9	3,651 9 11	461 9 10	...	Net gain Rs. 1,043 13 8.
Moonsiff of Suburbs, ...	32	2,231 10 9	2,057 0 0	174 10 9	...	
Ditto of Peleebheet, ...	14	899 14 6	924 0 0	...	24 1 6	
Ditto of Beesulpore, ...	24	1,758 12 0	1,560 4 2	198 7 10	...	
Ditto of Islamnuggur, ...	27	1,879 3 6	1,672 5 3	206 14 3	...	
Ditto of Suheswân, ...	36	2,329 5 0	2,294 4 6	35 0 6	...	
Total, ...	216	14,291 12 6	13,247 14 10	1,103 15 2	60 1 6	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judge's salaries and Establishments exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	12,887 6 0	274 0 0	12,613 6 0	8,880 0. 0	3,733 6 0	...	
Sudder Ameen, ...	17,260 11 0	586 8 0	16,674 3 0	3,960 0 0	12,714 3 0	...	
Moonsiff of Suburbs, ...	5,660 1 6	59 0 0	5,601 1 6	2,640 0 0	2,961 1 6	...	
Ditto of Peleebheet,	3,586 10 0	66 8 0	3,520 2 0	3,360 0 0	160 2 0	...	
Ditto of Beesulpore,	3,089 12 0	26 0 0	3,063 12 0	2,640 0 0	423 12 0	...	
Ditto of Islamnuggur,	4,137 8 0	45 8 0	4,092 0 0	2,790 0 0	1,302 0 0	...	
Ditto of Suheswun,...	6,226 12 0	24 8 0	6,202 4 0	2,518 0 6	3,684 3 6	...	
Total, ...	52,848 12 6	1,082 0 0	51,766 12 6	26,788 0 6	24,978 12 0	...	

J. SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	1,082	822	260	274	451	457	1	...	480	133
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	74	99	530	547	1,032	1,049	3	7	333	143
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	547	782	855	1,008	732	841	...	...	133	101
Moonsiffs, ...	1,969	2,099	2,346	2,725	1,917	2,121	...	...	115	139
Total, ...	3,672	3,802	3,991	4,554	4,132	4,468	4	7	1,061	516
Increase, ...	...	130	...	...	141	...	...	3	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	86	...	...	...	545

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits, to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Mr. C. R. Lindsay, ...	...	...	7	5	1	444	457	97·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Mooftee Asud-ool-lah, ...	6	18	8	2	6	574	614	93·	
Ditto (deputed), ...	Syud Pygumber Buksh,	5	...	...	7	2	421	435	96·	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff 1st Grade,	Syud Kasim Ali, ...	41	236	21	66	43	434	841	51·	
Moonsiff of Captainganje, ...	Mahomed Hossein, ...	14	161	...	27	7	89	298	30·	
	Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah,	8	128		16	7	83	249	33·	
Ditto of Bansee, ...	Shah Abdoollah, ...	25	167	7 13	4	12	235	456	51·	
Ditto of Bansgaon,	Mahomed Ruheem-pol-lah,	23	16	4	10	5	166	224	74·	
	Mahomed Burkut Ali, ...	15	103	11	20	19	105	273	38·	
Ditto of Deoreea,	Moonshee Lukput Rai, ...	33	214	69	19	58	228	621	36·	
	Total, ...	170	1,043	140	176	160	2,779	4,468	62·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	646	537	521	568	567	628	...	...	165	76
Principal Sudder Ameen,	583	595	251	570	322	603	...	...	236	217
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff 1st Grade, ...	3,763	3,951	2,371	3,732	2,470	4,172	...	...	556	338
Moonsiffs, ...	6,221	5,662	4,600	7,008	4,328	5,599	...	...	587	661
Total, ...	11,231	10,745	7,743	11,878	7,687	11,002	...	...	1,544	1,322
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	468	...	...	56	876	...	...	...	222

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,689	1,992	1,232	4,913	34·	
1862, ...	1,652	767	2,67	5,090	32·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. G. Ouseley, Collector of Goruckpore, ...	...	3	3	1	1	...	2	1	50·	...	...
„ J. J. F. Lumsden, Dy. Collr. of ditto, ...	1	7	8	2	2	...	4	4	50·	5	...
„ F. E. Elliott, Asst. ditto of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
„ H. L. P. Wynne, ditto of ditto, ...	1	17	18	13	4	...	17	1	23·	...	...
„ P. J White, Depy. ditto of ditto, ...	3	...	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	4	...
„ B. Alone, ditto of ditto, ...	29	47	76	37	33	1	71	5	46·	61	77·
„ K. M. Nicholson, ditto of ditto, ...	10	14	24	11	9	1	21	3	42	55	25·
„ J Vaughan, ditto of ditto, ...	...	7	7	2	4	...	6	1	66·	...	...
Baboo Pearee Mohun, ditto of ditto, ...	21	18	39	25	13	1	39	...	33·	57	31·
Moulvee Nazir Ahmud ditto of ditto, ...	...	42	42	3	2	...	5	37	40·	144	29·
„ Mahomed Kureem, ditto of ditto, ...	1	1	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	33	3·
Moonshee Pragdutt, ditto of ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	9	11·
Moulvee Fyz ood-deen, Tehseeldar, Circle I, ...	...	11	11	1	2	...	3	8	66·	98	11·
Moonshee Sheosahay Singh, ditto Circle II, ...	...	7	7	1	2	...	3	4	66·	16	43·
Mahomed Tukee, ditto Circle IV, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Moulvee Mahomed Jawal, ditto Circle V, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
Thakoor Gunesh Dutt, ditto Circle VI, ...	...	3	3	1	1	...	2	1	50·	49	6·
Sheikh Mahomed Zuhur Ushrut do Circle VII, ...	...	23	23	9	5	1	15	8	33·	280	8·
Total, ...	66	202	268	110	80	4	194	74	41·	815	24·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, ...	3	4	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1 Died.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto (deputed,) ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	The Defendant is under trial.
Moonsiff of Captaingunge,...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bansee, ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bansgaon,	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Deoroea, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	4	5	2	2	1	1	...	1	1	1	...	...	

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Officiating Judge, ...	...	4	20	...	3	29
Mooftee Assud-ool-lah, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	8	...	...	7	28
Syud Pygumber Buksh, ditto (deputed,) ...	...	4	11	...	5	11
Syud Kasim Ali, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	...	2	12	...	1	17
Mahomed Hossein, Moonsiff of Captaingunge, ...	...	1	25	...	...	21
Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah, Moonsiff of ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	...	23
Shah Abdoollah do. of Bansee, ...	...	...	29	...	...	18
Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah, do. of Bansgaon, ...	...	1	21	...	1	21
Mahomed Burkut Ali, do. of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	19
Moonshee Lukput Rai, of Deoroea, ...	...	1	6	...	1	...

Personal Attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge,	Original, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...
	Appeal, ...	1	1	9	11	445	456	2·
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Original, ...	21	21	43	85	36	121	70·
	Appeal, ...	61	50	63	174	319	493	35·
Principal Sudder Ameen, (deputed,)	Original, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeal, ...	47	36	50	133	302	435	30·
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff 1st Grade, ...		163	156	311	630	211	841	75·
Moonsiff of Captaingunge, ...		15	16	492	523	24	547	95·
Ditto of Bansee, ...		25	9	415	449	7	456	98
Ditto of Bansgaon, ...		60	67	228	355	42	497	71·
Ditto of Deoroea, ...		66	166	301	533	88	621	86·
Total, ...		459	522	1,912	2,893	1,575	4,468	65·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
Gorukpore.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	2	...	20	...	22	2	25	...	7	2	32	
	Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	...	11	...	4	...	15	...	40	1	8	1	48	
	Moonsiff of Captaingunge,	...	2	...	5	...	7	...	21	...	...	...	21	
	Ditto of Bansee, ...	...	4	...	2	...	6	...	9	...	...	...	9	
	Ditto of Bansgaon, ...	...	2	...	5	..	7	...	11	...	2	...	13	
	Ditto of Deoreea, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	2	...	14	
	Total, ...	...	21	...	36	...	57	2	118	1	19	3	137	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their Merits and Ex parte per mensem		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M. D.	M. D.		
Mooftee Assud-ool-lah Khan, ...	8	41	11·	41·	2 25	7 28	70·	Decided only appeals.
Syud Pygumber Buksh (deputed,) ...	...	142	...	...	...	5 11	...	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their Merits and Ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M. D.	M. D.		
Syud Kasim Ali, ...	41	...	64·	23·	1 14	1 17	75·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their Merits and *Ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Captaingunge,	Mahomed Hossein, ...	17	16·	30·	0 0	0 21	...	
	Ditto Raheem-ool-lah,	16	6·	36·	1 0	0 23	95·	
Bansee, ...	Shah Abdoollah, ...	23	11·	26·	0 18	0 18	98·	
Bansgaon,	Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah,	32	29·	38·	0 0	1 21	...	
	Ditto Burkut Ali, ...	22	10·	20·	0 18	0 19	71·	
Deoreea, ...	Moonshee Lukput Rai, ...	18	12·	26·	0 23	1 0	86·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns

Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of ¼ to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	33	2,164 12 8	1,899 12 5	265 0 3		
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	37	2,361 3 9	2,074 8 6	286 11 3		
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff,	79	4,783 14 10	4,554 7 5	229 7 5		
Moonsiff of Captaingunge, ...	52	2,802 2 3	2,804 4 7	...		
Ditto of Bansee, ...	40	2,246 3 3	2,065 11 6	180 7 9		
Ditto of Khaleelabad, ...	...	...	...	...		
Ditto of Bansgaon, ...	47	2,384 8 6	2,450 3 5	...		
Ditto of Munsoorgunge, ...	...	...	...	...		
Ditto of Pudrowaoh, ...	...	...	...	...		
Ditto of Deoreea, ...	51	3,198 10 6	2,551 11 7	646 14 11		
Ditto of Goruckpore, ...	...	...	...	...		
Total, ...	339	19,941 7 9	18,400 11 5	* 1,510 12 4		* After deduction of Rs. 67-13-3 on account of deficit in the Captaingunge and in the Bansgaon Moonsiffs' Courts at the end of the year.

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judge's salaries and Establishment exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	13,615 0 0	100	13,515 0 0	6,600 0 0	6,915 0 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, (deputed,) ...	680 0 0	...	680 0 0	...	680 0 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	10,616 8 0	48	10,568 8 0	3,960 0 0	6,608 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge, ...	3,608 8 0	...	3,608 8 0	3,063 13 3	544 10 9	...	
Ditto of Bansee, ...	3,413 2 0	...	3,413 2 0	2,533 14 8	879 3 4	...	
Ditto of Bansgaon, ...	3,284 4 0	12	3,272 4 0	2,553 6 11	718 13 1	...	
Ditto of Deoreea, ...	4,658 11 0	...	4,658 11 0	2,626 13 0	2,031 14 0	...	
Total, ...	39,876 1 0	160	39,716 1 0	21,337 15 10	18,378 1 2	...	

J. SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	495	411	443	521	493	569	3	...	61	32
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	89	106	344	394	233	259	...	...	4	14
Sudder Ameen, ...	99	125	67	79	80	95	...	...	19	6
Moonsiffs, ...	2,937	3,827	3,465	4,243	3,139	3,631	1	...	223	136
Total, ...	3,620	4,469	4,319	5,237	3,945	4,554	4	...	307	188
Increase, ...	...	849	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	374	683	...	4	...	119

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their Merits, to the total number decided.	
Judge, ...	Mr. F. M. Lind, ...	...	...	4	6	3	74	87	85·	
Officiating Judge, ...	„ M. B. Thornhill, ...	32	14	22	49	18	347	482	72·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Quazee Inayut Hossein Khan, ...	34	18	4	11	15	177	259	68·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Heth Lall, ...	16	17	2	2	13	45	95	47·	
Moonsiff of Southern Division, ...	Heth Lall, ...	74	187	23	17	65	142	508	28·	
Ditto of Northern Division, ...	Hursuhai. ...	173	167	29	81	91	305	846	36·	
Ditto of Kaimgunj,	Bhugwan Pershad, ...	126	53	45	19	38	190	471	40·	
	Abdool Mujeed, ...	81	74	12	7	13	84	271	31·	
Ditto of Chubramow, ...	Kishen Dyal, ...	111	176	9	38	86	409	829	49·	
Ditto of Kunouj,	Nasir Alee Khan, ...	165	90	21	13	24	393	706	56·	
	Total, ...	812	796	171	243	366	2,166	4,554	48·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		Above one year.		Total pending on 1st January	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	260	233	225	263	157	193	...	1	23	63
Principal Sudder Ameen,	400	237	211	490	109	285	...	...	23	383
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs, ...	10,988	8,174	4,692	10,841	4,432	8,578	...	...	1,548	656
Total, ...	11,648	8,644	5,128	11,594	4,698	9,056	...	1	1,594	1,102
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	3,004	...	...	430	2,538	...	...	...	492

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,690	1,157	2,653	5,500	31·	
1862, ...	1,678	1,466	4,877	8,021	21·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. R. C. Oldfield, Officiating Collector, ...	...	8	8	2	3	2	7	1	43·	23	35·
„ H. Wilson, Settlement Officer, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	58	5·
„ C. Robertson, Deputy Collector, ...	4	2	6	3	...	3	6	...	...	...	...
„ A. Boulderson, Ditto Ditto, ...	...	3	3	1	2	...	3	...	67·	11	27·
„ E. G. Jenkinson, Ditto Ditto, ...	...	8	8	3	5	...	8	...	62·	116	7·
„ W. Tyrrell, Assistant Ditto, ...	1	20	21	5	15	1	21	...	71·	78	26·
„ J. G. Robertson, Ditto Ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	3	66·
„ P. Niblett, Deputy Ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
Moonshee Gunga Pershad, Ditto Ditto, ...	...	8	8	2	3	3	8	...	37·	106	8·
Heth Ram, Tehseeldar of Mohumdabad, ...	1	6	7	3	3	1	7	...	43·	107	6·
Ram Narain, Ditto of Kunouj, ...	1	8	9	3	5	1	9	...	56·	70	11·
Kishen Lall, Ditto of Chubramow, ...	1	9	10	6	1	...	7	3	14·	47	19·
Fuzl Ahmud, Ditto of Kaimgunj, ...	...	6	6	3	3	...	6	...	50.	55	11·
Nujm-ood-deen, Ditto of Tirwa, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	36	6·
Mahomed Mobeen, Deputy Collector, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	...
Total, ...	8	85	93	31	46	12	89	4	52·	709	12.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Quazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nuseer Allee Khan, Moonsiff of Kunouj, ...	...	...	...	...	1	4	1	3	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	2	2	2	...	1	4	1	3	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officers.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. F. M. Lind, Judge, ...	...	*2	19	...	1	5
„ M. B. Thornhill, Officiating Judge, ...				...	...	20
Quazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	16	...	...	24
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen of Furruckabad, ...	...	1	17	...	1	29
Heth Lall, Moonsiff of Southern Division, ...	...	1	7	...	1	6
Hursuhai, Ditto of Northern Division, ...	...	...	24	...	...	18
Bhugwan Pershad, Ditto of Kaimgunj, ...	...	...	29	...	...	15
Abdool Mujeed, Ditto of Ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	20
Kishen Dyal, Ditto of Chubramow, ...	...	1	7	...	...	24
Nuser Allee Khan, Ditto of Kunouj, ...	...	2	7	...	...	20
Ahmud-ool-lah, Ditto of Doondwara, ...	...	1	27	...	...	...

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the paties or one of them were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of Non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to the total number disposed of.	Remarks.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.				
Mr. F. M. Lind, Judge, and ...	Original,	43	7	87	137	47	184	74·	
„ M. B. Thornhill, Offg. Judge, ...	Appeals,	72	72	136	280	105	385	73·	
Quazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Original,	51	23	81	155	27	182	85·	
	Appeals,	8	16	46	77	7	77	90·	
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division, Furruckabad, ...		101	185	276	562	41	603	93·	
Hursuhai, Moonsiff of Northern Division,		222	19	533	774	72	846	91·	
Bhugwan Pershad, Ditto of Kaimgunj, ...		134	4	315	453	18	471	96·	
Abdool Mujeed, Ditto of Ditto, ...		83	10	170	263	8	271	97·	
Kishen Dyal, Ditto of Chubramow, ...		105	91	607	803	26	829	97·	
Nuser Allee Khan, Ditto of Kunouj, ...		168	104	391	663	43	706	94·	
Total, ...		987	531	2,642	4,160	394	4,554	91·	

* Messrs. Spankie and Lindsay.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248 Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Furruckabad.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	3	...	...	...	3	1	13	...	1	1	14	
	Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division,	...	1	...	3	...	4	...	24	...	...	...	24	
	Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	...	26	...	6	...	32	...	16	...	...	...	16	
	Ditto of Kaimgunj, ...	...	12	...	4	...	16	...	57	...	1	...	58	
	Ditto of Chubramow,	...	...	...	10	...	10	...	24	...	1	...	25	
	Ditto of Kunouj, ...	...	3	...	...	...	3	...	11	...	...	...	11	
	Total, ...	...	45	...	24	...	69	1	145	...	3	1	148	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem, on Merits and Ex parte.		Percentage of cases appealed, to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	12	6	17·	50·	19 Days.	24	87·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on Merits and Ex parte.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.				M. D.		
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen, ...	5	...	47·	48·	23 Days.	1 29	93.	

Moonsiff's Work.

MOONSIFFEE.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed, to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried	Number of days work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
						M. D.		
Southern Division,	Heth Lall, ...	20·	6.	23·	12 Days.	1 6	93·	
Northern Ditto, ...	Hurnahai, ...	42·	12·	31·	12 „	0.18	91·	
Kaimgunj,	Bhugwan Pershad, ...	45·	4·	11·	...	0 15	96·	
	Abdool Mujeed, ...	44·	2·	29·	9 „	0 20	97·	
Chubramow, ...	Kishen Dyal, ...	44·	7·	26·	24 „	0 24	97·	
Kunouj, ...	Nasir Alli Khan, ...	48·	9·	25·	12 „	0.20	94·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana returns.

NAME OF COURT.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of Salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge, ...	6	541 7 5	343 10 0	197 13 5	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	16	834 7 6	1,044 0 0	...	209 8 6	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division, Furruckabad,	21	1,570 5 9	1,320 3 2	250 2 7	...	
Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	18	1,302 2 0	1,188 0 0	114 2 0	...	
Ditto of Kaimgunj, ...	20	1,787 8 6	1,320 0 0	467 8 6	...	
Ditto of Chubramow, ...	24	1,996 14 9	1,432 13 0	564 1 9	...	
Ditto of Kunouj, ...	26	1,815 8 4	1,708 1 6	107 6 10	...	
Total, ...	131	9,848 6 3	8,356 11 8	1,701 3 1	209 8 6	

Income of Stamps and Cost of Subordinate Judges.

COURT.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	10,198 0 0	155 0 0	10,043 0 0	6,600 0 0	3,443 0 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division, ...	8,479 11 0	120 0 0	8,359 11 0	3,960 0 0	4,399 11 0	...	
Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	5,722 0 0	98 8 0	5,623 8 0	2,640 0 0	2,983 8 0	...	
Ditto of Kaimgunj,	5,077 0 0	31 8 0	5,045 8 0	2,661 0 0	2,384 8 0	...	
Ditto of Chubramow,	5,550 10 0	134 0 0	5,416 10 0	2,577 0 0	2,839 10 0	...	
Ditto of Kunouj, ...	5,050 0 0	47 8 0	5,002 8 0	3,360 0 0	1,642 8 0	...	
Total, ...	40,077 5 0	586 8 0	39,490 13 0	21,798 0 0	17,692 13 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

Regular files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	261	262	192	224	210	225	14	...	208	130
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	411	574	629	739	524	628	...	...	78	145
Moonsiff, ...	821	1,018	937	1,158	810	1,010	...	...	74	100
Total, ...	1,493	1,854	1,758	2,121	1,544	1,863	14	...	360	375
Increase, ...	...	361	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	214	258	...	14	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their Merits, to the total number decided.	
Judge, ...	Mr. Fane, ...	2	...	5	...	15	203	225	90·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Hubeeb-ool-lah Khan, ...	58	99	35	63	41	332	628	53·	
Moonsiff, ...	Ajoodhea Pershad,	173	263	57	59	141	317	1,010	32·	
	Total, ...	233	362	97	122	197	852	1,863	46·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	82	118	73	101	84	106	...	...	10	22
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	961	800	372	1,047	387	807	...	...	200	248
Moonsiff, ...	1,766	1,587	989	2,028	788	1,605	...	...	201	183
Total, ...	2,809	2,505	1,434	3,176	1,259	2,518	...	...	411	453
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42
Decrease, ...	...	304	...	...	175	658	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	483	280	944	1,707	28·	
1862, ...	570	352	1,156	2,078	27·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	*Disposed of during year.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealed to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of	Total.				
Mr. McChlery, Collector, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	2	100·
„ MacNaghten, Deputy Collector,	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
„ Hogg, Ditto ditto, ...	5	1	6	3	3	...	6	...	50·	1	100·
„ Dutholt, Ditto ditto, ...	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	...	...
„ Halsey, Ditto ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	1	100·
„ Hardinge, Ditto ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	1	...	1	2	100·	8	38·
„ Blunt, Ditto ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	7	15·
„ Smith, Ditto ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	14	14·
„ Goodall, Ditto ditto, ...	16	6	22	7	6	8	21	1	28·	84	7·
„ Curwen, Ditto ditto, ...	16	16	32	9	12	3	24	8	50·	95	17·
Rameshur Dyal, Ditto ditto, ...	3	1	3	1	...	2	3	...	...	1	100·
Bisheshur Dyal, Ditto ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	1	100·
Noor Ali, Ditto ditto, ...	...	8	8	2	6	...	8	...	75·	7	15·
Total, ...	44	42	86	26	33	13	72	14	46·	221	11·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, Mr. Fane, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	1	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Hubeeb-ool-lah Khan,...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff, Ajoodhea Pershad,	...	...	...	...	1	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	1	2	2	...	1	2	1	1	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. Fane, Judge, ...	1	...	13	...	11	7
Hubeeb-ool-lah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	24	...	2	3
Ajoodhea Pershad, Moonsiff, ...	...	1	17	...	...	28

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of Non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge, Mr. Fane, ...	37	30	33	100	125	225	44·
Principal Sudder Ameen Hubeeb-ool-lah Khan, ...	88	60	444	592	36	628	94·
Moonsiff, Ajoodhea Pershad, ...	211	280	408	899	111	1,010	89·
Total, ...	336	370	885	1,591	272	1,863	85·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and Permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
Mirzapore,	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	6	
	Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	...	7	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Hubeeb-oollah Khan, ...	29	6	6	21·	2 24	2 3	94·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed, to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	D.		
Mirzapore, ...	Ajoodhea Pershad,	46	11·	30·	1 6	28	89·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana, after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of Salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	8	657 1 3	561 5 9	95 11 6	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	32	2,442 2 6	2,104 5 0	337 13 6	...	
Moonsiff, ...	36	2,578 14 9	2,363 11 0	215 3 9	...	
Total, ...	76	5,678 2 6	5,029 5 9	648 12 9	...	

Income of Stamps and Cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Rasseenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Civil Judge's salary and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	15,254 0 0	465 0 0	14,789 0 0	9,000 0 0	5,789 0 0	...	
Moonsiff, ...	6,435 0 0	70 8 0	6,364 8 0	3,331 6 0	3,033 2 0	...	
Total, ...	21,689 0 0	535 8 0	21,153 8 0	12,331 6 0	8,822 2 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH AGRA.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on the 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	382	409	160	190	125	162	5	43	184	240
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	389	459	700	838	590	638	3	5	94	127
Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	96	113	25	25	18	...	24	...
Sudder Ameens ...	3	...	7	10	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	1,641	2,202	1,937	2,458	1,627	2,084	...	2	170	332
Total, ...	2,415	3,071	2,900	3,609	2,367	2,909	26	50	472	699
Increase, ...	...	656	...	...	...	...	...	24	...	227
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	533	700	...	...	...	...

Number and Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By Decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits, to the total No. decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson,	...	...	...	17	3	55	75	73·	
	„ H. Lushington,	...	1	...	15	2	69	87	79·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Mahomed Buksh, ...	32	19	...	8	31	455	545	83	
	Dabee Dial, ...	5	10	...	2	7	69	93	74·	
Judge S. C. Court as P. S. Ameen,	Mr. V. Berkeley, ...	2	...	...	...	...	20	22	91·	
	Mahomed Buksh, ...	1	...	...	...	...	2	3	67·	
Moonsiff of Muttra, ...	Abdool Ruhman, ...	51	148	15	7	77	110	408	27·	
	Khadim Hossein,	58	39	1	14	48	116	276	42·	
Moonsiff of Futiabad, ...	Wazeer Ali, ...	49	72	4	15	29	81	250	32·	
	Hafiz Ruheem, ...	5	19	1	2	7	11	45	24·	
	Nawab Rai, ...	49	100	2	5	30	83	269	31·	
	Nusur-ool-lah Khan	8	29	2	2	12	13	66	20·	
Moonsiff of Julleysur, ...	Gopal Sahai, ...	65	310	26	87	122	100	770	21.	
	Total ...	325	747	51	174	368	1,244	2,909	43·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	209	301	111	301	77	320	...	7	59	97
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	1,892	1,681	535	2,119	590	1,979	1	...	669	417
Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	106	90	36	234	60	213	...	...	83	74
Sudder Ameen, ...	60	...	38	112	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	5,477	5,423	2,557	6,999	2,428	5,396	...	...	917	946
Total, ...	7,744	7,498	3,277	9,765	3,155	7,908	1	7	1,728	1,534
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	246	...	...	122	1,857	...	...	...	194

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,037	1,060	3,436	5,533	19·	
1862, ...	1,016	976	4,189	6,181	16·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of Cases appealed, to Cases decided by Revenue Officers.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Agra.	Mr. A. L. M. Phillipps, Collector,	6	3	9	2	3	4	9	...	33·	3	100·
	„ A. R. Pollock Do. ...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	...	2	100·
	„ A. C. Lyall, Deputy Do. ...	...	13	13	...	...	3	3	10	...	156	8·
	„ D. M. Gardner, Assistant Do.,	5	6	11	4	1	4	9	2	11·	27	22·
	„ W. Kaye, Ditto Do.	...	9	9	...	...	...	...	9	...	70	13·
	„ T. A. Brown, Deputy Do.	6	2	8	...	1	5	6	2	17·	12	17·
	Chowbey Dhunput Rai, Dy. Do.	2	12	14	1	1	1	3	11	33·	184	7·
	Ikram Ali, Ditto Ditto	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·
Mutra.	Mr. J. R. Best, Collector, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	„ W. C. Plowden, Ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	...	20	10·
	„ H. D. Robertson, Ditto, ...	2	...	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	...	...
	„ R. Wall, Assistant Ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	„ R. H. M. Warrand, Do. Do.	3	1	4	1	1	1	3	1	33·	9	10·
	„ W. Kaye, Do. Do,	3	2	5	1	1	1	3	2	33·	34	6·
	„ E. S. Robertson, Do. Do.	...	8	8	...	...	2	2	6	...	73	11·
	„ F. Henvey, Do. Do.	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	13	8·
	Total, ...	27	62	89	9	10	23	42	47	24·	604	10·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr W. S. Paterson, } Judges,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
„ H. Lushington, } Judges,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Buksh, } P. S. Ameens,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Dabee Dyal, } P. S. Ameens,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ubdool Ruhman, } Moonsiffs of Muttra,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Khadim Hossein. } Moonsiffs of Muttra,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Wazeer Ali, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hafiz Ruheem, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nawab Rai, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	1	*1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nassur-oollah Khan } Ditto of Futtiabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Gopal Sahai,* ditto of Julleysur,	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*Not yet disposed of by the Criminal Authorities.
Total, ...	2	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Court.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months	Days.
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge, ...	...	8	26	...	10	20
Mr. V. Berkeley, Judge S. C. C as P. S. Ameen,	...	6	18	...	9	9
Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	29	...	1	15
Khadim Hossein ,Moonsiff of Muttra, ...	...	1	5	...	...	29
Nassur-oollah ditto of Futtiabad, ...	...	2	16	...	1	...
Gopal Sahai, ditto of Julleysur, ...	...	1	18	...	1	7

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge, ...	3	1	2	6	69	75	8·
„ H. Lushington, Officiating Judge, ...	...	2	...	2	85	87	2·
„ V. Berkeley, Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, ..	4	...	2	6	19	25	24·
Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	77	46	291	414	131	545	76·
Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen, (Officiating,) ...	5	10	47	62	31	93	67·
Ubdool Ruhman, } Moonsiffs of Muttra,	52	163	147	362	46	408	89·
Khadim Hoosein, } Moonsiffs of Muttra,	60	66	114	240	36	276	87·
Wazeer Ali, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	47	80	63	190	60	250	76·
Hafiz Ruheem, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	8	11	19	38	7	45	84·
Nawab Rai, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	42	60	123	225	44	269	84·
Nassur-oollah Khan, } Ditto of Futtiabad,	9	11	30	50	16	66	76·
Gopal Sahai, ditto of Jalleysur, ...	72	169	335	576	194	770	75·
Total, ...	379	619	1,173	2,171	738	2,909	75.

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Agra.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	9	...	...	...	9	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	76	...	39	...	115	...	33	...	...	...	33	
	Moonsiff of Muttra, ...	...	8	...	25	...	33	...	...	...	40	...	40	
	Ditto of Futtiabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	10	...	30	
	Ditto of Jullçysur, ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	32	...	12	...	44	
	Total, ...	...	84	...	75	...	159	...	94	...	62	...	156	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeal.			M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Buksh, ...	31	18	29·	41·	2 11	1 15	76·	
Dabee Dyal, ...	21	15	30·	...	...		67·	
Mr. V. Berkeley, ...	1	1	8·	18·	...	9 9	24·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Muttra.	Ubdool Ruhman, ...	25	14·	37·	...	...	..	...	89·	
	Khadim Hoosein, ...	55	10·	17·	1	...	...	29	87·	
	Wuzeer Ali, ...	27	19	42·	...	...	...	...	76·	
Futtiabad.	Hafiz Ruheem, ...	17	13·	83·	...	...	...	...	84·	
	Nawab Rai, ...	36	11·	37·	...	...	...	...	84·	
	Nussur-oollah Khan, ...	28	...	...	2	10	1	...	76·	
Julleysur.	Gopal Sahai, ...	21	4·	54·	1	28	1	7	75·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.			Amount of salary of Peons.			Surplus at close of year.			Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Judge, ...	8	502	9	6	576	0	0	0	0	0	73·6·6	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	62	4,173	6	3	4,071	8	0	101	14	3	..	
Moonsiff of Muttra, ...	39	2,858	14	6	2,565	0	0	293	14	6	...	
Ditto of Futtiabad, ...	37	2,315	11	6	2,269	6	2	46	5	4	...	
Ditto of Julleysur, ...	32	2,126	8	6	1,923	6	6	203	2	0	...	
Total, ...	178	11,977	2	3	11,405	4	8	645	4	1	73·6·6	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.			Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and Establishment exclusive of the Zillah Judge.			Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	18,514	8	0	169	0	0	18,345	8	0	6,600	0	0	11,745	8	0	...	
Moonsiff of Muttra, ...	5,891	0	0	108	8	0	5,782	8	0	3,360	0	0	2,422	8	0	...	
Ditto of Futtiabad, ...	5,306	0	0	126	8	0	5,179	8	0	2,640	0	0	2,539	8	0	...	
Ditto of Julleysur, ...	6,305	7	0	82	0	0	6,223	7	0	2,766	9	0	3,456	14	0	...	
Total, ...	36,016	15	0	486	0	0	35,530	15	0	15,366	9	0	20,164	6	0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January. 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	818	688	348	607	509	559	1	...	218	111
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	159	117	665	725	348	360	1	...	10	52
Moonsiffs, ...	1,346	1,581	2,394	2,770	1,491	1,635	1	1	263	227
Total, ...	2,323	2,386	3,602	4,102	2,348	2,554	3	1	491	390
Increase, ...	...	63	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	1,254	1,548	...	2	...	101

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total No. of cases disposed of during the year.*							Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.		
Judges, ...	Mr. M. Thornhill, ... „ H. B. Henderson,	1	...	2	43	7	506	559	90·	
P. S. Ameens, ...	Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, ... Hussun Ruza, ...	8	10	2	2	10	328	360	91·	
Moonsiff of City,	Mahomed Kamil, ...	63	54	3	35	26	500	681	73·	
Do. of Pergunnah, ...	Sheikh Mehdi Ali,	90	...	13	16	1	406	526	77·	
Ditto of Murriahoo, ...	Shah Ruhut Ali,	37	2	17	15	51	306	428	71·	
	Total, ...	199	66	37	111	95	2,046	2,554	80·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st Jan.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	211	178	131	185	173	309	...	...	99	46
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	630	595	343	722	240	608	...	...	116	133
Moonsiffs, ...	2,556	3,263	1,468	2,604	1,985	3,331	...	...	530	502
Total, ...	3,397	4,036	1,942	3,511	2,398	4,248	...	...	745	681
Increase, ...	...	639	...	...	456	737	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	64

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	655	495	1,079	2,229	29·	
1862, ...	598	397	1,088	2,083	29·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	*Disposed of during year.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. G Ricketts, Collector, ...	...	18	18	2	15	1	18	...	83·	40	45·
„ C. Grant, Officiating Collector,	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	10	20·
„ E. G. Jenkinson, Deputy Collector,	...	6	6	4	1	1	6	...	16·	58	10·
„ B. Hardinge, ditto. ditto,	...	10	10	3	3	...	6	4	50·	42	24·
„ W. C. Turner, Assistant ditto,	9	10	19	11	3	5	19	...	15·	...	...
„ W. H. Smith, ditto ditto,	37	34	71	24	30	14	68	3	44·	72	47·
„ C. Girdlestone, ditto ditto,	...	6	6	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...
„ J. H. Walker, Deputy Collector,	24	25	49	16	24	2	42	7	57·	54	46·
„ H. B. Goodall, ditto ditto,	...	7	7	...	...	...	...	7	...	7	100·
Moonshee Pragdutt, ditto ditto,	34	19	53	20	27	6	53	...	50·	...	...
Syud Toorab Alee, ditto ditto,	...	69	69	24	27	2	53	16	50·	98	70·
Pundit Keshoon Narain, Tohseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector, ...	...	9	9	...	4	1	5	4	80·	21	43·
Baboo Jugmohun Singh, Deputy Collector, ...	1	2	3	...	1	1	2	1	50·	2	100·
Sheikh Sahib Alee, Deputy Collector, ...	2	23	25	4	10	...	14	11	71·	72	32.
Total, ...	107	240	347	110	145	33	288	59	50·	476	50.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name and Designation of Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
	Non	e											

Average Duartion of Suits.

Name and Designation of Court.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. F. M. Lind, Judge, ...	...	7	5	...	...	...
„ M. Thornhill, ditto, ...						
„ M. Thornhill ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	2	20
„ H. B. Henderson, Judge, ...						
Mahomed Usud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	2	11	...	...	...
Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, ditto, ...						
Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	28
Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, ditto, ...						
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of City, ...	...	4	18	...	1	28
Sheikh Mehdi Ali, ditto of Pergunnah, ...	...	3	10	...	2	9
Mahomed Ubdool Ruhman, ditto of Murriahoo, ...	...	2	25	...	1	12
Shah Rahut Ali, ditto of ditto, ...						
Shah Rahut Ali, ditto of ditto, ...	...	...	..	...	1	12

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person valuntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.	Remarks.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.				
Mr. M. Thornhill, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	4	25·	
„ H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...	...	...	1	1	3			
Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, P. S. Ameen, ...	6	18	17	41	59	117	43·	
Hussun Ruza Khan, do. do, ...	4	3	3	10	7			
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City, ...	94	70	454	618	63	681	90·	
Sheikh Mehdi Ali, do. of Pergunnah, ...	132	93	69	294	232	526	55·	
Shah Ruhut Ali, do. of Murriahoo, ...	63	40	267	370	58	428	86·	
Total, ...	299	224	811	1,334	422	1,756	75·	

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By Order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Jounpore.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	4	...	9	...	13	
	Moonsiff of City, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	
	Do. of Pergunnah, ...	...	1	...	2	...	3	...	2	...	..	...	2	
	Do. of Murriahoo, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Total ...	...	1	...	7	...	8	...	9	...	9	...	13	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their Merits and Ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, Hussun Ruza Khan,	8	20	21·	42·	M. D. 1 20	D. 28	43·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
City, ...	Mahomed Kamil, ...	47	27·	21·	2	7	1	28	90·	
Pergunnah. ...	Sheikh Mehdi Ali, ...	42	13·	15·	1	19	2	9	55·	
Murriahoo, ...	Shah Ruhut Ali, ...	30	31·	25·	0	21	1	12	86·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.			Amount of salary of Peons.			Surplus at close of year.			Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Judge, ...	10	739	11	0	642	8	0	97	3	0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	14	788	1	9	753	2	3	34	15	6	...	
Moonsiff of City, ...	30	1,920	0	9	1,887	0	9	33	0	0	...	
Ditto of Pergunnah, ...	18	1,119	0	0	1,112	1	0	6	15	0	...	
Ditto of Murriahoo, ...	14	840	3	9	822	14	2	17	5	7	...	
Total, ...	86	5,407	1	3	5,217	10	2	189	7	1	...	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.			Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.			Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	12,099	0	0	243	0	0	11,856	0	0	6,600	0	0	5,256	0	0	...	
Moonsiff of the City, ...	5,007	0	0	34	0	0	4,973	0	0	3,360	0	0	1,613	0	0	...	
Ditto of Pergunnah, ...	3,206	10	0	4	0	0	3,202	10	0	2,640	0	0	562	10	0	...	
Ditto of Murriahoo, ...	2,749	13	0	2	0	0	2,747	13	0	2,640	0	0	107	13	0	...	
Total, ...	23,062	7	0	283	0	0	22,779	7	0	15,240	0	0	7,539	7	0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH SAHARUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge's Court, ...	391	367	236	253	167	182	...	...	64	60
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	194	177	505	542	350	364	...	1	49	57
Sudder Ameen's Court,	32	36	22	30	33	41	...	...	12	7
Moonsiff's Court, ...	2,984	3,465	2,026	3,139	2,251	3,447	1	...	400	429
Total, ...	3,601	4,045	2,789	3,964	2,801	4,034	1	1	525	553
Increase, ...	...	444	...	...	12	70	...	...	...	28
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on the fault.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge's Court, ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, ...	5	...	...	7	8	162	182	89·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Saharunpoor,	Sookhbasee Lall, ...	13	40	16	...	12	278	359	77·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Dehra Doon,	Mr S. Melville, ...	2	1	...	...	2	...	5	...	
Sudder Ameen of do.,	Mr. S. Melville, ...	7	8	3	1	7	15	41	37·	
Moonsiffs of ditto,	Mr. C. A. Daniell, ...	54	26	5	19	93	55	252	22·	
	Mr. S. Melville, ...	73	34	11	24	85	70	287	24·	
Do. of Saharunpore,	Kazim Ulee, ...	84	104	26	16	165	142	537	26·	
Offg Do., of ditto,	Mankee Doss, ...	53	141	18	12	189	76	486	16	
Moonsiff of Deobund,	Kishoree Lall, ..	46	47	26	26	215	111	471	24·	
Do. of Moozufferuggor, ...	Gunput Rai, ..	66	179	55	14	201	140	655	21	
Moonsiff of Shamlee,	Gopal Rai, ..	61	349	75	10	140	124	759	17·	
	Total, ...	464	928	235	129	1,104	1,173	4,084	29·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge's Court, ...	124	124	113	148	104	149	1	...	12	13
P. S. Ameen's do....	850	751	354	951	357	772	...	...	201	215
Sudder Ameen's do.,	48	48	14	44	16	44	...	...	25	30
Moonsiff's do., ...	7,234	6,991	3,550	8,561	3,505	7,561	...	...	934	994
Total, ...	8,256	7,944	4,031	9,704	3,982	8,526	1	...	1,172	1,252
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	80
Decrease, ...	...	312	...	...	49	1,178	...	1	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 5 to Column 2.	Remarks.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,649	1,340	2,940	5,929	28·	
1862, ...	1,617	1,398	3,688	6,703	24·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. H. D. Robertson, Collr.,	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
„ F. R. Hogg, Dy. do.,	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
„ A. J. Lawrence, do. do.,	2	3	5	3	2	...	5	...	40·	110	3·
„ C. W. Carpenter, do. do.,	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	39	2·
„ J. Morgan, do. do.,	2	15	17	12	3	...	15	2	20·	117	13·
Moonshee Nundkishore, do. do.,	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	10	10·
Mr. S. J. Martin, Collector,	...	3	3	...	...	3	3	...	...	3	100·
„ W. Lane, Dy. do.,	...	1	1	[illegible]	...	...	1	...	...	59	2·
„ A. Colvin, do. do.,	2	1	3	1	...	[illegible]	2	1	...	37	3·
„ C. Grant, do. do.,	...	1	1	...	...	[illegible]	1	...	...	12	8·
Koer Doorga Pershad, do. do.,	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
Nanuk Chund, do. do.,	...	4	4	[illegible]	1	...	1	3	100·	4	100·
Total, ...	8	32	40	20	8	5	33	7	24·	391	8·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Saharunpoor, ...	...	...	...	...	1	3	1	2	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Deobund, ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Shamlee, ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Moozuffernugger, ...	...	...	...	...	1	5	...	5	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	1	1	1	...	5	11	4	7	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.		1862.			1863.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. S. J. Becher and Mr. H. B. Henderson, ...		...	8	3	...	...	...
Mr S. J. Becher, Judge, ...	Original, ...	...	...	...	...	2	22
	Appeal, ...	...	...	...	...	2	23
Sookhbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Saharunpore, ..	Original, ...	..	...	7	...	1	6
	Appeal, ...	...	...	3	...	...	29
Mr. S. S. Melville, P. S. Ameen of Dehra Doon, ...		...	2	15	...	5	24
Ditto Ditto Sudder Ameen of ditto, ...			3	26	...	4	6
Mr. C. A. Daniell, Moonsiff of ditto, ...		...	2	20	...	4	12
Kazim Ullee, Moonsiff of Saharunpore, ...		...	1	3	...	...	
Mankee Doss, Officiating Moonsiff of Saharunpore, ...		...	...	...	...	1	8
Kishoree Lall, Moonsiff of Deobund, ...		...	...	24	...	...	17
Sooltan Hussein, Hussein Ruza and Gunput Rai, Moonsiffs of Moozuffernugger, ...		...	...	27	...	...	...
Gunput Rai, ditto ditto ditto, ...		...	...	...	...	1	6
Gopal Rai Sooltan Hussein, Moonsiff of Shamlee, ...		...	1	20	...	...	...
Gopal Rai, ditto ditto of ditto, ...		...	...	...	...	...	27

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by Summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge,	Original Suits,	...	...	6	6	1	7	86·
	Appeals, ...	13	11	27	51	124	175	29·
Sookhbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Original Suits,	14	27	102	143	16	159	90·
	Appeals, ...	32	26	64	122	78	200	61·
Mr. S. S. Melville, Principal Sudder Ameen,		2	1	...	3	2	5	60·
Ditto Sudder Ameen, ...		6	11	13	30	11	41	73·
Mr. C. J. Daniell, Moonsiff of Dehra Doon,		140	30	116	286	253	539	53·
Mankee Doss, Offg. Moonsiff of Seharunpore,		79	104	275	458	28	486	95·
Kishoree Lall, Moonsiff of Deobund, ...		64	4	378	446	25	471	99·
Gunput Rai, ditto of Moozuffernugger,		82	64	458	604	51	655	92·
Gopal Rai, ditto of Shamlee. ...		100	15	632	747	12	759	98·
Kazim Ulee, ditto of Saharunpore, ...		113	93	304	510	27	537	95·
Total, ...		645	386	2,375	3,406	628	4,034	84·

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Seharunpore.	Judge's Court, ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, Saharunpore, ...	...	10	...	...	...	10	1	54	...	...	1	54	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, Dehra Doon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Sudder Ameen, Dehra Doon,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Moonsiff of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto of Saharunpore, ...	...	2	...	2	...	4	...	67	...	...	...	67	
	Ditto of Deobund, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	.	59	...	..	...	59	
	Ditto of Moozuffernugger,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	...	...	...	41	
	Ditto of Shamlee, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	39	...	...	...	39	
	Total, ...	.	12	...	4	...	16	2	260	...	..	2	260	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Sookbasee Lall, ...	9	17	14·	42·	1	24	1	6	90·	
							0	29		
Mr. S. Melville, ...	...	...	...	None.	...		...		...	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of on merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Mr S. Melville, ...	2	...	28	...	2	0	4	6	73·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed* to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Saharunpore,	Kazim Ulee, ...	32	16·	46·	1	18	1	8	95·	
	Mankee Doss, Officiating, ...	26	8·	8·	...		...		94·	
Doobund, ...	Kishoree Lall, ...	17	9·	16·	0	13	0	17	99·	
Moozuffernugger,	Gunput Rai, ...	22	7·	53·	1	5	1	6	92·	
Shamlee, ...	Gopal Rai, ...	22	6·	17·	0	9	0	27	98·	
Dehra Doon,	Mr. S. Melville, ...	12	5·	36·	6	3	4	12	53·	
	Mr. C. A. Daniell, ...	9	5·	83·	6	3	4	12		

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge's Court, ...	9	693 15 0	585 13 0	108 2 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Saharunpore,	30	1,696 9 6	1,696 9 6	...	...	
Ditto of Dehra Doon, Sudder Ameen of ditto, Moonsiff of ditto, ...	14	917 1 6	899 14 9	17 2 9	...	
Ditto of Saharunpore, ...	32	2,059 0 6	1,832 14 11	226 1 7	...	
Ditto of Deobund, ...	20	1,433 15 0	1,419 0 0	14 15 0	...	
Ditto of Moozuffernugger, ...	31	1,848 5 3	1,620 1 11	228 3 4	...	
Ditto of Shamlee, ...	27	1,703 6 3	1,643 6 11	59 15 4	...	
Total, ...	163	10,352 5 0	9,697 13 0	654 8 0	...	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Rareenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Civil Judge's salary and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
P. S. Ameen of Saharunpore,	9,705 8 0	322 0 0	9,383 8 0	6,600 0 0	2,783 8 0	...	
Ditto of Dehra Doon,	360 8 0	75 0 0	285 8 0			...	
S. Ameen of ditto, ...	1,116 8 0	64 0 0	1,052 8 0	1,200 0 0	3,076 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of ditto, ...	3,181 0 0	242 8 0	2,938 8 0			...	
Ditto of Deobund, ...	4,984 8 0	206 8 0	4,778 0 0	2,640 0 0	2,138 0 0	...	
Ditto of Shamlee, ...	7,233 9 0	226 0 0	7,007 9 0	2,640 0 0	4,367 9 0	...	
Ditto of Moozuffernugger	7,727 12 0	226 0 0	7,461 12 0	3,960 0 0	3,501 12 0	...	
Ditto of Saharunpore,	8,970 0 0	814 8 0	8,155 8 0	3,360 0 0	4,795 8 0	...	
Total, ...	43,279 5 0	2,216 8 0	41,062 13 0	20,400 0 0	20,662 13 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH MORADABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge's Court, ...	460	457	68	82	176	200	1	...	149	53
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	122	163	462	485	432	456	1	3	43	149
Sudder Ameen, ...	48	52	75	88	41	44	...	...	1	10
Moonsiff, ...	4,084	4,917	3,844	4,982	3,909	4,931	...	1	382	411
Total, ...	4,714	5,589	4,449	5,637	4,558	5,631	2	4	575	623
Increase, ...	...	875	...	6	109	...	...	2	...	48
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	J. W. Power, Esq.,	...	1	1	21	3	174	200	87·	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad,	Hussun Ruza, ...	9	4	10	3	7	214	247	87·	
	Mahomed Hussun, Offg.	8	...	1	2	6	109	126	87·	
	Mahomed Hussun, ...	4	1	2	1	5	70	83	84·	
Sudder Ameen of Bijnour,	Mahomed Hussun, ...	8	6	...	1	2	18	35	51·	
	Kazim Alee, ...	...	1	...	...	...	8	9	89·	
Moonsiff of ditto,	Mahomed Hussun, ...	51	108	16	...	105	177	457	39·	
	Kazim Alee, ...	20	73	3	10	54	72	232	31·	
Ditto of Nugeenah, ...	Jewun Kishen, ...	91	78	93	41	186	499	988	51·	
Ditto of Umroha, ...	Shunker Doss, ...	114	212	26	1	114	188	655	29·	
Ditto of City, ...	Sheo Narain, ...	79	125	6	17	95	156	478	33	
Ditto of Environs, ...	Sotee Beharee Lall, ...	97	61	51	3	71	240	523	46·	
Ditto of Sumbhul, ...	Abid Alee, ...	55	327	31	8	92	202	715	28·	
	Nawab Rai, ...	9	30	...	2	24	24	89	27·	
Ditto of Billaree, ...	Choda Lall, ...	82	257	33	26	173	223	794	28·	
	Total, ...	627	1,284	273	136	937	2,374	5,631	42·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	82	91	23	40	76	92	...	...	48	47
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	621	684	285	772	265	337	...	...	209	104
Sudder Ameen, ...	612	334	430	789	255	350	...	...	47	31
Moonsiff, ...	12,119	10,818	9,400	13,149	8,173	11,026	...	...	1,642	1,593
Total, ...	13,234	11,927	10,138	14,750	8,769	12,305	...	...	1,946	1,775
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	1,507	...	...	1,369	2,445	...	...	...	171

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,923	1,644	2,728	6,295	31·	
1862, ...	2,076	1,663	2,898	6,637	31·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. G. H. Lawrence, Deputy Collector, Moradabad, ...	1	1	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	...	...
„ J. Sladen, Officiating ditto ditto,	3	...	3	2	...	1	3	...	...	...	...
„ A. Boulderson, ditto ditto,	...	6	6	...	...	...	...	6	...	37	16·
„ M. Sandys, ditto ditto,	1	13	14	3	1	7	11	3	9·	43	30·
„ J Clarke, ditto ditto,	7	6	13	11	1	1	13	...	8·	11	55·
Meer Imdad Alee, ditto ditto,	15	1	16	15	...	1	16	...	...	12	8·
Nawab Syfoollah Khan, ditto ditto,	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	19	11·
Amur Nath, ditto ditto,	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	...	...
Abdool Hadee, ditto ditto,	4	...	4	1	3	...	4	...	75·	8	...
Emam-ood-deen, Tehseeldar ditto ditto,	10	...	10	8	2	...	10	...	20·	29	...
Kewul Kishen, ditto Hussunpore,	16	2	18	3	15	...	18	...	83·	19	11·
Mr. G. Palmer, Offg. Collector, Bijnour,	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	8	...
„ C. A. Elliott, Depy. ditto ditto,	3	...	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	...	...
„ J. Sladen, ditto ditto,	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	140	1·
Meer Toorab Ulee, ditto ditto,	13	...	13	7	6	...	13	..	46·	...	...
Debee Pershad, ditto ditto,	...	2	2	...	1	...	1	1	100·	251	1·
Radha Kishun, Tehseeldar and Deputy Collector, Dhampore, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	93	...
Total, ...	78	34	112	56	34	10	100	12	34·	670	5·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861:

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
P. S. Ameens, Hussun Ruza Khan,	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	
P. S. Ameens, Mahomed Hussun, Offg. P. S. Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
P. S. Ameens, Mahomed Hussun, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
S. A. of Bijnour, Mahomed Hussun,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
S. A. of Bijnour, Kazim Ulee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiffs of Bijnour, Mahomed Hussun,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiffs of Bijnour, Kazim Ulee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jewun Kishen, Moonsiff of Nugeena,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Shunker Doss, ditto of Umroha,	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sheo Narain, ditto of City, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sotee Beharee Lall, do. of Environs,	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	
Nawab Rai, Abid Ulee, ditto of Sumbhul, ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nawab Rai, Abid Ulee, ditto of Sumbhul, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cheda Lall, ditto of Billaree,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total,...	2	2	...	2	2	3	...	2	...	...	...	...	

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
J. Power, Esq., Judge, ...	...	5	7	...	5	2
P. S. Ameens, Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	...	2	2	...	1	10
P. S. Ameens, Mahomed Hussun, Officiating Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	1	7
P. S. Ameens, Mahomed Hussun, ...	...	...	...	...	1	6
S. Ameens of Bijnour, Mahomed Hussun, ...	...	1	6	...	...	16
S. Ameens of Bijnour, Kazim Ulee Khan, ...	...	...	...	...	1	11
Moonsiffs of Bijnour, Mahomed Hussun, ...	...	...	29	...	...	13
Moonsiffs of Bijnour, Kazim Ulee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	20
Jewun Kishen, Moonsiff of Nugeena, ...	...	...	29	...	...	30
Shunker Doss, ditto of Umroha, ...	...	...	25	...	...	20
Sheo Narain, ditto of City, ...	...	1	20	...	...	25
Sotee Beharee Lall, do. of Environs, ...	...	...	27	...	...	27
Nawab Rai, Abid Ulee, ditto of Sumbhul, ...	...	1	14	...	1	...
Nawab Rai, Abid Ulee, ditto of Sumbhul, ...	...	...	24	...	1	...
Cheda Lall, ditto of Billaree, ...	...	1	3	...	1	3

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of Cases decided.	Percentage of Cases of personal attendance to total Cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge, J. Power, Esquire, ...	4	17	15	36	164	200	18·
P. S. Ameens, Hussun Ruza Khan, ... / Mahomed Hussun, Offg. P. Sudder Ameen, / Mahomed Hussun, ...	47	58	86	191	265	456	42·
S. A. of Bijnour, Mahomed Hussun, ...	13	4	15	32	3	35	91·
S. A. of Bijnour, Kazim Ulee, ...	4	1	4	9	...	9	100·
Moonsiffs of do., Mahomed Hussun, ...	63	46	330	439	18	457	96·
Moonsiffs of do., Kazim Ulee, ...	38	13	167	218	14	232	94·
Ditto Nugeenah, Jeewun Kishon, ...	137	194	416	747	241	988	76·
Ditto Umroha, Shunker Doss, ...	122	108	384	614	41	655	94·
Ditto City, Sheo Narain, ...	88	68	291	447	31	478	94·
Ditto Environs, Soti Beharee Lall, ...	43	95	300	438	85	523	84·
Ditto Sumbhul, Abid Ulee, ...	74	238	245	557	158	715	78·
Ditto Sumbhul, Nawab Rai, ...	7	35	17	59	30	89	66·
Ditto Billaree, Cheda Lal, ...	136	282	236	654	140	794	82·
Total, ...	776	1,159	2,506	4,441	1,190	5,631	79·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Moradabad.	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	6	48	...	4	6	52	
	Sudder Ameen of Bijnour, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	2	...	23	
	Moonsiff of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	52	1	2	1	54	
	Ditto of Nugeenah, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	...	...	...	32	
	Ditto of Umroha, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	1	...	16	
	Ditto of City, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto of Environs, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	6	...	...	...	6	
	Ditto of Sumbhul, ...	...	2	...	2	...	4	...	12	...	5	...	17	
	Ditto of Billaree, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	...	12	
	Total, ...	...	4	...	2	...	6	6	198	1	14	7	212	

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of Cases appealed of appealable Cases.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	9	23	66·	30·	...		1	10		
Mahomed Hussun, Offg. P. S. A.	10	20	30·	...	...		1	7	42·	
Mahomed Hussun, ...	10	64	...	...	3	28	1	6		

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of Cases appealed of appealable Cases.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.		
Mahomed Hussun, ...	3	19·	40·	2½ months.	0	16	91·	
Kazim Ulee, ...	2	38·	...	...	1	11	100·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and *ex parte*.	Percentage of Cases appealed of appealable Cases.	Percentage of Cases reversed or modified to total Appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Percentage of Cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Bijnour ...	Mahomed Hussun, ...	29	5·	9·	1	0	0	13	96·	
	Kazim Ulee, ...	23	11·	57·	0	0	0	20	94·	
Nugeenah, ...	Jewun Kishen, ...	57	4·	17·	1	25	0	30	76··	
Amroha, ...	Shunker Doss, ...	27	10·	22·	0	14	0	20	94·	
City, ...	Sheo Narain, ...	20	16·	36·	1	7	0	25	94·	
Environs, ...	Sotee Beharee Lall, ...	32	7·	33·	0	29	0	27	84··	
Sumbhul, ...	Abid Ulee, ...	22	5·	45·	0	18	1	0	78	
	Nawab Rai, ...	33	11·	70·	0	0	1	0	66·	
Billaree, ...	Cheda Lall, ...	28	6·	31·	0	22	1	3	82·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court, ...	9	612 9 0	588 0 0	24 9 0	...	Net gain to Government, Rs. 223-13-10.
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	34	2,333 6 6	2,201 7 9	31 14 9	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Bijnour's Court, ...	26	2,142 9 0	2,292 1 0	0 0 0	149 8 0	
Nugeenah Moonsiff's Court, ...	40	2,781 9 9	2,624 5 3	157 4 6	...	
Umroha do. do., ...	30	1,896 1 3	1,964 2 6	0 0 0	68 1 3	
City do. do., ...	20	1,498 4 3	1,481 0 0	17 4 3	...	
Environs do. do., ...	32	2,340 7 6	2,112 0 0	228 7 6	...	
Sumbhal do. do., ...	28	2,107 5 6	1,848 0 0	259 5 6	...	
Billaree do. do., ...	36	2,095 12 0	2,373 2 5	0 0 0	227 6 5	
Total, ...	255	17,708 0 9	17,484 2 11	718 13 6	494 15 8	

Income of Stamps and Cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
P. S. Ameen's Court,	11,315 0 0	394 0 0	10,921 0 0	6,600 0 0	4,321 0 0	0 0 0	
Bijnour S. Ameen's Court, ...	2,214 0 0	32 0 0	2,182 0 0	3,960 0 0	0 0 0	1,778 0 0	
Bijnour Moonsiff's Court, ...	5,700 4 0	191 0 0	5,509 4 0	0 0 0	5,509 4 0	0 0 0	
Nugeenah Moonsiff's Court, ...	6,490 4 0	19 8 0	6,470 12 0	3,360 0 0	3,110 12 0	0 0 0	
Amroha Moonsiff's Court, ...	5,521 2 0	126 8 0	5,394 10 0	3,360 0 0	2,034 10 0	0 0 0	
City Moonsiff's Court, ..	3,274 6 0	172 0 0	3,102 6 0	2,640 0 0	462 6 0	0 0 0	
Environs Moonsiff's Court, ...	3,623 8 0	97 8 0	3,526 0 0	2,640 0 0	886 0 0	0 0 0	
Sumbhul Moonsiff's Court, .	4,349 8 0	84 8 0	4,265 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,625 0 0	0 0 0	
Billaree Moonsiff's Court, ...	4,794 4 0	179 0 0	4,619 4 0	2,640 0 0	1,979 4 0	0 0 0	
Total, ...	47,286 4 0	1,296 0 0	45,990 4 0	27,840 0 0	19,928 4 0	1,778 0 0	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	365	244	347	365	295	315	1	5	59	25
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	578	881	963	1,227	717	895	...	...	99	73
Sudder Ameen, ...	433	685	431	507	595	682	...	...	73	76
Moonsiffs, ...	436	526	506	615	398	483	...	...	7	69
Total, ...	1,812	2,336	2,247	2,714	2,005	2,375	1	5	238	243
Increase, ...	...	524	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	5
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	242	339	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total No. of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Mr. E. J. Boldero,	4	...	...	16	4	291	315	92·	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, ...	Rai Soonder Lall,	63	299	23	62	116	332	895	45·	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore, ...	Hamid Hosein Khan, ...	72	274	9	19	68	240	682	35·	
Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	Mahomed Ally Khan, ...	24	110	14	9	47	132	336	39·	
Ditto of Mahoba,	Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, ...	22	35	...	8	21	61	147	41·	
	Total, ...	185	718	46	114	256	1,056	2,375	44·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court	Instituted		Decided.				Pending			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending on 1st January, 1864.*	
	1862	1863	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	224	213	150	230	166	224	..	...	49	38
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	867	1,113	590	1,135	374	1,121	...	...	145	138
Sudder Ameen, ...	900	1,129	671	997	690	1,119	1	...	254	282
Moonsiffs, ...	884	765	599	1,060	551	707	...	2	54	148
Total, ...	2,875	3,220	2,010	3,422	1,781	3,171	1	2	502	606
Increase, ...	...	345	...	...	...	...	..	1	...	104
Decrease, ...	.	...	..	...	229	251	...	..	.	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees*				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way		
1863, ...	648	624	695	1,967	88·	
1862, ...	882	303	608	1,793	49	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. J. W. Power, Collector of Futtehpore, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	44	2·
Baboo Thakoor Pershad, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	12	16	28	16	12	...	28	...	43·	258	6·
Mr. C. W. Carpenter, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	3	6	9	7	2	...	9	...	22·	...	...
Mr. J. W. Quinton, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Mr. C. Grant, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	...	3	3	1	2	...	3	...	66·	14	21·
Furhut Ally, Pergunnah, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	...	2	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	4	50·
Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan, Deputy Collector of Futtehpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Mr. G H Lawrence, Collector of Banda, ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
Mr. R. T. Hobart, Assistant Collector of Banda, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...
Mr. W. Oldham, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Mr. W. Blunt, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	..
Mr. B. F. Hall, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	..	33·	286	1·
Mr. C. Robertson, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	...	2	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	120	2·
Mr. N. Parsick, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	2	1	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	8	15·
Ahmud Hossein Khan, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	277	...
Hafiz Ally Khan, Pergunnah, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	3	1	4	4	...	...	4	...	...	205	...
Kashee Nauth, Deputy Collector of Banda, ...	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	243	...
Lieutenant Repton, Deputy Collector of Humeerpore, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Mr. P. Wigram, Deputy Collector of Humeerpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
Mahomed Enayet Hossein Khan, Deputy Collector of Humeerpore,	...	7	7	5	1	1	7	...	14·	71	10·
Monowur Ally, Deputy Collector of Humeerpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Baboo Adanath, Pergunnah, Deputy Collector of Humeerpore, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	29	3·
Ahmud Ally Beg, Tehseeldar, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	63	...
Oosman Khan, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...
Neaz-ool-lah Khan, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...
Total, ...	25	48	73	49	21	3	73	...	28·	1,662	3·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
				None.									

Average Duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Mr. E. J. Boldero, Judge, ...	...	...	23	...	...	30
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, ...	...	3	...	...	1	19
Hamid Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore, ...	...	1	15	...	1	5
Mahomed Alee Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	...	1.	26	...	...	26
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, Moonsiff of Mahoba, ...	...	...	...	...	1	2

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were personally, before the Court voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.	Remarks.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.				
Mr. E. J. Boldero, Judge, ...	47	70	114	231	84	315	73·	
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, ...	102	90	488	680	215	895	76·	
Hamid Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpoor, ...	100	117	356	573	109	682	84·	
Mahomed Alee Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	47	38	214	299	37	336	89·	
Mahomed Alee Khan, do. of Mahoba, ...	25	41	40	116	31	147	79·	
Total, ...	331	356	1,212	1,899	476	2,375	80·	

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Futtehpore.	Judge, ...	2	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	
	P. S. Ameen of Banda, ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	1	31	...	...	1	31	
	Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	1	7	1	
	Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Ditto of Mahoba, ..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total, ...	2	...	...	4	2	4	8	34	1	1	9	35	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					Days.	M. D.		
Rai Soonder Lall, P. S. Ameen of Banda, ...	35	...	22·	26·	29	1 19½	76·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases finally disposed of per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Hamid Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore, ...	27	32·	17·	1 10	1 5	84·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					D.	D.		
Tirohan, ...	Mahomed Ally Khan, ...	14	10·	24·	16	26	89·	
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahoba, ...	Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, ...	16	9·	23·	1 26	1 2	79·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	8	768 1 6	528 0 0	240 1 6	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, ...	34	3,277 15 6	2,244 0 0	1,033 15 6	...	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore, ...	20	1,821 10 6	1,320 0 0	501 10 6	...	
Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	12	841 1 0	731 6 3	109 10 9	...	
Ditto of Mahoba, ...	16	467 7 6	324 10 0	142 13 6	...	
Total, ...	90	7,176 4 0	5,148 0 3	2,028 3 9	...	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and Establishments exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
P. S. Ameen of Banda, ...	10,261 0 0	8 0 0	10,253 0 0	6,600 0 0	3,653 0 0	...	
S. Ameen of Futtehpore,	6,272 8 0	84 0 0	6,188 8 0	3,960 0 0	2,228 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Tirohan, ...	2,456 8 0	8 0 0	2,448 8 0	2,640 0 0	0 0 0	191·8	
Ditto of Mahoba, ...	1,435 0 0	8 0 0	1,427 0 0	1,129 2 8	297 13 4	...	
Total, ...	20,425 0 0	108 0 0	20,317 0 0	14,329 2 8	6,179 5 4	191·8	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH SHAHJEHANPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st Jan.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	386	309	405	433	294	303	...	2	95	89
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	64	91	203	224	171	181	...	...	26	56
Sudder Ameen, ...	188	228	252	295	174	207	...	...	14	21
Moonsiffs, ...	1,533	2,160	1,835	2,316	1,759	2,127	...	...	125	181
Total, ...	2,171	2,878	2,695	3,268	2,398	2,818	...	2	260	347
Increase, ...	...	707	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	87
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	297	450	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond,	...	...	6	3	6	288	303	95·	
P. S. Ameens, ...	Doobey Jowala Pershad, ...	7	3	...	2	3	89	104	86·	
	Rai Dabee Dyal, ...	1	5	...	3	2	66	77	86·	
Sudder Ameens, ...	Rai Sheo Dyal Singh, ...	6	32	...	2	4	10	54	19·	
	Mahomed Subee-ood-deen,	9	71	2	11	16	44	153	29·	
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, ...	Doobey Jowala Pershad, ...	...	4	...	...	...	5	9	56·	
	Mahomed Kuramut-oollah,	58	120	16	31	81	224	530	42·	
Moonsiff of Tilhur,	Mahomed Basheer-ood-deen	22	147	4	16	62	95	346	27·	
Do. of Powaine,	Quazee Wuzeer-ood-deen,	33	89	8	7	35	64	236	27·	
Moonsiffs of Eastern Budaon, ...	Mahomed Nussur-oollah Khan, ...	43	130	11	6	39	342	571	60·	
	Mahomed Wajid Alee, ...	9	31	...	9	10	26	85	31·	
Moonsiffs of Datagunje, ...	Mahomed Wajid Alee, ...	19	134	2	20	41	101	317	32·	
	Mahomed Nussur-oollah Khan, ..	1	5	...	...	...	...	6	...	
	Moonshee Nawab Roy, ...	...	13	...	4	7	3	27	11·	
	Total, ...	208	784	49	114	306	1,357	2,818	48	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending on 1st January.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	111	107	67	106	108	158	...	...	43	41
P. S. Ameen, ...	429	291	240	538	128	337	...	...	119	84
Sudder Ameen, ...	1,438	1,005	846	1,337	609	1,142	...	...	300	238
Moonsiffs, ...	7,030	5,301	4,417	7,384	2,576	5,774	1	2	794	653
Total, ...	9,008	6,704	5,570	9,365	3,421	7,411	1	2	1,256	1,016
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	2,304	...	..	2,149	1,954	...	...	...	240

Execution of Decrees.

Year	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.	Remarks.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	1,154	836	1,700	3,690	31·	
1862, ...	1,318	740	1,978	4,036	33·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals decided.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Shahjehanpore.	Mr. W. G. Probyn, Collector, ...	...	5	5	...	...	1	1	4	...	37	14·
	„ H. D. Willock, Deputy Collector, ...	...	6	6	1	2	...	3	3	67·	13	46·
	„ M. Sandys, Officiating do., ...	1	2	3	1	2	...	3	...	67·	...	...
	„ W. J. Mulligan, Assist. do., ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	50	2·
	„ C. W. Kinlock, Dy. Collr. under Regulation IX. of 1833, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	Syud Moonowyer Zuman, ditto ditto, ...	3	6	9	3	2	...	5	4	40·	63	10·
	Pundit Kunhya Lall, Tehseeldar of Shahjehanpore, exercising the powers of Deputy Collector, ...	5	27	32	14	6	1	21	11	29·	168	16·
	Syud Ahmud Hossein, ditto of Pawane, ditto, ...	3	17	20	9	1	2	12	8	8	108	16·
	Moulvee Kasim Hossein Khan, ditto of Tilhur, ditto, ...	...	5	5	2	2	1	5	...	40·	130	4·
	Total, ...	12	70	82	31	15	5	51	31	29·	573	12·
Budaon.	Mr. C. P. Carmichael, Collector, ...	9	8	17	9	7	1	17	...	41·	10	80·
	„ H. R. Clarke, Offg. do., ...	...	4	4	...	2	1	3	1	67·	41	10·
	do. Dy. do., ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.	...
	„ J. R. Reid, Asst. do., ...	...	15	15	11	2	...	13	2	15·	58	26·
	Moonshee Salamut Roy, Deputy Collector under Regulation IX. of 1833, ...	...	1	1	..	...	...	...	1	...	3	33·
	Nittanund, Tehseeldar of Budaon, exercising the powers of a Dy. Collector, ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	5	100·
	Total, ...	9	31	40	20	11	2	33	7	33	115	27·
	Grand Total, ...	21	101	122	51	26	7	84	38	31·	688	15.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act XIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, ...	1	2	2	...	3	4	1	1	...	...	...	...	Two awaiting trial.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	2	...	
Do. of Tilhur, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Powaine, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Eastern Budaon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Datagunge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	1	2	2	...	3	4	1	1	1	2	2	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Judge, Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, ...	...	5	7	...	5	6
P. S. Ameens, Rai Doobey Jowala Pershad, from 1st Jan. to 2nd Sept., 1863. ...	...	1	3	...	1	16
P. S. Ameens, Rai Debee Dial, from 29th Sept. to 31st December, 1863, ...	...	...	...	...	2	8
S. Ameens of Budaon, Rai Sheodial Singh, from 1st Jan. to 2nd April, 1863. ...	...	1	19	...	...	22
S. Ameens of Budaon, Mahomed Subee-ood-deen, from 2nd August to 21st December, 1863,	...	2	17	...	1	13
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, ... Rai Doobey Jowala Pershaud from 1st to 9th January 1863, ...	...	...	16	...	1	1
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, ... Mahomed Kuramut-oollah from 10th Jany. to 31st December, 1863, ...	...	...	...	...	1	19
Moonsiff of Tilhur, Mahomed Busheerooddeen,	...	1	14	...	...	19
Do. of Powaine, Mahomed Wazeer-ood-deen, from 12th January to 31st December, 1863,	...	...	...	...	1	...
Moonsiffs of Eastern Budaon, ... Mahomed Nussur-oollah Khan from 1st January to 31st December, 1863, ...	...	1	23	...	...	15
Moonsiffs of Eastern Budaon, ... Mahomed Wajid Alee, from 9th Oct. to 31st Dec. 1863,	...	...	...	...	...	16
Moonsiffs of Datagunje, ... Mahomed Wajid Alee, from 12th Jany to 5th October, 1863. ...	...	...	...	...	1	1
Moonsiffs of Datagunje, ... Mahomed Nussur-oollah from 10th Oct. to 18th Nov.,	...	...	...	...	...	10
Moonsiffs of Datagunje, ... Lalla Nawab Roy from 5th to 31st December, 1863.	...	...	...	...	...	22

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by Summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which Defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntarily.	By Summons.	Voluntarily.	By Summons.	Voluntarily.	By Summons.	Voluntarily.	By Summons.			
Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, Judge, ...	51	5	35	2	196	8	282	10	11	303	96·
Rae Doobey Jowala Pershad, ... } P. S. Ameens, ...	17	7	13	2	51	9	81	18	7	106	93·
Rae Dabee Dial, ... } P. S. Ameens, ...	4	1	...	1	12	4	16	6	53	75	29·
Rae Shewdial Singh, ... } S. Ameens of Budaon, ...	...	7	...	5	...	39	...	51	3	54	94·
Mahomud Subee-ood-deen, ... } S. Ameens of Budaon, ...	...	15	...	12	...	116	...	143	10	153	93·
Rae Doobey Jowala Pershad, ... } Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, ...	...	...	...	1	...	8	...	9	...	9	100·
Mahomed Kuramut-oollah, ... } Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, ...	...	90	...	42	...	331	...	463	67	530	87·
Mahomed Busheer-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Tilhur, ...	...	29	...	25	3	271	3	325	18	346	95·
Mahomed Wuzeerood-deen, Moonsiff of Powain, ...	5	32	8	40	2	109	15	181	40	236	93·
Mahomed Nasur-ool-lah Khan, ... } Moonsiffs of Eastern Budaon, ...	...	57	...	19	...	482	...	558	13	571	98·
Mahomed Wajid Ulee, ... } Moonsiffs of Eastern Budaon, ...	...	10	...	14	...	50	...	74	11	85	87·
Mahomed Wajid Ulee, ... } Moonsiffs of Datagunge,	...	30	...	16	...	231	...	277	40	317	87·
Mahomed Nasur-oollah Khan, ... } Moonsiffs of Datagunge,	...	...	...	2	...	4	...	6	...	6	100·
Lalla Nawab Roy, ... } Moonsiffs of Datagunge,	...	...	...	4	...	17	...	21	6	27	78·
Total, ...	77	283	56	185	264	1,674	397	2,142	279	2,818	90·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary or permanent, under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector, under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Shahjehanpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	16	...	4	...	20	...	10	...	3	...	13	
	Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore,	...	4	...	1	...	5	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Ditto of Tilhur, ...	...	4	...	3	...	7	...	7	...	2	...	9	
	Ditto of Powain, ...	...	5	...	1	...	6	...	2	...	1	...	3	
	Total, ...	...	29	...	9	...	38	...	22	...	6	...	28	
Budaon.	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	9	...	9	...	8	...	..	...	8	
	Moonsiff of Eastron Budaon,	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	11	...	4	...	15	
	Ditto of Dataguugo, ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	30	...	2	...	32	
	Total ...	...	...	...	16	...	16	...	52	...	6	...	58	
	Grand Total, ...	...	29	...	25	...	54	...	74	...	12	...	86	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed during the past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					Died M. D.	M. D.		
Rai Doobey Jowala Pershad,	9	3	72·	38·	2 16	1 16	93·	
Rai Dabee Dyal, ...	4	18	...	...	2 16	2 8	29·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Rai Sheodial Singh, ...	5	...	74·	50·	1	7	0	22	94·	
Mahomed Subee-ood-deen, ...	13	...	45·	50.	Died		1	13	93·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Shahjehanpore,	Dooboy Jowala Pershad,	17	...	...	...		1	1	100·	
	Mahomed Kuramutoollah,	24	17·	38·	2	10	1	19	87·	
Tilhur, ...	Mahomed Busheerood-deen, ...	10	9·	58·	0	13	0	19	95·	
Powain, ...	Quazee Wuzeer-ood-deen,	8	13·	24·	1	16	1	0	88·	
Eastern Budaon, ...	Mahomed Nussur-oollah,	42	9·	38·	Transferred		0	15	98·	
	Moulvie Wajid Alee, ...	13	13·	48·	5 days.		0	23	87·	
	Ditto ditto, ...									
Datagunge,	Mahomed Nussur-oollah,	1	...	...	Transferred		0	10	100·	
	Moonshee Nawab Roy,...	3	...	...	29 days.		0	22	78·	

Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	Remarks.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge, ...	4	206 2 6	231 5 2	0 0 0	25 2 8	Net surplus, Rs. 1,232-11-10.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	10	756 8 5	591 9 2	164 15 3	...	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon, ...	12	962 0 0	792 0 0	170 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore, ...	10	1,001 14 6	644 6 6	357 8 0	...	
Ditto of Tilhur, ...	11	962 13 9	685 0 0	277 13 9	...	
Ditto of Powain, ...	12	849 1 6	785 0 3	64 1 3	...	
Ditto of Eastern Budaon, ...	12	1,044 13 6	792 0 0	252 13 6	...	
Ditto of Datagunge, ...	16	1,026 10 9	1,056 0 0	0 0 0	29 5 3	
Total, ...	87	6,810 0 11	5,577 5 1	1,287 3 9	54 7 11	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and Establishment, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	5,053 8 0	116 0 0	4,937 8 0	7,866 11 4	0 0 0	2,929 3 4	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon,	2,603 12 0	15 0 0	2,588 12 0	2,718 1 0	0 0 0	129 5 0	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore,	4,379 1 0	126 8 0	4,252 9 0	3,345 7 9	907 1 3	...	
Ditto of Tilhur, ...	2,693 11 0	12 0 0	2,681 11 0	2,640 0 0	41 11 0	..	
Ditto of Powain, ...	1,903 0 0	3 0 0	1,900 0 0	2,640 0 0	0 0 0	740. 0 0	
Ditto of Eastern Budaon, ...	3,853 5 0	23 8 0	3,829 13 0	2,640 0 0	1,189 13 0	..	
Ditto of Datagunge,	2,184 1 0	3 0 0	2,181 1 0	2,586 12 5	0 0 0	405 11 5	
Total, ...	22,670 6 0	299 0 0	22,370 6 0	24,437 0 6	2,138 9 3	4,204 3 9	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH MYNPOORIE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	444	458	203	228	272	298	1	...	175	79
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	114	112	352	383	341	355	...	2	52	72
Moonsiffs, ...	* 2,248	† 3,190	* 2,541	* 2,958	† 2,834	† 3,170	...	...	* 233	† 315
Total. ...	* 2,806	† 3,760	* 3,096	* 3,569	† 3,447	† 3,823	1	2	* 460	† 466
* Increase, ...	...	954	...	...	351	254	...	1	...	6
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

* Exclusive of Moonsiffee Doondwarah.
† Inclusive of do. do..

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their Merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
		Ex parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on Merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their Merits, to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Mr. J. H. Batten, from 1st January, to 29th April, 1863, ...	...	...	...	4	3	44	51	86·	
	„ H. Lushington, from 15th May, to 18th June, 1863, ...	...	...	...	8	...	34	42	81·	
	„ F Thompson, from 19th June, to to 11th December, 1863, ...	...	...	...	2	9	194	205	95·	
P. S. Ameen, ...	Sheikh Momin Ulee Khan,	15	18	3	3	11	305	355	86·	
S. Ameen 1st Grade, Moonsiff at Etawah, ...	Subee-ood-deen, from 1st January, to 9th August, 1863, ...	74	172	12	39	44	143	484	30·	
	Abdool Ruhman Khan, from 10th August, to 31st December, 1863.	46	124	9	18	41	84	322	26·	
Moonsiff 2nd Grade, Etah, ...	Kunhya Lall, ...	100	286	26	10	57	356	835	43·	
Do. 3rd Grade, Mynpoorie, ...	Kumal-ood-deen Ahmud,	137	380	92	11	83	250	953	26·	
Do. 3rd Grade, Doondwarah, at Allygurh, ...	Ahmud-ool-lah, ...	93	48	8	6	27	394	576	68·	
	Total, ...	465	1,028	150	101	275	1,804	3,823	47·	

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 5 to Column 2.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1863, ...	988	1,361	2,514	* 4,818	20	* This excludes 75 cases transferred to other Courts.
1862, ...	880	775	1,902	3,557	25	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on Merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	161	173	156	161	123	140	...	3	55	91
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	405	411	125	379	157	270	...	...	137	141
Moonsiffs, ...	* 4,352	† 6,318	* 2,312	* 5,102	† 3,372	† 6,785	2	...	* 621	† 917
Total, ...	* 4,918	† 6,902	* 2,593	* 5,642	† 3,652	† 7,195	2	3	* 813	† 1,149
Increase, ...	...	1,984	...	...	1,059	1,553	...	1	...	336
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

* Exclusive of Moonsiffee Doondwarah.
† Inclusive of ditto ditto.

Appeals under Act X of 1859.

	Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of last year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Decided. Confirmed.	Decided. Reversed or modified.	Decided. Otherwise disposed of.	Decided. Total.	Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Officers in 1868.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
Mynpoorie.	Mr. H. M. Chase, Offg. Collr. and Dy. Collr.	7	36	43	38	...	5	43	...	...	149	24·
	„ W. Wilson, Assistant Collector, ...	4	11	15	6	6	1	13	2	46·	56	20·
	„ H. G. Keene, Officiating ditto, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	7	14·
	Nawab Syfolla Khan, Deputy Collector, ...	4	1	5	3	2	...	5	...	40·	7	14·
	Imdad Alee, Deputy Collector, ...	...	7	7	2	2	...	4	3	50·	26	27·
	Ajoodhia Pershad, ditto of Bhogaon, ...	6	4	10	5	2	...	7	3	28·	57	7·
	Rughbuns Sahai, ditto of Shekoabad, ...	1	5	6	3	1	1	5	1	20·	61	8·
	Allee Mahomed Khan, do. of Moostufabad,	5	2	7	4	1	2	7	...	14·	24	8·
	Ruheem-ood-deen Khan, do. of Mynpoorie,	...	3	3	...	1	...	1	2	100·	4	75·
	Hafeez-ood-deen, ditto of Kurhal, ...	...	7	7	1	5	...	6	1	83·	23	39·
Etah.	Mr. C. Twigg, Assistant Collector, ...	...	3	3	1	1	...	2	1	50·	34	9·
	„ D. L. Sandford, Deputy Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
	Debee Pershad, ditto ditto, ...	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	...	...
	Dawur Alee, ditto of Etah, ...	11	7	18	8	6	2	16	2	37·	40	17·
	Kirait Oollah, ditto of Kassgunge, ...	2	1	3	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
	Umjud Alee, Deputy Collector, ...	...	15	15	2	3	1	6	9	50·	200	7·
	Haree Lall, ditto of Allygunge, ...	...	6	6	6	...	...	6	...	...	51	12·
	Dawur Alee, Deputy Collector, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Etawah.	Mr. A. O. Hume, Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	57	2·
	„ F. Elliots, Assistant Collector, ...	7	4	11	3	4	2	9	2	44·	47	9·
	„ A. Barnard, Deputy ditto, ...	...	18	18	4	8	2	14	4	62·	221	8·
	Koer Luchmun Singh, ditto, ...	17	8	25	11	10	3	24	1	45·	91	9·
	Allee Bux, Deputy Collector, ...	...	22	22	1	19	1	21	1	90·	186	12·
	Mahomed Hussun, ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	46	4·
	Mehdi Alee, ditto of Etawah, ...	2	3	5	3	1	1	5	...	20·	117	3·
	Gobind Pershad, ditto of Etawah, ...	4	1	5	3	...	2	5	...	40·	51	2·
	Zoolficar Alee, ditto of Etawah, ...	...	7	7	3	...	4	7	...	...	90	8·
	Total, ...	73	176	249	110	76	30	214	35	36·	1,645	11·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24 Act VIII. of 1859. Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Kunhya Lal, 2nd Grade Moonsiff at Etah,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kumal-ood-deen 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Mynpoorie,	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	2	...	...
Total, ...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	2	...	...

REMARKS.

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officers.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. J. H. Batten, Judge, from 1st January, to 29th April, 1863, ...	...	6	28	...	8	11
„ H. Lushington, Officiating Judge, from 15th May, to 18th June, 1863, ...	...	...	...	...	9	...
Mr. F. Thompson, from 19th June, to 11th December, 1863, ...	...	...	...	...	5	14
Sheikh Momin Ulee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	2	14	...	1	20
Subee-ood-deen, from 1st January, to 9th August, 1863, ... (Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...)	...	1	11	...	1	13
Abdool Ruhman Khan, from 10th August, to 31st December, 1863, ... (Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...)	...	1	5	...	1	2
Kunhya Lall, 2nd Grade Moonsiff at Etah,	...	...	30	...	1	1
Kumal-ood-deen Ahmud, 3rd Grade Moonsiff at Mynpoorie, ...	...	1	29	...	1	3
Ahmud-ool-lah, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Doondwara at Allygunge, ...	...	1	11	...	1	7

* In Shahjehanpore.

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. J. H. Batten, Judge, from 1st January, to 29th April, 1863, ...	6	1	6	13	39	52	25·
„ H. Lushington, Officiating Judge, from 15th May, to 18th June, 1863, ...	...	...	...	...	42	42	...
Mr. F. Thompson, Officiating Judge, from 19th June, to 11th December, 1863, ...	9	5	5	19	185	204	9·
Sheikh Momin Ulee Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	46	75	66	187	168	355	53·
Subee-ood-deen, from 1st January, to 9th August, 1863. ... (Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...)	79	31	320	430	46	476	90·
Abdool Ruhman, from 10th August, to 31st December, 1863, ... (Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...)	46	5	236	287	43	330	87·
Kunhya Lall, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Etah,	74	314	291	679	156	835	81·
Kumal-ood-deen Ahmud, 3rd Grade Moonsiff at Mynpoorie, ...	107	189	507	803	150	953	84·
Ahmud-ool-lah, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Doondwara at Allygunge, ...	106	11	450	567	9	576	98·
Total, ...	473	631	1,881	2,985	838	3,823	77·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

Zillah.	Name of Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						Involuntary and Permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9	10.	11.	12	13.	14.	15.
Mynpoorie.	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	17	...	...	...	17	
	Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Etawah, ...	...	...	...	53	...	53	...	17	...	2	...	19	
	2nd Grade Moonsiff of Etah,	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	29	...	11	...	40	
	3rd Grade do. of Mynpoorie	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...	29	
	3rd Grade do. of Doondwarah, ...	...	1	...	4	...	5	...	34	...	...	...	34	
	Total, ...	...	1	...	65	...	66	...	97	...	42	...	139	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeal.				M. D.		
Sheikh Momin Alee Khan, ...	7	19	15·	22·	74 Days	1 20	53·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in each year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
						M. D.		
Etawah S. Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff's Court,	Gunput Rai, } late,	...	...	33·	...	...	...	
	Sufee-ood-deen, } late,	31	15·	29·	...	1 13	90·	
	Abdool Ruhman Khan,	30	7·	20·	37	1 2	87·	
Etah 2nd Grade Moonsiff's Court,	Kunhya Lall,	40	3·	61·	31	1 1	81·	
	Nujuf Khan, late, ...	...	...	66·	...	...	...	
Mynpoorie 3rd Grade ditto, ...	Kumai-ood-deen Ahmud,	40	9·	36·	46	1 3	84·	
Doonwarah 3rd Grade ditto, ...	Ahmud-ool-lah, ...	40	5·	20·	27	1 7	98·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubana after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of Salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	6	542 0 9	483 3 1	103 13 8	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	17	1,181 15 0	1,055 8 3	126 6 9	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...	44	2,827 11 0	2,646 1 0	181 10 0	...	
2nd Grade Moonsiff at Etah, ...	25	2,003 1 0	1,665 10 7	337 6 5	...	
3rd Grade Moonsiff at Mynpoorie, ...	34	2,438 5 9	2,189 1 11	249 3 10	...	
3rd Grade ditto of Doondwarah at Allygunge, ...	24	1,628 13 9	1,571 13 2	57 0 7	...	
Total, ...	150	10,621 15 3	9,566 6 0	1,055 9 3	...	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Bazeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judge's salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	9,165 7 0	100 0 0	9,065 7 0	9,000 0 0	65 7 0	..	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...	6,718 8 0	39 0 0	6,679 8 0	4,225 10 9	2,453 13 3	...	
Moonsiff, 2nd Grade at Etah, ...	5,730 1 0	97 8 0	5,632 9 0	3,360 0 0	2,272 9 0	...	
Ditto, 3rd Grade at Mynpoorie, ..	6,981 14 0	108 0 0	6,873 14 0	2,575 8 0	4,298 6 0	...	
Ditto, 3rd Grade at Allygunge, ...	3,497 10 0	28 0 0	3,469 10 0	2,575 8 0	894 2 0	...	
Total, ...	32,093 8 0	372 8 0	31,721 0 0	21,736 10 9	9,984 5 3	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1862.		1863.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	337	384	180	195	232	248	...	...	103	125
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	185	242	389	430	368	400	...	...	48	43
Sudder Ameen, ...	42	...	41	56	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	2,529	3,176	2,975	3,519	2,827	3,268	...	...	340	262
Total, ...	3,093	3,802	3,585	4,200	3,427	3,916	...	...	491	430
Increase, ...	...	709	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	158	234	...	...	...	61

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4	5.	6	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total Number of Cases disposed of during the year								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or Withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	W. J. Bramley, Esq., ...	5	...	1	7	9	226	248	91·	
P. S Ameen, ...	Rai Kanjee Suhoy, ...	38	36	23	2	30	271	400	67·	
Moonsiff of Coel, ...	Hafooz-ood-deen Ahmud,	148	250	18	10	149	257	832	30·	
Do of Hatrass, ...	Zakur Hosseln, ..	132	117	12	14	62	280	617	45·	
Do of Akrabad,	Rughoonath Suhoy, ...	317	59	5	8	32	379	795	47·	
Do. of Khyr, ...	Sooltan Hussun, ...	38	128	8	19	52	58	303	19·	
Do. of Kashgunj,	Nizam Alee Khan, ...	155	102	13	1	99	351	721	48·	
	Total, ...	833	692	80	56	433	1,822	3,916	46·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.			
			1862.		1863.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending on 1st January.	
	1862.	1863.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	On 1st January, 1863.	On 1st January, 1864.	1863.	1864.
Judge, ...	97	105	78	111	75	90	...	...	19	44
P. S. Ameen, ...	692	751	257	744	252	711	6	3	257	315
Sudder Ameen, ...	230	...	108	438	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs, ...	8,474	7,909	4,501	9,056	5,029	8,622	1	1	1,176	1,080
Total, ...	9,493	8,765	4,944	10,349	5,356	9,423	7	4	1,452	1,439
Increase, ...	...	...	...	...	412	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	728	...	...	...	926	...	3	...	13

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for Execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely Executed.	Partially Executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	1,254	1,446	2,599	5,299	23·
1862, ...	1,007	1,200	3,764	5,971	17·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1863.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Allygurh.	Mr. F. M. Bird, Offg. Collr.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...
	„ H. B. Webster, do.,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	...
	„ Boulderson, Dy. do.,	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	„ Hall, do.,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...
	„ Turner, ...	...	18	18	4	5	1	10	8	50·	73	24·
	„ Willock, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	„ Kinlock, ...	9	37	46	18	12	1	31	15	38·	205	18.
	Rajah Joykishen Doss, ...	3	12	15	3	1	1	5	10	20·	34	35.
	Ahsan Ulee Beg, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	35	2·
	Misree Lall, ...	...	7	7	...	7	...	7	...	100·	14	50·
	Goorsurrun Doss, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...
Etah.	Mr. Twigg, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	50·
	„ E. Churcher, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
	„ Sandford, ...	...	10	10	1	3	1	5	5	60·	114	8·
	Kifait-oollah, ...	...	8	8	2	2	3	7	1	28·	63	12·
	Ujid Ulee Beg, ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	3	66.
	Dabee Pershad, ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	Gunga Pershad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
	Total, ...	12	100	112	31	32	7	71	41	45·	630	16·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal Commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
W. J. Bramley, Esq., Civil Judge, ...	1	1	...	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	
Zakir Hossein, Moonsiff of Hatrass,	1	1	...	1	1	4	...	4	...	...	...	...	
Rughoonath Suhay, Moonsiff of Akrabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	10	...	10	
Sooltan Hussun, Moonsiff of Khyr, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Total, ...	2	2	...	2	2	5	1	4	3	11	...	11	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1862.			1863.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
W. J. Bramley, Esq., Judge, ...	...	5	21	...	4	7
Rai Kanjee Suhay, Principal Sudder Ameen, ..	...	1	16	...	2	7
Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmud, Moonsiff of Coel, ...	...	1	23	...	1	7
Zaker Hussein, ditto of Hatrass, ...	...	1	1	...	...	21
Rughoonath Suhay, ditto of Akrabad ...	...	1	7	...	...	16
Sooltan Hussun, ditto of Khyr, ...	....	...	...	...	1	6
Nizam Ali Khan, ditto of Kasgunj, ...	...	1	22	...	1	16

Personal Attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by Summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge, ...	31	22	18	71	177	248	28·
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	57	56	145	258	142	400	64·
Moonsiff of Coel, ...	149	191	432	772	60	832	92·
Do. of Hatrass, ...	131	75	346	552	65	617	89·
Do. of Akrabad, ...	234	75	348	657	138	795	82·
Do. of Khyr, ...	50	71	120	241	62	303	79·
Do. of Kasgunj, ...	161	141	359	661	60	721	91.
Total, ...	813	631	1,768	3,212	704	3,916	82·

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year. Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary. By order of Court under Section 243. Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	At instance of the Collector under Section 244. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Total. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Involuntary and permanent under Section 248. Hereditary. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Other. Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Total. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Allygurh, ...	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	26	...	11	...	37	
Ditto, ...	Moonsiff of Coel, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	18	...	1	...	19	
Ditto, ...	Ditto of Hatrass, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	...	20	
Ditto, ...	Ditto of Akrabad, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	12	...	2	...	14	
Ditto, ...	Ditto of Khyr, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	38	...	2	...	40	
Etah, ...	Ditto of Kasgunj, ...	...	...	...	6	...	6	...	11	...	2	...	13	
	Total, ...	...	2	...	8	...	10	...	125	...	18	...	143	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen	Average number of regular Cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem. Original Suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of Suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
Rai Kanjee Suhay, ... Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	16	10	22·	22·	1 9	2 7	64·	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of Suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
Coel, ..	Hafeez-ood-deen Ahmud,	34	8·	37·	1 13	1 7	92·	
Hatrass, ...	Zakir Hoosein, ..	34	8·	25·	0 5	0 21	89·	
Akrabad,	Rughoonuth Sahoy, ...	58	5·	36·	0 14	0 16	82·	
Khyr, ..	Sooltan Hussun, ...	8	8·	47·	0 10	1 6	79	
Kasgunj,	Nizam Ulee Khan, ...	42	5·	47·	2 2	1 16	91·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

Name of Court.	Number of Peons.	Amount of Tulubanah after payment of one-fourth to the Nazir of the Court.	Amount of salary of Peons.	Surplus at close of year.	Deficit.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	4	457 3 0	252 2 6	205 0 6	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	18	1,479 8 3	1,074 0 6	405 7 9	...	
Moonsiff of Coel, ...	19	1,556 11 9	1,248 7 0	308 4 9	...	
Ditto of Hatrass, ...	16	1,450 2 0	1,061 14 9	388 3 3	...	
Ditto of Akrabad, ...	15	1,633 11 1	1,017 11 10	615 15 3	...	
Ditto of Khyr, ...	10	1,039 9 9	732 10 9	306 15 0	...	
Ditto of Kasgunj, ...	19	1,763 12 0	1,143 7 11	620 4 1	...	
Total, ...	101	9,380 9 10	6 530 7 3	2,850 2 7	...	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, exclusive of the Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P		
Principal Sudder Ameen,	19,524 11 0	179 0 0	19,345 11 0	6,600 0 0	12,745 11 0		
Moonsiff of Coel, ...	7,358 0 0	273 0 0	7,085 0 0	3,360 0 0	3,725 0 0		
Do. of Hatrass, ...	4,745 3 0	45 0 0	4,700 3 0	2,640 0 0	2,060 3 0		
Do. of Akrabad, ...	5,139 0 0	38 0 0	5,101 0 0	2,640 0 0	2,461 0 0		
Da. of Khyr, ...	2,412 4 0	45 8 0	2,366 12 0	2,640 0 0	...	273-4	
Do. of Kasgunj,	5,685 10 0	106 0 0	5,579 10 0	2,605 0 0	2,974 10 0		
Total, ...	44,864 12 0	686 8 0	44,178 4 0	20,485 0 0	23,966 8 0	273-4	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863, and the average length of time the Original Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.

1.	2.	3.	4.		5.	
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Number of Suits pending on 1st January, 1863.		Instituted during the year 1863.	
			Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
Meerut.	Seharunpore, ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, ...	1	63	...	367
	Meerut, ...	„ R. Spankie, ...	5	36	...	286
		„ G. D. Turnbull, ...				
	Allygurh, ...	„ W. J. Bramly, ...	...	103	...	384
Rohilcund.	Morndabad, ...	Mr. J. Power, ...	1	148	...	457
	Bareilly, ...	„ R. H. W. Dunlop, ...	...	182	...	576
		„ H. Vansittart, ...				
	Shahjehanpore, ...	Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, ...	2	93	...	399
Agra.	Agra, ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson, ...	15	169	1	408
		„ H. Lushington, ...				
	Furruckabad, ...	„ F. M. Lind, ...	7	54	...	411
		„ M. B Thornhill, ...				
	Mynpoorie, ...	„ J. H. Batten, ...	6	169	...	458
		„ H. Lushington, ...				
		„ F. Thompson, ...				
Allahabad.	Cawnpore, ...	Mr. G. E. Lance, ...	4	103	...	333
	Futtehpore, ...	„ E. J. Boldero, ...	2	57	14	230
	Allahabad, ...	„ G. P. Money, ...	13	49	...	362
		„ H. G. Keene, ...				
Benares.	Azimgurh, ...	Mr. A. Ross, ...	1	167	...	733
	Goruckpore, ...	„ A. Swinton, ...	3	477	...	822
		„ C. R. Lindsay, ...				
	Jounpore, ...	„ M. B. Thornhill, ...	...	218	...	688
		„ H. B. Henderson, ...				
	Mirzapore, ...	„ H. P. Fane, ...	1	207	...	262
	Benares, ...	„ C. Horne, ...	...	11	...	121
	Ghazeepore, ...	„ J. R. Carnac, ...	...	189	...	698
		„ J. R. Best, ...				
		„ B. Sapte, ...				
		Total, ...	61	2,495	15	8,000

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, year 1863, and the average length of time the Original

1.	2.	3.	6.		7.	
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Received by transfer.		Total.	
			Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
MEERUT.	Scharunpore, ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, ...	6	...	7	430
	Meerut, ...	„ R. Spankie, ... „ G. D. Turnbull, ...	37	...	42	322
	Allygurh, ...	„ W. J. Bramly, ...	16	14	16	501
ROHILCUND.	Moradabad, ...	Mr. J. Power, ...	39	1	40	606
	Bareilly, ...	„ R. H. W. Dunlop, ... „ H. Vansittart, ...	12	15	12	773
	Shahjehanpore, ...	Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, ...	2	7	4	499
AGRA.	Agra, ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson, ... „ H. Lushington, ...	22	5	38	582
	Furruckabad, ...	„ F. M. Lind, ... „ M. B. Thornhill, ...	200	12	207	477
	Mynpoorie, ...	„ J. H. Batten, ... „ H. Lushington, ... „ F. Thompson, ...	6	2	12	629
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore, ...	Mr. G. E. Lance, ...	11	5	15	441
	Futtehpore, ...	„ E. J. Boldero, ...	13	25	29	312
	Allahabad, ...	„ G. P. Money, ... „ H. G. Keene, ...	15	4	28	415
BENARES.	Azimgurh, ...	Mr. A. Ross, ...	34	21	35	926
	Goruckpore, ...	„ A. Swinton, ... „ C. R. Lindsay, ...	4	16	7	1,315
	Jounpore, ...	„ M. B. Thornhill, ... „ H B. Henderson, ...	5	25	5	931
	Mirzapore, ...	„ H. P. Fane, ...	11	...	12	469
	Benares, ...	„ C. Horne, ...	32	11	32	143
	Ghazeepore, ...	„ J. R. Carnac, ... „ J. R. Best, ... „ B. Sapte, ...	1	12	1	899
		Total, ...	466	175	542	10,670

and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued)

8.		9.		10.		11.		12.		13.		14.	
		Decided.											
Transferred to other Courts.		On trial on the evidence in presence of both parties.		Ex-parte.		Confession.		Rejected.		Dismissed on default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.	
Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
...	195	4	158	1	4	...	...	...	7	...	...	2	6
2	...	32	286	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	5	2	5
3	141	9	218	...	5	...	...	...	6	...	1	3	6
7	386	31	144	...	...	1	...	...	19	1	1	...	3
...	361	12	168	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	6	...	4
...	111	2	292	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	6
14	204	12	112	...	...	1	...	...	27	...	5	1	4
5	78	85	362	32	...	14	...	1	7	34	13	18	3
...	264	4	40	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	3
		1	33	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	6	...	...
		4	190	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	9
...	60	12	238	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	15	1	9
...	1	21	270	1	3	...	...	...	15	...	1	1	3
5	81	13	230	5	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	4	7
1	102	8	745	...	...	4	...	...	6	...	10	18	33
5	727	1	450	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	1	...	1
...	266	4	504	...	1	...	...	...	12	...	31	...	7
1	125	8	200	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15
3	...	9	114	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	3	17	7
...	553	...	39	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3
		...	31	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	2
		...	29	...	1	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
46	3,655	272	4,863	42	15	24	1	1	116	35	107	67	136

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, year 1863, and the average length of time the Original

1.	2.	3.	15.		16.	
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Non-suited.		Pending at the end of 1863.	
			Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
MEERUT.	Seharunpore, ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, ...	...	...	...	60
	Meerut, ...	„ R. Spankie, ...	...	...	3	26
		„ G. D. Turnbull, ...				
	Allygurh, ...	„ W. J. Bramly, ...	...	...	1	124
ROHILCUND.	Moradabad, ...	Mr. J. Power, ...	...	...	...	53
	Bareilly, ...	„ R. H. W. Dunlop, ...	...	...	...	233
		„ H. Vansittart, ...				
	Shahjehanpore, ...	Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, ...	...	...	2	87
AGRA.	Agra, ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson, ...	...	...	10	230
		„ H. Lushington, ...				
	Furruckabad, ...	„ F. M. Lind, ...	...	...	18	14
		„ M. B. Thornhill, ...				
	Mynpoorie, ...	„ J. H. Batten, ...	...	...	3	76
		„ H. Lushington, ...				
		„ F. Thompson, ...				
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore, ...	Mr. G. E. Lance, ...	...	...	1	119
	Futtehpore, ...	„ E. J. Boldero, ...	...	...	6	19
	Allahabad, ...	„ G. P. Money, ...	...	...	1	93
		„ H. G. Keene, ...				
BENARES.	Azimgurh, ...	Mr. A. Ross, ...	...	...	4	30
	Goruckpore, ...	„ A. Swinton, ...	...	...	1	182
		„ C. R. Lindsay, ...				
	Jounpore, ...	„ M. B. Thornhill, ...	...	...	1	110
		„ H. B. Henderson, ...				
	Mirzapore, ...	„ H. P. Fane, ...	...	...	1	129
	Benares, ...	„ C. Horne, ...	...	...	2	16
	Ghazeepore, ...	„ J. R. Carnac, ...	...	...	1	226
		„ J. R. Best, ...				
		„ B. Sapte, ...				
		Total, ...	...	...	55	1,777

and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued.)

17.			18.	19.	20.			21.
Result of Appeals.					Average duration of Suits.			
Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Proportion of Appeals admitted to Suits decided.	Proportion of reversals to Appeals tried.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Remarks.
69	5	26	38·	16·	...	2	22	
140	2	3	45·	40·	...	1	9	
10	1	7		13·				
84	5	2	34·	71·	...	4	7	
31	1	...	16·	100·	...	5	2	
68	3	3	49·	50·	...	2	28	
26	17	9		65·				
141	14	20	46·	41·	...	5	6	
72	11	12	57·	48·	...	10	20	
20	1	...		100·	...	1	5	
19	1	...	12·	100·	...	...	20	
48	...	...		...	...	8	11	
51	6	17		26·	...	9	...	
9	...	...	28·	...	...	5	14	
24	...	...		...	...	4	1	
63	...	1	23·	...	...	1	...	
82	...	...	26·	...	...	3	...	
143	4	18	57·	18·	...	3	...	
6	...	...		...				
265	27	50	32·	35·	...	2	23	
56	10	21	25·	32·	...	3	29	
58	...	...		...				
61	1	...	18·	100·	...	2	20	
39	...	...		...				
64	2	7	28·	22·	...	11	7	
108	26	16	70·	62·	...	...	27	
74	19	18	...	51·	...	11	29	
3	...	...	8·	...	1	1	24	
...	...	...	...	...	1	1	11	
1,834	156	230	32·	40·	...	6	28	

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, year 1863, and the average length of time the Original

1.	2.	3.	4.		5.	
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Number of Suits pending on 1st January, 1863.		Instituted during the year 1863.	
			Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
		PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.				
MEERUT.	Seharunpore, ...	Sookhbaee Lall, ...	27	18	169	4
	Dehra Dhoon, ...	Mr. S. Melville, ...	4	...	4	...
	Meerut, ...	Abdool Azeez, ... / Pygumber Bux, ...	78	61	311	3
	Allygurh, ...	Rai Kanjee Sahoy, ...	40	8	242	...
ROHILCUND.	Moradabad, ...	Hussun Ruzza Khan, ... / Mahomed Hussun, ... / Mahomed Hussun, (2nd.)	25	18	163	...
	Bareilly, ...	Mahomed Kasim Allee Khan, ...	38	52	93	...
	Shahjehanpore, ...	Rai Dooboy Jwala Pershad, ... / Rai Dabee Dyal, ...	25	1	91	...
AGRA.	Agra, ...	Mahomed Bux, ... / Dabee Dyal, ...	68	26	457	2
		Mr. V. Berkeley, ... / Mahomed Bux, ...	5	19	1	...
	Furruckabad, ...	Kazee Inayut Hoosein Khan, ...	4	...	104	2
	Mynpoorie, ...	Sheikh Momin Allee, ...	17	35	112	...
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore, ...	Mahomed Hussun, ... / Abdoolla Khan, ...	45	...	196	...
	Banda, ...	Rai Soonder Lall, ...	99	...	881	...
	Allahabad, ...	Khoorsheid Allee Khan, ...	26	3	287	...
BENARES.	Goruckpore, ...	Mooftee Assud-oolla Khan, ...	36	297	99	...
		Syud Pygumber Bux, ...	...	...	...	...
	Azimgurh, ...	Pygumber Bux, ... / Abdool Uzeez, ...	11	17	71	...
	Jounpore, ...	Mahomed Abdoolla Khan, ... / Mahomed Hussun Ruzza Khan, ...	9	1	115	2
	Mirzapore, ...	Hubeeb-oolla Khan, ...	76	2	574	...
	Benares, ...	Mr. R. H. Smith, ...	22	...	513	...
	Ghazeepore, ...	Syud Ahmed Khan, ...	8	3	97	...
		Total, ...	663	561	4,580	13

and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued.)

6.		7.		8.		9		10.		11.		12.	
						Decided.							
Received by transfer.		Total.		Transferred to other Courts.		On trial on the evidence in presence of both parties.		Ex-parte.		Confession.		Rejected.	
Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
4	197	200	219	6	...	94	200	13	...	40	...	...	..
...	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	...	...
35	1	424	65	32	...	212	57	29	[illegible]	42	...	...	...
16	142	298	150	5	...	156	138	38	...	33	3	...	...
6	393	194	411	...	...	98	308	21	...	5	...	...	1
9	362	140	414	9	2	67	286	12	...	11	1	..	...
8	112	124	113	...	...	79	76	8	...	8	..	...	...
23	203	548	231	14	...	309	215	37	...	29	...	...	...
1	...	7	19	1	...	3	19	3	...	...	...	...	...
115	77	223	79	27	2	108	73	33	1	18	...	...	...
15	256	144	291	7	1	72	236	15	...	18	...	...	...
155	60	396	60	9	...	145 75	59 ...	16 7	... ...	37 8	... ...	1 ...	... ...
4	...	984	...	16	...	355	...	63	...	299	...	2	...
11	51	324	54	8	...	140	52	44	...	64	.	...	...
37	375	172	672	5	82	97	485	2	4	18	...	...	...
...	486	...	486	...	51	...	421	...	5	...	...	...	...
120	107	202	124	14	...	112	100	4	...	4	...	...	..
23	267	147	270	4	1	87	243	8	...	10	...	...	...
15	116	665	118	10	...	289	78	58	...	99	...	...	...
15	1	550	1	31	...	337	1	43	...	42	...	...	...
8	528	113	531	1	...	79	470	5	...	3	...	1	1
620	3,734	5,863	4,308	199	139	2,914	3,517	461	10	789	4	4	2

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, year 1863, and the average length of time the Original

1.	2.	3.	13.		14.	
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Dismissed on default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.	
			Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
		PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEENS.				
MEERUT.	Seharunpore, ...	Sookhbasee Lall, ...	...	...	12	...
	Dehra Dhoon, ...	Mr. S. Melville, ...	...	...	2	...
	Meerut, ...	Abdool Azeez, ...	2	4	32	4
		Pygumbor Bux, ...				
	Allygurh, ...	Rai Kanjee Sahay, ...	...	1	24	6
ROHILCUND.	Moradabad, ...	Hussun Ruzza Khan, ...	2	2	14	4
		Mahomed Hussun, ...				
		Mahomed Hussun,(2nd.) ...				
	Bareilly, ...	Mahomed Kasim Allee Khan, ...	2	10	6	3
	Shahjehanpore, ...	Rai Doobey Jwala Pershad, ...	2	3	5	...
		Rai Dabee Dyal, ...				
AGRA.	Agra, ...	Mahomed Bux, ...	6	1	37	1
		Dabee Dyal, ...				
		Mr. V. Berkeley, ...	...	...	...	...
		Mahomed Bux, ...				
	Furruckabad, ...	Kazee Inayut Hosein Khan, ...	7	3	15	...
	Mynpoorie, ...	Sheikh Momin Allee, ...	...	3	8	3
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore, ...	Mahomed Hussun, ...	10	...	40	1
		Abdoolla Khan,, ...	5	...	5	...
	Banda, ...	Rai Soonder Lall, ...	60	...	116	...
	Allahabad, ...	Khoorshed Allee Khan, ...	4	...	27	2
BENARES.	Gorruckpore, ...	Mooftee Assud-oolla Khan, ...	...	2	4	2
		Syud Pygumber Bux, ...	...	7	...	2
	Azimgurh, ...	Pygumber Bux, ...	1	...	12	...
		Abdool Uzeez, ...				
	Jounpore, ...	Mahomed Abdoolla Khan, ...	1	...	10	...
		Mahomed Hussun Ruzza Khan, ...				
	Mirzapore, ...	Habeeb-oolla Khan, ...	62	...	41	...
	Benares, ...	Mr. R. H Smith, ...	8	...	42	...
	Ghazeepore, ...	Syud Ahmed Khan, ...	...	5	2	4
		Total, ...	172	41	454	32

and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued.)

15.		16.		17.			18.	19.	20.			21.
Non-suited.		Pending at the end of 1863.		Result of Appeals.			Proportion of appeals admitted to suits decided.	Proportion of reversals to appeals tried.	Average duration of suits.			Remarks.
Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.			Years.	Months.	Days.	
...	...	35	19	40	19	25	11·	42·	...	1	3	
...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	24	
...	...	75	...	70	23	45	21·	33·	...	3	6	
				9	...	...		...	...	2	25	
1	...	41	2	65	5	16	16·	24·	...	2	7	
1	...	53	96	43	16	35	12·	31·	...	1	10	
				11	...	...	...	...	...	1	7	
				...	...	...	...	...	...	1	6	
...	...	33	112	36	4	45	9·	8·	...	2	5	
...	...	22	34	49	17	28	27·	38·	...	1	16	
				...	...	...	...	...	...	2	8	
3	...	113	14	142	34	43	22·	44·	...	1	15	
				...	...	...	...	...				
...	...	...	...	2	2	6	8·	25·	...	9	9	
				...	...	...	...	...				
1	...	14	...	30	17	17	12·	50·	...	...	24	
...	...	24	48	35	10	32	10·	22·	...	1	20	
1	...	37	...	71	24	69	23·	34·	...	2	12	
...	...			7	...	...	7·	...				
...	...	73	...	77	23	63	9·	26·	...	1	19	
...	...	37	...	74	18	58	22·	24·	...	1	9	
...	...	46	97	58	45	66	9·	41·	...	7	28	
...	...	...	...	1	12	3	...	80·	...	5	11	
...	...	55	24	21	6	11	9·	35·	...	2	3	
				...	...	...	...	...				
1	...	26	26	80	62	82	22·	43·	...	...	28	
				...	...	...	...	...				
1	...	105	40	22	3	10	4·	23·	...	2	3	
5	...	42	...	103	37	53	22·	41·	...	...	29	
1	2	21	49	36	3	4	6·	37·	...	1	11	
15	2	855	561	1,082	380	711	13·	35·	...	2	8	

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863, and the average length of time the Original Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued.)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.			18.	19.	20.			21.
								Decided.								Result of Appeals.					Average duration of suits.			
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officer.	Number of suits pending on 1st January, 1863.	Instituted during the year 1863.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	On trial on the evidence in presence of both parties.	Ex parte.	Confession.	Rejected.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Non-suited.	Pending at the end of 1863.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Proportion of appeals admitted to suits decided.	Proportion of reversals to appeals tried.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Remarks.
		SUDDER AMEENS.																						
	Dehra Doon, ...	Mr. S. Melville, ...	12	36	...	48	...	18	7	8	...	1	7	...	7	5	...	3	12·	...	...	4	6	
	Bijnour, ...	Mahomed Hussun, ...	1	52	1	54	...	26	8	7	...	...	2	1	10	8	2	1	25·	67·	...	...	16	
		Kazim Allee, ...														3	...	...		...	...	1	11	
	Bareilly, ...	Alee Bux Khan, ...	47	260	5	312	9	116	40	44	3	11	18	...	71	162	33	88	70·	27·	...	1	2	
	Budaon, ...	Rai Sheodial Singh, ...	14	228	2	244	16	56	15	103	...	13	20	...	21	4	7	7	10·	50·	...	...	22	
		Mahomed Subbeeooddeen,														16	1	1		50·	...	1	13	
	Furruckabad, ...	Heith Lall, ...	19	125	...	144	43	47	16	17	1	1	13	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	29	
	Futtehpore, ...	Hamid Hussun Khan, ...	73	685	5	763	5	249	72	274	...	16	68	3	76	79	13	64	12·	17·	...	1	5	
	Goruckpore, ...	Syud Kasim Alee Khan,	133	782	48	963	21	455	41	236	...	66	43	...	101	295	99	328	35·	23·	...	1	17	
	Azimgurh, ...	Dabee Dyal, ...	82	863	20	965	133	323	51	80	...	45	216	3	114	27	12	26	7.	32·	...	1	22	
		Mahomed Hussun, ...														26	8	13		38·				
	Ghazeepore, ...	Rai Buktawur Singh, ...	10	153	3	166	1	111	4	5	...	1	7	...	37	50	13	17	39·	42·	...	...	27	
		Total, ...	391	3,184	84	3,659	228	1,401	254	774	4	154	394	7	443	675	188	548	26·	26·	...	1	19	

		MOONSIFFS.																					
SEHARUNPORE.	Seharunpore, ...	Kasim Alee, ...	78	1,071	7	1,156	1	262	137	245	...	26	351	2	132	87	40	72	16·	46·	...	1	8
		Mankee Doss, ...														39	3	10	8·	8·			
	Deobund, ...	Kishoree Loll, ...	33	460	2	495	7	137	46	47	...	26	215	...	17	43	7	33	9·	16·	...	...	17
	Mozuffurnugger,	Gunput Rai, ...	33	681	4	718	...	195	66	179	...	14	201	...	63	44	29	14	7·	53·	...	1	6
	Shamlee, ...	Gopal Sahoy, ...	49	735	1	785	...	199	61	349	...	9	140	1	26	40	13	35	6·	17·	...	...	27
	Dehrah Doon, ...	Mr. C. A. Daniell, ...	207	518	5	730	...	141	127	60	...	42	168	1	191	26	15	7	5·	83·	...	4	12
MEERUT.	Meerut, ...	Mirza Allee, ...	60	978	5	1,043	31	406	1	365	3	13	121	...	103	92	37	76	10·	30·	...	...	26
	Boolundshuhur,	Mukhun Loll, ...	12	1,195	5	1,212	...	346	23	562	...	49	184	...	48	41	12	44	4·	21·	...	...	26
	Ghazeeabad, ...	Ain-ood-deen, ...	33	938	2	973	4	681	14	21	...	5	158	1	89	41	16	29	5·	33·	...	...	22
ALLYGURH.	Coel, ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmed,	91	831	12	934	15	275	148	250	...	8	149	2	87	69	24	35	8·	41·	...	1	7
	Hattrass, ...	Zahoor Hoosein, ...	62	561	5	628	1	292	132	117	...	14	62	...	10	52	11	32	8·	26·	...	...	21
	Akrabad, ...	Rughnath Sahoy, ...	49	769	5	823	...	384	317	59	...	3	32	...	28	41	16	26	5·	38·	...	...	16
	Khyr, ...	Sultan Hussun, ...	36	276	3	315	...	66	38	128	...	19	52	...	12	25	8	9	8·	47·	...	1	6
	Kashgunj, ...	Nizam Alee Khan, ...	102	739	9	850	4	864	155	102	...	1	99	...	125	33	15	20	5·	43·	...	1	16
MORADABAD.	Bijnoor, ...	Mahomed Hussun, ...	17	723	7	747	...	268	71	181	...	10	159	...	58	23	2	18	7·	10·	...	...	13
		Kazim Alee, ...														25	8	5		62·	...	...	20
	Nugeenah, ...	Jeewun Kishen, ...	126	977	35	1,138	1	592	91	78	...	39	186	2	149	42	6	28	4·	17·	...	1	...
	Umroha, ...	Shunker Doss, ...	18	659	5	682	1	214	114	212	...	1	114	...	26	67	13	42	10·	24·	...	...	20
	City Moradabad,	Sheonarain, ...	47	484	7	538	10	162	79	125	...	15	95	2	50	76	27	47	16·	36·	...	...	25
	Environs ditto,	Sotee Beharee Loll, ...	27	551	5	583	17	291	97	61	...	1	71	2	43	36	13	24	7·	35·	...	...	27
	Sumbhul, ...	Nawab Roy, ...	85	747	14	846	4	257	64	357	...	9	116	1	38	10	7	3	6·	70·	...	1	...
		Abid Alee, ...														37	12	11		52·	...	1	...
	Billaree, ...	Cheda Loll, ...	62	776	9	847	6	256	82	257	...	24	173	2	47	50	14	28	6·	33·	...	1	8
BAREILLY.	Bareilly, ...	Ali Bux Khan, ...	102	1,028	15	1,145	6	262	129	339	1	66	153	...	189	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Peeleebheet, ...	Muksood Alee Khan, ...	22	480	1	503	1	151	77	182	...	16	66	2	8	38	10	24	8·	29·	...	...	27
	Subs. Bareilly, ...	Jugdumba Pershad, ...	49	844	3	896	2	292	124	207	1	6	161	1	102	92	12	42	12·	22·	...	...	25
	Besulpore, ...	Abdool Basit, ...	15	464	5	484	2	243	60	111	1	...	61	...	6	64	6	37	13·	14·	...	...	16
	Islamnugger, ...	Zuhoor Hoossein, ...	69	609	7	685	...	285	92	139	...	20	71	...	78	40	2	10	7·	17·	...	...	29
	Saheswan,	Umanut Hoosein, ...	40	849	3	892	...	238	150	121	...	7	56	2	98	51	4	6	6·	40·	...	1	...
		Moharuk-oollah, ...						78	46	76	...	4	16	...									
SHAHJEHANPORE.	Shahjehanpore,	Doobey Jwala Pershad,	38	599	6	643	1	245	58	124	...	29	81	2	103	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1
		Mahomed Kuramutoollah,														84	25	39	16·	39·	...	1	19
	Tilhur, ...	Busheer-ood-deen, ...	9	348	2	359	...	99	22	147	...	11	62	5	13	30	18	13	9·	58·	...	...	19
	Powaine, ...	Wuzeer-ood-deen, ...	11	258	...	269	2	72	33	89	...	7	35	...	31	27	5	14	11·	26·	...	1	...
	E. Budaon, ...	Nussur-oollah Khan, ...	24	627	13	664	3	379	52	161	...	14	49	1	5	52	19	29	9·	40	...	...	15
		Wajid Alee, ...														5	...	...		...	...	...	16

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863, and the average length of time the Original Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Continued.)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.			18.	19.	20.			21
								Decided.								Result of Appeals.					Average duration of suits.			
	Zillah Court.	Name of Officers.	Number of suits pending on 1st January, 1863.	Instituted during the year 1863.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	On trial on the evidence in persence of both parties.	Ex parte.	Confession.	Rejected.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Non-suited.	Pending at the end of 1863.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Proportion of appeals admitted to suits decided.	Proportion of reversals to appeals tried.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Remarks.
Shahjehanpore.	Datagunj ...	Wajid Alee, ... Nussur-oollah Khan, ... Nawab Roy, ...	43	328	8	379	...	106	20	152	...	22	48	2	29	34	11	12	10·	48·	... ...	1	1 10 22	
Agra.	Muttra, ...	Abdool Ruhman, ... Khadim Hossein, ... Wuzeer Alee, ...	47	709	17	773	5	242	109	187	...	21	125	...	84	90	36	60	13·	38·	...	...	29	
	Futteeabad, ...	Nawab Roy, ... Nussur-oollah Khan, ...	65	661	28	754	1	197	111	220	...	24	78	...	123	88	45	57	14·	44·	...	1	...	
	Jullaisser, ...	Gopal Sahay, ...	58	832	6	896	1	186	65	310	...	84	122	3	125	1	6	5	...	54·	...	1	7	
Furruckabad.	Southern Division Furruckabad, ...	Hait Lall, ...	38	589	2	629	104	165	74	187	...	17	65	...	17	30	8	22	6·	27·	...	1	6	
	Northern Division Furruckabad, ...	Pundit Hursahuy, ...	44	868	10	922	48	334	173	167	...	75	91	6	28	98	33	68	12·	33·	...	...	18	
	Kaimgunj, ...	Bhugwan Pershad, ...	29	464	...	493	21	235	126	53	...	19	38	...	19	19	4	19	3·	17·	...	...	15	
	Ditto, ...	Abdool Mujeed, ...	...	289	...	289		96	81	74	...	7	13	...									20	
	Chubramow, ...	Kishen Dyal, ...	36	882	...	918	41	418	111	176	...	32	86	6	48	59	18	46	7·	28·	...	...	24	
	Kunnouj, ...	Nasir Alee Khan, ...	28	735	...	763	33	414	165	90	...	12	24	1	24	67	20	47	9·	30·	...	...	20	

Mynpoorie.	Etawah, ...	Subbee-ood-deen, ...	95	787	8	890	2	155	74	172	...	39	44	...	82	77	30	72	15	29·	...	1	13
		Abdool Rahman Khan,						93	46	124	2	16	41	...		24	1	3	7·	20·	...	1	2
	Etah, ...	Kunhya Loll, ...	63	846	4	913	7	382	100	286	...	10	57	...	71	31	25	16	3·	61·	...	1	1
	Mynpoorie, ...	Kumal ood-deen Ahmed,	75	989	10	1,074	3	342	137	380	...	11	83	...	118	87	31	50	9	36·	...	1	3
	Doondwara, ...	Ahmed-oollah, ...	48	568	7	623	3	402	93	48	...	6	27	...	44	29	5	19	5·	20	...	1	7
Cawnpore.	Akbarpore, ...	Mahmood Bux, ...	180	1,058	13	1,251	151	444	92	303	...	71	114	1	76	121	19	71	12	20·	...	...	29
	Rusoolabad, ...	Enayet Hossein, ...	46	520	12	578	3	176	140	99	...	4	101	...	55	70	22	25	13	42·	...	1	2
Futtehpore.	Tirrohaon, ...	Mahomed Alee Khan, ...	7	343	1	351	...	146	24	110	...	9	47	...	15	36	10	30	10·	24·	...	...	26
	Mahoba, ...	Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem,	...	183	18	201	...	61	22	35	...	8	21	...	54	14	3	10	9·	23·	...	1	2
Allahabad.	Allahabad, ...	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom,	74	998	36	1,108	10	462	192	229	...	40	134	2	39	209	39	103	20·	27·	...	...	26
Gorukhpore.	Captaingunj, ...	Mahomed Hussun, ...	18	564	9	591	...	89	14	161	...	26	7	1	44	48	42	100	16·	30·	...	...	21
		Mahomed Ruheem-oollah,						90	8	128	...	16	7	...		14	4	7	6·	36	...	...	23
	Bansee, ...	Shah Abdoollah, ...	22	447	12	481	...	248	25	167	...	4	12	...	25	50	24	70	11·	26·	...	...	18
	Bansgaon, ...	Mahomed Ruheem-oollah,	37	477	19	533	5	170	23	16	...	10	5	...	31	64	59	97	9	38·	...	1	21
		Mahomed Burkut Allee,						116	15	103	...	19	19	1		28	1	4	10	20·	...	...	19
	Deoreea, ...	Moonshee Lukput Rai,	38	611	11	660	...	297	23	214	...	19	58	...	39	74	33	96	12·	26·	..	1	...
Azimgurh.	Mahomdabad, ...	Khadim Hossein, ...	53	693	20	766	2	269	40	161	...	35	48	...	211	133	57	88	26·	32	...	...	29
		Meer Badsha, ...														13	5	3		63			
	Nugra, ...	Abdool Mujeed, ...	31	578	35	644	6	190	26	232	...	56	89	...	45	91	29	63	17·	32	...	1	...
		Bhugwan Pershad, ...														9	...	4		...			
Jounpore.	City Jounpore, ...	Mahomed Kamil, ...	99	696	21	816	7	503	63	54	...	34	26	1	128	137	63	104	27·	38·	...	1	28
	Pergunnah do., ...	Sheikh Mehdi Alee, ...	93	507	[illegible]	606	7	419	90	...	...	16	1	...	73	69	17	41	18·	29·	...	2	9
	Murriahoo, ...	Shah Rahut Alee, ...	71	378	7	456	2	323	37	2	...	14	51	1	26	133	51	68	31·	43·	...	1	12
Mirzapore.	Mirzapore, ...	Ajoodhaya Pershad, ...	74	1,018	24	1,116	6	374	173	263	...	57	141	2	100	109	24	54	11·	31·	...	...	28

STATEMENT shewing the number of Original Suits and Appeals pending, instituted, and disposed of in the several Civil Courts in the North Western Provinces during the year 1863, and the average length of time the Original Suits and Appeals disposed of were pending.—(Concluded.)

1.	2	3	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.			18.	19.	20.			21
								Decided.								Result of Appeals.					Average duration of suits.			
	Zillah Court	Name of Officer.	Number of suits pending on 1st January, 1863.	Instituted during the year, 1863.	Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred to other Courts.	On trial on the evidence in presence of both parties.	Ex parte.	Confession.	Rejected.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Nonsuited.	Pending at the end of 1863.	Appeals admitted.	Reversed or modified.	Confirmed.	Proportion of appeals admitted to suits decided.	Proportion of reversals to appeals tried.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Remarks.
Ghazeepore.	Ghazeepore, ...	Roy Buktawur Singh, ...	14	674	7	695	1	378	55	23	...	18	94	...	121	85	27	46	15·	5	...	...	27	
	Bulleah, ...	Ramkalee Chowdree, ...	20	590	6	616	1	437	63	15	...	...	73	...	27	131	45	77	22·	36·	...	...	15	
	Mohomdabad, ...	Lalla Salig Ram, ...	37	498	9	544	...	115	8	7	...	...	16	...	29	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	
		Moulvee Zein-oolab-deen,						298	8	28	...	...	35	...		86	37	33	18·	51·	...	...	14	
	Sydepore, ..	Kusheenath Biswas, ...	4	600	8	612	2	383	46	64	...	...	63	...	54	60	25	30	11	44·	...	...	13	
	Rusrah, ...	Moulvee Zein-oolab-deen,	6	378	7	391	1	78	6	7	...	1	4	...	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	
		Salig Ram, ...						185	24	45	1	2	21	...		134	59	61	32	48	...	...	14	
		Total, ...	3,199	42,133	583	45,915	592	18,150	5,418	10,548	9	1,362	5,786	56	3,994	7,230	1,419	2,643	10·	35	...	...	29	

Judges, ...	5,135	57	25	117	142	203	...	31,117	Cases Regular and Appeal were decided on the evidence in presence of both parties.
Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	6,431	471	793	6	213	486	17		
Sudder Ameens, ...	1,401	254	774	4	154	394	7	6,200	Ex-parte.
Moonsiffs, ...	18,150	5,418	10,548	9	1,362	5,786	56	12,140	On confession.
Grand Total, ...	31,117	6,200	12,140	136	1,871	6,869	80	49,457	On trial.

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

No. 121 A OF 1864.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQ.,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

J. SIMSON, ESQ.,

Register to the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces,

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 8TH JUNE, 1864.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 512, dated 26th February last, submitting the Court's Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces for the year 1863, and in reply to communicate as follows.

2. The total number of suits instituted during the year, including the income of the Small Cause Courts, was 67,683, which shows an increase of 8,014 over the Return of 1862, but is less than the income in 1859 by 3,537 cases. The Returns for 1860, 1861, and 1862 must, as has been stated in reviewing the Report of those years, be considered exceptional.

3. It is observed that, in Benares and Allahabad, a decrease has taken place in the number of suits and appeals instituted, and I am to request that a report may be called for from the Judges of those Districts as to the causes to which this falling off is attributable.

		1862.	1863.
Benares,	...	710	634
Allahabad,	...	2,138	1,647

4. The Return shewing the number of cases pending at the close of the year is not altogether satisfactory. In all save five districts the total number has increased, and had it not been for the large decrease in Goruckpore, the results, as compared with 1862, would have been still more unfavorable.

5. No reason is assigned for the large increase of more than 300 pending cases in Bareilly, which, in the absence of all explanation, must be pronounced unsatisfactory.

6. The increase of cases pending for more than one year is owing entirely to the unfavorable Returns from Agra and Ghazeepore, which were due to exceptional causes. His Honor is glad to learn that a considerable improvement has been effected in the files of both Districts since the close of the year.

7. It is singular that the amount of Civil business should be so small at the large trading mart of Mirzapore. The large number of pending cases (375) as compared with the low position of the District as regards the amount of work done, exhibited in paras. 17 and 18 of the Report, shows unfavorably.

8. The percentage of *ex parte* cases is the same as last year, and does not appear to be too high. The percentage of arbitration cases is also the same, and of cases decided on confession of judgment almost the same as in 1862. It is very satisfactory to see that 50 per cent. of the whole number of cases were decided on their merits; in 1861 only 35 per cent. were so decided.

9. The total number of miscellaneous cases pending is slightly less than in 1862, but it is not so much so as might have been expected seeing the large de-

crease in the number of cases instituted. The reduction in pending cases is only about 1,000, while the decrease in cases instituted is nearly 8,000.

10. The Returns of Execution of Decrees, on the whole, shew an improvement upon those for 1862.

11. The large decrease in the number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts under Act X. of 1859 is very important, the more especially as the Court have given as one of the reasons for the increasing arrears on their files the large influx of such cases, and have, moreover, expressed their opinion that they are not likely to diminish. The Court are requested to report specially on this subject after receipt of the promised explanations from the Collectors.

12. The percentage of cases appealed is, it is observed, considerably larger than in 1862. In the Jounpore and Azimgurh districts it is exceptionally high, and His Honor requests that the explanation called for by the Court on this point may be forwarded for his perusal. It is presumed that the word "Goruckpore" in para. 37 of the Report is a misprint for "Azimgurh," as the percentage of appealed cases is very much larger in the latter district.

13. The Lieutenant-Governor is of opinion that the Court are quite right in not considering it within their province on this occasion to express an opinion on the working of Act X. of 1859 as it affects the question of landlord and tenant rights, or on the question of the political or social effect of the Act generally. The discussion of such questions does not belong to an Annual Report.

14. In cases of prosecutions instituted under Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861, the large proportion of acquittals is unfavorable, and though an occasional exercise of the power of prosecution under these Sections must have a good effect, yet His Honor entirely concurs in the opinion of the Court that such cases ought not to be instituted except the proofs are so clear as to ensure conviction. An acquittal resulting from such a prosecution may often be productive of much mischief.

15. It is not satisfactory to find that the average duration of suits in the Judges' Courts has increased from five months and eighteen days in 1862, to six months and twenty-eight days in the present year. His Honor observes that the highest averages are in Ghazeepore, Agra, and Mirzapore. The circumstances stated in paras. 14 and 15 of the Court's Report explain to some extent the cause of delay in the first two districts, but no reason is assigned for the very high average of eleven months and seven days in Mirzapore. As the return given at para. 64 of the Report shews that Mirzapore is one of the lightest districts in these Provinces, so far as regards the amount of work done by the Judge, this result cannot be considered as creditable to Mr. Fane.

16. The average duration of cases in the subordinate Courts is not excessive.

17. The large amount of Civil business performed in Furruckabad, Azimghur, and Goruckpore is creditable to the Judges of those districts, which are also the first three, if the amount of Criminal work be likewise taken into consideration. Jounpoor takes the fourth place as regards Civil business alone, but Meerut is fourth in the combined Table.

18. The Lieutenant Governor regrets to learn that the instructions of the Court on the subject of the disposal of original suits by the Zillah Judges have been in many instances treated with inattention. Mr. M. Thornhill appears to be specially deserving of censure in this respect.

19. A Circular has recently been issued by Government on this subject, which will, it is hoped, secure a proper regard to the Court's instructions in future.

20. No reason is given in explanation of the fact that the percentage of appeals to the Sudder Court from the decision of Judges should this year be more than double what it was in 1862. It is not improbable that it may have resulted partly from the encumbered state of the Court's files.

21. The percentage of appeals from the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens has likewise increased, though not to the same extent.

22. The Principal Sudder Ameens of Benares and Agra, Mr. R. H. Smith and Mahomed Buksh, who performed the greatest amount of Civil business in 1862, again head the list in 1863, and with Rai Soonder Lall and Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameens of Banda and Cawnpoor, deserve commendation for their exertions.

23. The Muzkoorie and Tullubanah Returns are on the whole satisfactory, but it is not explained why a deficit of nearly Rs. 500 was allowed to occur in the Moradabad District. The fact that the largest deficit in 1862 also took place in Moradabad would seem to show a laxity of supervision on the part of the Judge.

24. Referring to para. 75 of the Report, I am to remark that it would be interesting if the Court could give a similar Statement of the value of Stamps filed in their own and the Zillah Judges' Courts, from which an approximate estimate might be formed of the actual cost to the public revenues of the entire establishments for the administration of Civil Justice.

25. With reference to para. 79 and the two preceding paras. of the Report, I am desired to observe that, as the state of the Court's files has formed the subject of a separate correspondence, it is unnecessary to allude to the matter here further than to express the confident expectation of the Lieutenant-Governor that, with their present largely-increased staff, the Court will be enabled to clear off all the arrears during the ensuing twelve months.

26. The statistics exhibited in the Report under review are generally satisfactory, and I am to communicate the thanks of the Government to the Court for their careful and judicious supervision.

27. The Court's Report on the working of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra for the year 1863, submitted with your letter No. 619, dated 30th March last, and appended to the Civil Report, calls for no special notice. The statistics given are sufficient to show that the working of these Courts has been satisfactory, and that they are both popular and useful; and the very creditable exertions of the Judges are highly praiseworthy.

28. It is remarked that the Court's Report on Civil Justice is dated 26th February, but was not received in this Office until the 21st April; the Report on Small Cause Courts was also received on the same date. In future it would be advisable that the date of despatch from your Office be given, and not the date on which the Report is sent to the Government Press to be printed.

I have, &c.,

R. SIMSON,

Secretary to Government, N. W. P.

GOVERNMENT PRESS, ALLAHABAD: DR. J. M. CUNINGHAM, OFFG. SUPERINTENDENT.

REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE,

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES

DURING THE YEAR 1864:

INCLUDING THE COURT'S REPORT

ON THE

OPERATION OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS

IN THE SAME YEAR:

WITH THE

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT IN REPLY;

AN APPENDIX OF NUMERICAL RETURNS

ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS

OF THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

DURING THE YEAR 1864:

AND A TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Allahabad:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

1865.

GOVERNMENT 1865 N. P.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	No. and Date.	Page.
Report of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces, for the year 1864.	No. 398, dated 22nd February, 1865.	1
Appendix A. to Court's Report to Government for 1864 --- --- --- --- ---	---	34
Statements A. to K. for five years, called for by the Government of India --- --- --- ---	---	37 to 40
Statements Nos. 1 and 2 of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut for five years --- --- --- ---	---	41
Report of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for 1864.	No. 471, dated 27th February, 1865.	42
General Statements from I. to V. of Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for 1864 ---	---	46 to 50
Civil Statements for the District of Benares ---	---	51
Ditto for the do. of Azimgurh ---	---	56
Ditto for the do. of Allahabad ---	---	61
Ditto for the do. of Furruckabad ---	---	67
Ditto for the do. of Jounpore ---	---	73
Ditto for the do. of Cawnpore ---	---	79
Ditto for the do. of Agra ---	---	84
Ditto for the do. of Ghazeepore ---	---	89
Ditto for the do. of Mirzapore ---	---	96
Ditto for the do. of Allygurh ---	---	101
Ditto for the do. of Goruckpore ---	---	106
Ditto for the do. of Bareilly ---	---	111
Ditto for the do. of Seharunpore ---	---	117
Ditto for the do. of Mynpoorie ---	---	122
Ditto for the do. of Shahjehanpore ---	---	128
Ditto for the do. of Moradabad ---	---	134
Ditto for the do. of Futtehpore ---	---	139
Ditto for the do. of Meerut ---	---	144
Government (North-Western Provinces) reply to Court's Annual Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during 1864,	---	149

ABSTRACT OF THE COURT'S GENERAL CIVIL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 1864.

PARA. 1.—Submits Report and usual Returns.

PARA. 2.—State of regular files.

Exclusive of the Benares, Allahabad, and Agra Small Cause Courts, the number of original suits and appeals filed in 1864, as compared with those in 1863, was as follows :—

Year.		*Original Suits.*	*Appeals.*	*Total.*
1864	---	56,383	10,349	66,732
1863	---	49,912	8,013	57,925

Increase in 1864 of 8,807 original suits and appeals.

PARA. 3.—Inclusive of the three Small Cause Courts, but exclusive of Kumaon, income of regular litigation amounted to Rs. 73,063, as compared with Rs. 63,606 in 1863.

PARA. 4.—Increase of litigation in 1864 attributable to operation of Acts XIV. of 1859 and XIV. of 1862; also to natural results of progressive litigation increasing with the ommercial advancement of the country, and fostered by contemplated introduction of Permanent Settlement.

Remark of last year repeated in regard to the fallacy of the comparisons between results of litigation of two distinct provinces.

E. g., 97,735 regular suits instituted in the Punjab in 1863, and 57,925 in North-Western Provinces, as compared with 46,707 miscellaneous cases in the former, and 1,17,235, in the latter.

PARA. 5.—Of 79,361 cases for disposal, 54,845 were decided on trial, and 65,337 finally disposed of, 4,912 being transferred to other Courts, and 91,112 being left pending at close of year.

PARA. 6.—Comparative state of pending files at close of 1863 and 1864.

Comparative arrears have increased in Courts of Principal Sudder Ameens, but they have diminished in those of other grades.

PARA. 7.—Suitable orders passed in regard to those districts in which the arrears appeared to be absolutely or comparatively excessive.

PARA. 8.—In Ghazeepore, where the arrears (1,104) were extremely heavy, there was a very large increase in the number of cases instituted. Officiating Judges failed to secure the necessary amount of aid from the Principal Sudder Ameens, though they themselves disposed of a large amount of work.

PARA. 9.—In Goruckpore, where 914 cases were pending, there was a considerable increase in the income of suits, but amount of work disposed of was small; cause thereof explained.

PARA. 10—In Moradabad, arrears have increased from 623 to 762. Judge censured for the small amount of work performed during the year.

PARA. 11.—Large amount of arrears in the Agra Judgeship the subject of separate correspondence.

PARA. 12.—In regard to other Returns, Government referred to remarks contained in the Court's reply to the Judge's Annual Reports.

PARA. 13.—113 cases were pending on the files more than one year, but 105 of these were remanded by the Sudder Court on appeal, or were postponed pending disposal of appeals before the Court.

Special explanation called for in regard to other cases of long standing.

PARA. 14.—Classification of districts according to the amount of regular Civil business finally disposed of in 1864:—

65,337 cases finally disposed of in 1864.

58,413 cases finally disposed of in 1863.

Being an average of 3,630 regular suits and appeals disposed of in each district in 1864, as compared with 3,245 disposed of in 1863.

In Moradabad (6,102 in 1864 and 5,631 in 1863) and Benares (701 in 1864 and 632 in 1863) the greatest and least number of suits respectively were finally disposed of in 1863 and 1864. Low position of Benares attributable to existence of Small Cause Court in the district.

Places of Allahabad and Agra on this as well as on the succeeding list necessarily affected by same circumstances.

PARA. 15.—Classification of districts according to total number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.

Bareilly and Moradabad 1st and 2nd on the list.

Ghazeepore occupies the 3rd place.

In both Statements, the fewest cases were decided in Benares, Mirzapore, Allahabad, and Cawnpore.

PARA. 16.—Results of disposal of regular litigation:—

Ex-parte	---	---	9	per cent.
Confession	---	---	22	"
Arbitration	---	---	3	"
Default	---	---	4	"
Adjusted	---	---	12	"
Merits	---	---	50	"

PARA. 17.—Percentage of *ex-parte* cases less by 2 per cent. than last year.

Parties having indifferent cases to defend do not care to incur expenses of litigation with the prospect of being cast in the suit, especially in districts where the jurisdictions are extensive and means and facilities of communication few or indifferent.

PARA. 18.—Confession cases 22 per cent., as compared with 21 per cent. in 1863.

Many of these cases are the means *bonâ fide* resorted to for obtaining security for payment of just claims. The Court hail with satisfaction the enactment of the Law of Registration (Act XVI. of 1864).

PARA. 19.—Arbitration cases 3 per cent., and cases adjusted or withdrawn 12 per cent.,—the same as last year.

In claims founded on bond debts, suits generally adjusted by parties themselves, or disposed of on confession of judgment.

PARA. 20.—The proportion, 50 per cent., of cases decided on merits, the same as in 1863.

PARA. 21.—Classification of districts according to number of cases decided on their merits.

Jounpore 79 per cent., Benares and Ghazeepore 73 per cent.,—at the head of the list as in 1863.

Scharunpore 32 per cent.,—last on the list.

PARA. 22.—State of Miscellaneous files.

In last year's Report this figure was 1,016. This year six cases of appeal under Act X. of 1859 have been deducted, and one case of remand, which was erroneously omitted, has now been added.

1,47,978 miscellaneous cases filed in 1864, and 1,17,235 in 1863. This large increase due to extraordinary influx of applications for execution of decrees filed to save period of limitation prescribed in Acts XIV. of 1859 and XI. of 1861.

PARA. 23.—Considerable increase in amount of work disposed of, 81,606 having been decided on merits and 1,30,951 finally disposed of in 1864, as contrasted with 68,434 and 1,21,692 respectively in 1863.

Pending files necessarily much increased; a large number of cases having been instituted in December, 1864, could not be disposed of by the end of the year.

PARA. 24.—Notwithstanding the large increase in miscellaneous cases pending at the close of the year, there was only an increase of four in the number of cases pending more than a year.

The proportion of these cases was unduly affected by the Shahjehanpore Return, regarding which, and other similar cases, special explanation has been called for.

PARA. 25.—Remarks of Judge of Mynpoorie, describing some of the salient features of the relation existing between judgment-creditors and their debtors in respect to action of Civil Courts, which are resorted to by the former in the way of security for their debts.

PARA. 26.—Force in Mr. Carnac's remarks; although little account is taken of the obvious duty of the judgment-debtor of satisfying the decree against him, and thereby relieving himself from further harassing proceedings on the part of his creditor.

Court agree with Mr. Carnac that the present procedure is a great evil, demanding the attention of the Legislature.

PARA. 27.—Execution of decrees.

96,446 applications for execution of decrees made in 1864, and 71,112 in 1863.

Increase already explained to be due to institution of many cases at close of year, to save the period of limitation.

PARA. 28.—Of 76,428 applications disposed of in 1864, the same proportion (29 per cent.) was fully executed as in 1863, and 21 per cent. were partially executed, against 23 per cent. in the previous year, 50 per cent. having been struck off in default.

PARA. 29.—Under the present state of the law these results not unsatisfactory.

Considerable increase in the proportion of cases struck off in default anticipated during the current year.

PARA. 30.—Classification of districts in order of successful execution of decrees.

Returns vary from 38 per cent. in Azimgurh and Allahabad and 37 per cent. in Benares, to 20 per cent. in Agra and 23 per cent. in Allygurh and Mirzapore.

PARA. 31.—Act X. of 1859. Appeals.

Appealable rent cases 17,135 in 1864, and 14,149 in 1863. In 1862, 24,327 such cases were disposed of; but cases under Sections 25 to 28, Act X. of 1859, were found to have been included. These were excluded from Returns of 1864. Special orders passed in several districts, where the numbers appeared to be open to question.

PARA. 32.—Increase observable in ten districts, especially in Seharunpore, Meerut, Bareilly, and Agra, the Judges of which districts have been called upon to submit special explanation of this result.

Greatest diminution occurred in Mynpoorie, Futtehpore, and Allahabad.

In Meerut (3,399), Agra (1,588), and Goruckpore (1,218); the greatest number of such cases were disposed of by Revenue Authorities.

The least were disposed of in Benares (89), Mirzapore (191), and Cawnpore (302).

PARA. 33.—2,304, or 13 per cent. appealable cases were appealed,—same proportion as in 1863.

PARA. 34.—Classification of districts according to greatest number and proportion of appeals.

Remarkable similarity between relative position of the districts in each year.

General results more favorable in 1864.

Greatest number of appeals in Jounpore; and in Azimgurh, Mirzapore, and Benares, the percentage of cases appealed was high.

In Meerut, Seharunpore, and Agra, it was remarkably small.

PARA. 35.—1,885 appeals disposed of by Zillah Judges.

922 decisions confirmed, and 816, or 43 per cent., reversed or modified, against 39 per cent. in 1863.

Result not satisfactory.

PARA. 36.—Seharunpore and Azimgurh Returns most favorable.

Results very unfavorable in Mynpoorie, Moradabad, and Meerut.

PARA. 37.—Zillah Judges have commented on the carelessness evinced by Tehseeldars in disposing of these cases, and their neglect of most obvious Rules and Procedure.

Examples made in Jounpore expected to result in good.

Reference to a rule adopted by Mr. Spankie in such cases.

Excellent Circular issued by the Board in July, 1864, the principles contained in which, if fairly carried out, would soon cause the evils complained of to disappear.

PARA. 38.—Mr. Spankie's remarks in regard to the Jummabundees of the Jounpore district.

Mr. Spankie's remarks communicated to the Board for such notice as may be deemed necessary.

Recent measures adopted to ascertain and record the true entries of the Rent-roll expected to remedy the defects complained of in Jounpore.

PARA. 39.—Quote Mr. Sherer's remarks on the same subject in Ghazeepore.

Copy of Mr. Sherer's observations forwarded to Board of Revenue.

PARA. 40.—Mr. Carnac's remarks contain practical suggestions as to the mode in which certain abuses and evils in the Jummabundee papers may be best remedied.

Extracts from Bareilly and Mynpoorie Reports given in Appendix A.

PARA. 41.—Re-settlement and revision of Settlement records while in progress, suits and appeals for and against enhancement of rent will increase.

PARA. 42.—Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

PARA. 43.—31 prosecutions under Section 24, as compared with 26 in 1863.

50 Persons committed.
15 Do. convicted.
27 Do. acquitted.

PARA. 44.—39 cases prosecuted under Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861, contrasted with 24 in 1863.

58 Persons committed.
21 Do. convicted.
38 Do. acquitted.

PARA. 45.—26 cases prosecuted under Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861, contrasted with 16 cases in 1863.

79 Persons committed.
26 Do. convicted.
38 Do. acquitted.

PARA. 46.—Greater resort had to the punitive provisions of the laws above quoted in 1864 than in 1863.

Results, except in regard to the offence relating to forged documents, not more satisfactory than those of 1863.

PARA. 47.—Difficulties attending such prosecutions.

PARA. 48.—More or less of truth in actions relating to conveyance, mortgage, possession of land, and personal property, and utterly false or groundless claims, seldom brought, as in cases of book, bond, or other debts.

Offences relating to the forgery of documents more susceptible of proof than those relating to false statements or evidence.

PARA. 49.—Caution repeated as to the care to be taken in the selection of cases suitable for prosecution.

PARA. 50.—Average duration of suits.

PARA. 51.—3 months and 26 days in Judge's Courts in 1864, and 6 months and 28 days in 1863,—satisfactory.

In Furruckabad (21 days), and Benares (1 month, 4 days), especially in the former, averages very low, and creditable to Messrs. Thornhill, Stewart Reid, and Horne.

Agra Return (11 months and 3 days),—most unfavorable.

Returns of Mirzapore (6 months, 23 days), Allygurh and Mynpoorie (6 months, 8 days),—likewise very unfavorable.

Judges of above districts informed that much improvement will be expected in 1865.

PARA. 52.—General average of Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts reduced from 2 months and 8 days in 1863, to 2 months and 4 days in 1864. Highest average shown by Principal Sudder Ameens of Goruckpore and Mynpoorie.

Goruckpore result, however, better than in 1863.

Pending final orders regarding re-organization of this district, little improvement looked for in this Return, or in that of the Zillah Judge.

Suitable orders passed in other cases of excessive duration.

Very favorable results in Jounpore, Allahabad, and Furruckabad.

PARA. 53.—Average duration in Sudder Ameens' Courts reduced from 1 month and 19 days to 27 days, and in those of Moonsiffs' from 29 days to 27 days.

Results very favorable in Sudder Ameens' Courts in Shahjehanpore and Furruckabad, and in Moonsiffs' Courts in Furruckabad, Mynporie, Ghazeepore, Meerut, and Shahjehanpore.

PARA. 54.—Personal attendance of parties to suits.

Out of 64,798 cases decided, in 33,178 both parties were present; in 11,118 defendants alone were present; in 8,791 only plaintiffs appeared; and in 11,711 neither parties were present.

In Superior Courts 64 per cent. present; in inferior Courts 83 per cent.

In the former many of the cases disposed of were appeals, in which parties generally preferred being represented through Pleaders.

In the Inferior Courts non-attendance is the natural result of decisions passed *ex-parte* and in default. On the whole, it is shown that, in respect to original cases, personal attendance of parties is the rule, and non-attendance the exception.

Results in Azimgurh, Furruckabad, Shahjehanpore, and Mirzapore, partially good.

PARA. 55.—Return of execution, sales, and operation of Sections 243 to 248, Act VIII., 1859.

Mitigative provisions of Section 243 put in force in cases of 31 villages and 360 shares of villages.

Greatest recourse had to this measure in Shahjehanpore, Goruckpore, Azimgurh, Agra, and Furruckabad.

In some districts, as Ghazeepore, the involved condition of landed property accounts for the little use of the provisions of these Sections.

In Ghazeepore, the same estate was mortgaged to five different persons.

PARA. 56.—Temporary alienation effected under Section 244 in cases of three villages and 291 shares, against 287 shares in 1863. Returns of Bareilly, Mynpoorie, Agra, and Shahjehanpore, show greatest number of such alienations.

PARA. 57.—25 entire villages and 1,804 shares were sold in 1864, as compared with 36 villages and 1,975 shares in 1863.

Sales most numerous in Moradabad, Seharunpore, Allygurh, and Mynpoorie; but this measure was put in force only in extreme cases.

PARA. 58.—Statement of judgment-debtors imprisoned, and amount of decrees;—473 persons were imprisoned, as compared with 671 in 1863. Total amount of decrees, Rs. 1,48,111-0-0.

Gradual diminution during the past five years. This result attributed to operation of Section 273, Act VIII. of 1859, and Section 8, Act XXIII. of 1861.

Illegal and unauthorized imprisonment for the purpose of serving private hatred seldom or never carried into effect, and large resort had to the protection thus afforded.

PARA. 59.—Classification of districts according to greater or less amount of work performed by the Zillah Judges.

Greatest amount of work performed by Messrs. Thornhill and Stewart Reid, Judges of Meerut and Furruckabad.

Least amount of Civil duties performed in Seharunpore, Moradabad, and Allygurh.

PARA. 60.—A large diminution, from 314 to 164, in the number of original suits disposed of by Zillah Judges.

In a few instances special explanation called for in regard to the disposal of original suits under Rs. 5,000 in value.

Further reduction in the number of these cases not anticipated, with reference to the rapidly-increasing European mercantile and commercial element in these Provinces.

PARA. 61.—Agra 9th on the list of districts, arranged according to amount of Civil work performed; stands 1st on the general list of districts arranged according to aggregate Civil and Criminal duties performed. Meerut 2nd, and Futtehpore 3rd in order.

In 1863, Furruckabad was 1st on the list; 8th in 1864.

Jounpore was last in 1864, and Mirzapore last in 1863.

PARA. 62.—Appeals preferred to Sudder Court from decisions of the Zillah Judges.

44 per cent. of the decisions of Zillah Judges were appealed to the Court during 1864, as compared with 42 per cent. in 1863.

PARA. 63.—Excluding returns of Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the smallest proportion of cases appealed was found in the results shown by Messrs. Vansittart, Thornhill, Reid, Best, and Sapte.

Mr. Thornhill's Return specially favorable; largest proportion of cases appealed from decisions of Messrs. Money, Drummond, and Horne.

PARA. 65.—Of 2,293 cases disposed of in appeal, the decisions of 1,428 were confirmed; of 795 reversed or modified; 108 being otherwise disposed of.

33 per cent. of the decisions were interfered with in appeal, as compared with 40 per cent. in 1863.

PARA. 66.—Best results are of those of Messrs. Spankie, Fane, and Lance.

Least favorable results are those of Messrs. Horne, Power, Vansittart, and Henderson.

PARA. 67.—Statement showing comparative number of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte*.

PARA. 68.—Largest quantity of Civil work performed by Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra; Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore; and Mr. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares.

PARA. 69.—Ratio of appeals preferred in appealable cases, and decisions reversed or modified in appeals disposed of from decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

37 per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens appealed.

Most favorable Returns are those of Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore; Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad; and Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda.

PARA. 70.—Percentage (29 per cent.) of decisions reversed or modified the same as last year.

Results of Rai Soonder Lall, Mahomed Hussun Khan, Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Syud Uhmud Khan, and Khoorshieid Ali Khan, very creditable. Those of Mahomed Buksh and Hussun Ruza Khan least favorable.

PARA. 71.—Classification of districts according to amount of work performed by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

Considerable increase in work disposed of.

Moonsiff of Allahabad did more work than any other Moonsiff in the North-Western Provinces.

In Futtehpore, Seharunpore, and Shahjehanpore, work performed fell short of the standard prescribed.

Arrears on several of these Moonsiffs' files, however, insignificant.

PARA. 72.—Results of appeals from orders of Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

40 per cent. of Sudder Ameens' cases and 27 per cent. of Moonsiffs' cases were appealed.

30 per cent. of Sudder Ameens' orders and 28 per cent. of Moonsiffs' orders were reversed or modified in appeal.

PARA. 73.—Classification of description of original suits instituted in the Civil Courts.

Of 55,499 original suits, 64 per cent. were based on bond debts, as compared with 65 per cent. in 1863.

The proportion of claims to real property, 10 per cent., was the same as last year.

In Benares, suits for debts on bond were comparatively less numerous than in other districts. In Goruckpore and Azimgurh the proportion of suits for real property comparatively high.

PARA. 74.—Muzkooree and Tulubana Returns.

Rs. 2,25,255-14-8 realized as Tulubana during 1864.

Rs. 1,47,037-15-11 were paid as the salaries of 2,310 peons, leaving a net surplus of Rs. 78,227-14-9.

Separate Report will be submitted on the new Tulubana system.

Had the Meeran been paid away as formerly, the net surplus would have been Rs. 21,913-15-1.

PARA. 75.—Stamp Returns.

Inclusive of penalties, the value of Stamps filed amounted to Rs. 8,88,202-13-0, from which Rs. 22,478-8-0 were refunded, leaving a net total of Rs. 8,65,724-5-0 realized.

Net amount realized from Stamps in the Courts of the subordinate Judges, Rs. 7,01,452-2-0.

Cost of salaries and establishments of Subordinate Judges amounted to Rs. 9,79,092-11-11, and therefore cost of the Civil Judiciary, exclusive of Sudder Court, amounted to no more than Rs. 1,13,368-6-11.

In Meerut, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore, cost of judicial agency more than defrayed by amount realized from Stamps in those districts.

PARA. 76.—Value of Stamps filed in Sudder Court was Rs. 99,725-1-0, of which Rs. 7,018 were refunded, leaving a balance of Rs. 92,826-1-0.

Total cost of Court amounted to Rs. 3,20,017-5-10. Actual cost to Government Rs. 2,27,191-4-10.

This result exceptionable.

PARA. 77.—In 1864, 65,337 original suits and appeals, involving an aggregate value of Rs. 17,908,027, and of costs of Rs. 19,78,630, were disposed of.

Value of each suit, Rs. 274.
Average cost, Rs. 30.
Percentage of costs to value of each suit was 11.

In 1863, value of suits disposed of amounted to Rs. 30,721,313; average value of each suit Rs. 526; number of suits being 58,413.

Average value affected by exceptional Returns of Meerut district.

PARA. 78.—Sudder Court's files.

PARA. 80.—With the aid of the four extra Judges, the arrears on the Court's files have been cleared away.

On 1st January, 1864, 360 regular and 2,069 special appeals were pending. On the 1st May these were reduced to 320 regular and 1,525 special.

Rapid rate of disposal of Civil business mainly due to increase in the staff of Translators.

PARA. 81.—Services of the four extra Judges dispensed with on the 30th November, 1864, when 52 regular and 439 special appeals were pending.

Owing to temporary increase in December, pending files amounted to 49 regular and 474 special appeals at the close of 1864.

On the 9th February, 1865, only 21 regular and 28 special appeals had been pending more than three months.

PARA. 82.—Result of appeals to the Sudder Court.

PARA. 83.—regular appeals confirmed.

247 dismissed on default.
67 adjusted or withdrawn.
123 reversed.
9 remanded.
60 modified.

452 total disposed of.

Proportion of cases reversed, modified, or remanded, 42 per cent., as compared with 45 per cent. in 1863.

PARA. 84.—2,059 Special appeals confirmed.

173 dismissed on default.
16 adjusted or withdrawn.
355 reversed.
469 remanded.
64 amended.

3,136 total disposed of.

Proportion of cases reversed, modified, and remanded, was only 28 per cent., as contrasted with 34 per cent. in 1863.

PARA. 85.—Considerable diminution in the proportion of special appeals during the year, although absolute number of appeals remanded were considerable.

This result attributable to greater care on the part of Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in fixing suitable issues for trial, and in deciding on all material issues.

Room however for still further improvement in this respect.

PARA. 86.—Court consider the results exhibited in the Returns of 1864 to be generally favorable.

Judicial Officers entitled to commendation for their exertions.

PARA. 87.—Statements A. to K., prescribed by Government of India, also forwarded.

ABSTRACT OF THE COURT'S REPORT ON THE WORKING OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS.

PARA. 1.—Submits Report on Small Cause Courts for 1864.

PARA. 2.—6,331 suits instituted in 1864.

5,681 suits instituted in 1863.

Proportion of suits instituted in 1863 and 1864.

Increase in each of the three Courts corresponding with the increase of litigation in the Civil Courts.

PARA. 3.—Out of 6,331 suits instituted, 3,008 were for debts on bond.

PARA. 4.—In Allahabad and Agra "money claims," unsupported by "written documents," and in Benares suits founded on "shop debts," were next numerous.

PARA. 5.—In Benares and Agra 237 and 182 cases respectively were "suits for personal property or its value." In Allahabad only 3 cases were instituted.

PARA. 6.—Suits for "money due on written contract"		in Allahabad,		213
Ditto	ditto	in Agra	---	96
Ditto	ditto	in Benares	---	7

PARA. 7.—Suits for "debts on book accounts"		in Agra	---	222
Ditto	ditto	in Benares	---	128
Ditto	ditto	in Allahabad	---	167

PARA. 8.—Suits for "wages," "rent," and "damages," less numerous.

PARA. 9.—Of 6,438 cases for disposal, 6,272 disposed of, and 166 left pending, as compared with 107 in 1863. Increase of pending cases due to large number of institutions at the close of the year to save period of limitation.

PARA. 10.—Proportion of *ex-parte* cases in each Court.

PARA. 11.—17 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *ex-parte*, being the same as in 1863.

9 per cent. *ex-parte* in the North-Western Provinces.

PARA. 12.—34 per cent. of the cases decided on confession of judgment, and 31 per cent. in 1863.

Proportion of these cases higher than in 1863 in all the Courts, especially in Agra.

PARA. 13.—29 per cent. of the cases decided on their merits, and 31 per cent. in 1863; 50 per cent. in the North-Western Provinces generally.

In Benares and Agra the proportion of those cases has fallen from 33 and 29 to 31 and 26 respectively. In Allahabad, the proportion was 29 per cent., as compared with 30 per cent. in 1863.

PARA. 14.—Execution of decrees. 4,897 cases disposed of; 1,515, or 31 per cent., completely executed; and 492, or 10 per cent., partially executed.

This inferior result mainly due to small proportion, 25 per cent., of such cases in Agra. In Allahabad and Benares there was also a falling-off.

PARA. 15.—631 applications made for sale of immovable property of judgment-debtors. In these cases 20 shares in land were sold, and 200 shares in immovable property other than land.

PARA. 16.—Average duration of suits.

Allahabad and Benares Returns creditably low;—that of Agra exhibits a considerable improvement.

PARA. 17.—Income of Stamps and cost of Judicial Establishments.

Gross income of Stamps ---	Rs.	40,695	10	0
Refunds --- --- ---	„	500	0	0
NET TOTAL ---		40,195	10	0
Add Stamp penalties --- ---	Rs.	892	8	0
Add Fines --- --- ---	„	160	2	8
Add Surplus Tulubana --- ---	„	5,438	15	5
Aggregate income --- ---	Rs.	46,687	4	1
Total cost of Courts --- ---	„	43,698	10	6
Gain to Government --- ---	Rs.	2,988	9	7

In Allahabad only there was a deficiency.

PARA. 18.—Applications for a new trial.

114 new applications were preferred; *i. e.*, 89 in Agra, 20 in Benares, and 5 in Allahabad: 86 were by plaintiffs, and 28 by defendants.

73 cases were at once struck off: out of 19 disposed of, the original decision was modified or reversed in 8 and maintained in 11 cases.

Large number of applications for a new trial in Agra in 1863 and 1864, although the number interfered with on review was not actually greater.

PARA. 19.—Only 3 cases referred to the Sudder Court under Section 13, Act XLII. of 1860, in all of which the Court concurred with the opinions of the referring Judges.

PARA. 20.—Mr. Robertson's proposal to extend the jurisdiction of his Court not sanctioned.

PARA. 21.—Much benefit anticipated from the operation of the Small Cause Court at Dehra Doon.

PARA. 22.—Acknowledgments to Judges of Small Cause Courts.

REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT,

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

IN THE YEAR 1864.

No. 398 OF 1865.

FROM

JAMES SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

ROBERT SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces.

S. D. A., N. W. P.

Present:

A. ROSS, *Esquire,*
W. EDWARDS, *Esquire,*
W. ROBERTS, *Esquire,*
F. B. PEARSON, *Esquire,*
Judges.

DATED AGRA, THE 22ND FEBRUARY, 1865.

SIR,

I AM directed to transmit, for the information of the Hon'ble the Lieutenant-Governor, the Court's Report on the administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during the year 1864, with the usual Tabular Statements.

Submits Report and Returns.

2. The following Table exhibits the number of regular suits and appeals instituted and disposed of in the year under review, together with the number pending on the files of the Civil Courts at the close of the year 1864, with similar Comparative Returns for the year 1863:—

State of regular Suits.

Districts.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		Above one year.		Total pending.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Saharunpore, ...	4,045	4,268	2,801	4,034	3,121	4,396	1	0	553	446
Meerut, ...	3,711	4,517	3,080	3,668	3,609	4,457	1	3	344	415
Allygurh, ...	3,802	4,298	3,427	3,916	3,587	4,206	0	0	430	522
Moradabad, ...	5,589	6,239	4,558	5,631	4,923	6,102	4	1	623	763
Bareilly, ...	5,203	5,548	4,160	4,934	4,910	5,886	0	0	930	595
Shahjehanpore, ...	2,878	3,298	2,398	2.818	2,768	3,258	2	18	*353	393
Agra, ...	3,071	3,706	2,367	2,909	3,077	3,747	50	13	699	651
Furruckabad, ...	4, 69	4,885	3,945	4,554	3,962	4,655	0	17	188	420
Mynpoorie, ...	3,760	4,074	3,447	3,823	3,602	4,135	2	1	466	404
Cawnpore, ...	2,107	2,277	1,852	2,231	2 105	2,386	0	0	287	166
Futtehpore, ...	2,336	3,112	2,005	2,375	2,581	3,020	5	8	243	337
Allahabad, ...	1,647	2,247	1,431	1,655	1,939	2,198	0	6	170	209
Goruckpore, ...	3,802	4,526	4,132	4,468	3,760	4,128	7	11	516	914
Azimgurh, ...	2,943	3,473	2,349	2,921	2,702	3,319	4	5	483	638
Jounpore, ...	2,386	2,774	2,348	2,554,	2,327	2,579	1	22	390	594
Mirzapore, ...	1,854	2,244	1,544	1,863	1,784	2,155	0	0	375	464
Benares, ...	634	719	547	632	636	701	1	1	60	78
Ghazeepore, ...	3,688	4,527	3,066	3,427	3,552	4,009	26	7	581	1,104
Total, ...	57,925	66,732	49,457	58,413	54,845	65,337	104	113	*7,691	9,112
Increase, ...	...	8,807	...	...	5,388	6,924	...	9	...	1,421
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of the Benares, Allahabad, and Agra Small Cause Courts, the number of original suits and appeals filed in 1864, as compared with those in 1863, was as follows:—

Year.	*Original Suits.*	*Appeals.*	*Total.*
1864,	56,383	10,349	66,732
1363,	49,912	8,013	57,925

Increase in 1864 of 8,807 original suits and appeals.

Excluding the three Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, 66,732 original suits and appeals were instituted in the year 1864, as compared with 57,925 in 1863, being an increase of 8,807. Of these, 56,383 were original suits, and 10,349 appeals, as contrasted with 49,912 of the former, and 8,013 of the latter, in 1863.

Inclusive of the three Small Cause Courts, but exclusive of Kumaon, income of regular litigation amounted to 73,063, as compared with 63,606 in 1863.

3. Inclusive of the Samll Cause Courts, but exclusive of Kumaon, the Returns of which have not yet reached the Court, the total income of *regular* litigation in the year under report, amounted to Rs. 73,063 cases, as compared with Rs. 63,606 in 1863.

Increase of litigation in 1864 attributable to operation of Acts XIV. of 1859, and XIV. of 1862; also to natural results of progressive litigation increasing with the commercial advancement of the country and fostered by contemplated introduction of Permanent Settlement.

4. The above increase, which was distributed in a greater or less degree among all the districts of these Provinces, is attributable partly to the falling in of the new periods of limitation prescribed by Act XIV. of 1859, which necessitated the institution of many cases before 1st January, 1865, and partly to the provisions of Act XIV. of 1862, which extended the limitation of actions for the recovery of bills for articles sold by retail to the same date, and operated in causing a large number of such suits to be instituted in the end of December, 1864. The increase was also, in some measure, the natural result of progressive litigation, increasing with the commercial advancement of the country, and fostered by the contemplated introduction of the Permanent Settlement. The Court desire to take this opportunity of repeating the remark made by them last year, that it is fallacious to institute comparisons between the results of

* Six cases of appeal under Act X. of 1859 were omitted last year, but have now been added.

litigation in two distinct Provinces unless the principles upon which the Civil Returns are compiled are identical in each, which is seldom the case. The Court understand that many suits are included in the Returns of regular suits in other Provinces, which are entered in the miscellaneous statements in these Provinces, and thus a fair comparison is rendered almost impossible. Thus, at first sight, it might be supposed that the amount of Civil litigation was much greater in the Punjab than in these Provinces,—97,735 regular suits being reported as instituted in the one Province in 1863, as contrasted with 57,925 in the other. But a comparison of the income of the miscellaneous files leaves the balance of litigation much in favor of the North-Western Provinces, in which 1,17,235 miscellaneous cases were instituted in the year above mentioned, as compared with only 46,707 in the Punjab.

Remark of last year repeated in regard to the fallacy of comparisons between results of litigation of two distinct Provinces.

e. g., 97,735 regular suits instituted in the Punjab in 1863, and 57,925 in the North-Western Provinces, as compared with 46,707 miscellaneous cases in the former, and 1,17,235 in the latter.

There can be no doubt, I am to observe, that the entry of a portion of those miscellaneous cases among the regular suits would have the effect of materially improving the return of the average duration of suits and other figured results in these Provinces.

5. Out of 79,361 cases for disposal in the ordinary Civil Courts during the year, 54,845 cases were decided on trial, and 65,337 finally disposed of, 4,912 cases being transferred to other Courts; leaving 9,112 cases on the pending files at the close of 1864, as compared with 7,691 at the end of the previous year. Although this Return shows an increase of 1,421 cases on the pending files, as compared with the previous year, the result cannot be considered unsatisfactory, being due solely to the largely increased number of cases which came before the Courts during the year. As compared with 1863, there was an increase of 5,388 in the number of cases decided on trial, and of 6,924 in that of suits finally disposed of.

Of 79,361 cases for disposal, 54,845 were decided on trial, and 65,337 finally disposed of, 4,912 being transferred to other Courts, and 9,112 being left pending at close of year.

6. The next Statement shows the comparative state of the pending files at the close of the years 1863 and 1864, calculated by the time required to clear the files of each grade of Court, at the rate of working obtaining in each year.

Comparative state of pending files at close of 1863 and 1864.

No.	Court.	*Time necessary to clear the files of cases pending at the close of*			
		1863.		1864.	
		Months.	Days.	Months.	Days.
1.	Judges, ...	3	26	2	23
2.	P. S. Ameens, ...	2	1	2	24
3.	S. Ameens, ...	1	23	1	13
4.	Moonsiffs, ...	1	5	1	1

From the above it will be observed that while the *comparative* arrears, on the above basis of calculation, have increased in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, they have diminished in the other grades of Courts.

Comparative arrears have increased in Courts of Principal Sudder Ameens, but they have diminished in those of other grades.

7. The Court have communicated suitable orders in regard to those districts in which the arrears appeared to be absolutely or comparatively excessive, the nature of which will be seen from the Court's replies to the several Zillah Reports, copies of which are submitted to Government with this address.

Suitable orders passed in regard to those districts in which the arrears appeared to be absolutely or comparatively excessive.

8. In the district of Ghazeepore, where the arrears (1,104) were extremely heavy, there was a very large increase in the number of cases instituted during the year; and the Officiating Judges were comparatively new to the duties of their office, and failed to secure that amount of aid at the hands of the Principal

In Ghazeepore, where the arrears (1,104) were extremely heavy, there was a very large increase in the number of cases instituted. Officiating Judges failed to se-

cure the necessary amount of aid from the Principal Sudder Ameens, though they themselves disposed of a large amount of work.

In Goruckpore, where 914 cases were pending, there was a considerable increase in the income of suits, but amount of work disposed of was small; cause thereof explained.

Sudder Ameens of the district, which the embarrassed state of the files demanded. Nevertheless, in their own persons they performed a very large amount of Civil work.

9. In Goruckpore also, where the number of cases pending on the files increased from 516 to 914, there was a considerable increase in the income of suits; but the amount of work disposed of was smaller than in the previous year. This result was due in a great measure to the absence of Mr. Lindsay in his capacity of Commissioner in the interior of the district at the close of the year, for the purpose of organizing measures in connection with the famine which was then considered to be impending; but it was likewise in some degree attributable to the short amount of work performed by the Principal Sudder Ameen of the district.

In Moradabad, arrears have increased from 623 to 762. Judge censured for the small amount of work performed during the year.

Large amount of arrears in the Agra Judgeship the subject of separate correspondence.

10. In the district of Moradabad, the arrears increased from 623 to 762 cases, and the Court considered it their duty to censure Mr. Power, the Judge, for the small quantity of work performed by him during the year.

11. The large quantity of arrears in the Agra Judgeship has already formed the subject of a correspondence between the Court and Government during the year, and needs no further notice in this Report.

In regard to other Returns, Government referred to remarks contained in the Court's reply to the Judges' Annual Reports.

12. In regard to the other Returns, the Court desire me to refer His Honor to their separate remarks, communicated to the Judges in reply to their Annual Reports.

113 cases were pending on the files more than one year, but 105 of these were remanded by the Sudder Court on appeal, or were postponed, pending disposal of appeals before the Court.

13. The number of cases which had been pending on the files more than one year, at the close of the year under review, increased from 104 to 113; but no less than 105 of these had either been remanded on appeal from the Sudder Court, towards the end of the year, and could hardly, therefore, have been decided before 1st January, 1865, or had been necessarily postponed pending the disposal of appeals before the Court. These cases of remand from the Sudder Court will always form a large proportion of those pending more than a year; although at the present expeditious rate of disposal of appeals by the Court, their number may be expected to diminish.

Special explanation called for in regard to other cases of long standing.

In regard to the other cases of long standing, the Court have called for special explanation, whenever the same appeared to be needed.

Classification of districts according to the amount of regular Civil business finally disposed of in 1864.

14. In connection with the amount of work disposed of, the next succeeding Statement exhibits the districts of these Provinces arranged in order, according to the number of cases *finally disposed* of in the Civil Courts of each during the year under report.

No.	Zillah.	Total number of cases finally disposed of during the year 1864, exclusive of transferred cases.
1	Moradabad, ...	6,102
2	Bareilly, ...	5,886
3	Furruckabad, ...	4,655
4	Meerut, ...	4,457
5	Seharunpore, ...	4,396
6	Allygurh, ...	4,206
7	Mynpoorie, ...	4,135
8	Goruckpore, ...	4,128
9	Ghazeepore, ...	4,009
10	Agra, ...	3,747
11	Azimgurh, ...	3,319
12	Shahjehanpore, ...	3,258
13	Futtehpore, ...	3,020
14	Jounpore, ...	2,579
15	Cawnpore, ...	2,386
16	Allahabad, ...	2,198
17	Mirzapore, ...	2,155
18	Benares, ...	701
	Total, ...	65,337
	General Average, ...	3,630

This Return shows that 65,337 cases were finally disposed of, as compared with 58,413 in the previous year, being an average of 3,630 regular suits and appeals disposed of in each district in 1864, as contrasted with 3,245 in 1863. In the districts of Moradabad and Benares, the greatest and least number of suits, respectively, were finally disposed of in each year, *viz.*, 6,102 in the one, and 701 in the other in 1864, as compared with 5,631 and 632, respectively, in 1863. It is proper, however, to explain that the low position of Benares in this list is attributable to the existence of a Small Cause Court in that district, in which 2,369 cases were disposed of during the year. The places of Allahabad and Agra on this, as well as on the succeeding list, are necessarily affected by the same circumstance. It is noticeable that the districts of Bareilly and Furruckabad occupy the 2nd and 3rd place in both years.

65,337 cases finally disposed of in 1864.

58,413 cases finally disposed of in 1863.

Being an average of 3,630 regular suits and appeals disposed of in each district in 1864, as compared with 3,245 ditto ditto ditto in 1863.

In Moradabad (6,102 in 1864 and 5,631 in 1863) and Benares (701 in 1864 and 632 in 1863) the greatest and least number of suits respectively were finally disposed of in 1863 and 1864.

Low position of Benares attributable to existence of Small Cause Court in the District.

Places of Allahabad and Agra on this, as well as on the succeeding list necessarily affected by same circumstances.

15. Taking only the number of cases decided on their merits and ex-parte during the year, the arrangement of districts is as follows:—

Classification of Districts according to total number of cases decided on their merits and ex-parte.

No.	Zillah.	Number of cases decided on merits and ex-parte.	Remarks.
1	Bareilly,	3,426	
2	Moradabad,	3,184	
3	Ghazeepore,	3,142	
4	Furruckabad,	2,854	
5	Allygurh,	2,601	
6	Goruckpore,	2,435	
7	Meerut,	2,418	
8	Agra,	2,245	
9	Azimgurh,	2,215	
10	Jounpore,	2,184	
11	Mynpoorie,	2,077	
12	Seharunpore,	1,905	
13	Futtehpore,	1,615	
14	Shahjehanpore,	1,564	
15	Cawnpore,	1,478	
16	Allahabad,	1,401	
17	Mirzapore,	1,232	
18	Benares,	538	
	Total,	38,564	
	Average of 18 Zillahs,	2,142	

In this list, Bareilly, which was 2nd in the former list, is now 1st in order; Moradabad, which was 1st in the other list, being now 2nd. The District of Ghazeepore has risen from 9th in the one list to 3rd in the other. In both State-

Bareilly and Moradabad 1st and 2nd on the list.

Ghazeepore occupies the 3rd place.

In both Statements, the fewest cases were decided in Benares, Mirzapore, Allahabad, and Cawnpore.

ments, the districts in which fewest cases were decided were those of Benares, Mirzapore, Allahabad, and Cawnpore.

Results of disposal of regular litigation.

16. The manner in which the regular litigation thus coming before the Courts was adjudicated by the presiding Officers will be seen in the Statement given below:—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Total of cases disposed of during the year 1863.									
Zillah.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total No. decided.	Average of Moonsiff's Courts.	Remarks.
Seharunpore, ...	484	941	275	194	1,081	1,421	4,396	32·	26·	
Meerut, ...	105	1,054	137	191	657	2,313	4,457	51·	43·	
Allygurh, ...	566	879	107	97	522	2,035	4,206	48·	42·	
Moradabad, ...	563	1,454	285	169	1,010	2,621	6,102	43·	36·	
Bareilly, ...	842	1,378	106	234	742	2,584	5,886	44·	38·	
Shahjehanpore, ...	167	1,118	86	157	333	1,397	3,258	43·	32·	
Agra, ...	350	775	57	290	380	1,895	3,747	51·	38·	
Furruckabad, ...	659	985	123	196	497	2,195	4,655	47·	42·	
Mynpoorie, ...	414	1,219	306	109	364	1,663	4,185	40·	32·	
Cawnpore, ...	222	617	10	84	197	1,256	2,386	55·	42·	
Futtehpore, ...	233	914	52	116	323	1,382	3,020	46·	46·	
Allahabad, ...	319	431	107	84	175	1,082	2,198	49·	34·	
Goruckpore, ...	270	1,206	119	196	172	2,165	4,128	52·	42·	
Azimgurh, ...	138	461	26	151	466	2,077	3,319	63·	53·	
Jounpore, ...	142	112	31	117	135	2,042	2,579	79·	76·	
Mirzapore, ...	222	451	101	182	189	1,010	2,155	47·	34·	
Benares, ...	75	30	18	26	39	513	701	73·	...	
Ghazeepore, ...	226	302	108	84	373	2,916	4,009	73·	69·	
Total, ...	5,997	14,327	2,054	2,737	7,655	32,567	65,337	50·	43·	

Per cent.	
Ex-parte, ...	9.
Confession, ...	22.
Arbitration, ...	3.
Default, ...	4.
Adjustment, ...	12.
Merits, ...	50.
Total, ...	100.

	Per cent.
Ex-parte, ...	9
Confession, ...	22
Arbitration, ...	3
Default, ...	4
Adjusted ...	12
Merits, ...	50

From this it will be observed that 9 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided ex-parte; 22 per cent. on confession of judgment; 3 per cent. by arbitration; 4 per cent. dismissed on default; and 12 per cent. adjusted or withdrawn: the remaining cases, amounting to 59 per cent., or one-half of the whole, being decided on their merits.

Percentage of *ex-parte* cases less by 2 per cent. than last year.

17. The proportion of cases decided ex-parte, 9 per cent., is less by 2 per cent. than the similar proportion attained last year. The Court do not anticipate any material diminution in the proportion of this class of cases. The cases are now few in number in which an ex-parte decision is the consequence of want of information on the part of the defendant; and the law provides an ample remedy for such cases. Parties who have an indifferent case to defend do not care to incur the expenses of litigation with the prospect of being cast in the suit. This is specially true in districts where the jurisdictions are extensive, and the means and facilities of communication few or indifferent. Thus, the Judge of Cawnpore attributed the large number of ex-parte cases disposed of in that district to the scarcity and badness of the district roads, and to the want of Suraie accommodation at Russoolabad, which operated in deterring defendants from inconveniencing themselves for the purpose of disputing valid claims.

Parties having indifferent cases to defend do not care to incur expenses of litigation with the prospect of being cast in the suit, especially in districts where the jurisdictions are extensive, and means and facilities of communication few or indifferent.

18. 22 per cent. of the cases were disposed of on confession of judgment, as compared with 21 per cent. in the previous year. The Court have observed in former Reports that many of these cases are the means *bonâ fide* resorted to of obtaining security for the payment of just claims by the institution of nominal suits; and they, accordingly, hail with satisfaction the enactment by the Legislature during the past year, of a Law of Registration, calculated to increase, in a still greater degree, the security of the creditor, and facilitate the realization of just debts.

Confession cases, 22 per cent., as compared with 21 per cent. in 1863.

Many of these cases are the means *bonâ fide* resorted to for obtaining security for payment of just claims. The Court hail with satisfaction the enactment of the Law of Registration (Act XVI. of 1864).

19. The percentage of cases disposed of by arbitration, 3 per cent., was the same as in the previous year, and that of cases adjusted or withdrawn, 12 per cent., likewise the same as in 1863. It has been found in practice that in those jurisdictions where the greatest portion of the litigation consists of claims founded on bond debts, the suits are often adjusted by the parties themselves, or disposed of on confession of judgment.

Arbitration cases, 3 per cent., and cases adjusted or withdrawn, 12 per cent., the same as last year.

In claims founded on bond debts, suits generally adjusted by parties themselves, or disposed of on confession of judgment.

20. The proportion of suits decided on their merits, 50 per cent., was the same as last year,—a result which, in reference to the increased amount of litigation which was disposed of by the Civil Courts, the Court consider to be very satisfactory.

The proportion, 50 per cent., of cases decided on merits, the same as in 1863.

21. Classified by the number of cases *decided on their merits* alone, the arrangement of districts would be the following :—

Classification of Districts according to number of cases decided on their merits.

No.	Zillah.	Percentage of cases decided on merits to total disposed of.
1	Jounpore, ...	79.
2	Benares, ...	73.
3	Ghazeepore, ...	73.
4	Azimgurh, ...	63.
5	Cawnpore, ...	53.
6	Goruckpore, ...	52.
7	Agra, ...	51.
8	Meerut, ...	51.
9	Allahabad, ...	49.
10	Allygurh, ...	48.
11	Furruckabad, ...	47.
12	Mirzapore, ...	47.
13	Futtehpore, ...	46.
14	Bareilly, ...	44.
15	Moradabad, ...	43.
16	Shahjehanpore, ...	43.
17	Mynpoorie, ...	40.
18	Scharunpore, ...	32.
	General, ...	50.

The percentage of these cases thus ranged from 79 per cent. in the Jounpore district to 32 per cent. in that of Scharunpore, and the same three districts stood at the head of the list in the years 1863 and 1864.

Jounpore, 79 per cent.; Benares and Ghazeepore, 73 per cent., at the head of the list as in 1863.

Scharunpore, 32 per cent., last on the list.

22. The number of cases instituted, disposed of, and pending on the *miscellaneous* files, excluding cases of a merely formal or routine nature, is exhibited below:—

Zillah,	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Seharunpore, ...	7,944	12,170	3,982	8,526	6,405	10,188	...	...	1,252	3,556
Meerut, ...	6,896	8,947	2,482	7,092	3,752	8,160	...	...	1,154	1,942
Allygurh, ...	8,765	12,970	5,356	9,423	5,725	10,201	4	...	1,439	4,213
Moradabad, ...	11,927	13,527	8,769	12,305	8,674	12,614	...	...	1,775	2,981
Bareilly, ...	8,897	9,162	5,613	8,967	5,873	8,529	4	...	1,433	2,145
Shahjehanpore,	6,704	7,564	3,421	7,411	4,744	7,188	2	18	*1,011	2,023
Agra, ...	7,498	10,325	3,155	7,908	3,382	8,526	7	...	1,534	3,672
Furruckabad, ...	8,644	9,632	4,698	9,056	6,003	9,380	1	3	1,102	1,442
Mynpoorie, ...	6,902	7,771	3,652	7,195	4,652	6,584	3	3	1,149	2,463
Cawnpore, ...	3,915	4,910	2,293	3,949	2,853	4,746	...	...	470	686
Futtehpore, ...	3,220	4,424	1,781	3,171	2,564	4,136	2	6	606	929
Allahabad, ...	3,659	4,505	2,034	3,904	1,962	3,757	...	...	532	1,408
Goruckpore, ...	10,745	15,702	7,687	11,002	10,513	14,185	...	...	1,322	3,118
Azimgurh, ...	5,662	6,636	3,443	5,218	4,278	6,179	2	1	1,397	1,832
Jounpore, ...	4,036	4,597	2,398	4,248	3,130	4,345	...	...	681	947
Mirzapore, ...	2,505	3,453	1,259	2,518	1,637	2,976	...	...	453	977
Benares, ...	2,049	2,404	1,742	2,423	1,445	2,028	...	...	504	895
Ghazeepore, ...	7,267	9,279	4,669	7,376	5,014	7,229	2	...	920	3,164
Total, ...	1,17,235	1,47,978	68,434	1,21,692	82,606	1,30,951	27	31	18,739	38,443
Increase, ...	...	30,743	...	...	14,172	9,259	...	4	...	19,704
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

The Return shows that 1,47,978 miscellaneous cases were instituted during the year under review, as compared with 1,17,235 in the previous year, being an increase of no less than 30,743 cases. This large increase was due to an extraordinary influx of applications for the execution of decrees, filed in the later days of the year to save the period of limitation prescribed by Acts XIV. of 1859 and XI. of 1861. Under the latter enactment, the limitation period of three years declared in Section 21, Act XIV. of 1859, to be applicable in respect of any judgment, decree, or order in force at the time of the passing of the Act, was made applicable to all such judgments, decrees, or orders in force on 1st January, 1862, the said period of three years being also calculable from that date. This had the effect of greatly increasing the number of such applications filed in December, 1864.

23. There was, likewise, a considerable increase in the amount of work disposed of in this Department, 81,606 cases having been decided on their merits, and 1,30,951 finally disposed of, as contrasted with 68,434, and 1,21,692, respectively, in 1863. The number of cases left on the pending files was, however, necessarily much increased, and as a large proportion of these cases were instituted in December, 1864, they could not have been disposed of by the end of the year.

24. It will be observed that, notwithstanding the large increase of cases pending on the miscellaneous files at the close of the year, there was only an increase of four in the number of those cases which had been pending more than a year. The proportion of these cases was unduly affected by the Return of Shahjehanpore, in which district alone there were 18 cases of old standing on the files, regarding which, as also in respect to the other cases of this description, in which the explanation furnished appeared to be insufficient, special explanation has been called for.

Notwithstanding the large increase in miscellaneous cases, pending at the close of the year, there was only an increase of 4 in the number of cases pending more than a year.

The proportion of these cases was unduly affected by the Shahjehanpore Return, regarding which and other similar cases special explanation has been called for.

25. In the following remarks, Mr. Carnac, the Judge of Mynpoorie, has correctly described some of the salient features of the relation existing between judgment-creditors and their debtors, in respect to the action of the Civil Courts, which are resorted to by the former in the way of security for their debts :—

Remarks of Judge of Mynpoorie describing some of the salient features of the relation existing between judgment-creditors and their debtors, in respect to action of Civil Courts which are resorted to by the former in the way of security for their debts.

"The procedure is rather one of renewal of bond; the judgment-creditor "being satisfied (generally) with the investment of his money at 12 per cent. "compound interest, with the whole judicial agency of the district for the re-"covery of his principal and interest whenever he pleases to take out execution. "This process supplies a Government guarantee. Meanwhile the judgment-"debtor is content to remain bound hand and foot, the slave of the usurer, from "whom he, from time to time, obtains money to supply his more urgent wants, "and never dreams of freeing himself from the thraldom of debt. So long as "this is the normal state of the debtor, and I maintain that his condition "throughout the country is, in the great majority of cases, one of hopeless in-"volvement, it is impossible that the Civil Courts can show any improvement "in the execution of decrees. These suits swell the pending files very largely, "while the Courts are helpless to remedy the evil. The remedy I proposed last "year, *viz.*, the refusal of costs to the judgment-creditor in such cases of default, "which I am glad to find the "Court approved,* is only a "palliative; and until the law "distinctly requires that a "decree shall be leviable only "within a period of three years, the present procedure under Section 20, Act "XIV., which renders a decree perpetual, unless the last farthing be realized, will "continue, to the great prejudice of the judgment-debtor."

* The Court then observed,—"The Courts are competent to order the decree-holder to bear the costs in those cases in which his proceedings may appear to be wilfully and unnecessarily harassing to the judgment-debtor; and the Court believe that a judicious use of this discretionary power would tend to discourage such proceedings."

26. The Court admit that there is force in the above remarks, although Mr. Carnac appears, in their opinion, to have taken too little account of the obvious duty of the judgment-debtor to pay off the amount of his decree, and thereby be absolved from further harassing proceedings on the part of his creditor.

Force in Mr. Carnac's remarks, although little account is taken of the obvious duty of the judgment-debtor of satisfying the decree against him, and thereby relieving himself from further harassing proceedings on the part of his creditor.

Still, the Court agree with Mr. Carnac in thinking that the present procedure, whereby decrees can be kept hanging *in terrorem* over the heads of judgment-debtors for an indefinite number of years, with merely nominal attempts to execute them (for the sole purpose of saving the period of limitation), is a great evil, which demands the attention of the Legislature. In the present condition of the law, they remark, the machinery of the Civil Courts is powerless to remedy it.

Court agree with Mr. Carnac that the present procedure is a great evil, demanding the attention of the Legislature.

Execution of Decrees.

27. The Tabular Statement given below exhibits the operation of the several District Courts in the matter of execution of decrees :—

ZILLAH.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.					REMARKS.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Per-centage of Column 2 to Column 5.	
Scharunpore ...	1,604	1,738	3,523	6,865	23·	
Meerut ...	1,888	1,003	3,100	5,991	33·	
Allygurh ...	1,425	1,723	2,953	6,111	23·	
Moradabad ...	1,961	1,479	3,231	6,671	29·	
Bareilly ...	1,619	961	2,269	4,849	33·	
Shahjehanpore ...	1,131	851	1,691	3,673	31·	
Agra ...	1,191	884	3,910	5,985	20·	
Furruckabad ...	1,536	1,306	2,974	5,816	26·	
Mynpoory ...	1,051	1,252	1,888	4,191	25·	
Cawnpore ...	821	518	1,489	2,828	29·	
Futtehpore ...	765	647	1,099	2,511	30·	
Allahabad ...	1,041	515	1,100	2,656	38·	
Goruckpore ...	1,733	783	2,721	5,237	33·	
Azimgurh ...	1,219	430	1,519	3,168	38·	
Jounpore ...	668	524	1,030	2,222	30·	
Mirzapore ...	483	377	1,211	2,071	23·	
Benares ...	584	449	544	1,577	37·	
Ghazeepore ...	1,375	641	1,990	4,006	34·	
TOTAL ...	22,105	16,081	38,242	76,428	29·	

96,446 applications for execution of decrees made in 1864, and 71,112 in 1863.

Increase already explained to be due to institution of many cases at close of the year, to save the period of limitation.

96,446 applications for the execution of decrees were instituted in 1864, as compared with 71,112 in the previous year. The cause of this very large increase has already been explained to be due to the expiry of the limitation period at the close of the year, necessitating the institution of many cases which, but for this circumstance, would have been instituted later.

Of 76,428 applications disposed of in 1864, the same proportion (29 per cent.) was fully executed as in 1863, and 21 per cent. were partially executed, against 23 per cent. in the previous year, 50 per cent. having been struck off in default.

28. Of 76,428 applications disposed of, 22,105, or 29 per cent. were fully executed, being the same proportion as in 1863; and 16,081, or 21 per cent. partially executed, as contrasted with 23 per cent. ;—one-half, or 50 per cent. having been struck off in default.

Under the present state of the Law, these results not unsatisfactory.

Considerable increase in the proportion of cases struck off in default anticipated during the current year.

29. Under the present state of the Law, by which the results of the execution of decrees are in a great measure dependent on the action of the judgment-creditors, many of whom settle with their creditors out of Court, or fail to prosecute their cases after their first application for execution, the Court are not disposed to look upon these results as unsatisfactory. It is to be expected that there will be a considerable increase in the proportion of cases struck off in default during the current year, with reference to the number of applications preferred by parties at the close of the year, not with the view of prosecuting their cases, but with the sole object of saving the limitation period, and reviving the limit of time from which future applications would be calculated.

30. In the following Table the districts are arranged in order according to largest proportion of decrees fully executed in each :—

Classification of districts in order of successful execution of decrees.

Number.	ZILLAH.	Ratio per cent.
1	Azimgurh	38·
2	Allahabad	38·
3	Benares	37·
4	Ghazeepore	34·
5	Goruckpore	33·
6	Bareilly	33·
7	Seharunpore	33·
8	Meerut	33·
9	Shahjehanpore	31·
10	Jounpore	30·
11	Futtehpore	30·
12	Cawnpore	29·
13	Moradabad	29·
14	Furruckabad	26·
15	Mynpoory	25·
16	Mirzapore	23·
17	Allygurh	23·
18	Agra	20·
	GENERAL AVERAGE	29·

In this Statement the District Returns are seen to vary from 38 per cent. in Azimgurh and Allahabad, and 37 per cent. in Benares, to 20 per cent. in Agra, and 23 per cent. in Allygurh and Mirzapore.

Returns vary from 38 per cent. in Azimgurh and Allahabad, and 37 per cent. in Benares, to 20 per cent. in Agra, and 23 per cent. in Allygurh and Mirzapore.

31. *Appeals under Acts X. of 1859, and XIV. of 1863.*

Act X., 1859. Appeals.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Number.	ZILLAH.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided in 1864 by the Revenue Authorities.	Number of cases appealed, to the Judge.	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified or remanded.	Per-centage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Per-centage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
1	Seharunpore ...	1,026	68	34	7	7·	21·
2	Meerut ...	3,399	85	72	48	3·	53·
3	Allygurh ...	763	161	135	65	21·	47·
4	Moradabad ...	553	92	73	41	17·	56·
5	Bareilly ...	1,433	198	152	65	14·	43·
6	Shahjehanpore ...	683	100	93	34	15·	37·
7	Agra ...	1,588	133	48	13	8·	27·
8	Furruckabad ...	1,018	107	52	22	10·	40·
9	Mynpoory ...	1,140	197	159	97	17·	62·
10	Cawnpore ...	302	74	87	33	25·	38·
11	Futtehpore ...	917	104	89	28	11·	33·
12	Allahabad ...	618	125	113	42	20·	37·
13	Goruckpore ...	1,218	215	200	93	18·	46·
14	Azimgurh ...	623	195	150	41	31·	27·
15	Jounpore ...	693	283	182	88	41·	48·
16	Mirzapore ...	191	54	50	22	28·	44·
17	Benares ...	89	23	26	11	26·	42·
18	Ghazeepore ...	881	90	170	76	10·	45·
	TOTAL ...	17,135	2,304	1,885	826	13·	43.

Appealable Rent cases. 17,135 in 1864, and 14,149 in 1863.

In 1862, 24,327 such cases were disposed of; but cases under Sections 25 to 28, Act X. of 1859, were found to have been included. These were excluded from Returns of 1864.

There were 17,135 cases under these Acts appealable to the Judge, disposed of by the Revenue Authorities, as compared with 14,149 in the previous year. In 1862, the number of these cases was stated to amount to 24,327; but eventually, on enquiry, it was found that cases under Sections 25 to 28, Act X. of 1859, which the Court ruled were not appealable to the Civil Courts, had been erroneously entered in the Statements of that year. These were necessarily excluded from the Statements of 1863,—a circumstance which had the effect of preventing an effectual comparison being drawn between the number of these cases in each year.

Special orders passed in several districts where the numbers appeared to be open to question.

In the year under review, the Court have inculcated great carefulness in the preparation of this Return, and trust that the mistakes of 1862 have generally been avoided; but in several districts, where the numbers appeared open to question, special orders have been passed, and further enquiry directed.

Increase observable in 10 districts, especially in Seharunpore, Meerut, Bareilly, and Agra, the Judges of which districts have been called upon to submit special explanation of this result.

Greatest diminution occurred in Mynpoory, Futtehpore, and Allahabad.

32. In the ten districts noted in the margin, an increase was observable in the number of cases under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863. In the districts of Seharunpore, Meerut, Bareilly, and Agra, the increase was very marked, and special explanation of this result has been requested to be submitted. Of the districts which exhibited a decrease, the greatest diminution occurred in those of Mynpoory, Futtehpore, and Allahabad.

Seharunpore.	Furruckabad.
Meerut.	Goruckpore.
Allygurh.	Azimgurh
Bareilly.	Jounpore.
Agra.	Ghazeepore.

In Meerut (3 399), Agra (1,588), and Goruckpore (1,218), the greatest number of such cases were disposed of by Revenue Authorities.

The least were disposed of in Benares (89), Mirzapore (191), and Cawnpore (302).

The greatest absolute number of appealable rent cases was disposed of by the Revenue Authorities in Meerut, Agra, and Goruckpore, who decided 3,399, 1,588, and 1,218 suits respectively. The least number was disposed of in Benares, Mirzapore, and Cawnpore, where the numbers were respectively 89, 191, and 302.

2,304, or 13 per cent. appealable cases were appealed; same proportion as in 1863.

33. Of the appealable cases disposed of, 2,304, or 13 per cent. were appealed to the Judge, which is exactly the same proportion as last year.

Classification of districts according to greatest number and proportion of appeals.

34. A classification of districts is given according to the number and proportion of appeals preferred in each respectively :—

According to the number of appeals preferred under Act X. 1859.			According to the percentage of appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859 to total Revenue decisions.		
1	Jounpore ...	283	1	Jounpore ...	41
2	Goruckpore ...	215	2	Azimgurh ...	31
3	Bareilly ...	198	3	Mirzapore ...	28
4	Mynpoory ...	197	4	Benares ...	26
5	Azimgurh ...	195	5	Cawnpore ...	25
6	Allygurh ...	161	6	Allygurh ...	21
7	Agra ...	133	7	Allahabad ...	20
8	Allahabad ...	125	8	Goruckpore ...	18
9	Furruckabad ...	107	9	Mynpoory ...	17
10	Futtehpore ...	104	10	Moradabad ...	17
11	Shahjehanpore ...	100	11	Shahjehanpore ...	15
12	Moradabad ...	92	12	Bareilly ...	14
13	Ghazeepore ...	90	13	Futtehpore ...	11
14	Meerut ...	85	14	Furruckabad ...	10
15	Cawnpore ...	74	15	Ghazeepore ...	10
16	Seharunpore ...	68	16	Agra ...	8
17	Mirzapore ...	54	17	Seharunpore ...	7
18	Benares ...	23	18	Meerut ...	3

In comparing district with district, it will be observed that there is a remarkable similarity between the relative positions of the several districts in each year, although the general results were more favorable in 1864 than in the previous year.

Remarkable similarity between relative position of the districts in each year.

General results more favorable in 1864.

In Jounpore, 283 appeals were instituted, or 41 per cent., as compared with 50 per cent. in 1863; and in the districts of Azimgurh, Mirzapore, and Benares, the proportion of cases appealed was high; while, on the other hand, in those of Meerut, Seharunpore, and Agra, it was creditably small.

Greatest number of appeals in Jounpore; and in Azimgurh, Mirzapore, and Benares the percentage of cases appealed was high.

In Meerut, Seharunpore, and Agra, it was remarkably small.

35. Out of 1,885 cases of appeal disposed of by the Zillah Judges in this department, 922 decisions were confirmed, and 816, or 43 per cent. reversed or modified, as contrasted with 39 per cent. in the previous year. This result cannot be considered satisfactory.

1,885 appeals disposed of by Zillah Judges.

922 decisions confirmed, and 816 or 43 per cent. reversed or modified against 39 per cent. in 1863.

Result not satisfactory.

36. The most favorable returns were those of the Seharunpore and Azimgurh districts, where only 21 and 27 per cent., respectively, of the decisions of the Revenue Authorities were interfered with in appeal.

Seharunpore and Azimgurh Returns most favorable.

In the districts of Mynpoory, Moradabad, and Meerut, the results (62, 56, and 53) were very unfavorable.

Results very unfavorable in Mynpoory, Moradabad, and Meerut.

37. Several of the Judges, and notably Messrs. Reid and Spankie, have commented on the want of care evinced by the Tehseeldars in the disposal of these cases, and their neglect of the most obvious Rules of Procedure. One or two severe examples were made by the Government of the Officials of the Jounpore district during the past year; and the Court are sanguine that the lesson will not be without its results. They anticipate much good from the operation of a rule recently adopted by Mr. Spankie, whereby he records a Memorandum in each case of appeal, of the several instances of neglect of Rule or Procedure observable in the proceedings of the Revenue Authorities. An excellent Circular was promulgated by the Board of Revenue in July last, pointing out the course of procedure to be observed in the investigation of these cases, and the more palpable irregularities to be avoided; and the Court are of opinion that, if the principles laid down in this Circular were fairly carried out, the evil complained of would soon disappear.

Zillah Judges have commented on the carelessness evinced by Tehseeldars in disposing of these cases, and their neglect of most obvious Rules and Procedure.

Examples made in Jounpore expected to result in good.

Reference to a rule adopted by Mr. Spankie in such cases.

Excellent Circular issued by the Board in July, 1864, the principles contained in which, if fairly carried out, would soon cause the evils complained of to disappear.

38. In regard to the Jummabundee or rent-roll papers, Mr. Spankie observes: "I think it right to mention that the suits brought to realize arrears of rent, "and to contest illegal demands which come before the Court in appeal, suggest "considerable doubts as to the accuracy of the papers filed by the Putwarees, "and in all probability, after the mutiny, an opportunity was taken of recording "the rents in the rent-roll prepared by the Putwarees at a higher figure than "had been paid. It was for some time held by the Revenue Officers, and even "judicially, that the rent-rolls filed by the Village Accountants indicated what "was the legal demand against the cultivators. It is very possible that many "have been injured in consequence of this opinion, and that decisions of the "Court from which there has been no appeal, have had the effect of permanently "raising the rents of many tenants. Recent rulings of the Sudder Court have "stopped any further mischief from the erroneous impressions entertained regarding the Jummabundees; but the bulk of the Jounpore rent-rolls may be regarded "as incorrect."

Mr. Spankie's remarks in regard to the Jummabundees of the Jounpore district.

The Court have furnished an extract of the above remarks to the Sudder Board of Revenue, for such notice as may be deemed necessary. They understand that a Special Officer was some time ago deputed to the Jounpore district, for the

Mr. Spankie's remarks communicated to the Board for such notice as may be deemed necessary.

Recent measures adopted to ascertain and record the true entries of the rent-roll expected to remedy the defects complained of in Jounpore.

purpose of ascertaining and recording the true entries of the rent-roll; and they trust that the defects above noticed by Mr. Spankie, in regard to these papers, may shortly cease to exist.

Quote Mr. Sherer's remarks on the same subject in Ghazeepore.

39. In remarking on the same subject, Mr. Sherer, speaking of the Ghazeepore district, observes:—"It has been often pointed out to the Court that the "Jummabundees of this district are, generally speaking, far from satisfactory, "and the condition of one pergunnah, Luknessur, in this respect, is, I suppose, "unparalleled in the Province; but the work of revision is going on, although "not warmly supported by the Zemindars, who appear to think that what has "been badly done once, may be badly done again. But the revision will, it may "be presumed, be hastened by the refusal of the Revenue Authorities to receive "the village papers in their present condition. Here seems the place to remark "that, as far as I can judge, the working of Act X. seems to benefit the land-"lord rather than the tenant in this district. I think that cultivators who, in "districts where the village papers have been correctly kept, would have been "able to show themselves to belong to the so-called hereditary class, have bro-"ken down in their proof here, and been subjected to the conditions of ordinary "sub-tenancy."

Copy of Mr. Sherer's observations forwarded to Board of Revenue.

Copy of the above observations has likewise been furnished to the Board.

Mr. Carnac's remarks contain practical suggestions as to the mode in which certain abuses and evils in the Jummabundee papers may be best remedied.

40. Mr. Carnac, in a series of observations on the condition of the Jummabundee papers generally, their mode of preparation, the abuses and evils incident to the present Putwaree and Canoongoe system, has made several practical suggestions as to the mode in which these prevailing evils may be best remedied. These remarks, which are too long for insertion in the body of this report, have been printed separately in Appendix A., together with an extract containing some interesting observations on the working of Act X. of 1859, made by Mr. Vansittart, Judge of Bareilly, in his Annual Report. Copies of both these papers have been furnished to the Board.

Extracts from Bareilly and Mynpoory Reports given in Appendix A.

Re-settlement and revision of Settlement Records while in progress, suits and appeals for and against enhancement of rent will increase.

41. Generally, the Court have little doubt that while the re-settlement of these Provinces, and the revision of the Settlement records, continue in progress, the number of suits for and against enhancement of rent will increase, and appeals from the decisions passed in the same be correspondingly more numerous in the Judge's Courts.

Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859. Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

42. *Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. 1859, and of Sections 169, 170, Act XXV. of 1861.*—In the following return, an abstract is given of the number of cases of false verification, forgery, and false evidence, committed in the course of Civil proceedings, and prosecuted criminally under the provisions of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

ZILLAH.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.												Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.												Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.												Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			
	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	
Seharunpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	4	...	...	4	...	...	...	
Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allygurh ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	3	1	...	3	1	...	1	...	...	2	1	...	1	1	...	5	2	...	...	1	...	5	1	
Moradabad ...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Bareilly ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	4	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	1	16	...	3	7	...	...	9	...	...	
Shahjehanpore ...	3	...	4	3	...	9	...	...	1	3	...	8	2	...	1	3	...	11	...	...	...	2	...	11	1	...	4	2	...	9	...	...	4	2	...	5	
Agra ...	...	...	2	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Furruckabad ...	2	...	1	6	...	1	6	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	2	3	...	5	3	...	...	...	...	5	
Mynpoory ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cawnpore ...	3	2	...	4	3	...	3	3	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Futtehpore ...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	10	1	...	8	1	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad ...	...	...	5	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	6	1	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	
Goruckpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	5	2	...	5	...	...	...	2	...	
Azimgurh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jounpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirzapore ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	2	7	...	2	4	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	
Ghazeepore ...	1	1	2	2	4	3	...	...	...	2	4	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	9	4	18	15	8	27	9	4	2	6	4	17	10	10	19	13	14	31	9	6	6	6	7	23	10	3	13	28	13	38	17	...	9	13	13	12	

31 Prosecutions under Section 24, as compared with 26 in 1863.
50 persons committed.
15 do. convicted.
27 do. acquitted.

43. Under Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, 31 cases were prosecuted involving charges of false verification of written documents in 11 districts; and 50 persons were committed for trial, of whom only fifteen were convicted in five districts, and twenty-seven acquitted. In the previous year there were twenty-six cases, thirty-one commitments, fourteen convictions, and nine acquittals.

39 Cases prosecuted under Section 169, Act XXV. 1861, contrasted with 24 in 1863.
58 persons committed.
21 do. convicted.
38 do. acquitted.

44. Thirty-nine (39) cases were prosecuted criminally under Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861, (offences relating to false evidence,) and 58 commitments made. In these, twenty-one persons were convicted in eight districts, and thirty-eight acquitted; as compared with twenty-four cases, forty-three commitments, fifteen convictions, and twenty-two acquittals in 1863.

26 Cases prosecuted under Section 170, Act XXV. 1861, contrasted with 16 cases in 1863.
79 persons committed.
26 do. convicted.
38 do. acquitted.

45. Under Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861, action was taken in twenty-six cases, and seventy-nine persons were committed for trial, as contrasted with sixteen cases, and thirty-eight persons in the previous year. In these, there were twenty-six convictions and thirty-eight acquittals, against six of the former, and nineteen of the latter in 1863.

Greater resort had to the punitive provisions of the laws above quoted in 1864, than in 1863.

46. From the above details, and from the marginal summary, it will be observed that while greater resort was undoubtedly had to the punitive provisions of the laws above quoted in the year under review than in the previous one, the results, except in regard to the offences relating to forged documents, in which fair success was attained in the prosecutions which were instituted, cannot be considered as more satisfactory than those of 1863.

Year.	*Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.*				*Operation of Section 169, Act XXV., 1861.*				*Operation of Section 170, Act XXV., 1861.*			
	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted.	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted.	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted.
1864	31	50	15	27	39	58	21	38	26	79	26	38
1863	26	31	14	9	24	43	15	22	16	38	6	19

Results, except in regard to the offence relating to forged documents, not more satisfactory than those of 1863.

Difficulties attending such prosecutions.

47. The Court have nothing new to add to the remarks which they recorded in last year's report as to the great difficulties attending the successful prosecution of cases of this nature. They observe that cases of the kind are of almost daily occurrence, in which, though there exists no reasonable doubt in the mind of the Civil Judge before whom the action is tried, that one of the offences referred to has been committed, there is absolutely no evidence for commitment. In such a case a conviction at the Sessions would be hopeless.

Again, instances not unfrequently occur in which a different view of the features of the case is taken by the Officer by whom the proceedings preliminary to commitment are taken from that which was taken by the Officer hearing the Civil suit, and cases are not rare in which even the Officer who originated the prosecution in the first instance feels himself unable to convict upon presumptive evidence, which formerly appeared to himself to be *primâ facie* conclusive to warrant the prisoner's commitment.

More or less of truth in actions relating to conveyance, mortgage, possession of land, and personal property, and utterly false or groundless claims, seldom brought, as in cases of book, bond, or other debt.

48. It has been remarked that, in the bulk of the suits relating to conveyance, mortgage, possession of land, and personal property, there is more or less of truth in the actions preferred; and utterly false or groundless claims are seldom brought, as in the case of book, bond, and other debts. In the cases of offences relating to the forgery of documents, respecting which the prosecutions have been most successful, the nature of the crime is necessarily of a more tangible and material nature, and less dependent on the collateral circumstances

and presumptions of the case, than in those relating to false statements or evidence; and the offence in such instances is consequently more susceptible of proof.

Offences relating to the forgery of documents more susceptible of proof than those relating to false statements or evidence.

49. In reviewing the results of the several District Reports, the Court have repeated the caution formerly enjoined as to the care to be taken in the judicious selection of cases suitable for prosecution, observing that, although the successful prosecution of a few palpable cases of this nature is well calculated to deter litigants from the commission of the offences under notice, failure in such prosecutions has on less a tendency to encourage the evils which the punitive provisions of the law were intended to repress.

Caution repeated as to the care to be taken in the selection of cases suitable for prosecution.

50. The Table given below exhibits the average duration of suits in the several grades of Courts in each district of the North-Western Provinces during the year under report :—

Average duration of suits.

	Judges.			Principal Sudder Ameens.			Sudder Ameens.			Moonsiffs.			
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.
Seharunpore	...	2	13	...	2	18	...	2	14	..	2	3	
Meerut	...	1	22	...	1	28	...	...	...	...	...	24	
Allygurh	...	6	8	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	1	1	
Moradabad	...	3	20	...	2	...	...	1	3	...	...	28	
Bareilly	...	3	18	...	2	10	...	1	4	...	...	26	
Shahjehanpore	...	5	...	...	2	15	..	...	20	...	...	24	
Agra	...	11	3	...	1	24	...	...	...	...	1	5	
Furruckabad	..	...	21	...	1	7	...	...	25	...	...	20	
Mynpoory	...	6	8	...	3	8	...	1	5	...	...	20	
Cawnpore	...	2	29	...	1	9	...	...	...	...	...	25	
Futtehpore	...	1	10	...	1	18	...	1	6	...	...	26	
Allahabad	...	2	14	...	1	6	...	1	6	...	...	27	
Goruckpore	...	3	24	...	5	9	...	1	15	...	...	28	
Azimgurh	...	2	19	...	2	21	...	2	...	...	1	23	
Jounpore	...	2	17	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	27	
Mirzapore	...	6	23	...	2	4	...	...	...	...	1	4	
Benares	...	1	4	...	1	12	...	...	...	...	...	..	
Ghazeepore	...	5	4	...	2	18	...	3	4	...	...	21	
General Average ...	...	3	26	...	2	4	...	...	27	...	...	27	

3 months and 26 days in Judges' Courts in 1864, and 6 months and 28 days in 1863 satisfactory.

51. The general average duration of cases in the Courts of the Zillah Judges amounted to 3 months and 26 days, as compared with 6 months and 28 days in the previous year,—an improvement which is very satisfactory. In the districts of Furruckabad and Benares, especially in the former, where the work was very heavy, the averages, 21 days, and 1 month and 4 days respectively, were very low, and creditable to the exertions of Messrs. Thornhill, Stewart Reid, and Horne. The Court are glad to notice the absence of those excessive averages which have generally disfigured this Return in previous years.

In Furruckabad (21 days), and Benares (1 month 4 days), especially in the former, averages very low, and creditable to Messrs. Thornhill, Stewart Reid, and Horne.

Agra Return (11 months and 3 days) most unfavorable.

The most unfavorable Return was that of Agra, where the average duration of suits was 11 months and 3 days. As the arrears on the files of this Judgeship have been separately reported on, the Court refrain from further noticing the result in this address.

Returns of Mirzapore (6 months 23 days), Allygurh and Mynpoory (6 months 8 days), likewise very unfavorable.

The Returns of Mirzapore, 6 months and 23 days; Allygurh, 6 months and 8 days; and Mynpoory, 6 months and 8 days, are likewise very unfavorable. In the cases of Mirzapore and Mynpoory, the averages have been reduced from 11 months 7 days and 7 months 18 days, in 1863, to 6 months and 23 days and 6 months and 8 days, respectively, in 1864; but the Court have informed Messrs. Fane and Carnac, as also Mr. Bramley and the other Judges whose averages appeared excessive, that much improvement in this respect will be expected in the current year.

Judges of above districts informed that much improvement will be expected in 1865.

General average of Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts reduced from 2 months 8 days, in 1863, to 2 months 4 days in 1864. Highest average shown by Principal Sudder Ameens of Goruckpore and Mynpoory.

52. In the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens the general average duration of suits has been reduced from 2 months and 8 days to 2 months and 4 days. The highest averages were those shown by the Principal Sudder Ameens of Goruckpore and Mynpoory,—5 months and 9 days, and 3 months and 8 days, respectively.

Goruckpore result, however, better than in 1863.

The Goruckpore result however is somewhat better than it was in the preceding year, when the average duration of cases was 6 months and 19 days, and pending the long delayed orders of the Supreme Government on the Court's recommendation regarding the re-organization of this district, little improvement can be looked for in this Return, or in that of the Zillah Judge. The Court have passed suitable orders in regard to the other cases of excessive average duration.

Pending final orders regarding re-organization of this district, little improvement looked for in this return, or in that of the Zillah Judge.

Suitable orders passed in other cases of excessive duration.

	M.	D.
Jounpore, ...	1	1
Allahabad, ...	1	6
Furruckabad, ...	1	7
Cawnpore, ...	1	9

The results obtained in the districts of Jounpore, Allahabad, Furruckabad, and Cawnpore, as noted marginally, were very favorable, and reflect credit on Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Jounpore; Khoorsheid Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Allahabad; Kazee Enayet Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Furruckabad; and Mahomed Ubdoollah, Principal Sudder Ameen of Cawnpore.

Very favorable results in Jounpore, Allahabad, and Furruckabad.

Average duration in Sudder Ameens' Courts reduced from 1 month 19 days to 27 days, and in those of Moonsiffs from 29 days to 27 days.

53. The Court are also glad to observe that the average duration of cases in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs improved from 1 month and 19 days to 27 days in the case of the former, and from 29 days to 27 days in that of the latter.

Results very favorable in Sudder Ameens Courts in Shahjehanpore and Furruckabad, and in Moonsiffs' Courts in Furruckabad, Mynpoory, Ghazeepore, Meerut, and Shahjehanpore.

In the Sudder Ameens' Courts, the results attained in Shahjehanpore and Furruckabad, and in the Moonsiffs' Courts, those of Furruckabad, Mynpoory, Ghazeepore, Meerut, and Shahjehanpore, were very favorable. Here, as elsewhere, special orders have been separately passed in regard to the districts exhibiting unfavorable results.

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

54. The extent to which the parties to suits, or one of them, were voluntarily present in Court at the hearing of their cases will be seen from the following Table :—

Number.	Zillah.	*Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court ordinarily or by Summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	*Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.*			REMARKS.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			Superior Courts.	Moonsiffs.	General.	
1	Seharunpore ...	556	666	2,435	3,657	739	4,396	77	87	83	
2	Meerut ...	348	796	2,635	3,779	678	4,457	73	87	85	
3	Allygurh ...	537	698	2,059	3,294	912	4,206	35	87	78	
4	*Moradabad ...	743	1,217	2,596	4,556	1,007	5,563	63	83	82	
5	Bareilly ...	1,313	1,216	2,285	4,814	1,072	5,886	78	84	82	
6	Shahjehanpore	287	337	2,099	2,723	535	3,258	69	90	83	
7	Agra ...	371	767	1,597	2,735	1,012	3,747	58	76	73	
8	Furruckabad ...	812	560	2,925	4,297	358	4,655	80	93	92	
9	Mynpoory ...	417	909	1,839	3,165	970	4,135	21	87	77	
10	Cawnpore ...	331	208	1,335	1,874	512	2,386	46	9	75	
11	Futtehpore ...	357	625	1,378	2,360	660	3,020	76	83	78	
12	Allahabad ...	439	526	795	1,760	438	2,198	65	89	80	
13	Goruckpore ...	487	749	2,001	3,237	891	4,128	63	87	78	
14	Azimgurh ...	463	357	2,229	3,049	270	3,319	87	97	92	
15	Jounpore ...	406	332	1,104	1,842	737	2,579	32	87	71	
16	Mirzapore ...	320	392	1,255	1,967	188	2,155	91	90	82	
17	Benares ...	135	94	213	442	259	701	63	...	63	
18	Ghazeepore ...	469	669	2,398	3,536	473	4,009	83	89	88	
	TOTAL ...	8,791	11,118	33,178	53,087	11,711	64,798	64	83	82	

* The attendance in original cases only is shewn in this district in the Courts of the Judge and Principal Sudder Ameen, which accounts for the difference between the number of cases decided as shown in this Statement and in Statement No. 1, Regular Files.

Out of 64,798 cases decided in all the Courts, both the parties were present in 33,178 cases, or in nearly half of the whole; in 11,118 others the defendants alone were present; in 8,791, the plaintiffs only appeared, while in the remaining 11,711, neither of the parties appeared in Court.

Out of 64,798 cases decided, in 33,178 both parties were present.

In 11,118, defendants alone were present; in 8,791, only plaintiffs appeared; and in 11,711 neither parties were present.

The above figures represent 64 per cent. of personal attendance in the superior, and 83 per cent. in the inferior Courts. In the former Courts, many of the cases disposed of are those of appeal, in which the parties generally prefer being represented through their pleaders, and personal attendance is the less necessary.

In superior Courts 64 per cent. present; in inferior Courts 83 per cent.

In the former many of the cases disposed of were appeals, in which parties generally preferred being represented through their Pleaders.

In the inferior Courts, the cases of non-attendance are the natural results of decisions passed *ex-parte*, and in default. On the whole, the Court consider that this Statement unmistakably shews that, in respect to the original cases decided in these Provinces, the personal attendance in the Court of the parties is the rule, and non-attendance the exception.

In the inferior Courts, non-attendance is the natural result of decisions passed *ex-parte* and in default.

On the whole, it is shown that in respect to original cases personal attendance of parties is the rule, and non-attendance the exception.

Results in Azimgurh, Furruckabad, Shahjehanpore, and Mirzapore, particularly good.

The results shown in Azimgurh, Furruckabad, Shahjehanpore, and Mirzapore, are particularly good in this respect.

Return of execution, sales, and operation of Sections 243 to 248, Act VIII., 1859.

55. *Operation of Sections 243 to 248, Act VIII. of 1859.*

Number.	Zillah.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Court during 1864.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	
1	Seharunpore ...	...	24	...	...	...	24	...	236	...	1	...	237	
2	Meerut ...	...	4	...	5	...	9	...	104	...	...	...	104	
3	Allygurh ...	...	3	...	10	...	13	...	153	...	26	...	179	
4	Moradabad ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	5	122	1	13	6	135	
5	Bareilly ...	...	...	3	89	3	89	...	118	...	17	...	135	
6	Shahjehanpore	8	69	...	43	8	112	4	95	...	11	4	106	
7	Agra ...	...	68	...	44	...	112	...	71	...	60	...	131	
8	Furruckabad ...	21	11	...	23	21	34	...	139	...	5	...	144	
9	Mynpoory ...	...	5	...	51	...	56	...	148	...	8	...	156	
10	Cawnpore ...	1	...	...	...	1	...	4	17	...	...	4	17	
11	Futtehpore ...	...	...	...	16	...	16	...	29	2	4	2	33	
12	Allahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	125	...	21	...	146	
13	Goruckpore ...	1	75	...	2	1	77	2	98	...	5	2	103	
14	Azimgurh ...	...	81	...	...	...	81	...	60	...	1	...	61	
15	Jounpore ...	...	8	...	8	...	16	6	34	...	1	6	35	
16	Mirzapore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
17	Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1	3	1	10	
18	Ghazeepore ...	...	10	...	...	...	10	...	41	...	27	...	68	
	Total ...	31	360	3	291	34	650	21	1,601	4	203	25	1,804	

Mitigative provisions of Section 243, put in force in cases of 31 villages and 360 shares of villages.

Greatest recourse had to this measure in Shahjehanpore, Goruckpore, Azimgurh, Agra, and Furruckabad.

The mitigative provisions of Section 243, Act VIII. of 1859, in respect to the lease or mortgage of the share of the judgment debtor, at the instance of the Civil Courts, were put in force in the cases of 31 villages, and 360 shares of villages, which were thus preserved from the extreme measure of sale, as compared with 6 villages and 226 shares similarly mortgaged or leased in the previous year. Greatest recourse was had to this measure in the districts of Shahjehanpore, Goruckpore, Azimgurh, Agra, and Furruckabad.

In some districts, as Ghazeepore, the involved condition of landed property accounts for the little use of the provisions of these Sections.

In Ghazeepore, the same estate was mortgaged to five different persons.

In some districts, such as Ghazeepore, the very involved condition of much of the landed property in the district is reported as accounting for the little use of the mitigatory provisions of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII. of 1859,—every course being exhausted before the stage of auction sale arrives. A case was recently reported in the above district, in which a proprietor had mortgaged the same estate to five different persons.

Temporary alienation effected under Section 244 in cases of 3 villages and 291 shares, against 287 shares in 1863. Returns of Bareilly, Mynpoory, Agra, and Shahjehanpore, show greatest number of such alienations.

56. Temporary alienation was similarly effected under Section 244, Act VIII. of 1859, in the cases of three villages and 291 shares, as contrasted with 287 shares in 1863;—the Returns of Bareilly, Mynpoory, Agra, and Shahjehanpore showing the greatest number of such alienations.

57. Altogether, 25 entire villages and 1,804 shares were sold in execution of the decrees of the Civil Courts during the year, in comparison with 36 villages and 1,975 shares in the previous year.

25 entire villages and 1,804 shares were sold in 1864, as compared with 36 villages and 1,975 shares in 1863.

Sales were most numerous in the districts of Moradabad, Seharunpore, Allygurh, and Mynpoory; but the Judges of all the districts are unanimous in reporting that this extreme measure was never put in force except in cases where it was found impossible to induce persons to accept the lease or temporary alienation of the landed property of the judgment debtors. In nearly all the cases in which sale was effected, the value of the property sold was too small to ensure a moderate return by way of interest to the lessee. Under these circumstances recourse to sale was unavoidable, and the Court consider the number of these sales to have been generally moderate.

Sales most numerous in Moradabad, Seharunpore, Allygurh, and Mynpoory; but this measure was put in force only in extreme cases.

58. *Imprisonment in execution of decrees of Court.*—473 persons were imprisoned in execution of the decrees of the Civil Courts, as compared with 671 in the year 1863. The total amount of the decrees on account of which they were imprisoned amounted to Rs. 1,48,111.

Statement of *judgment-debtors* imprisoned, and amount of decrees;—473 persons were imprisoned as compared with 671 in 1863. Total amount of decrees, Rs. 1,48,111.

No.	Zillah.	No.	Amount of Debt.	Remarks.
1	Seharunpore	19	9,986	
2	Meerut	1	405	
3	Allygurh	40	4,990	
4	Moradabad	59	8,654	
5	Bareilly	17	3,043	
6	Shahjehanpore	3	321	
7	Agra	44	8,415	
8	Furruckabad	61	9,428	
9	Mynpoory	25	6,107	
10	Cawnpore	16	6,521	
11	Futtehpore	32	4,072	
12	Allahabad	36	29,332	
13	Goruckpore	9	1,214	
14	Azimgurh	20	3,830	
15	Jounpore	20	2,115	
16	Mirzapore	40	10,660	
17	Benares	27	19,918	
18	Ghazeepore	4	19,100	
	Total ...	473	1,48,111	
	Total for 1863 ...	671	1,33,928	
	Increase ...	...	14,183	
	Decrease ...	198	...	

The Court have noticed a gradual diminution in the number of persons imprisoned for debt during the past few years as indicated marginally; and are disposed to attribute this gratifying result to the operation of Sections 273, Act VIII. of 1859, and 8, Act XXIII. of 1861, whereby persons arrested under a warrant in execution of a decree for money are authorized to apply for their discharge, on the ground that they have no present means of paying the debt, either wholly or in part; or, if possessed of any property, that they are willing to place whatever property they possess at the disposal of the Court. By the application of these wholesome provisions, illegal and unauthorized imprisonment for the purpose of serving private hatred is now seldom or never carried into effect; and large resort is had to the protection thus afforded by debtors, who, having perhaps secured a supply of cash, are willing to place such ostensible property as they possess at the disposal of the

1860,...703 persons.
1861,...607 ditto.
1862,...823 ditto.
1863,...671 ditto.
1864,...473 ditto.

Gradual diminution during the past 5 years. This result attributed to operation of Section 273, Act VIII. of 1859, and Section 8, Act XXIII. of 1861.

Illegal and unauthorized imprisonment for the purpose of serving private hatred seldom or never carried into effect, and large resort had to the protection thus afforded.

Classification of districts according to greater or less amount of work performed by the Zillah Judges.

59. In the Statement given below the amount of work, Civil and Criminal, performed by each *Zillah Judge* during the past year, is exhibited,—the districts being arranged according to the number of *Civil* cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.

Number in order of Civil work performed.	Zillah.	Work actually performed.							Criminal.			Order of zillah according to the last Column.
		Original Suits.	Appeals.									
			From Principal Sudder Ameens.	From Collectors under Act X. of 1859.	From Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.	Miscellaneous, Statement No. 2. Headings 1 to 20.	Total.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days on which the Judge actually sat on the Civil side.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days given to Criminal sittings.	Total value of Civil and Criminal work performed in days.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
1	Meerut ...	15	134	78	244	180	651	252	194	79	58	331	II.
2	Azimgurh ...	6	26	144	310	272	758	221	191	58	63	279	IX.
3	Futtehpore ...	25	67	83	205	211	591	221	193	81	68	302	III.
4	Allahabad ...	24	91	105	129	177	526	214	185	76	63	290	VII.
5	Goruckpore ...	...	65	205	89	390	749	196	152	101	92	297	IV.
6	Cawnpore ...	10	119	78	69	319	595	193	128	80	36	273	XI.
7	Furruckabad ...	22	42	48	212	223	547	191	143	94	64	285	VIII.
8	Ghazeepore ...	2	95	163	48	280	588	177	152	89	90	266	XII.
9	Agra ...	9	177	35	...	123	344	166	79	182	148	348	I.
10	Bareilly ...	17	30	140	130	74	391	155	120	122	115	277	X.
11	Benares ...	7	136	24	...	233	400	146	97	111	121	257	XIII.
12	Mirzapore ...	1	154	47	3	93	298	135	145	101	102	236	XVI.
13	Mynpoory ...	4	65	146	17	197	429	134	121	157	161	291	VI.
14	Jounpore ...	6	43	166	63	77	355	128	201	40	48	168	XVIII.
15	Shahjehanpore ...	...	87	75	12	140	314	117	97	128	97	245	XIV.
16	Allygurh ...	2	58	124	19	69	272	100	129	193	125	293	V.
17	Moradabad ...	12	36	71	17	106	242	95	166	145	95	240	XV.
18	Seharunpore ...	2	49	32	60	120	263	85	138	115	97	203	XVII.
	Total ...	164	1,474	1,764	1,627	3,284	8,313	2,926	2,631	1,955	1,644	4,881	...
	Total in 1863	314	1,243	1,722	1,913	4,275	9,467	3,279	2,706	1,568	1,570	4,847	...
	Increase ...	...	231	42	...	...	...	...	...	387	74	34	...
	Decrease ...	150	...	...	286	991	1,154	353	75	...	...	...	...

Greatest amount of work performed by Messrs. Thornhill and Stewart Reid, Judges of Meerut and Furruckabad.

Least amount of Civil duties performed in Seharunpore, Moradabad, and Allygurh.

The greatest amount of work was performed by Messrs. Thornhill and Stewart Reid, Judges of Meerut; and, after them, by the Judges of Azimgurh, Futtehpore, and Allahabad, whose returns are very creditable to their industry.

The Judgeships in which the least amount of *Civil* duties was performed, were those of Seharunpore, Moradabad, and Allygurh.

A large diminution, from 314 to 164, in the number of original suits disposed of by Zillah Judges.

60. The Court are glad to notice a large diminution, from 314 to 164, in the number of original suits disposed of by the Zillah Judges themselves during the year under report; and they have reason to believe that due attention is now paid to the Court's repeated instructions, that the Zillah Judges should, as a rule, confine their selection of cases for disposal by themselves to those which, either from their amount as exceeding Rs 5,000 in value, must necessarily have come before the Sudder Court in appeal, or which, owing to one or other of the parties, or the documents, being English, or for other special reasons, it may be deemed necessary to transfer to their own files. In a few instances in which the information was wanting, special explanation in regard to the disposal of original suits under Rs. 5,000 in value has been called for in reply to the District Reports. But the Court do not anticipate any material further reduction in the number of these cases; as from the rapidly increasing European mercantile and commercial element in these Provinces, a corresponding increase in this description of litigation may, with some confidence, be anticipated.

* In a few instances special explanation called for in regard to the disposal of original suits under Rs. 5,000 in value.

Further reduction in the number of these cases not anticipated, with reference to the rapidly increasing European mercantile and commercial element in these Provinces.

61. It will be observed that the district of Agra, which is 9th on the list of districts arranged according to the amount of work performed by the Judge in the *Civil* Department alone, stands 1st on the general list of districts arranged according to the aggregate amount of *Civil and Criminal* duties performed, Meerut being 2nd, and Futtehpore 3rd in order.

Agra, 9th on the list of districts, arranged according to amount of Civil work performed, stands 1st on the general list of districts arranged according to aggregate Civil and Criminal duties performed,—Meerut 2nd, and Futtehpore 3rd in order.

In the year 1863, the first district on the list was Furruckabad, which now stands 8th; and the 2nd was Azimgurh, which is now 9th.

In 1863, Furruckabad was 1st on the list; 8th in 1864.

The district of Jounpore was last on the general list in the year 1864, the place occupied by Mirzapore in the previous year.

Jounpore was last in 1864, and Mirzapore last in 1863.

62. The number of the appeals preferred to the Sudder Court from the decisions of the Zillah Judges during the 12 months commencing from the last quarter of 1863, and the results of those disposed of by the Court during the year, were the following:—

Appeals preferred to Sudder Court from decisions of the Zillah Judges.

Name of Judge.	Zillah.	Number of original suits and appeals finally decided by the Judges during the 12 months commencing the last quarter of 1863 and the three first quarters of 1864.	Number of appeals from decisions to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, Regular and Special, preferred in the 12 months of 1864.	Per-centage of appeals to cases and appeals decided.	Number of cases decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during the year 1864.	Number affirmed.	Number modified or reversed.	Dismissed on default, adjusted or withdrawn, and otherwise disposed of.	Percentage of cases modified or reversed, to total number decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.	Remarks.
Mr. C. Horne ...	Benares ...	186	153	82	135	48	76	11	56·	
„ W. Edwards ...	Benares ...	...	8	...	8	...	7	1	87·	
„ A. Ross ...	Azimgurh ...	613	294	48	271	191	71	9	26·	
„ R. Spankie ...	Jounpore ... / Meerut ...	221	39	18	39	24	8	7	20·	
„ W. R. Best ...	Jounpore ... / Ghazeepore ...	124	22	18	9	5	4	...	44·	
„ F. M. Lind ...	Jounpore ... / Furruckabad ...	...	92	...	91	61	27	3	30·	
„ H. G. Keene ...	Allahabad ...	187	48	27	15	11	4	...	27·	
„ G. P. Money ...	Allahabad ...	200	165	83	161	95	58	8	36·	
„ W. P. Masson	Benares ... / Allahabad ...	...	1	...	3	1	2	...	...	
„ M. B. Thornhill	Jounpore ... / Furruckabad ... / Meerut ...	736	136	18	195	115	76	4	39·	
„ H. S. Reid ...	Furruckabad ...	190	35	18	10	5	3	2	30·	
„ H. P. Fane ...	Mirzapore ...	216	87	40	81	56	16	9	20·	
„ G. E. Lance	Cawnpore ...	317	121	38	108	82	22	4	20·	
„ F. B. Pearson	Cawnpore ...	...	16	...	16	11	5	...	31·	
„ W. S. Paterson ...	Agra ...	152	74	49	63	46	15	2	24·	
„ W. J. Bramley ...	Allygurh ...	247	128	52	102	70	26	...	25·	
„ J. S. Dumergue ...	Allygurh ...	...	5	...	5	4	1	...	20·	
„ J. W. Sherer ...	Ghazeepore ...	167	41	25	11	7	4	...	36·	
„ F. Thompson ...	Mynpoorie ...	117	48	41	48	22	16	10	33·	
„ J. H. Batten ...	Mynpoorie ...	...	27	...	27	22	4	1	15·	
„ W. J. R. Carnac	Ghazeepore ... / Mynpoorie ...	46	48	104	44	33	11	...	25·	
„ H. B. Henderson. ...	Jounpore ... / Mynpoorie ... / Scharunpore ...	230	115	41	97	48	47	2	48·	
„ S. J. Becher ...	Scharunpore ...	153	49	32	47	30	14	3	30·	
„ C. R. Lindsay	Goruckpore ... / Furruckabad ...	182	100	55	99	63	35	1	35·	
„ B. Sapte ...	Ghazeepore ... / Goruckpore ...	358	84	23	44	20	18	6	40·	
„ A. Swinton ...	Goruckpore ...	...	23	...	23	11	12	...	52·	
„ J. W. Power ...	Moradabad ...	159	59	37	49	22	24	3	49·	
Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond ...	Shahjehanpore ...	244	201	82	198	119	69	10	35	
„ H. Lushington	Shahjehanpore / Agra ...	...	33	...	33	29	9	1	27·	
„ H. Vansittart ...	Bareilly ...	302	37	12	23	12	11	...	48·	
„ R. Dunlop ...	Bareilly ...	...	67	...	65	49	14	2	22·	
„ G. Edmonstone	Futtehpore ...	...	15	...	15	7	5	3	38.	
„ E. J. Boldero	Futtehpore ...	377	169	45	152	103	43	6	28	
„ G. D. Turnbull ...	Meerut ...	33	8	24	8	6	2	...	25·	
	Total .	5,807	2,518	44	2,293	1,428	759	108	33·	

44 per cent. of the decisions of Zillah Judges were appealed to the Court during 1864, as compared with 42 per cent. in 1863.

5,807 original suits and appeals were decided by the Zillah Judges during the last quarter of 1863, and first 3 quarters of 1864; and 2,548, or 44* per cent. were appealed to the Court during the year 1864, as compared with 42 per cent. in the previous year. This percentage was very high.

* The basis of this proportion is necessarily an approximation; but the result is nearly correct.

Excluding returns of Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the smallest proportion of cases appealed was found in the results shewn by Messrs. Vansittart, Thornhill, Reid, Best, and Sapte.

Mr. Thornhill's Return specially favorable; largest proportion of cases appealed from decisions of Messrs. Money, Drummond, and Horne.

63. Excluding the Returns of those Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the smallest proportion of cases appealed to the Court was found in the results shown by Messrs. Vansittart, Thornhill, H. S. Reid, Best, and Sapte, whose percentages of cases appealed are noted in the margin. With reference to the large amount of Civil business performed by him, Mr. Thornhill's Return is specially favorable. The largest proportion of cases appealed obtained in the cases of Messrs. Money (83), Drummond (82), and Horne (82).

* Mr. Vansittart	...	12 per cent.
Mr. Thornhill,	... ...	18 „ „
Mr. S. Reid,	... ...	18 „ „
Mr. Best,	... ...	18 „ „
Mr. Sapte,	... ...	23 „ „

Of 2,293 cases disposed of in appeal, the decisions of 1,428 were confirmed; of 795 reversed or modified; 108 being otherwise disposed of.

33 per cent. of the decisions were interfered with in appeal, as compared with 40 per cent. in 1863.

65. Out of 2,293 cases of appeal from the decisions of the District Judges disposed of by the Court during the year, the decisions of 1,428 were confirmed; and of 759 reversed or modified, 108 being otherwise disposed of. This result, which shews that 33 per cent. of the decisions were interfered with in appeal, contrasts favorably with the corresponding results of 1863, when the proportion was 40 per cent.

Best results are of those of Messrs. Spankie, Fane, and Lance.

66. In respect to the smallest proportion of decisions modified or reversed, of those disposed of in appeal, the best results were those attained by Messrs. Spankie, Fane, and Lance, no more than 20 per cent. of whose decisions were reversed or modified. The Court consider this a better test of the comparative judicial efficiency of the Judges than the Return given in the last paragraph.

Least favorable results are those of Messrs. Horne, Power, Vansittart, and Henderson.

The least favorable proportions in this respect were those of Messrs. Horne (56), Power (49), Vansittart (48), and Henderson (48). In the case of Mr. Horne, especially, the result is little creditable to his judgment.

Statement showing comparative number of cases decided on merits and ex-parte.

67. The comparative number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* by the several Principal Sudder Ameens in the North-Western Provinces during the past year is given below:—

No.	Zillah.	Name.	Average number of original cases and appeals reckoning 3 to 1 original suits decided on merits and ex-parte per mensem.	REMARKS.
1	Agra	Mahomed Buksh ...	44	
2	Mirzapore	Hubbeeb-oollah ...	40	
3	Benares	Mr. R. H. Smith ...	37	
4	Banda	Rai Soonder Lall ...	31	
5	Meerut	Pygumber Buksh ...	28	
6	Shahjehanpore and Mynpoorie ...	Rai Dabee Dial ...	26	
7	Azimgurh	Abdool Azeez Khan ...	26*	* Besides Moonsiff's work.
8	Allahabad	Khoorsheid Ally Khan ...	26	
9	Moradabad	Mahomed Hussun ...	23	
10	Allygurh and Ghazeepore ...	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	20	
11	Cawnpore	Mahomed Abdoollah Khan ...	20	
12	Allygurh and Ghazeepore ...	Rai Kanjee Suhai ...	19	
13	Seharunpore	Sookbasee Lall ...	18	
14	Goruckpore	Mooftee Usud-oollah Khan ...	15	
15	Bareilly	Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan ...	15	
16	Jounpore	Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan ...	14	
17	Mynpoorie and Shahjehanpore ...	Momin Ali ...	14	
18	Furruckabad	Cazee Enayut Hossein Khan ...	13	
19	Agra	Mr. V. Berkeley, Judge of Small Cause Court, ...	1	

68. The largest quantity of Civil work was performed by Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen (44 per mensem); Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore (40 per mensem); and Mr. R. H. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares (37); and these Officers are especially entitled to commendation for the assiduity with which they discharged their onerous duties during the year.

Largest quantity of Civil work performed by Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore, and Mr. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares.

69. The next Statement exhibits the ratio of appeals preferred in appealable cases, and of decisions reversed or modified in the appeals disposed of from the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

Ratio of appeals preferred in appealable cases, and decisions reversed or modified in appeals disposed of from decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Ratio per cent.			Remarks.
		Of appeals to appealable cases decided.	Of decisions modified or reversed.		
			To number of appeals tried.	To number of appealable cases decided.	
Furruckabad ...	Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan	81·	27·	18·	
Goruckpore ...	Mooftee Asud-oollah Khan ...	58·	26·	13·	
Allahabad ...	Khoorsheid Ali Khan ...	55·	23·	12·	
Allygurh ... / Ghazeepore ...	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	52·	22·	12·	
Mynpoorie ...	Momin Ali Khan ...	51·	28·	13·	
Cawnpore ...	Mahomed Ubdoollah Khan ...	48·	25·	12·	
Benares ...	Mr. R. H. Smith ...	45·	36·	16·	
Meerut ...	Pygumber Buksh ...	45·	25·	18·	
Shahjehanpore ... / Mynpoory ...	Debee Dyal ...	41·	30·	8·	
Agra ...	Mahomed Buksh ...	37·	40·	15·	
Seharunpore ...	Sookbasee Lall ...	35·	31·	10·	
Azimgurh ...	Ubdool Azeez Khan ...	33·	27·	7·	
Bareilly ...	Kasim Ali ...	29·	31·	8·	
Allygurh ... / Ghazeepore ...	Kanjee Suhae ...	28·	31·	8·	
Jounpore ...	Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan	25·	39·	8·	
Banda ...	Rai Soonder Lall ..	23·	5·	1·	
Moradabad ...	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	17·	16·	1·	
Mirzapore ...	Hubeeb-oollah Khan ...	12·	22·	2·	
		37·	29·	10·	

Of the appealable cases disposed of by the Principal Sudder Ameens, 37 per cent. were appealed to the higher authority. Judged by this ratio, the most favorable returns were those of Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mynpoorie, Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad, and Rai Soondur Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, from whose decisions 12, 17, and 23 per cent., respectively, were appealed.

37 per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens appealed.

Most favorable Returns are those of Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore, Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad, and Rai Soondur Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda.

70. 29 per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens were reversed or modified, of those disposed of in appeal, being the same as in the previous year.

Percentage (29 per cent.) of decisions reversed or modified the same as last year.

The results exhibited by Rai Soondur Lall (5), Mahomed Hussun Khan (16), Hubeeb-oollah Khan (22), Syud Uhmud Khan (22), and Khoorsheid Ali Khan (23), were very creditable to the judgment of these Officers.

Results of Rai Soondur Lall, Mahomed Hussun Khan, Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Syud Uhmud Khan, and Khoorsheid Ali Khan very creditable.

The least favorable results were those of Mahomed Buksh and Hussun Ruza Khan.

Those of Mahomed Buksh and Hussun Ruza Khan least favorable.

Classification of Districts according to amount of work performed by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

71. The average number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs of each District will be seen in the following Statement :—

No.	Zillah.	Average No. of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem per Moonsiff.
1	Allahabad,	68
2	Meerut,	48
3	Azimgurh,	44
4	Goruckpore,	44
5	Jounpore,	43
6	Mirzapore,	42
7	Agra,	40
8	Furruckabad,	40
9	Ghazeepore,	39
10	Mynpoorie,	37
11	Cawnpore,	36
12	Bareilly,	36
13	Allygurh,	35
14	Moradabad,	32
15	Futtehpore,	24
16	Seharunpore,	19
17	Shahjehanpore,	13

Considerable increase in work disposed of.

Moonsiff of Allahabad did more work than any other Moonsiff in the North-Western Provinces.

In Futtehpore, Seharunpore, and Shahjehanpore, work performed fell short of the standard prescribed.

Arrears on several of these Moonsiffs' files, however, insignificant.

This Return shows a considerable increase in the amount of work done, as compared with the year 1863. The Moonsiff of Allahabad (Abdool Qyoom) disposed of more Civil work than any other Moonsiff of these Provinces.

After him, the Moonsiffs of Meerut, Azimgurh, and Goruckpore got through a large amount of Civil duties. In the districts of Futtehpore, Seharunpore, and Shahjehanpore, alone, the amount of work performed fell short of the standard prescribed by the Court; but in several of the Moonsiffs' Courts in these districts the arrears on the pending files were insignificant.

Results of appeals from orders of Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

72. The next Statement is a Return exhibiting the results of the appeals preferred from the decisions of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens :—

Court.	Number of appealable cases decided.	Number of cases appealed.	Appeals modified and reversed.	Appeals confirmed.	Percentage: Of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage: Of cases reversed and modified — To number of appeals decided.	Percentage: Of cases reversed and modified — To number of appealable cases decided.
Sudder Ameens, ...	2,745	1,079	280	595	40·	30·	10·
Moonsiffs, ...	20,066	5,362	1,279	2,749	27·	28·	6·

40 per cent. of Sudder Ameens' cases, and 27 per cent. of Moonsiffs' cases, were appealed.

30 per cent. of Sudder Ameens' orders, and 28 per cent. of Moonsiffs' orders, were reversed or modified in appeal.

In the Courts of the Sudder Ameens, 40 per cent. of the appealable decisions were appealed to higher authority; and of the Moonsiffs, 27 per cent.

Of the decisions of the Sudder Ameens disposed of in appeal, 280, or 30 per cent., were reversed or modified, as compared with 28 per cent. in the Moonsiffs' Courts. These results evince general good judgment on the part of the subordinate judicial functionaries of the North Western Provinces.

73. The following Table contains a classification of the description of original suits insituted in the Civil Courts of these Provinces :—

Classification of description of original suits instituted in the Civil Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Division.	Zillah.	Number of Suits instituted for				Proportion of suits for real property, to total suits.	Proportion of suits for bond debt, to total suits.
		Real property, columns 2 to 7 of Statement.	Debts on Bond.	Other Suits, columns 8 to 14 and 16 to 22.	Total.		
Meerut, ...	Seharunpore, ...	340	2,824	503	3,667	9	77
	Meerut, ...	314	2,570	1,038	3,922	8	63
	Allygurh, ...	164	2,761	790	3,715	4	74
Rohilcund, ...	Moradabad, ...	140	3,849	1,147	5,136	3	75
	Bareilly, ...	391	3,518	866	4,775	8	74
	Shahjehanpore, ...	157	2,006	601	2,764	6	73
Agra, ...	Agra, ...	384	2,250	471	3,105	12	72
	Mynpoorie, ...	297	2,603	521	3,421	9	76
	Furruckabad, ...	266	2,849	1,295	4,410	6	65
Allahabad, ...	Cawnpore, ...	135	1,258	497	1,890	7	67
	Futtehpore, ...	394	1,513	772	2,679	15	56
	Allahabad, ...	120	1,254	407	1,781	7	70
Benares, ...	Goruckpore, ...	1,365	1,300	990	3,655	37	38
	Azimgurh, ...	719	951	896	2,566	28	37
	Jounpore, ...	183	832	974	1,989	10	42
	Mirzapore, ...	92	1,056	747	1,895	5	55
	Benares, ...	26	241	281	548	5	44
	Ghazeepore, ...	330	1,654	1,597	3,581	9	46
	TOTAL, ...	5,817	35,289	14,393	55,499	10	64

Of 55,499 original suits instituted during the year 1864, 35,289, or 64 per cent. were for debts on bond, as compared with 65 per cent. in the previous year. 5,817, or 10 per cent. were for real property, being the same proportion as in 1863.

Of 55,499 original suits, 64 per cent. were based on bond debts, as compared with 65 per cent. in 1863. The proportion of claims to real property, 10 per cent., was the same as last year.

In the Benares Division, as in former years, suits for debts on bond were comparatively less numerous than in other parts of the Provinces. In the districts of Goruckpore and Azimgurh, the proportion of suits for real property was comparatively high.

In Benares, suits for debts on bond were comparatively less numerous than in other Districts. In Goruckpore and Azimgurh the proportion of suits for real property comparatively high.

74. *Muzkooree and Tulubanah Returns* :—

Muzkooree and Tulubanah Returns.

Zillah.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year 1864.	No. of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year 1864.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Seharunpore, ...	14,602 6 0	161	10,297 12 10	4,304 9 2	
Meerut, ...	15,894 1 0	155	9,343 11 6	6,550 5 6	
Allygurh, ...	12,477 4 3	161	6,618 0 2	5,859 4 1	
Moradabad, ...	23,142 13 3	256	16,229 14 3	6,912 15 0	
Bareilly, ...	17,366 6 0	208	13,569 12 0	3,696 10 0	
Shahjehanpore, ...	8,262 12 1	72	4,759 2 3	3,503 9 10	
Agra, ...	17,367 6 0	183	11,679 1 4	5,688 4 8	
Furruckabad, ...	12,976 0 0	132	8,583 13 9	4,392 2 3	
Mynpoorie, ...	12,563 1 6	115	7,223 14 3	5,339 3 3	
Cawnpore, ...	7,232 2 0	74	4,758 7 6	2,473 10 6	
Futtehpore, ...	10,398 5 9	92	6,044 0 0	4,354 5 9	
Allahabad, ...	8,942 9 0	86	5,709 0 0	3,233 9 0	
Goruckpore, ...	23,319 5 10	256	16,259 11 1	7,059 10 9	
Azimgurh, ...	11,077 3 0	110	7,130 10 3	3,946 8 9	
Jounpore, ...	6,534 12 0	78	4,708 15 0	1,825 13 0	
Mirzapore, ...	7,607 9 0	76	4,835 2 0	2,772 7 0	
Benares, ...	3,272 5 0	20	1,830 9 6	1,441 11 6	
Ghazeepore, ...	12,319 7 0	135	7,456 6 3	4,863 0 9	
Total, ...	2,25,255 12 8	2,310	1,47,037 15 11	78,227 12 9	

In the year under review Rs. 2,25,255-14-8 were realized as Tulubanah, as compared with Rs. 2,28,883-1-0 in the year 1863. Out of this amount,

Rs. 2,25,255-14-8 realized as Tulubanah during 1864.

Rs. 1,47,037-15-11 were paid as the salaries of 2,310 Peons, leaving a net surplus of Rs. 78,227-14-9.

Separate Report will be submitted on the new Tulubanah system.

Had the Meeran been paid away as formerly, the net surplus would have been Rs. 21,913-15-1.

Rs. 1,47,037-15-11 were paid as the salaries of 2,310 peons, leaving a net surplus of Rs. 78,227-14-9 in the ordinary Civil Courts of the North-Western Provinces at the close of the year. During the past year, the new Tulubanah system under Act XI. of 1863 has been in full operation, and the Court will shortly submit a separate report on the subject. Meanwhile, it may be observed that what was formerly payable to the Nazirs as Meeran, is now available for the general purposes of the fund. Had the Meeran not been available during the past year, the surplus would have been reduced to Rs. 21,913 15-1 (by deducting the Meeran, or Rs. 56,313-15-8, from the surplus), an amount slightly in excess of the surplus of the former year.

Stamp Returns.

75. *Stamp Returns of the Sudder Zillah and Subordinate Civil Courts, North-Western Provinces.*

Zillah.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed. (Ordinary.)	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
SUDDER COURT, ...	*99,725 1 0	...	7,018 0 0	92,826 1 0	3,20,017 5 10	...	2,27,191 4 10	* This has not been included in the total. The increase in cost of the Judges' salary and establishment was owing to the appointment of three Extra Judges.
Seharunpore, ...	60,106 6 0	285 10 6	2,621 0 0	57,771 0 6	58,788 0 0	...	1,016 15 6	
Meerut, ...	60,625 14 0	862 11 0	2,170 8 0	59,318 1 0	52,338 0 0	6,980 1 0	...	
Allygurh, ...	57,239 5 0	477 7 0	1,558 0 0	56,158 12 0	57,084 0 0	...	925 4 0	
Moradabad, ...	60,657 12 0	420 5 6	2,977 8 0	58,100 9 6	64,284 0 0	...	6,183 6 6	
Bareilly, ...	55,602 13 0	281 1 0	2,009 8 0	53,874 6 0	66,980 7 5	...	13,106 1 5	
Shahjehanpore, ...	35,713 0 6	235 10 6	622 0 0	35,326 11 0	49,978 6 9	...	14,651 11 9	
Agra, ...	51,210 10 0	853 0 0	862 8 0	51,201 2 0	54,286 0 0	...	3,084 14 0	
Furruckabad, ...	53,124 5 0	334 14 0	1,176 0 0	52,283 3 0	60,454 0 0	...	8,170 13 0	
Mynpoorie, ...	42,689 13 0	322 0 6	673 0 0	42,338 13 6	58,567 9 1	...	16,228 11 7	
Cawnpore, ...	42,823 14 6	907 12 0	1,419 8 0	42,312 2 6	48,480 0 0	...	6,167 13 6	
Futtehpore, ...	32,486 5 0	1,079 0 0	122 0 0	33,443 5 0	52,200 12 4	...	18,757 7 4	
Allahabad, ...	54,300 8 0	338 15 0	939 0 0	53,700 7 0	48,916 0 0	4,784 7 0	...	
Goruckpore, ...	57,481 3 0	1,172 6 0	320 0 0	58,333 9 0	59,684 7 11	...	1,350 14 11	
Azimgurh, ...	47,360 0 0	78 0 0	963 0 0	46,475 0 0	53,732 0 0	...	7,257 0 0	
Jounpore, ...	28,168 10 0	326 8 0	623 0 0	27,872 2 0	52,009 5 7	...	24,137 3 7	
Mirzapore, ...	39,686 8 0	287 12 0	917 0 0	39,057 4 0	48,490 0 6	...	9,432 12 6	
Benares, ...	36,059 10 0	185 9 0	1,130 8 0	35,114 11 0	47,832 0 0	...	12,717 5 0	
Ghazeepore, ...	63,803 4 0	614 6 0	1,374 8 0	63,043 2 0	44,987 10 4	18,055 7 8	...	
Total, ...	8,79,139 13 0	9,063 0 0	22,478 8 0	8,65,724 5 0	9,79,092 11 11	29,819 15 8	1,43,188 6 7	Net Loss to Government, Rs. 1,13,368-6-11.
Average, ...	48,841 1 7	503 8 0	1,248 12 10	4,806 14 6	54,388 7 9	1,656 10 8	7,954 14 7	
Total of Judges' Courts, ...	1,71,923 11 0	84 0 0	7,735 8 0	1,64,272 3 0	6,44,504 13 7	...	4,80,232 10 7	Net Loss to Government, Rs. 1,13,368-6-11.
Total of Subordinate Courts,	7,07,216 2 0	8,979 0 0	14,743 0 0	7,01,452 2 0	3,34,587 14 4	3,66,864 3 8	...	

Inclusive of penalties, the value of Stamps filed in the Courts of the Judges and their subordinates in the North-Western Provinces amounted to Rs. 8,88,202-13-0, from which Rs. 22,478 8 0 were refunded on Razeenamahs, leaving a net total of Rs. 8,65,724-5-0 realized. The net total amount realized from the sale of Stamps in the Courts of the subordinate Judges, was Rs. 7,01,452-2-0, as compared with Rs. 6,20,283-15-0 in the previous year, when an account of the Stamps filed in the Zillah Judges' Courts was not given.

Inclusive of penalties, the value of Stamps filed amounted to Rs. 8,88,202-13, from which Rs. 22,478-8 were refunded, leaving a net total of Rs. 8,65,724-5 realized.

Net amount realized from Stamps in the Courts of the subordinate Judges, Rs. 7,01,452-2.

This increase is very satisfactory.

The cost of the salaries and establishments of the Zillah and subordinate Judges amounted to Rs. 9,79,092-11-11, so that, on the whole, the net cost of the Civil judiciary of these Provinces, exclusive of the Sudder Court, amounted to no more than Rs. 1,13,368-6-11.

Cost of salaries and establishments of Subordinate Judges amounted to Rs. 9,79,092-11-11, and therefore cost of the Civil judiciary, exclusive of Sudder Court, amounted to no more than Rs. 1,13,368-6-11.

It will be observed that the cost of the Judicial agency in the districts of Meerut, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore is more than defrayed by the amount realized by the sale of Stamps in those districts.

In Meerut, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore, cost of Judicial agency more than defrayed by amount realized from Stamps in those districts.

76. During the year, the value of the Stamps filed in the Sudder Court amounted to Rs. 99,725-1-0, out of which amount, Rs. 7,018 were refunded, leaving a net total of Rs. 92,826-1-0.

Value of Stamps filed in Sudder Court was Rs. 99,725-1-0, of which Rs. 7,018 were refunded, leaving a balance of Rs. 92,826-1-0.

The total cost of the Court, including salaries of Judges, establishment, and contingencies of all kinds, amounted to Rs. 3,20,017-5-10, showing that the actual cost of the Court to Government was Rs. 2,27,191-4-10. The Court, however, observe that the cost of the Court, during the year under report, was exceptionally increased by the temporary addition of extra Judges, involving extra establishments and contingencies for seven months of the year.

Total cost of Court amounted to Rs. 3,20,017-5-10, actual cost to Government, Rs 2,27,191-4-10.

This result exceptional.

77. In the year under review, 65,337 original suits and appeals, involving an aggregate value of Rs. 17,908,027, and of costs Rs. 19,78,630, were disposed of. The average value of each suit amounted to Rs. 274, and the average cost Rs. 30; 89 per cent. of which latter proportion is prescribed by law, and is not subject to variation. The percentage of costs to value of each suit was Rs. 11.

In 1864, 65,337 original suits and appeals, involving an aggregate value of Rs. 17,908,027, and of costs Rs. 19,78,630 were disposed of.

Value of each suit, Rs. 274.

Average cost, Rs. 30.

Percentage of costs to value of each suit was Rs. 11.

In 1863, the value of suits disposed of amounted to the large sum of Rs. 30,721,313, and the average value of each suit was 526, the number of suits being 58,413. The average value of these suits was, however, affected by the exceptional returns of the Meerut district in that year, the value of two cases alone in that district aggregating Rs. 13,600,000. In the year under report, there were no such extraordinary returns; hence the diminution in the average value of each suit, and the increase in the percentage of costs to value in the year 1864.

In 1863, value of suits disposed of amounted to Rs. 30,721,313; average value of each suit Rs. 526, number of suits being 58,413.

Average value affected by exceptional returns of Meerut district.

The detailed results are exhibited below :—

Zillah.	Total number of suits disposed of, original and Appeal.	Total value of suits omitting fractions.	Value of Stamps required.			Costs.						Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average of costs per suit.	Percentage of Column 7 to Column 12.	Percentage of all other costs to Column, 12.
			For plaint and appeal Memo., &c., &c.	For appointment of Pleaders.	Total Stamp charges.	Pleaders' fees.	Tulubanah.	Diet of Witnesses.	Amens' fees.	Miscellaneous.	Total.					
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17
Seharunpore, ...	4,396	8,47,804	48,897	3,357	52,254	54 259	7,045	4,476	270	137	1,18,441	193	14·	27	46·	54·
Meerut, ...	4,457	16,68,143	58,198	4 314	62,512	75,636	7,583	3,494	361	611	1,50,197	374	8·	34	50·	50·
Allygurh, ...	4.206	13,71,386	41,985	3,135	45,120	7[illegible],150	6 960	4,930	289	255	1,34,704	326	10·	33	57·	43·
Moradabad, ...	6,102	12,08,636	39,338	5,021	44,359	65,976	12,74[illegible]	9,5[illegible]6	1,463	7,536	1,41,605	198	12·	23	47·	53·
Bareilly, ...	5,886	9,42,676	46,583	4,471	51 054	61,297	10,401	5,967	293	2,462	1,31,474	160	14·	22	47·	53·
Shahjehanpore, ...	3,258	3,90,009	22,508	2,730	25,238	30,066	4,897	2,922	181	2,384	66,188	106	17·	20	45·	55·
Agra, ...	3,747	11,38,323	41,695	4,541	46,236	66,653	9,110	3,871	601	2,609	1,29,080	304	11·	34	51·	49·
Furruckabad, ...	4,6[illegible]5	7,30,909	35,179	3,287	38,466	46,017	6,487	4,395	314	512	96,191	157	13·	21	48·	52·
Mynpoorie, ...	4,135	8,27,530	29,434	2,984	32,418	47,245	7,582	3 328	189	3,565	94,327	200	11·	23	50	50·
Cawnpore, ...	2,386	14,42,584	31,690	2,065	33,755	53,035	4,263	2,683	511	4,949	99,196	604	7·	41	53·	13·
Futtehpore, ...	3,020	5,29,361	21,925	5,480	27,405	28,905	5,996	9,577	403	793	73,079	174	14·	24	39·	61·
Allahabad, ...	2,198	11,15,928	43,847	1,752	45,599	70,014	4,732	4,267	211	2,386	1,27,209	508	11·	58	55·	45·
Goruckpore, ...	4,123	7,57,386	33,715	3,852	37,567	55,713	10,609	9,362	897	2,898	1,17,046	183	15·	28	48·	52·
Azimgurh, ...	3,319	8,43,904	25,192	2,795	27,987	48,734	5,632	3,628	607	3,526	90,114	254	11·	27	54·	45·
Jounpore, ...	2,579	9,99,842	20,842	2,186	23,028	31,936	3,557	1,475	713	3,275	63 984	385	6·	25	49·	5[illegible]·
Mirzapore, ...	2,155	9 57,920	34,343	1,682	36,025	58,564	3,211	1,852	556	1,026	1,01,234	444	12·	51	58·	42
Benares, ...	701	12,77,073	28,568	663	29,231	62,914	1,360	1,185	406	3,104	98,200	1,8[illegible]9	8·	139	64·	36·
Ghazeepore, ...	4,009	8,58,613	38,905	3,711	42,616	84,018	6,464	3,910	546	8,807	1,46,361	214	17·	37	57·	43·
Total, ...	65,337	1,79,08,027	64,18,543	58,026	7,00,870	10,18,132	1,18,634	80,848	8,811	51,335	19,78,630	274	11·	30	51·	49·

Sudder Court's files.

78. The condition of the Civil file of the Sudder Court is shown in the following Table :—

	Pending on 1st January		Admitted.		Disposed of.		Pending on 31st December	
	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Regular, ...	296	360	223	141	159	452	360	49
Increase, ...	...	64	...	...	...	293	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	82	...	...	...	311
Special, ...	1,420	2,069	1,740	1,541	1,091	3,136	2,069	474
Increase, ...	...	649	...	...	...	2,045	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	199	...	...	...	1,595
Total Increase, ...	...	713	...	...	...	2,338	...	...
Total Decrease, ...	...	...	...	281	...	...	...	1,906

I.—*Regular Appeals.*—360 *regular* appeals were pending at the close of 1863, and 141 were instituted during the year 1864, making a total of 501 for disposal. Of these, 452 were disposed of, leaving 49 pending on the file at the close of the year under report. On the 9th February, these had further been reduced to 45 cases.

Regular Appeals.

Pending at close of 1863.	Instituted in 1864.	Total.	Disposed of in 1864.	Pending at close of 1864.
360	141	501	452	49

79. *Special Appeals.*—2,069 special appeals were pending at the end of 1863, and 1,541 were newly instituted during the year, giving a total of 3,610 for disposal. Out of these, 3,136 were disposed of during the year, leaving 474 pending on the file at the close of the year. On 9th February last, these had been reduced to 381.

Special Appeals.

Pending at close of 1863.	Instituted in 1864.	Total.	Disposed of in 1864.	Pending at close of 1864.
2,069	1,541	3,610	3,136	474

80. The Court observe that, with the assistance of the four extra Judges, sanctioned in orders of the Government of India, No. 1872, dated 14th March, 1864, the arrears which have for the last two years encumbered their Civil file have been cleared away.

With the aid of the four extra Judges, the arrears on the Court's files have been cleared away.

On the 1st January, 1864, there were no less than 360 regular and 2,069 special appeals pending on their file. Three of the extra Judges did not join the Court till 1st May, on which date the arrears had been already reduced to 320 regular and 1,525 special appeals. The Court consider that the more rapid rate of disposal of Civil business which they had then acquired was mainly owing to an increase in their staff of Translators, which, after much correspondence and com-

On 1st January, 1864, 360 regular and 2,069 special appeals were pending. On the 1st May, these were reduced to 320 regular, and 1,525 special.

Rapid rate of disposal of Civil business mainly due to increase in the staff of Translators.

parison with the scale of the High Court establishment, was eventually sanctioned on the urgent representations of the Court, supported by the influence of Mr. George Campbell. They think it but just to themselves, as now constituted, that this fact should be prominently noted.

Services of the four extra Judges dispensed with on the 30th November, 1864, when 52 regular and 439 special appeals were pending.

Owing to temporary increase in December, pending files amounted to 49 regular and 474 special appeals at the close of 1864.

On the 9th February, 1865, only 21 regular and 28 special appeals had been pending more than three months.

81. The services of the four extra Judges were dispensed with on the 30th November, 1864; on which date, there were 52 regular, and 439 special appeals pending on the file. Owing to a temporary increase in the number of appeals instituted in December, the pending files amounted to 49 and 474, regular and special appeals, respectively, at the close of the year; but, as above observed, these had been reduced on the 9th February to 45 of the one, and 381 of the other. On that date, only 21 cases of appeal on the Regular file and 28 in the Special department had been pending trial more than three months.

Results of appeals to the Sudder Court.

82. The manner in which the appeals decided by the Court were disposed of during the year will be seen in the following Statement:—

Appeals.	Dismissed on default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Confirmed.		Amended.		Reversed.		Remanded.	
	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.	1863.	1864.
Regular, ...	3	6	2	7	83	247	13	60	48	123	10	9
Special, ...	42	173	9	16	666	2,059	25	64	141	355	208	469

Regular appeals confirmed.
247 Dismissed on default.
67 Adjusted or withdrawn.
123 Reversed.
9 Remanded.
60 Modified.
452 Total disposed of.

83. Out of 452 *regular* appeals disposed of during the year, 247 were confirmed, 6 dismissed on default, 7 adjusted or withdrawn, 123 reversed, 9 remanded, and 60 modified in appeal.

Proportion of cases reversed, modified, or remanded, 42 per cent., as compared with 45 per cent. in 1863.

The proportion of cases reversed, modified, or remanded in appeal, 192, was thus 42 per cent., as compared with 45 per cent. in the previous year.

2,059 Special appeals confirmed.
173 Dismissed on default.
16 Adjusted or withdrawn.
355 Reversed.
469 Remanded.
64 Amended.
3,136 Total disposed of.

84. Of 3,136 *special* appeals disposed of, 2,059 were confirmed, 173 dismissed on default, 16 adjusted or withdrawn, 355 reversed, 469 remanded, and 64 amended in appeal; the percentage of cases reversed, modified, and remanded, 888, being only 28 per cent., as contrasted with 34 per cent. in 1863.

Proportion of cases reversed, modified, and remanded was only 28 per cent., as contrasted with 34 per cent. in 1863.

Considerable diminution in the proportion of special appeals during the year, although absolute number of appeals remanded were considerable.

This result attributable to greater care on the part of Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in fix-

85. Referring to their remarks contained in paragraph 82 of last year, on the subject of remands of special appeals to the Lower Courts, the Court are glad to notice a considerable diminution in the *proportion* of these cases during the year under review, although the absolute number of appeals so remanded was considerable. The Court attribute this result to the greater care manifested by the Zillah Judges and Principal Sudder Ameens in fixing suitable issues for trial,

and in coming to a decision on all the issues material to the determination of each case. In this respect, however, there is still further room for improvement.

ing suitable issues for trial, and in deciding on all material issues.

Room, however, for still further improvement in this respect.

86. Considering the exceptional status of litigation arising from the effect of the Law of Limitation during the year under review, the Court consider the results exhibited in the foregoing Report to be generally favorable; and they are of opinion that the Judicial Officers, both European and Native, are entitled to commendation for their exertions towards the improvement of the working of the Civil Courts in these Provinces.

Court consider the results exhibited in the Returns of 1864 to be generally favorable.

Judicial Officers entitled to commendation for their exertions.

87. The Statements A. to K., prescribed by the Government of India, and manuscript copies of the Court's replies to the Annual Reports of the Zillah Judges, accompany this Report.

Statements A. to K., prescribed by Government of India, also forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

AGRA:

The 22nd February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

APPENDIX A.

To Court's Report to Government for 1864.

EXTRACT, part paragraph 6, of a letter from W. J. R. Carnac, Esquire, Judge of Mynpoorie, submitting his Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in that District during the year 1864.

In this Judgeship these records are neither more nor less trustworthy than those of other districts; but it is no exaggeration to state that all such records throughout these Provinces are so incorrect as to be worse than useless in a Court of Justice. This result is attributable to the system under which such documents are prepared. The Putwarries are for the most part Kaiths of the lower order, notoriously corrupt and unscrupulous, who have been chosen by the Revenue Authorities chiefly with reference to their quickness as Mohurrirs. These men, who are forced upon the people, possess the confidence neither of the landlord or tenant classes. Inadequately paid, and ever ready to intrigue, they prepare the village papers in the interests of whichever party is strongest in the village, or best able to pay a bribe. If the landlord's power is well established, the entries of demand are much below the real amount realized; if the tenants are strong, the entries and realizations are both low. More commonly, however, the Putwarries have no position whatever in the village of which they are supposed to be accountants, and in such cases the Jummabundee records are true copies of former returns, and tenants who have been dead for many years continue on the roll.

The Putwarrie presents an offering of Rs. 2 to the Quanoongoe, who then (and then only) signs them without reference to their contents, and obtains the official seal and signature of the Tehseeldar, who is equally indifferent to the correctness of their contents. These volumes, varying in number from 1,500 to 2,000, according to the size of the district, are then formally lodged in the Collector's Office, and made the basis of Civil suits in all Departments.

It would be naturally supposed that the agricultural classes would realize the value of correctness in these records, and would strive to have their rights carefully entered; but, apart from the general apathy and indifference of these classes to their own interests, there is a general desire on the part of landlords and tenants, that all papers having reference to the land should be kept in a state of haze and mystery, so far as the Government and its officials are concerned. The landlord derives great benefit from a fictitious entry of demands, which indicates the rental of a village, say Rs. 500, when he has always realized Rs. 750 per annum, as the Settlement Officer's chief basis of calculations would be the Rs. 500, and not the Rs. 750. On the other hand, the tenants have a general idea that if the Rs. 500 rate stand for some years, they may possibly make it permanent and evade the difference (Rs. 250): where this state of things exists, the Putwarrie's visits are very few indeed, and he devotes himself to his ordinary pursuits and to the plot of land which he usually cultivates. His diary remains blank, and if he should be called on to give evidence on any point relating to his charge, he deposes according to the wishes of the party who pays best, being altogether in ignorance of the facts, and his papers affording him no information.

When Officiating Commissioner of the Agra Division, I suggested a remedy which has been since adopted by the Sudder Board in all the districts now under settlement, *viz.*, that every tenant should receive a certified copy of the Jumma-

bundee at time of settlement, or where settlements were not revised, that such copies should be distributed. The documents being viewed by the tenants as a title-deed, will secure him from any other demand, and the result will be that proprietors throughout the country, seeing that the present fictitious rates are being authoritatively recognized by the Revenue Department, will largely repudiate the Jummabundees now in force, and will state their real demands. The best proof that Jummabundees are fictitious is that proprietors, when seeking loans from the Land Mortgage or other banks in these Provinces, claim that the real and not the fictitious rental shall be the measure of the loan.

But until the European officials take more interest in this important portion of their duties, it is unreasonable to expect that the native subordinates will abandon what may be termed "the Rs. 2 bribe system." The Collector and his subordinates should be required, during the cold weather, to make a special report of the personal examination in presence of the villagers of five villages of each Pergunnah in each district; and if such examinations are effectual, the defects of the present system may be remedied by degrees. Again, the Putwarries should be required to send weekly or bi-weekly copies of their diaries, and certain points should be invariably reported by them, *i. e.*, state of crops, fall of rain, plentiful or otherwise, abstract of payments, details of villages visited and work performed, notes of death or resignation or absconding of tenants, details of lands which have changed hands by transfer to other cultivators, and such like data. The Jummabundee should also be prepared and filed in April, and not in October as at present, when the facts purporting to be recorded belong to a previous year, and are therefore incapable of verification. The Qanoongoe, instead of being a Mohurrier at the beck of the Tehseeldar, should itinerate the district, and furnish reports of the several circles, the activity of the Putwarries, and such like information.

Mr. Plowden, now Secretary to the Board of Revenue, made a special enquiry (at my request), as Collector of Muttra, into the state of the Jummabundees of that district in the cold weather of 1863-1864 ; and I trust that the information then acquired will have been duly reported to the Board. I note the circumstance as I was relieved of the Agra Commissionership before the Report was forwarded by Mr. Plowden.

EXTRACT, part paragraph 6, of a letter from H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge of Bareilly, submitting his Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in that District during the year 1864.

THE increase in the number of appeals under this Act is very large, and I may venture to write that, during the current year of 1865, I am again advised to look for a larger increase. As the time for revision of settlement draws near in this Province, the proprietor and the tenant, or the tenant and his sub-tenant, the owner of "seer" land, and his tenant at will on the same, each in his turn, would appear to consider it of importance, the first to obtain a decree in the Court affirming the right of enhancement of rent, the latter to take the risk of securing in appeal an order negativing it, the tenants generally mistaking the right of occupancy at fair rates for a right to hold at a fixed rent in perpetuity, a plea by which the imperial claim of Government in itself to re-assess the land on the expiry of the present settlement would in a measure be barred.

In the other parts of India there may have been a pressure on the agriculturists from drought or partial failure of crops, but in this district of Bareilly and Pillibheet the peasants have been enriched by the high prices of cereals and the great rise in the value of cotton and of sugar, which latter article has

now fallen, it may be, because of the scarcity of grain to the westward. The tenants claim the advantage of these prices by which the saleable value of land itself has been quadrupled; those proprietors only sharing (withou litigation) in the general prosperity who have accidentally maintained the right to receive their rent in kind, and I may illustrate this position by the remark that, as cotton was always considered a "Nukhdee" crop,—that is, when its price was low, was cultivated at a money rent, which was estimated to be worth a half, a third, or a fourth of the produce,—no proprietor is at heart willing to receive the same money rent on this article, now that its value has enhanced Rs. 300 per cent. by the exceptional accident of the war in America, as well as by the tendency to a general rise in prices of all articles of raw produce; nor, when a fourth or a third of the gross produce, considered to be represented by a money rent, was accepted at the time when grain was locally so cheap and the means of transport to distant markets so insufficient, is it likely that a proprietor will not wish to share in the wealth of the tenant, who receives twice as much in value on one-half of the crop under the high prices stimulated by the fall in value of money than he was used to realize years ago on a fourth of the gross produce when money was dear, and Rohilcund, relatively speaking, a province isolated from other parts of India. The day may not be distant when the several classes connected with agriculture will understand that it is to their mutual advantage that they should adjust their differences among themselves without resorting to law, which, if fairly administered, may neither be construed to mean a revolutionary confiscation of proprietary right in favor of peasants nor be used as an Act sanctioning the rack-renting of tenants by landlords. But if I at this moment am of opinion that I can foresee an increase of litigation for some time to come, it may be of less importance that Judges, being men conversant with the Revenue system of the country, can adjudicate them with greater rapidity than the more intricate suits generally tried in the Civil Courts.

(True Extracts.)

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

STATEMENT A.

District Moonsiff's Regular Suits.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Depending on 1st January, ...	*	*	*	*	3,994
Instituted during the year, ...	...	...	...	...	47,101
Received by transfer, ...	...	...	...	...	176
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	51,271
Decided on trial, ...	...	...	...	...	38,732
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	1,857
Adjusted and withdrawn, ...	...	...	...	...	6.413
Otherwise disposed of, ...	...	...	...	...	189
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	47,191
Transferred to other Courts, ...	...	...	...	...	758
Depending on 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	3,322

STATEMENT B.

Sudder Ameen's Regular Suits.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Depending on 1st January, ...	...	...	...	...	443
Instituted during the year, ...	...	...	...	...	3,719
Received by transfer, ...	...	...	...	...	23
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	4,185
Decided on trial, ...	...	...	...	...	2,815
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	166
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	...	...	...	...	528
Otherwise disposed of, ...	...	...	...	...	43
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	3,552
Transferred to other Courts, ...	...	...	...	...	140
Depending on 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	493

* The figures for the four previous years have not been filled up, as the Statements were kept in a different form during those years.

STATEMENT C.

Principal Sudder Ameen's Regular Suits and Appeals.

	1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.		1864.	
	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
Depending, 1st January, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	855	561
Instituted during the year, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,523	76
Received by transfer, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	760	3,644
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,138	4.281
Decided on trial, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,968	3,331
Decreed for Plaintiff or Appellant, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,889	824
Decreed for Defendant or Respondent, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,074	2,263
Remanded, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	244
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	242	36
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	556	29
Otherwise disposed of, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,776	3,396
Transferred to other Courts, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	71
Depending, 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,236	814

STATEMENT D.

Judge's Regular Suits and Appeals.

	1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.		1864.	
	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.	Original.	Appeal.
Depending, 31st January, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	55	1,784
Instituted during the year, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	10,273
Received by transfer, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	258	75
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	353	12,132
Decided on trial, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	169	4,903
Decreed for Plaintiff or Appellant, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	106	1,547
Decreed for Defendant or Respondent, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	63	2,925
Remanded, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	431
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	115
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	133
Otherwise disposed of, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	151
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	289	5,307
Transferred to other Courts, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	91	3,640
Depending, 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	64	3,185

STATEMENT E.

Aggregate of Original Jurisdiction.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Depending on 1st January, ...	...	...	...	...	5,347
Instituted during the year, ...	...	...	...	...	57,600
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	62,947
Decided on trial, ...	...	...	...	...	46,679
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	2,281
Adjusted or withdrawn and transferred to other Courts or otherwise disposed of, ...	...	...	...	...	8,872
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	57,832
Depending, 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	5,115
Decided by European Judges, ...	...	...	...	...	851
Decided by Native Judges, ...	...	...	...	...	56,981
Total decided, ...	...	...	...	...	57,832

STATEMENT F.

Aggregate of Appellate Jurisdiction.

	Judges.					Principal Sudder Ameens.				
	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Suits appealable, ...	...	...	...	...	43,798	...	...	...	...	...
Appealed, ...	...	...	...	...	10,348	...	...	...	...	3,711
Appeals depending, 1st January,	...	...	...	...	1,784	...	...	...	...	561
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	12,132	...	...	...	...	4,272
Affirmed, ...	...	...	...	...	2,925	...	...	...	...	2,263
Modified, ...	...	...	...	...	479	...	...	...	...	370
Reversed, ...	...	...	...	...	1,080	...	...	...	...	465
Remanded, ...	...	...	...	...	419	...	...	...	...	232
Dismissed on default, ...	...	...	...	...	115	...	...	...	...	36
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	...	...	...	...	138	...	...	...	...	29
Rejected under Section 336, ...	...	...	...	...	151	...	...	...	...	...
Transferred to Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	...	...	...	...	3,040	...	...	...	...	63
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	8,947	...	...	...	...	3,458
Depending, 31st December, ...	...	...	...	...	3,185	...	...	...	...	814

STATEMENT G.

Description of Original Suits instituted.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Connected with real property, ...	...	...	...	...	11,175
Connected with debts, wages, &c., ...	...	...	...	...	37,483
Ditto with caste, religion, &c., ...	...	...	...	...	351
Ditto with indigo, sugar, silk, &c., ...	...	...	...	...	2,361

STATEMENT H.

Result of Original Suits during the year under review.

In favor of Plaintiff.	In favor of Defendant.
40,960	9,801

STATEMENT I.

Average duration of Suits.

	1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.		1864.	
	M.	D.	M.	D.	M.	D.	M.	D.	M.	D.
Judges, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	26
Principal Sudder Ameens, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4
Sudder Ameens, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27
Moonsiffs,...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27

STATEMENT J.

Total value of Original Suits and Appeals depending in all Courts.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
Original Suits, ...	...	...	...	...	1,27,40,005
Appeals, ...	...	...	...	...	1,39,85,957
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	2,67,25,962

STATEMENT K.

Debtors in Jail.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.	1864.
At the instance of the individuals, ...	...	...	...	...	388
At the instance of Government, ...	...	...	...	...	2
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	390

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.
Dated Agra, the 22nd February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 1.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT, showing the number of Regular and Special Appeals, admitted, and disposed of in the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North-Western Provinces, during the years 1860, 1861, 1862, 1863, and 1864.

	1860.		1861.		1862.		1863.		1864.	
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.
Pending on 1st January, ...	150	724	127	947	148	762	296	1,420	360	2,069
Admitted during the year, ...	82	...	143	876	239	1,445	223	1,740	141	1,541
Total, ...	232	1,456	270	1,823	387	2,207	519	3,160	501	3,610
Dismissed on default, ...	7	56	2	55	2	88	3	42	6	173
Adjusted or withdrawn, ...	6	2	4	8	5	17	2	9	7	16
Confirmed, ...	50	265	71	733	54	484	83	666	247	2,059
Amended, ...	12	18	15	34	14	19	13	25	60	64
Reversed, ...	23	98	19	98	10	49	48	141	123	355
Remanded, ...	7	70	11	138	6	110	10	208	9	469
Total, ...	105	509	122	1,061	91	787	159	1,091	452	3,136
Pending on 31st December, ...	127	947	148	762	296	1,420	360	2,069	49	474

No. 2.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT, showing the total value or amount of Regular and Special Appeals instituted, decided, and pending in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North-Western Provinces, at the close of the years 1860, 1861, 1862, 1863, and 1864 inclusive.

	1860.	1861.	1862.	1863.			1864.		
				Instituted including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.
Before Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.	1,67,97,050	27,60,845	45,65,492	58,17,535	34,10,750	77,72,169	48,40,842	19,90,555	16,19,457

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
Dated Agra, the 22nd February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 471.

FROM

JAMES SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

ROBERT SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government of the

North Western Provinces.

DATED AGRA, THE 27TH FEBRUARY, 1865.

SIR,

Submits Report on Small Cause Courts for 1864.

IN continuation of my letter, No. 398, dated 22nd instant, I am directed to submit the Court's Report on the operation of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1864.

6,331 suits instituted in 1864.
5,681 suits instituted in 1863.

Proportion of suits instituted in 1863 and 1864.

2. The number of suits instituted in these Courts during the year under review amounted to 6,331, as contrasted with 5,681 in the previous year, being an increase of 650 cases. The detailed results of each Court in the two years under comparison were as follows :—

YEARS.	BENARES.	ALLAHABAD.	AGRA.
1863, ...	2,079	1,773	1,829
1864, ...	2,399	1,895	2,037

Increase in each of the three Courts, corresponding with the increase of litigation in the Civil Courts.

from which it will be observed that there was an increase in each of the three Courts,—a result which corresponds with the general increase of litigation obtaining in the ordinary Civil Courts of the North-Western Provinces in the year under report.

Out of 6,331 suits instituted, 3,008 were for debts on bond.

3. Out of 6,331 suits instituted, nearly one-half, or 3,008, were for debts on bond as in the previous year : (*i. e.*) in —

Benares, ...	1,197
Allahabad, ...	780
Agra, ...	1,031

In Allahabad and Agra, "money claims, unsupported by written documents," and in Benares suits founded on "shop debts," were next numerous.

4. In the Courts of Allahabad and Agra, money claims, unsupported by written documents, formed the next most numerous class of cases, 253 suits being instituted in Allahabad, and 265 in Agra, as compared with 149 of this description in Benares, in which district there was a large preponderance of suits founded on shop debts, which numbered 460, as compared with 211 in Allahabad, and 106 in Agra.

In Benares and Agra 237 and 182 cases, respectively, were "suits for "personal property or its "value." In Allahabad only 3 cases were instituted.

5. In Benares there was also a considerable number of suits for personal property or its value (237). Suits of this class were likewise filed to a considerable extent in Agra, where 182 were instituted,—but were almost *nil* in the Allahabad Court, only three being instituted during the year.

Suits for "money due "on written contract" in Allahabad, 213.
Ditto ditto in Agra, 96.
Ditto ditto in Benares, 7.

6. The number of suits for "money due on written contract" amounted to 213 in the district of Allahabad. In Agra these cases only numbered 96, and in Benares no more than seven.

7. In Agra there were 222 suits for "debts on book accounts," 128 in Benares, and 167 in Allahabad.

Suits for "debts on book accounts" in Agra, 222.
Ditto ditto in Benares, 128.
Ditto ditto in Allahabad, 167.

8. Suits for "wages," "rent," and "damages," were less numerous.

Suits for "wages," "rent," and "damages," less numerous.

9. Of 6,438 cases for disposal in the year under report, 6,272 were disposed of, leaving 166 pending on the file at the close of the year, as compared with 107 pending at the end of the previous year. Of the cases pending, 79 were in the Benares Court, 60 in that of Allahabad, and 27 in that of Agra. The increase in the number of suits pending trial at the close of the year was attributable to an increase in the number of cases instituted in the last working week of the year, to save the limitation period prescribed under Act XIV of 1859.

Of 6,438 cases for disposal, 6,272 disposed of, and 166 left pending, as compared with 107 in 1863. Increase of pending cases due to large number of institutions at the close of the year to save period of limitation.

10. The following Table exhibits the number and proportion of cases decided *ex-parte* in each of the Courts:—

Proportion of *ex-parte* cases in each Court.

Court.	Number of cases disposed of.	Decided *ex-parte*.	Percentage decided *exparte*.
Benares, ...	2,369	549	23
Allahabad, ...	1,852	370	20
Agra, ...	2,051	145	7

11. Altogether, 17 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *ex-parte* during the year, being the same proportion as in 1863. In the North-Western Provinces the general proportion of cases decided *ex parte* in the ordinary Civil Courts in 1864 was 9 per cent. The Judges of the Small Cause Courts, however, report that they have no reason to believe that the summonses on the defendants were, as a rule, improperly or carelessly served.

17 per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *ex-parte*, being the same as in 1863.
9 per cent. *ex-parte* in the North-Western Provinces.

12. 2,147 cases were decided on confession of judgment, or 34 per cent. on the total number disposed of, as contrasted with 31 per cent. in the previous year; and a general proportion in the other Civil Courts in the North-Western Provinces of 22 per cent. in 1864. These results are exhibited in detail:—

34 per cent. of the cases decided on confession of judgment, and 31 per cent. in 1863.

Court.	No. of cases of confession.	Percentage of confession.
Benares, ...	641	27
Allahabad, ...	636	34
Agra, ...	870	42

In all the Courts, the proportion of these cases was higher than in the previous year. In the Agra Court especially, the proportion of cases decided on confession, 42, was very high. But the Judge is of opinion that these confessions were generally of a *bonâ fide* character.

Proportion of these cases higher than in 1863 in all the Courts, especially in Agra.

13. 1,804 cases were decided on their merits in 1864, *i. e.*, 29 per cent., as compared with a proportion of 31 per cent. in the previous year, and a general percentage in the ordinary Civil Courts of 50 per cent. The details are shown below:—

29 per cent. of the cases decided on their merits, and 31 per cent. in 1863; 50 per cent. in the North-Western Provinces generally.

Court.	No. of cases decided on merits.	Percentage of cases decided on merits.
Benares, ...	729	31
Allahabad, ...	538	29
Agra, ...	537	26

In Benares and Agra the proportion of these cases has fallen from 33 and 29 to 31 and 26, respectively. In Allahabad, the proportion was 29 per cent., as compared with 30 per cent. in 1863.

In the Benares and Agra Courts the proportion of cases respectively decided on their merits, 31 and 26, exhibits a falling-off compared with the previous year, when the corresponding percentages were 33 and 29. The proportion attained in Allahabad, 29 per cent., was slightly lower than in 1863, when it was 30 per cent.

Execution of Decrees. 4,897 cases disposed of; 1,515, or 31 per cent., completely executed; and 492, or 10 per cent., partially executed.

14. *Execution of Decrees.*—Of 4,897 cases of application for the execution of decrees disposed of during the year, 1,515, or 31 per cent. were completely executed, and 492, or 10 per cent. partially executed, as contrasted with 47 per cent. completely executed in 1863, and 29 per cent. in the Civil Courts, North-Western Provinces, in 1864.

This inferior result mainly due to small proportion, 25 per cent., of such cases in Agra. In Allahabad and Benares there was also a falling off.

This inferior result was mainly attributable to the small proportion of cases completely executed in the Agra Court, only 25 per cent., as compared with 63 per cent. in 1863. The other two Courts showed a slight falling-off, as compared with the returns of the previous year, their percentages of cases fully executed having decreased from 47 per cent. in Benares to 44 per cent., and from 39 per cent. in Allahabad, to 33 per cent.

631 applications made for sale of immovable property of judgment-debtors. In these cases, 20 shares in land were sold, and 200 shares in immovable property other than land.

15. In addition to the above, 631 applications were made for the sale of the *immovable* property of the judgment-debtors through the ordinary Civil Courts, under Section 11, Act XLII. of 1860. In these cases, 20 shares in land were sold in execution, and 200 shares in immovable property other than land.

Average duration of suits.

16. The average duration of suits in each of the Small Cause Courts was as shown below :—

Court.	1863.		1864.	
	Days.	Hours.	Days.	Hours.
Benares, ...	8	...	8	...
Allahabad, ...	5	11	7	...
Agra, ...	29	...	16	...

Allahabad and Benares returns creditably low; that of Agra exhibits a considerable improvement.

The average duration of cases thus varied from seven days in Allahabad to sixteen days in Agra. Both the Allahabad and Benares returns are creditably low; that of Agra exhibits considerable improvement over that of the previous year.

Income of Stamps and cost of Judicial Establishments:—

	Rs.	As.	P.
Gross income of Stamps,	40,695	10	0
Refunds ...	500	0	0
Net Total ...	40,195	10	0
Add Stamp penalties ...	892	8	0
Add Fines ...	160	2	8
Add Surplus Tulubana	5,438	15	5
Aggregate Income ...	46,687	4	1
Total cost of Courts ...	43,698	10	6
Gain to Government	2,988	9	7

17. *Income of Stamps and cost of Judicial Establishments.*—Altogether, the aggregate value of Stamps filed amounted to Rs. 40,695-10-0, and the amount refunded for Razeenamahs was Rs. 500, leaving a balance of Rs. 40,195-10-0. Rs. 892-8-0 were likewise realized as penalties for insufficiently stamped documents; Rs. 160-2-8 by fines; and Rs. 5,438-15-5 as Surplus Tulubana. The net income of the Courts thus amounted to Rs. 46,687-4-1, as compared with Rs. 39,109-10-11 in 1863.

The total cost of the Courts and their establishments amounted to Rupees 43,698-10-6, so that Government was a gainer to the amount of Rs. 2,988-9-7 by their establishment.

In Allahabad only, there was a deficiency.

In the Allahabad Court there was a deficiency in this respect of Rupees 2,963-13-5; but this deficiency was more than compensated by the excess in the remaining two Courts.

18. There were 31 applications for a new trial pending at the end of 1863; and 114 were preferred during the year 1864: *i. e.*, 89 in Agra, 20 in Benares, and 5 in Allahabad. Of these, 86 were on the part of plaintiffs, and 28 of defendants. Of 145 cases thus for disposal, 73 cases were at once struck off; and of those admitted for trial, 19 were disposed of during the year. In eight of these cases the former decisions of the Courts were modified or reversed: *i. e.*, 3 in Agra, 2 in Allahabad, and 3 in Benares. In the remaining 11 cases no alteration was made in the original decisions of the Courts.

Applications for a new trial:—

114 new applications were preferred; *i. e.*, 89 in Agra, 20 in Benares, and 5 in Allahabad: 86 were by plaintiffs and 28 by defendants.

73 cases were at once struck off: out of 19 disposed of, the original decision was modified or reversed in 8 and maintained in 11 cases.

The Court observe that, in 1864, as in the previous year, there was a considerable preponderance in the number of applications for a new trial made in the Small Cause Court of Agra, as contrasted with the other Courts; although the number eventually interfered with on review by the Judge of that Court was not actually greater.

Large number of applications for a new trial in Agra in 1863 and 1864, although the number interfered with on review was not actually greater.

19. Only three cases were referred for the opinion of the Sudder Court under Section 13, Act XLII of 1860 during the year under review, *i. e.*, two from Agra, and one from Benares, in all of which the Court concurred with the referring Judges in the opinions formed by them.

Only 3 cases referred to the Sudder Court under Section 13, Act XLII. of 1860, in all of which the Court concurred with the opinions of the referring Judges.

20. During the past year the Court had under consideration a proposition from Mr. J. C. Robertson, relative to the further extension of the jurisdiction of his Court, as reported in my letter, No. 1250, dated 11th July, 1864; but as the scheme, if carried out, would have had the effect of dividing the Civil business very unequally between the Small Cause Court and the Moonsiff's Court, which it would still have been found necessary to retain for the disposal of cases not cognizable by a Court of Small Causes, the proposal was not sanctioned.

Mr. Robertson's proposal to extend the jurisdiction of his Court not sanctioned.

21. In December last, at the instance of the Court, a new Court of Small Causes was constituted in the Dehra Doon, from the operation of which the Court anticipate much benefit to be derived by the inhabitants of that tract of country, and especially those of Mussoorie and Landour.

Much benefit anticipated from the operation of the Small Cause Court at Dehra Doon.

22. Messrs. J. C. Robertson, V. Berkeley, and Shama Churn Banerjee, were Judges of the Small Cause Courts of Allahabad, Agra, and Benares during the year, and the Court have expressed to each of these Officers their acknowledgments for the assiduity with which they discharged their duties during the year under report.

Acknowledgments to Judges of Small Cause Courts.

I have, &c.,

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ANNUAL RETURN, No. I.

STATEMENT of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1864.

Name of Presiding Officer.	Description of Suits.	Pending at the close of the year 1863.	Instituted during the year 1864.	Total under trial.	Disposed of in the year 1864.							Pending at the close of December, 1864.	Remarks.
					Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the S. C. Court of Benares.	I. For debts on bond,	25	1,197	1,222	336	445	13	270	30	97	1,191	31	
	II. For debts on book accounts,	2	128	130	25	30	2	50	4	17	128	2	
	III. For money due on written contract,	1	7	8	...	2	...	5	1	...	8	...	
	IV. For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops, or stores,	6	460	466	112	70	9	119	18	99	427	39	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document,	...	149	149	16	21	11	72	5	23	148	1	
	VI. Suits for wages, ...	2	78	80	12	5	3	36	4	18	78	2	
	VII. For rent, ...	3	103	106	21	14	...	47	4	19	105	1	
	VIII. For personal property or its value,	10	237	247	25	51	12	113	16	29	246	1	
	IX. For damages, ...	...	40	40	2	3	2	27	...	4	38	2	
	Total, ...	49	2,399	2,448	549	641	52	729	82	306	2,369	79	
J. C. Robertson, Esquire, Judge of the S. C. Court of Allahabad.	I. For debts on bond,	9	780	789	168	410	1	99	21	61	760	29	
	II. For debts on book accounts,	...	167	167	26	51	2	54	9	20	162	5	
	III. For money due on written contract,	3	213	216	60	61	3	52	8	26	210	1	
	IV. For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores,	3	211	214	60	57	1	53	16	26	213	1	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document,	2	253	255	15	31	6	135	19	37	243	12	
	VI. Suits for wages, ...	...	153	153	23	14	...	80	11	18	146	7	
	VII. For rent, ...	...	60	60	9	7	6	28	1	9	60	...	
	VIII. For personal property or its value,	...	3	3	...	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	
	IX. For damages, ...	...	55	55	9	5	2	34	2	3	55	...	
	Total, ...	17	1,895	1,912	370	636	21	538	87	200	1,852	60	
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Judge of the S. C. Court of Agra.	I. For debts on bond,	18	1,031	1,049	107	556	6	193	13	168	1,043	6	
	II. For debts on book accounts,	2	222	224	7	98	1	50	6	65	222	2	
	III. For money due on written contract,	5	96	101	5	23	...	39	...	26	93	8	
	IV. For shop debts, *i. e.* on account of articles purchased at shops, or stores.	1	106	107	4	44	...	19	6	28	101	6	
	V. For money claims unsupported by any written document.	5	265	270	4	76	2	107	22	57	268	2	
	VI. Suits for wages, ...	2	30	32	2	1	...	14	4	9	30	2	
	VII. For rent. ...	3	96	99	8	34	...	38		19	99	...	
	VIII. For personal property or its value,	5	182	187	8	42	1	72	13	50	186	1	
	IX. For damages, ...	...	9	9	...	1	...	5	1	2	9	...	
	Total, ...	41	2,037	2,078	145	870	10	537	65	424	2,051	27	
	Grand Total, ...	107	6,331	6,438	1,064	2,147	83	1,801	234	930	6,272	166	

Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, N. W. P.,
Agra;
The 7th February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN, No. II.

RETURN showing the number of Decrees executed and unexecuted in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1864.

1.	2.	3	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
		Applications received for execution.				DISPOSED OF.									*Balance of cases pending execution.*		
						Applications executed in full.				*Applications executed in part.*							
Name and Designation of Officer.	Number of cases pending at the close of past year.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	Cases involving immovable property transferred for execution to other Courts, under Certificates granted to Decree-holders under Section 11, Act XLII of 1860.	Total for disposal after deducting cases transferred in column 5	Amount of decree realized in full by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in full by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after the passing of the decree.	Total number of applications executed in full.	Amount of decree realized in part by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in part by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after the passing of the decree.	Total number of applications executed in part.	Percentage of column 10, to column 6.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	REMARKS.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of Small Cause Court of Benares,	123	884	1,318	275	2,050	5	140	600	745	50	73	175	298	36	17	337	653 cases were struck off by default, &c., during the year.
J. C. Robertson, Esq., Judge of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad,	93	357	1,516	134	1,832	180	152	226	558	234	55	276	565	30	15	118	110 cases money paid into Court before Judge, 576 struck off, &c.
V. Berkeley, Esq., Judge of the Small Cause Court of Agra, ...	100	518	619	222	1,015	40	93	79	212	74	68	41	183	21	74	91	455 Struck off.
Total, ...	316	1,759	3,453	631	4,897	225	385	905	1,515	358	196	492	1,046	30	106	546	1,684 Struck off.

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.: AGRA; *The 7th February*, 1865.

ANNUAL RETURN, No. III.

RETURN showing the average duration of Suits in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1864.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares, ...	...	...	8
J. C. Robertson, Esquire, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	...	...	7
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Agra, ...	...	...	16
Grand Total, ...	...	...	10 days.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.:
AGRA;
The 7th February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON.
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN, No. IV.

RETURN showing the value of Stamps sold, Penalties imposed, Fines realized, and Surplus Tulubana accruing, together with the cost of Court and Establishment, and Balance, Profit or Loss, accruing in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year 1864.

Name and Designation of Officer.	Receipts.						Disbursements.			Remarks.
	Ordinary Stamps' receipts.									
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net total.	Amount of Stamp penalties realized.—*Vide* Register No. IV.	Amount of Fines realized.—Register No. 10.	Amount of Surplus Tulubana.—Register No. 6.	Cost of Small Cause Court, including salary of Judge and establishment.	Net Profit.	Net Loss.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares, ...	15,477 12 0	108 8 0	15,369 4 0	237 13 6	8 0 0	1,957 10 8	12,916 15 10	4,655 11 11	...	
J. C. Robertson, Esquire, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	12,568 4 0	138 8 0	12,429 12 0	462 8 6	134 10 8	1,947 13 1	17,938 9 8	...	2,963 13 5	
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Judge of the Small Court of Agra, ...	12,649 10 0	253 0 0	12,396 10 0	192 2 0	17 8 0	1,533 8 1	12,843 1 0	1,296 11 1	...	
Total, ...	40,695 10 0	500 0 0	40,195 10 0	892 8 0	160 2 8	5,438 15 5	43,698 10 6	5,952 7 0	2,963 13 5	Net gain to Government, Rs. 2,988-9-7.

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.:
AGRA;
The 17th February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ANNUAL RETURN, No. V.

RETURN showing the number and results of the Applications preferred for a new trial under Section 12, Act XLII of 1860, in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, for the year 1864.

Name and Designation of Officer.	Applications and Cases for disposal.						Manner in which Disposed of.								Remarks.
									Applications admitted for trial.						
	Pending trial at close of last year.		Presented during the current year.		Total for disposal.		Applications struck off or rejected without being entered on Register No. 1 for trial.		Cases in which former judgment was maintained.		Cases in which former judgment was reversed or modified.		Cases pending trial at the close of the year 1864.		
	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares,	...	...	13	7	13	7	11	5	...	1	2	1	...	...	
J. C. Robertson, Esq., Judge of the Small Cause Court of Allahabad, ...	...	...	3	2	3	2	1	1	1	...	1	1	...	...	
V. Berkeley, Esq., Judge of the Small Cause Court of Agra, ...	26	5	70	19	96	24	41	14	5	4	3	...	47	6	
Total, ...	26	5	86	28	112	33	53	20	6	5	6	2	47	6	

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 7th February, 1865.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

APPENDIX B.

NUMERICAL RETURNS ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE RESULTS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, DURING THE YEAR 1864.

ZILLAH BENARES.

Regular Files.

	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1865.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865
Judge ...	121	171	124	154	167	186	...	...	18	8
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	513	548	423	478	469	*515	1	1	42	70
Total ...	634	719	547	632	636	†701	1	1	60	78
Increase ..	...	85	...	...	89	74	...	...	...	18
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

* Exclusive of 5 cases transferred.

† Exclusive of 5 cases transferred.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
		Ex-parte.	Confession.	By arbitration.	Decided on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on their merits.*	Total.	Percentage of Cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Benares,	Judge ...	...	1	3	13	6	163	186	88	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	75	29	15	13	33	350	515	68	
	Total ...	75	30	13	26	39	513	701	73	

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Miscellaneous Files.

	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above* 1 *year.*		*Total pending on the* 1*st January,* 1864.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on their merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on their merits.	Finally disposed of.	1st January, 1864.	1st January, 1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	291	319	224	290	233	311	...	...	34	43
Judge of Small Cause Court, with powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	65	106	34	76	24	82	...	...	12	36
Principal Sudder Ameen,	1,693	1,979	1,484	2,057	1,188	1,635	...	...	458	816
Total ...	2,049	2,404	1,742	2,423	1,445	2,028	...	...	504	895
Increase ...	...	355	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	391
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	297	395	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863 ...	647	566	645	1,858	35
1864 ...	584	449	544	*1,577	37

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Officer	Appeals pending at the close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Number of suits relating to rent instituted and disposed of by each of the Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to the number decided by each.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. J. H. Bax, Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ W. S. Halsey, Deputy Collector ...	...	4	4	3	1	...	4	...	25	17	24
„ C. W. Moore, Deputy Collector ...	3	5	8	5	3	...	8	...	37	6	83
„ E. S. Robertson, Deputy Collector ...	...	7	7	4	3	...	7	...	43	49	14
„ E. A. Cline, Deputy Collector ...	...	7	7	...	4	3	7	...	57	13	54
Ally Hoossein, Tehseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Rampertab, Tehseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Total ...	3	23	26	12	11	3	26	...	42	89	26

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Remarks. Judge ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	
Judge of Small Cause Court, with powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	2	7	4	3	1	6	...	6	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	4	9	6	3	1	6	...	6	

Average duration of Suits.

	1863.			1864.		
	Year.	Month.	Days.	Year.	Month.	Days.
Judge ...	...	...	27	...	1	4
Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	29	...	1	12

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Remarks. Judge ...	12	12	19	43	143	186	23·
Principal Sudder Ameen,	123	82	194	399	116	515	77·
Total ...	135	94	213	442	259	701	63·

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated on execution of decrees of Civil Court.												Remarks
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntarily.						Involuntarily and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court, under Section 243.		*At instance of Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.		Shares of Mouzahs.		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Behares.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Judge of Small Cause Court, with powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1	3	1	10	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1	3	1	10	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of orders reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeals.						
					M D.	M. D.		
Mr. R. H. Smith,	37	...	42·	38·	1 19	1 12	77·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year 1864.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year	Surplus at credit of Government at close of year.	Remarks.*
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	551 0 0	5	400 0 4	1,441 11 6	
Principal Sudder Ameen	2,721 5 0	15	1,430 9 2		
Total ...	3,272 5 0	20	1,830 9 6	1,441 11 6	

* I.—On account of processes served in this district ... ... Rs. 1,354 15 6

II.—On account of processes served in other districts ... ... „ 86 12 0

Total ... Rs 1,441 11 6

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realised for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge	9,294 2 0	...	616 0 0	8,678 2 0	38,832 0 0	...	30,153 14 0	
Judge of Small Cause Court, with powers of Principal Sudder Ameen	107 8 0	...	...	107 8 0	...	107 8 0	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen	26,658 0 0	185 9 0	514 8 0	26,329 1 0	9,000 0 0	17,329 1 0	...	
Total ...	36,059 10 0	185 9 0	1,130 8 0	35,114 11 0	47,832 0 0	...	12,717 5 0	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH AZIMGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge's ...	738	895	757	824	486	*514	...	1	34	119
Principal Sudder Ameen's ...	71	102	220	233	430	†455	1	4	79	243
Sudder Ameen's ...	863	962	454	718	446	‡812	2	...	114	168
Moonsiff's ...	1,271	1,514	918	1,146	1,340	§1 538	1	...	256	108
Total ...	2,943	3,473	2,349	2,921	2,702	‖3,319	4	5	483	638
Increase ...	...	530	...	...	353	398	...	1	...	155
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases.

* Judge's Court	305
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	7
‡ Sudder Ameen's Court	98
§ Moonsiff's Court	125
‖ Total ...	535

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge's Court ...	A. Ross, Esq., ...	...	...	6	3	25	480	514	93·	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	Abdool Auzeez Khan,	3	8	3	9	16	416	455	91·	
Sudder Ameen's Court ...	Mahomed Hossein,	57	40	6	70	296	343	812	42·	
Mohumdabad Moonsiffee ...	Ahmud-oollah ...	38	129	6	26	61	577	837	69·	
Nugra Moonsiffee ...	Bhugwan Pershad...	40	284	5	43	68	261	701	37·	
	Total ...	138	461	26	151	466	2,077	3,319	63·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of	1863.	1864.	1864.	1865.
Judge's ..	327	411	272	317	332	393	...	...	94	112
Principal Sudder Ameen's	414	504	119	309	267	486	...	...	198	218
Sudder Ameen's ...	1,944	2,068	937	1,744	964	1,861	...	1	569	780
Moonsiff's ...	2,977	3,653	2,115	2,848	2,715	3,439	2	...	536	772
Total ...	5,662	6,636	3,443	5,218	4,278	6,179	2	1	1,397	1,882
Increase ...	...	974	...	...	835	961	...	...	...	485
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863 ...	862	458	1,460	2,780	31·
1864 ...	1,219	430	1,519	3,168*	38

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. J R. Best, Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	1	100·
„ C. J. H Richardes, Collector ...	...	22	22	17	...	...	17	5	...	33	67·
„ J. S Porter, Assistant Collector,	2	23	25	12	12	...	24	1	50·	33	70·
„ J. C. Leupolt, Assistant Collector,	...	15	15	...	...	...	...	15	...	25	60·
„ T B Tracey, Officiating Deputy Collector ...	...	18	18	4	4	1	9	9	44·	93	19
„ J W. Concannon, Deputy Collector ...	2	10	12	6	1		7	5	14·	58	17·
„ E A Cline, Deputy Collector ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	16	19·
Sufdor Hossein Khan, Deputy Collector ...	1	8	9	1	7	1	9	...	78·	..	...
Kishoon Dyal, Deputy Collector ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	..	...	...	..
Shunkur Dyal Pandey, Deputy Collector of Pergunnah Mahoul ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...
Syud Ebadut Ally, Deputy Collector of Pergunnah Nizamabad ...	...	31	31	22	8	1	31	...	26·	20	...
Syud Ally Hussun, Deputy Collector of Secunderpore ..	...	36	36	14	6	3	23	13	26·	201	18·
Mohdee-ool-zuman, Deputy Collector of Deogaon ...	...	24	24	22	...	...	22	2	...	54	44·
Gholam Russool Khan, Deputy Collector of Sugree ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	69	4·
Shewgholam Singh, Deputy Collector of Mohumdabad ...	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	3	...
Total ...	8	195	203	103	41	6	150	53	27·	623	31.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 171, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, Abdool-uzeez Khan...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
A. Ross, Esq., Judge	...	2	23	...	2	19
Abdool-uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	3	...	2	21
Mahomed Hosseiu, Sudder Ameen ...	...	1	22	...	2	...
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mohumdabad ...	...	...	29	...	2	20
Bhugwan Pershad, Moonsiff of Nugra ...	...	1	...	...	...	26

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. A. Ross, Judge ...	186	133	98	417	97	514	81·
Abdool-uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	37	27	388	452	3	455	100·
Mahomed Hossein, Sudder Ameen ...	94	76	519	689	123	812	85·
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mohumdabad ...	38	50	730	818	19	837	97·
Bhugwan Pershad, Moonsiff of Nugra,	108	71	494	673	28	701	96·
Total ...	463	357	2,229	3,049	270	3,319	92·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operations of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.					
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector, under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*	
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Share of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
Azimgurh.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	8
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,	...	6	...	...	...	6	...	12	...	...	...	12
	Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	...	...	15
	Mohumdabad Moonsiff's Court,	...	3	...	...	...	3	...	5	...	1	...	6
	Nugra ditto ditto ...	...	72	...	...	...	72	...	20	...	...	...	20
	Total ...	...	81	...	...	...	81	...	60	...	1	...	61

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Abdool-uzzeez Khan ...	21	14	48·	27·	5 13	2 21	100·	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M.		
Mahomed Hossein Khan,	34	...	82·	38·	2 6	2	* 85·	

* *Note.*—Inclusive of work disposed of by him in his capacity of Moonsiff.

Moonsiff's work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					D.	M. D.		
Mohumdabad,	Ahmud-ool-lah,	62	32·	48·	28	2 20	97·	
Nugra ...	Bhugwan Pershad ...	25	28·	17·	23	0 26	96·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubanah Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court, A. Ross, Esq., ...	1,832 12 3	18	1,160 0 0	672 12 3	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Abdool-uzzeez Khan	1,681 13 0	18	1,204 0 0	477 13 0	
Sudder Ameen's Court, Mahomed Hossein,	3,658 13 0	40	2,497 6 7	1,161 6 5	
Mohumdabad Moonsiffee, Ahmud-ool-lah ...	1,482 1 0	16	1,056 0 0	426 1 0	
Nugra Moonsiffee, Bhugwan Pershad ...	2,421 11 9	18	1,213 3 8	1,208 8 1	
Total ...	11,077 3 0	110	7,130 10 3	* 3,946 8 9	

* I.—On account of Processes served in this District ... ... Rs. 3,601 11 9
II.—On account of Processes served in other Districts ... ... „ 344 13 0
Total ... Rs. 3,946 8 9

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judge's salary and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.						
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Judge's Court ...	14,995	...	704	14,291	35,492	...	21,201	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	10,159	...	74	10,085	9,000	1,085	...	
Sudder Ameen's Court ...	11,643	...	137	11,506	3,960	7,546	...	
Mohumdabad Moonsiffee ...	5,057	...	28	5,029	2,640	2,389	...	
Nugra Moonsiffee ...	5,506	78	20	5,564	2,640	2,924	...	
Total ...	47,360	78	963	46,475	53,732	...	7,257	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1865.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judges, { Messrs G. P. Money, H. G. Keene, H. S. Reid,... }	362	409	248	263	349	* 362	...	6	94	92
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	287	398	300	333	417	† 446	...	...	37	41
Moonsiff of City, Allahabad, ...	998	1,440	883	1,059	1,173	‡ 1,390	...	...	39	76
Total, ...	1,647	2,247	1,431	1,655	1,939	§ 2,198	...	6	170	209
Increase, ...	...	600	...	...	508	646	...	6	...	39
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases:—

* Judge's Court, ...	76
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	14
‡ Moonsiff's Court, ...	13
§ Total, ...	103

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
		Ex-parte	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissal on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges, ... {	Messrs G. P. Money, H. G. Keene, H. S. Reid,... }	7	...	16	5	8	326	362	90	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moulvie Khoorsheid Ally Khan, ...	58	70	12	6	23	277	446	62	
Moonsiff, city of Allahabad.	Mahomed Abdool Quyoon, ...	254	361	79	73	144	479	1,390	34	
	Total, ...	319	431	107	84	175	1,082	2,198*	49	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.				
			1863.		1864.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January, 1865.*		
Court.	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	Remarks.
Judges, ... Messrs G. P. Money, H. G. Keene, H. S. Reid, ...	223	201	166	249	177	272	...	...	41	23	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	863	1,446	455	1,022	410	934	...	...	170	457	
Mr. J. C. Robertson, Judge of Small Cause Court, ...	37	141	23	40	7	51	...	...	3	93	
Moonsiff of City, Allahabad, ...	2,536	3,017	1,390	2,593	1,368	2,500	...	...	318	835	
Total, ...	3,659	4,505	2,034	3,904	1,962	3,757	...	...	532	1,408	
Increase, ...	...	846	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	876	
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	72	147	...	...	...	...	

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1863, ...	1,205	399	1,335	2,939	41·
1864, ...	1,041	515	1,100	* 2,656	38·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts, decided in 1864 by the Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of appeals, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	REMARKS.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.					
Syud Nasir Ally Khan, Deputy Collector,	2	44	46	20	15	...	35	11	43·	117	38·	
Mahomed Mobeen, ditto, ...	2	2	4	...	4	...	4	...	100·	...	...	
Mr. W. Young, ditto, ...	4	3	7	5	1	...	6	1	17·	17	17·	
Mr. E. B. Thornhill, ditto, ...	5	5	10	3	4	...	7	3	57·	38	13·	
Pundit Gopee Nauth, ditto, ...	3	...	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	14	...	
Syud Mudud Ally, ditto, ...	5	10	15	6	5	1	12	3	42·	44	23·	
Ghazeeooddeen, ditto, ...	3	14	17	3	6	1	10	7	60·	154	9·	
Seerajooddeen, ditto, ...	1	11	12	5	1	1	7	5	14·	60	18·	
Mr. J. U. Morris, Collector, ...	2	2	4	4	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	
Moulvie Wajid Ally, Deputy Collector, ...	...	4	4	3	...	...	3	1	...	39	10·	
Mr. J. Bickers, ditto, ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	
Mr. G. Dale, ditto, ...	...	3	3	1	...	...	1	2	...	7	44·	
Moulvie Mahomed Hussun, ditto, ...	...	16	16	6	4	2	12	4	33·	56	29·	
Mr. G. H. M. Ricketts, Collector, ...	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	65	3·	
Mirza Kureem Beg, Deputy Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·	
Mr. W. Johnston, ditto, ...	...	5	5	...	2	3	5	...	40·	...	...	
Mr. C. Girdlestone, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	
Total, ...	27	125	152	63	42	8	113	39	37·	618	20·	

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13	14.
Judge's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	1	3	3	A 3	1	2	2	B 2	A. The persons entered in column 9 were acquitted by the Sudder Court. B. Those in column 13, by the Criminal Court.
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff's Court, ...	5	6	...	C 6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	C. In 2 cases entered in column 5, 2 persons were acquitted by the Moonsiff, and the remaining 4 concerned in 3 cases were committed to the Criminal Court, by which they also were released.

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863. Years.	1863. Months.	1863. Days.	1864. Years.	1864. Months.	1864. Days.	REMARKS.
Judges, Messrs. G. P. Money, ... H. G. Keene, ... H. S. Reid, ... Appeal, ...	...	2	24	...	2	24	
Judges, Messrs. G. P. Money, ... H. G. Keene, ... H. S. Reid, ... Original, ...	...	3	1	...	1	16	
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	9	...	1	6½	
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, City Moonsiff,... ...	...	...	26	...	...	27	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.* In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided
Messrs. G. P. Money, ... H. G. Keene, ... H. S. Reid, ... Judges, ...	48	19	88	155	207	362	43·
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,...	97	81	190	368	78	446	82·
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, City Moonsiff, ...	294	426	517	1,237	153	1,390	89·
Total, ...	439	526	795	1,760·	438	*2,198	80·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

Civil Court.	NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING THE YEAR. *Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.* By order of Court under Section 243. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs	At instance of the Collector under Section 244. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs	Total. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.* Hereditary. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Other. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Total. Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Judge's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	78	...	14	..	92	
Moonsiff of the city, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47	...	7	...	54	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	125	...	21	...	146	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Moulvie Mahomed Khoorsheid Ally Khan, ...	24	5	47 per cent.	29 per cent.	33 days	M. D. 1 6	82·	

Moonsiff's work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
City Allahabad,..	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom,	68	32 per cent.	23 per cent.	20 days.	27 days.	89	

Financial Results of Muzkooree and Tulubana returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court, ...	787 2 0	8	572 0 0	215 2 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	2,978 0 0	28	1,837 0 0	1,136 10 0	
Moonsiff's Court, ...	5,181 13 0	50	3,300 0 0	1,881 13 0	
Total, ...	8,942 9 0	86	5,709 0 0	3,233 9 0	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Court.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.*		Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenamahs.	Net total.	Costs of civil and Subordinate Judge's salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed. (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently Stamped documents.						
1.	2.	3.	5.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, ...	11,174 8 0	...	395 0 0	10,779 8 0	36,556 0 0	...	25,776 8 0	
1st Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	30,973 0 0	320 4 0	517 0 0	30,776 4 0	9,000 0 0	21,776 4 0	...	
City Moonsiff, ...	12,153 0 0	18 11 0	27 0 0	12,144 11 0	3,360 0 0	8,784 11 0	...	
					Total, ...	30,560 15 0	25,776 8 0	
					Minus Loss,	25,776 8 0	...	
Total, ...	54,300 8 0	338 15 0	939 0 0	53,700 7 0	48,916 0 0	4,784 7 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January,			
			1863.		1864.		*Above* 1 *year.*		*Total.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	411	473	493	569	324	* 348	...	17	32	118
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	106	81	233	259	162	† 176	...	...	14	13
Sudder Ameen, ...	125	158	80	95	99	‡ 115	...	...	6	17
Moonsiffs, ...	3,827	4,173	3,189	3,631	3,377	§ 4,016	...	...	136	272
Total, ...	4,469	4,885	3,945	4,554	3,962	‖ 4,655	...	17	188	420
Increase, ...	...	416	...	...	17	99	...	17	...	232
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

* Exclusive of 65 cases transferred.
† Exclusive of 7 ditto.
‡ Exclusive of 33 ditto.
§ Exclusive of 30 ditto.
‖ Exclusive of 135 ditto.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of the presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.	
Officiating Judge,	Mr. M. B. Thornhill, ...	3	2	6	15	1	144	171	84·	
	„ H. S. Reid, ...	...	...	1	5	3	168	177	95·	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, ...	21	3	4	1	13	134	176	76·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Heit Loll, ...	1	4	1	1	1	10	18	56·	
	Ally Bux, ...	11	19	...	...	14	53	97	55·	
Moonsiff of Southern Division.	Heit Loll, ...	10	40	2	4	6	19	81	23·	
	Ally Bux, ...	73	186	19	13	75	230	596	39·	
Moonsiff of Northern Division.	Pundit Hursahaie, ...	116	169	19	44	115	345	808	43·	
Moonsiff of Kaimgunge.	Abdool Mujeed, ...	234	139	28	28	57	392	878	45·	
Moonsiff of Chubramow.	Kishen Dyal, ...	79	157	23	72	132	431	894	48·	
Moonsiff of Kunouj,	Nasir Ally Khan, ...	16	11	7	1	4	43	82	52·	
	Kishori Loll, ...	95	255	13	12	76	226	677	33·	
	Total, ...	659	985	123	196	497	2,195	4,655	47·	

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	233	243	157	193	223	283	1	3	63	70
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	237	291	109	285	352	636	...	...	383	57
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff, ...	8,174	9,098	4,432	8,578	5,428	8,461	...	...	656	1,315
Total, ...	8,644	9,632	4,698	9,056	6,003	9,380	1	3	1,102	1,442
Increase, ...	...	988	...	...	1,305	324	...	2	...	340
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.*	
1863, ...	1,690	1,157	2,653	5,500	31·
1864, ...	1,536	1,306	2,974	5,816*	26·

* Exclusive of 371 cases transferred to other Courts.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. R. C. Oldfield, Collector of Furruckabad,	1	1	2	...	1	1	2	...	50·	13	8·
„ H. Wilson, Settlement Officer ditto, ...	...	32	32	11	3	...	14	18	21·	169	19·
„ E. G. Jenkinson, Dy. Collector, ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	34	6·
„ W. Tyrell, Assistant Collector, ditto, ...	...	22	22	5	3	...	8	14	37·	110	20·
„ J. G. Robertson, ditto ditto, ...	...	4	4	1	...	1	2	2	...	48	8·
Moulvie Mobeen, Deputy Collector, ditto, ...	...	6	6	...	2	...	2	4	100·	113	5·
Heth Ram, Tehseeldar of Mohumdabad, ...	...	4	4	1	...	...	1	3	...	44	9·
Ramnarain, ditto of Kunouj, ...	...	12	12	3	1	...	4	8	25·	99	12·
Kishori Loll, ditto of Chubramow, ...	3	9	12	3	5	2	10	2	50·	180	5·
Fuzl Ahmud, ditto of Kaimgunge, ...	...	8	8	1	3	...	4	4	75·	136	6·
Nujm-ood-deen, ditto of Tirwa, ...	...	3	3	1	2	...	3	...	67·	72	4·
Total, ...	4	103	107	26	22	4	52	55	40·	1,018	10·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170. of Act XXV of 1861.

Name and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Mr. Thornhill and Mr. Reid, ...	2	6	6	...	...	...	...	...	3	3	3	...
Pundit Hursahaie, Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1
Kishori Loll, Moonsiff of Kunouj, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	4
Total, ...	3	7	6	1	...	...	...	...	5	8	3	5

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Officiating Judge,...	...	...	20	...	...	28
„ H. S. Reid, ditto ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	14
Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	24	...	1	7
Heit Loll, Sudder Ameen, Southern Division, ...	...	1	29	...	...	19
Ally Bux Khan, ditto of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	30
Heit Loll, Moonsiff of ditto, ...	...	1	6	...	...	13
Ally Bux Khan, Moonsiff of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	27
Pundit Hursahaie, Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	...	...	18	...	...	15
Abdool Mujeed, ditto of Kaimgunge, ...	...	...	20	...	...	16
Kishen Dyal, ditto of Chubramow, ...	...	...	24	...	...	24
Nasir Ally Khan, ditto of Kunouj, ...	...	...	20	...	...	14
Kishori Loll, ditto of ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	28

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance.
		In which plaintiff was before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Officiating Judge, ..	Original, ...	4	1	16	21	9	30	70·
	Appeal, ...	17	15	91	123	18	141	87·
Mr. H. S. Reid, Officiating Judge, ...	Original, ...	...	1	2	3	...	3	100·
	Appeal, ...	41	34	70	145	29	174	83·
Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Original, ...	15	18	53	86	37	123	70·
	Appeal, ...	9	16	18	43	10	53	81·
Heit Loll, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division, ...		11	19	61	91	8	99	92·
Ally Buksh Khan, ditto ditto, ...		107	120	424	651	42	693	94·
Hursahaie, Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...		159	9	577	745	63	808	92·
Abdool Mujeed, ditto of Kaimgunge, ...		246	41	568	855	23	878	97·
Kishen Dyal, ditto of Chubramow, ...		80	116	640	836	58	894	94·
Nasir Ally Khan, ditto of Kunouj, ...		19	12	46	77	5	82	94·
Kishori Loll, ditto of ditto, ...		104	158	359	621	56	677	92·
Total, ...		812	560	2,925	4,297	358	4,655	92·

Operations of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of the Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
Furruckabad.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	...	11	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	21	3	...	11	21	14	...	17	...	2	...	19	
	Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division,	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	13	...	2	...	15	
	Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	...	6	...	3	...	9	...	22	...	1	...	23	
	Moonsiff of Kaimgunge,	...	2	...	5	...	7	...	45	...	...	...	45	
	Ditto of Chubramow,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	...	...	25	
	Ditto of Kunouj, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	6	
	Total, ...	21	11	...	23	21	34	...	139	...	5	...	144	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Cases Inayut Hossein Khan, ...	9	4	79·	33·	22 days	M. D. 1 7	73·	

Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Heit Loll, ...	6	...	36·	50·	...	Days 19	92·	
Ally Bux Khan,...	6	...	26·	27·	M. D. 1 21	30	94·	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M. D.			
Southern Division, ...	Heit Loll, ...	15	33·	29·	0 0	13	92·	
Ditto ditto, ...	Ally Bux Khan, ...	32	13·	45·	0 28	27	94·	
Northern ditto, ...	Hursahaie, ...	40	19·	34·	0 15	15	92·	
Kaimgunge, ...	Abdool Mujeed, ...	54	12·	31·	0 25	16	97	
Chubramow, ...	Kishon Dyal, ...	44	12·	26·	1 3	24	94·	
Kunouj, ...	Nasir Ally Khan, ...	44	36·	19·	0 0	14	94·	
Ditto, ...	Kishori Loll, ...	37	21·	33·	0 16	28	92·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.			Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.			Surplus at credit of Government on account of			REMARKS.
	Rs.	As.	P.		Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	
Judge's Court, ...	447	1	0	5	336	0	0	111	1	0	
Principal Suddor Ameen's Court, ...	1,103	0	0	13	801	14	8	301	1	4	
Suddor Ameen's Court, ...	2,286	9	0	25	1,539	7	7	747	1	5	
Moonsiff's Court at Northern Division, ...	1,657	1	0	17	1,170	0	0	487	1	0	
Ditto at Kaimgunge, ...	2,456	1	0	20	1,320	0	0	1,136	1	0	
Ditto at Chubramow, ...	2,812	0	0	26	1,700	7	6	1,111	8	6	
Ditto at Kunouj, ...	2,214	4	0	26	1,716	0	0	498	4	0	
Total, ...	12,976	0	0	132	8,583	13	9	4,392	2	8	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.				Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Costs of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and establishment including Zillah Judge and establishment.			Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.			REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed. (Ordinary.)			Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.																
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	
Judge, ...	9,151	0	0	...	234	0	0	8,917	0	0	37,222	0	0	...			28,305	0	0	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	12,429	0	0	29	4	0	341	0	0	12,117	4	0	6,900	0	0	5,217	4	0	.....	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division, ...	9,922	5	0	141	6	0	249	0	0	9,814	11	0	4,200	0	0	5,614	11	0	.....	
Moonsiff of Northern Division, ...	5,083	2	0	29	9	0	77	8	0	5,035	3	0	2,844	0	0	2,191	3	0	.....	
Moonsiff of Kaingunge, ...	5,926	3	0	21	0	0	17	0	0	5,930	3	0	2,844	0	0	3,086	3	0	.....	
Ditto of Chubramow, ...	5,977	11	0	38	0	0	186	0	0	5,829	11	0	2,844	0	0	2,985	11	0	.....	
Ditto of Kunouj, ...	4,635	0	0	75	11	0	71	8	0	4,639	3	0	3,600	0	0	1,039	3	0	.....	
Total, ...	53,124	5	0	334	14	0	1,176	0	0	52,283	3	0	60,454	0	0	20,134	3	0	8,170	13	0	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	688	785	509	559	278	* 317	...	18	111	310
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	117	120	348	360	392	† 409	...	2	52	47
Moonsiffs, ...	1,581	1,869	1,491	1,635	1,657	‡ 1,853	1	2	227	237
Total, ...	2,386	2,774	2,348	2,554	2,327	§ 2,579	1	22	390	594
Increase, ...	...	388	...	...	...	25	...	21	...	204
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	...	...	...

* Exclusive of 304 transferred.
† Do. of 11 do.
‡ Do. of 26 do.
§ Do. of 341 do.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of the presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.	
Judge, ...	Mr. H. B Henderson, ... „ R. Spankie, ... „ J R. Best, ... „ R. Spankie, ...	3	...	...	34	5	275	317	86·	* Exclusive of transferred cases.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	11	20	5	8	9	356	409	87·	
Moonsiff of the City, ...	Mahomed Kamil, ...	45	87	2	40	35	558	767	73·	
Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...	Sheikh Mohdi Alli, ... Shah Abdoollah, ...	53	5	8	10	38	494	608	81·	
Moonsiff of Murriahoo, ...	Shah Rahut Alli, ...	30	...	16	25	48	359	478	75·	
Total, ...	...	142	112	31	117	135	2,042	2,579	79·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	178	202	173	309	77	187	...	...	46	61
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	595	614	240	608	376	592	...	...	133	169
Moonsiffs, ...	3,263	3,781	1,985	3,331	2,677	3,566	...	...	502	717
Total, ...	4,036	4,597	2,398	4,248	3,130	4,345	...	...	681	947
Increase, ...	...	561	...	...	732	97	...	...	...	266
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	655	495	1,079	2,229	29·
1864, ...	668	524	1,030	*2,222	30·

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Remarks.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.					
Mr. C. Grant, Officiating Collector, ...	...	7	7	5	2	...	7	...	28	4	...	
,, F. M. Bird, Collector, ...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	5	...	1	...	
,, B Hardinge, Deputy Collector, ...	4	...	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	...	...	
,, W. H. Smith, Assistant Collector,	3	3	6	2	1	...	3	3	33	35	9	
,, H. B. Goodall, Deputy Collector,	7	6	13	6	6	1	13	...	46	...	...	
,, C. Girdlestone, Assistant Collector,	6	3	9	1	...	...	1	8	...	12	25·	
,, P. Niblett, Deputy Collector, ...	..	59	59	6	13	2	21	38	62	112	53·	
,, E. Atkinson, Assistant Collector,	...	10	10	...	...	2	2	8	...	54	19·	
,, H. Walker, Deputy Collector, ...	7	2	9	5	3	...	8	1	37	...	...	
,, N. Parsick, Deputy Collector, ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	7	43	
Syud Torab Alli, Deputy Collector, ...	16	92	108	20	25	5	50	58	50	132	70·	
Sahib Alli, Tehseeldar with powers of Deputy Collector, ...	11	49	60	24	16	2	42	18	38	300	16·	
Kishoon Narain, Tehseeldar with powers of Deputy Collector, ...	4	42	46	8	19	3	30	16	63	38	...	
Jugmohun Singh, Tehseeldar with powers of Deputy Collector, ...	1	2	3	...	...	1	1	2	...	3	67·	
Total, ...	59	283	342	78	88	16	182	160	48	693	41·	

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name and designation of Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
					None.							

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Judge, ...	...	2	20	...	...	...
„ H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...						
„ H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ R. Spankie, Officiating Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	2	17
„ J. R. Best, Officiating Judge, ...						
„ R. Spankie, Judge, ...						
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	28	...	...	...
Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...						
Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City, ...	...	1	28	...	2	...
Sheikh Mehdi Alli, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...	...	2	9	...	...	...
Sheikh Mehdi Alli, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...	...	...	...	...	1	10
Shah Abdoollah, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...						
Shah Rahut, Moonsiff of Murriahoo, ...	...	1	12	...	1	10

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Name and designation of presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	3	317	10·
„ R. Spankie, Officiating Judge, ...	7	8	9	24	146		
„ J. R. Best, Officiating Judge, ...	1	2	4	7	116		
„ R. Spankie, Judge, ...	...	1	...	1	20		
Mahomed Hussun Raza Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	71	73	60	204	205	409	49·
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City, ...	109	126	430	665	102	767	86·
Sheikh Mehdi, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...	34	35	99	168	26	608	87·
Shah Abdoollah, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, ...	138	23	201	362	52		
Shah Rahut Alli, Moonsiff of Muriahoo,... ...	46	64	301	411	67	478	85·
Total, ...	406	332	1,104	1,842	737	2,579	71·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of the Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Jounpore.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	8	...	5	...	13	6	8	...	...	6	8	
	Moonsiff of the City, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Moonsiff of Pergunnah,	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	11	...	1	...	12	
	Moonsiff of Murriahoo,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	
	Total, ...	...	8	...	8	...	16	6	34	...	1	6	35	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed, to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in last year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, ...	6	25	95	39	1	11	1	1	10·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed, to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
City, ...	Mahomed Kamil, ...	50	36	20	1	20	2	0	86·	
Pergunnah, ...	Sheikh Mehdi Alli, ... / Shah Abdoollah, ..	46	28	17	1	5	1	10	87·	
Murriahoo, ...	Shah Rahut Alli, ...	33	29	24	1	24	1	10	85·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Mr H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, „ R. Spankie, Officiating Judge, „ J. R. Best, Officiating Judge, „ R. Spankie, Judge,	623 4 0	4	420 1 6	203 2 6	I. On account of Process served in this district, 1,763 10 9 II. Ditto in other Districts, ... 62 2 3 Total, ... 1,825 13 0
Mahomed Hussun Ruza Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	818 3 0	14	617 4 6	200 14 6	
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of City, ...	2,351 4 0	28	1,751 5 4	599 14 8	
Sheik Mehdi Alli, Moonsiff of Pergunnah, Shah Abdoollah, Moonsiff of Pergunnah,	1,527 13 0	18	1,094 7 8	433 5 4	
Shah Rahut Alli, Moonsiff of Murriahoo, ...	1,214 4 0	14	825 12 0	388 8 0	
Total, ...	6,534 12 0	78	4,708 15 0	1,825 13 0	

Income of Stamps and costs of subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed. (Ordinary.)	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net Gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge, ...	6,988 8 0	...	214 0 0	6,774 8 0	36,769 5 7	...	29,994 13 7	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	8,475 0 0	89 0 0	359 0 0	8,205 0 0	6,600 0 0	1,605 0 0		
Moonsiff of the City, ...	5,195 0 0	25 10 0	12 0 0	5,208 10 0	3,360 0 0	1,848 10 0		
Moonsiff of Pergunnah,	4,059 0 0	35 0 0	38 0 0	4,057 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,417 0 0		
Moonsiff of Murriahoo,	3,451 2 0	175 14 0	...	3,627 0 0	2,640 0 0	987 0 0		
Total, ...	28,168 10 0	326 8 0	623 0 0	27,872 2 6	52,009 5 7	5,857 10 0	29,994 13 7	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

Regular Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	333	387	251	276	276	301	...	...	120	64
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	196	211	347	410	407	474	...	...	37	32
Moonsiff ...	1,578	1,679	1,254	1,545	1,422	1,611	...	...	130	70
Total ...	2,107	2,277	1,852	2,231	2,105	2,386	...	...	287	166
Increase ...	...	170	...	...	253	55	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	121

Exclusive of transferred cases.

Judge's Court	160
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	18
Moonsiff's Court	132
Total ...	310

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Courts.	Name of presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ..	Mr. G. E. Lance ...	...	...	...	16	9	276	301	92·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Abdoollah Khan ...	33	65	4	15	52	305	474	64·	
Moonsiff of Akberpore ...	Mehmood Bux ...	87	348	3	46	112	438	1,034	42·	
Moonsiff of Russoolabad ...	Enayut Hossein ...	102	204	3	7	24	237	577	41·	
	Total ...	222	617	10	84	197	1,256	2,386	53·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above* 1 *year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	312	342	302	310	319	338	...	...	36	42
Principal Sudder Ameen	592	760	347	629	404	743	...	...	68	109
Moonsiff ...	3,011	3,808	1,644	3,010	2,130	3,665	...	...	366	535
Total ...	3,915	4,910	2,293	3,949	2,853	4,746	...	...	470	686
Increase ...	...	995	...	...	560	797	...	...	...	216
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863 ...	604	420	1,295	2,319	26·
1864 ...	821	518	1,489	2,828*	29·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of the year.	Per-centage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Per-centage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. H. Monckton, Officiating Collector,	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	3	67·
„ Spedding, Assistant Collector ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	4	74·
Mirza Ihsan Ali, Deputy Collector ...	...	15	15	3	6	...	9	6	67·	38	39·
Baboo Dabeechurn, ditto ...	6	3	9	6	3	...	9	...	33·	4	75·
Mahomed Mohsin ...	13	8	21	14	6	...	20	1	30·	106	7·
Zamin Alli ...	...	6	6	1	3	...	4	2	75·	37	16·
Gholam Hossein Khan ...	11	12	23	14	7	...	21	2	33·	28	43·
Heit Ram ...	...	5	5	2	1	...	3	2	33·	11	45·
Jeorakhun Lall ...	...	8	8	3	1	...	4	4	25·	10	80·
Hussun Ruza ...	1	5	6	3	3	...	6	...	50·	13	38·
Madhopershad ...	3	7	10	6	3	...	9	1	33·	34	21·
Baluck Ram ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	14	...
Total ...	35	74	109	54	33	...	87	22	38·	302	25·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. G. E. Lance, Judge ...	3	4	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	2	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mehmood Bux, Moonsiff Akberpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Enayut Hossein, Moonsiff, Russoolabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	5	7	6	1	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.			
	Year.	Month.	Days.	Year.	Month.	Days.	
Mr. G. E. Lance, Judge ...	...	5	3	...	2	13	Original.
	...	3	...	...	3	15	Appeals.
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	27	...	1	28	Original.
	...	1	27	...	...	20	Appeals.
Mehmood Bux, Moonsiff, Akberpore ...	...	...	29	...	1	...	
Enayut Hossein, do., of Russoolabad,	...	1	2	...	...	20	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Name of Officer and designation of Court.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Per-centage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. G. E. Lance, Judge	Original ...	2	3	1	6	6	12	50·
	Appeals ...	16	22	13	51	238	289	18·
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	Original ...	60	77	106	243	76	319	76·
	Appeals ...	27	33	51	111	44	155	71·
Mehmood Bux, Moonsiff of Akberpore,		87	28	853	968	66	1,034	94·
Enayut Hossein, do. of Russoolabad		139	45	311	495	82	577	86·
Total ...		331	208	1,335	1,874	512	2,386	75·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court during the year.										Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.				Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.		Shares of Mouzahs.		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Cawnpore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1	...	...	...	3	4	...	...	3	4	
	Moonsiff, Akberpore,	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Moonsiff, Russoolabad,	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	9	
	Total ...	1	...	...	...	4	17	...	...	4	17	

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in last year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Courts to total number disposed of.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
						M. D.		
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan ...	16	12	78	28	25	1 9	23·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Name of Moonsiff.	Moonsiffee.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
						Days.		
Mehmood Bux ...	Akberpore ...	44	24·	19·	19	30	94·	
Enayut Hossein Khan ...	Russoolabad ...	28	38·	33·	9	20	86·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government at close of year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
Mr. G. E. Lance, Judge ...	601 4 0	6 January to June; 5 in July; and 6 August to December.	374 3 7	227 0 5	On account of process served in this District 200 9 5 Ditto do. other do. 26 7 0
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	1,600 4 0	20 January to June; 16 July to December.	1,195 8 8	405 0 4	On account of process served in this District 342 9 4 Do. do. other do. 62 7 0
Mehmood Bux, Moonsiff Akberpore,	2,547 9 0	26 January to 15th November, and 30 from 16th November to December.	1,775 4 0	772 5 0	On account of process served in this district. 738 1 0 Do. do. in other District ... 34 4 0
Enayut Hossein, do Russoolabad	2,483 1 0	22 during the year.	1,413 12 3	1,069 4 9	On account of process served in this district 1,067 3 9 Do. do. other do. 2 1 0 Do. do. in this do. 2,248 7 6 Do. do. in other do. 125 3 0
Total ...	7,232 2 0	74	4,758 7 6	2,473 10 6	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Courts.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil Judge's salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge ...	9,748 15 0	770 0 0	8,978 15 0	36,600	...	27,621 1 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	20,051 4 6	583 8 0	19,467 12 6	6,600	12,867 12 6	..	
Moonsiff of Akberpore ...	9,239 10 0	66 0 0	9,173 10 0	2,640	6,533 10 0	.	
Ditto of Russoolabad,	4,691 13 0	...	4 691 13 0	2,640	2 051 13 0	...	
Total ...	43,731 10 6	1,419 8 0	42,312 2 6	48,480	21,453 3 6	27,621 1 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Registor.

ZILLAH AGRA.

Regular Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1865.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	409	597	125	162	221	*249	43	10	240	344
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	459	628	590	638	743	†819	5	3	127	182
Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1	..	25	25	1	1	...	...	...	...
Moonsiffs ...	2,202	2,481	1,627	2,084	2,112	‡2,678	2	...	332	125
Total ...	3,071	3,706	2,367	2,909	3,077	§3,747	50	13	699	651
Increase ...	...	635	...	...	710	838	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	...	48

* Exclusive of from Judge's file, ... 272
† Exclusive of from Principal Sudder Ameen's 20
‡ Exclusive of from Moonsiff's 10
§ Total ... 302

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Courts.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On Confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits *	Total.	Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson ...	...	...	1	14	14	220	249	89·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Mahomed Buksh ...	51	41	1	20	56	650	819	79·	
Judge of Small Causes Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Mr. V. Berkeley ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	100·	
Moonsiff of Muttra ...	Khadim Hossein ...	28	30	1	8	27	55	149	37·	
	Nasir Allee Khan ...	102	233	19	54	103	291	802	36·	
" of Futtehabad,	Nussuroollah Khan ...	84	216	17	104	76	473	970	49·	
" of Jullaysur ...	Gopal Suhae ...	85	255	18	90	104	205	757	27·	
	Total ...	350	775	57	290	380	1,895	3,747	51·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	301	386	77	320	123	426	7	...	97	119
Principal Sudder Ameen,	1,684	2,611	590	1,979	634	1,987	...	...	417	1,126
Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	90	179	60	213	80	291	...	...	74	130
Moonsiffs ...	5,423	7,149	2,428	5,396	2,545	5,822	...	...	946	2,297
Total ...	7,498	10,325	3,155	7,908	3,382	8,526	7	...	1,534	3,672
Increase ...	...	2,827	...	...	227	618	...	...	...	2,138
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.*	
1863 ...	1,037	1,060	3,436	5,533	19
1864 ...	1,191	884	3,910	5,985	20

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Per-centage of cases reversed or modified to total of cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities.	Per-centage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. A. R. Pollock, Offg. Collr. ...	1	3	4	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...
„ B. Hardinge, ditto ...	...	9	9	...	...	...	...	9	...	62	12·
„ A. C. Lyall, Deputy Collr. ...	10	2	12	5	1	4	10	2	10·	16	6·
„ D. M. Gardner, Asstt. do. ...	2	1	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	...	...
„ W. Kaye, Deputy Collr. ...	9	32	41	5	2	2	9	32	22·	195	15·
„ T. A. Brown, ditto ...	2	3	5	2	...	...	2	3	...	71	4·
„ H. C. Barstow, Asstt. Collr. ...	...	15	15	...	...	...	...	15	...	307	4·
Chowbey Dhunput Rae, Dy. Collr.	11	7	18	4	1	4	9	9	11·	121	5·
Ikram Alee ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
Syud Mahomed Hoossein ...	...	27	27	...	...	...	...	27	...	139	15·
	36	99	135	19	7	10	36	99	19·	911	9·
Mr. W. C. Plowden, Offg. Colr.,	1	2	3	2	...	...	2	1	...	36	...
„ H. B. Henderson, ditto ...	...	3	3	...	...	2	2	1	...	...	19·
„ H. D. Robertson, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...		...
„ E. S. Robertson, ditto ..	6	1	7	1	3	...	4	3	75·	1	·100·
„ F. Henvey, ditto ...	1	2	3	...	1	...	1	2	100·	40	5·
„ J. H. Carter, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	66	3·
„ W. Kaye, ditto ...	2	1	3	...	1	1	2	1	50·	...	...
„ R. H. M. Warrand, ditto ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
„ J. Clarke, Deputy Collr. ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	..	1	...	19	5·
Umurnath, ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	91	1·
Mahomed Hoossein Khan ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	100·
Alee Buksh Khan ...	...	6	6	...	...	...	...	6	...	37	16·
Motee Lall ...	...	7	7	...	...	...	...	7	...	123	5·
Baneepershad ...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	4	...	68	6·
	11	34	45	3	6	3	12	33	50	483	7·
Grand Total ...	47	133	180	22	13	13	48	132	27·	1,588	8·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Judge of S. C. Court,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal S Ameen,	...	...	...	...	3	3	1	1	...	...	...	...	1 person is pending in C. Court.
Moonsiff of Muttra,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Futteahbad,	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Pending.
Do. of Jullaysur ...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Pending.
Total ...	2	4	...	...	3	3	1	1	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officers.	1863.			1864.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Mr W. S Paterson, Judge ...	...	10	20	...	11	3	
„ V. Berkeley, Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	9	9	...	1	26	
Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	1	15	...	1	21	
Nasir Alee Khan, Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	...	29	...	...	27	
Nussuroollah Khan, Do. of Futteahaad,	...	1	...	...	...	26	
Gopal Suhae, Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	...	1	7	...	1	21	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge ...	2	2	...	4	245	249	2·
„ V. Berkeley, Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1	...	...	1	...	1	100·
Mahomed Buksh, P. S. Ameen	82	68	465	615	204	819	75·
Khadim Hoosein, } Moonsiffs	30	34	66	130	20	150	87·
Nasir Alee Khan, } of Muttra.	101	338	243	682	119	801	85·
Nussuroollah Khan, Moonsiff of Futteahbad ...	71	184	482	737	233	970	76·
Gopal Suhae, Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	84	141	341	566	191	757	74·
Total ...	371	767	1,597	2,735	1,012	3,747	73.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.					
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector, under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*	
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Agra.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	9	...	9	...	6	...	...	...	6
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	60	...	26	...	86	...	52	...	...	...	52
	Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	2	...	3	...	5	...	...	...	34	...	34
	Do. of Futtehabad ...	...	6	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	24	...	24
	Do. of Jullaysur ...	...	...	...	6	...	6	...	13	...	2	...	15
	Total ...	...	68	...	44	...	112	...	71	...	60	...	131

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Buksh ..	38	20	43·	50·	2 20	1 24	75·	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Muttra ...	Khadim Hoosein,	44	33	54	...	0 27	87	
	Nasir Ali Khan,	41	32	27	0 19		85	
Futtehabad,	Nusseroollah,	48	27	34	0 7	0 26	75	
Jullaysur ...	Gopal Suhao,	26	23	25	0 27	1 21	74	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court ...	568 9 0	7	424 10 8	143 14 4	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	5,777 5 0	62	4,084 14 0	1,692 7 0	
Moonsiffee, Muttra ...	3,932 10 0	38	2,506 9 0	1,426 1 0	
Ditto, Futtehabad ...	4,113 0 0	44	2,637 11 5	1,475 4 7	
Ditto Jullaysur ...	2,975 14 0	32	2,025 4 3	950 9 9	
Total ...	17,367 6 0	183	11,679 1 4	5,688 4 8	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	AGGREGATE VALUE OF STAMPS FILED. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	
Judge ...	8,517 0 0	...	317 0 0	8,200 0 0	38,602	...	30,402	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	22,115 8 0	581 14 0	193 0 0	22,504 6 0	6,600	15,904 6 0	...	
Moonsiff of Muttra	7,185 12 0	101 12 0	242 8 0	7,045 0 0	3,600	3,445 0 0	...	
Ditto of Futtehabad,	7,037 0 0	169 6 0	75 0 0	7,131 6 0	2,640	4,491 6 0	...	
Ditto of Jullaysur ...	6,355 6 0	...	35 0 0	6,320 6 0	2,844	3,476 6 0	...	
Total ...	51,210 10 0	853 0 0	862 8 0	51,201 2 0	54,286	27,317 2 0	30,402	

JAMES SIMSON,

Registor.

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	698	922	111	120	308	* 324	25	3	227	495
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	97	167	557	573	367	† 382	1	4	70	194
Sudder Ameen, ...	153	229	120	128	167	‡ 191	...	...	37	68
Moonsiff, ...	2,740	3,209	2,278	2,606	2,710	§3,112	...	...	247	347
Total, ...	3,688	4,527	3,066	3,427	3,552	‖4,009	26	7	581	1,104
Increase, ...	...	839	...	...	486	582	...	...	...	523
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases:—

* Judge's Court, ...	332
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	1
‡ Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	7
§ Moonsiff's Court, ...	62
‖ Total, ...	402

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
		Ex-parte	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits. *	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges, ...	B. Sapte, Esquire, ...	1	...	...	1	6	85	93	91·	
	J. W Sherer, Esquire,	...	...	...	...	9	222	231	96	
Principal Sudder Ameens.	Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	3	1	...	2	1	69	76	91·	
	Rai Kanjeesahai, ...	12	9	3	2	10	270	306	88·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Mahomed Kazim Ally,	24	6	8	5	19	129	191	68·	
Moonsiff, Ghazeepore, ...	Mahomed Kazim Ally,	63	65	11	44	113	475	771	62·	
Ditto, Bulleeah,	Baboo Ram Kalee Choudry, ...	53	8	19	3	76	487	646	75·	
Ditto, Mohumdabad, ...	Moulvie Zeinoolabdeen,	14	45	21	3	46	448	577	78·	
Ditto, Syedpore,	Baboo Kasheenath Biswas, ...	30	105	29	11	60	417	652	64·	
Ditto, Rusrah ...	Lala Saligram, ...	26	63	17	13	33	314	466	67·	
	Total, ...	226	302	108	84	373	2,916	4,009	73·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending				
			1863.		1864.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*		
Court.	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	314	312	256	340	280	362	1	...	85	56	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	640	774	328	624	379	587	...	...	144	379	
Sudder Ameen, ...	921	980	585	896	579	795	...	...	121	322	
Moonsiffs, ...	5,392	7,213	3,500	5,516	3,776	5,485	1	...	570	2,407	
Total, ...	7,267	9,279	4,669	7,376	5,014	7,229	2	...	920	3,164	
Increase, ...	...	2,012	...	...	345	...	...	...	...	2,244	
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	147	...	...	...	...	

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed	Partially executed.	Struck off	Total disposed of in every way.	
1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1863, ...	1,449	748	1,910	4,107	35·
1864, ...	1,375	641	1,990	* 4,006	34·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Number of appealable cases decided by Revenue Officers during the year.	Percentage of appeals, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	REMARKS.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.					
M. Brodhurst, Esq., Collector, ...	1	1	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	...	...	
J. W. Quinton, Esq, Deputy Collector,	3	3	6	3	...	3	6	...	...	...	...	
W. Oldham, Esq., ditto, ...	15	...	15	9	6	...	15	...	40	...	...	
W. C. Plowden, Esq., ditto, ...	14	...	14	10	4	...	14	...	29	...	...	
C. W. Mellor, Esq, ditto, ...	3	12	15	2	7	1	10	5	70	53	23·	
W. C. Turner, Esq., ditto, ...	...	11	11	7	2	...	9	2	22	24	46·	
I. G. Bachman, Esq., ditto, ...	27	16	43	23	16	1	40	3	40	47	34·	
Roy Buldeo Bux, ditto, ...	38	4	42	16	19	3	38	4	50	6	66.	
Ramgolam Singh, Tehseeldar, ...	9	15	24	4	12	1	17	7	71	103	15	
Talemund Pershaud, ditto, ...	...	6	6	2	...	...	2	4	...	390	2·	
Shunker Dyal Pandey, ditto, ...	3	3	6	1	5	...	6	...	83	...	...	
Umjud Hossein, ditto, ...	...	4	4	2	1	...	3	1	33	203	2·	
Ali Bux Khan, Deputy Collector, ...	7	2	9	4	3	...	7	2	43	...	...	
Hati Buhadoor, Tehseeldar, ...	...	12	12	1	...	...	1	11	...	28	43·	
Moulvie Mahomed Safi, ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	27	4·	
Total, ...	120	90	210	85	76	9	170	40	45	881	10·	

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
J. W. Sherer, Esq., Judge,	1	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Baboo Ram Kalee Choudry, Moonsiff, Bulliah,	1	4	...	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Baboo Kashoenath Biswas, Moonsiff, Syedpore, ...	2	3	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total. ...	4	9	...	7	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
W. J. R. Carnac, Esq., Judge, ...	...	11	29	...	...	...	
J R. Best, Esq, Officiating Judge, ...	1	1	24	...	...	...	
B Sapte, Esq., ditto, ...	1	1	11	...	5	17	
J. W. Sherer, Esq, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	4	21	
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	11	...	2	21	
Rai Kanjeesahai, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	3	2	
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff, Ghazeepore, ...	...	...	27	...	...	...	
Kazim Ally Khan, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	3	4	
Baboo Ram Kalee Choudry, Moonsiff of Bulleah, ...	...	...	15	...	...	20	
Lala Saligram, Moonsiff, Mohomdabad, ...	...	...	21	...	...	...	
Moulvie Zeinoolabdeen, ditto, ...	...	...	14	...	...	17	
Baboo Kasheenath Biswas, Moonsiff of Syedpore, ...	...	...	13	...	...	26	
Moulvie Zeinoolabdeen, Moonsiff of Rusrah, ...	...	...	12	...	...	...	
Lala Saligram, Moonsiff of Rusrah, ...	...	...	14	...	...	26	
Total, ...	...	1	...	...	2	2	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. B Sapte, Judge, ...	7	17	55	79	14	93	85·
„ J W Sherer, Offg. Judge, ...	29	25	126	180	51	231	78·
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	12	10	37	59	17	76	78
Rai Kanjeesahai, ditto, ...	38	27	214	279	27	306	91·
Kazim Ally Khan, Sudder Ameen, ...	51	47	52	150	41	191	79·
Nizam Ally Khan, Moonsiff, Ghazeepore, ...	155	238	221	614	157	771	80·
Baboo Ram Kalee Choudry, Moonsiff, Bulleah, ...	62	41	491	594	52	646	92
Moulvie Zeinoolabdeen, Moonsiff of Mohumdabad, ...	32	55	471	558	19	577	97·
Baboo Kasheenath Biswas, Moonsiff, Syedpore, ...	44	144	403	591	61	652	91·
Lala Saligram, Moonsiff, Rusrah, ...	39	65	328	432	34	466	93
Total, ...	469	669	2,398	3,536	473	4,009	88·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		mporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Ghazeepore.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	3	...	2	...	6	
	Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore, ...	...	3	...	...	...	3	...	13	...	8	...	21	
	Ditto of Bulleah,	...	2	...	...	...	2	...	4	...	5	...	9	
	Ditto of Mohumdabad, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	5	...	11	
	Ditto of Syedpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	4	...	12	
	Ditto of Rusrah,	...	4	...	...	...	4	...	7	...	3	...	10	
	Total, ...	...	10	...	...	...	10	...	41	...	27	..	69	

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Syud Ahmud Khan, ...	6	14	31	31	...	2 21	78·	
Rai Kanjeesuhai, ...	10	26	39	24	5 3	3 2	91·	

Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average No. of Regular cases.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total No. of appeals tried.	No. of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Syud Kazim Ali, ...	13	...	45	30	4	8	3	4	79	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases decided.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Ghazeepore, ...	Mahomed Kazim Ali, ...	46	35	31	3	6	3	2	80	
Bulleah, ...	Ram Kalee Choudry, ...	46	31	24	1	1	0	20	92	
Mohumdabad,...	Zeinoolabdeen, ...	40	29	30	0	23	0	17	97	
Syedpore, ...	Kashinath Biswas, ...	40	27	33	0	17	0	26	91	
Rusrah, ...	Saligram, ...	30	35	35	0	16	0	26	93	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court, ...	1,032 0 0	10	538 5 0	493 11 0	On account of process served in this district, Rs. 4,617 6 9 On account of process in other districts, ... „ 245 10 0 Total, Rs. 4,863 0 9
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	1,962 10 0	23	1,229 14 9	732 11 3	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs, Ghazeepore, ...	2,880 14 0	28	1,559 6 6	1,321 7 6	
Moonsiff, Bulleah, ...	1,862 8 0	22	1,168 5 2	694 2 10	
Moonsiff, Mohumdabad, ...	1,424 8 0	16	968 9 10	455 14 2	
Moonsiff, Syedpore, ...	1,582 2 0	16	1,029 1 3	553 0 9	
Moonsiff, Rusrah, ...	1,574 13 0	20	962 11 9	612 1 3	
Total, ...	12,319 7 0	135	7,456 6 3	* 4,863 0 9	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Court.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.*		Amount ordered to be refunded for Rascenamahs.	Net total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently Stamped documents.						
1.	2.	3.	5.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, ...	12,313 0 0	...	411 0 0	11,902 0 0	23,647 10 4	...	11,745 10 4	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	15,217 8 0	65 10 0	475 0 0	14,808 2 0	6,600 0 0	8,208 2 0	...	
Sudder Ameen, Moonsiff, Ghazeepore, ...	16,931 0 0	56 5 0	375 0 0	16,612 5 0	3,460 0 0	13,152 5 0	...	
Moonsiff, Bulleah,	6,522 2 0	224 0 0	46 0 0	6,700 2 0	3,360 0 0	3,340 2 0	...	
Moonsiff, Mohumdabad, ...	4,754 8 0	76 0 0	...	4,830 8 0	2,640 0 0	2,190 8 0	...	
Moonsiff, Syedpore,	4,233 0 0	57 8 0	18 8 0	4,282 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,642 0 0	...	
Moonsiff, Rusrah,	3,832 2 0	124 15 0	49 0 0	3,908 1 0	2,640 0 0	1,268 1 0	...	
Total, ...	63,803 4 0	614 6 0	1,374 8 0	63,043 2 0	44,987 10 4	29,801 2 0	11,745 10 4	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January,			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	262	304	210	225	205	220	...	...	130	121
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	574	814	524	628	717	861	...	...	145	193
Moonsiff, ...	1,018	1,126	810	1,010	862	1,074	...	...	100	150
Total, ...	1,854	2,244	1,544	1,863	1,784	2,155	...	...	375	464
Increase, ...	...	390	...	...	240	292	...	...	...	89
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of cases transferred—

From Judge's Court, ...	96
From Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	5
From Moonsiff's Court, ...	4
Total, ...	105

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge, ...	Mr. Fane, ...	...	...	2	14	1	203	220	92	* Exclusive of transferred cases.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Hubeeboolla Khan, ...	85	153	35	108	36	444	* 861	52	
Moonsiff, ...	Adjoodhia Pershad,	137	298	64	60	152	363	*1,074	34	
	Total, ...	222	451	101	182	189	1,010	2,155	47	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	118	149	84	106	93	143	...	...	22	28
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	800	1,382	387	807	628	1,218	...	...	248	459
Moonsiff, ...	1,587	1,922	788	1,665	916	1,615	...	...	183	490
Total, ...	2,505	3,453	1,259	2,518	1,637	2,976	...	...	453	977
Increase, ...	...	948	...	...	378	458	...	...	...	524
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	483	280	944	1,707	28·
1864, ...	483	377	1,211	* 2,071	23·

* In this total 47 cases transferred to other Courts are not included.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. McChlery, Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	2	50·
„ Blunt, Deputy Collector, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ Hardinge, ditto, ...	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	1	...
„ Turner, ditto, ...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	4	...	21	20·
„ Smith, Assistant Collector, ...	2	6	8	1	3	1	5	3	60·	35	17·
„ Goodall, Deputy Collector, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ Walker, ditto, ...	...	24	24	10	6	2	18	6	33·	.75	32·
„ Curwen, ditto, ...	8	14	22	9	8	...	17	5	47·	47	30·
Noor Alee, ditto, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	100·
Mahomed Tukee, ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	9	33·
Bosheshur Dyal, ditto, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	14	54	68	25	22	3	50	18	44·	191	28·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170 of Act XXV. of 1861.

Name and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Judge, Mr. Fane, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Principal Sudder Ameen, Hubeeboolla Khan, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff, Ajoodhia Pershad, ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. Fane, Judge, ...	...	11	7	...	6	23
Hubeeboolla Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	2	3	...	2	4
Ajoodhia Pershad, Moonsiff, ...	...	...	28	...	1	4

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided. *	Percentage of cases of personal attendance.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge, Mr. Fane, ...	36	54	66	156	64	220	71·
Principal Sudder Ameen, Hubeeboolla Khan, ...	98	16	726	840	21	861	98·
Moonsiff, Ajoodhia Pershad, ...	186	322	463	971	103	1,074	90·
Total, ...	320	392	1,255	1,967	188	2,155	82·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of the Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
Mirzapore.	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and ex-parte.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Hubeeboolla Khan, ...	36	11	39	27	2	27	2	4	98·	

Moonsiff's work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Mirzapore, ...	Ajoodhia Pershad,	47	28	24	1	20	1	4	90·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court and presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
Mr. Fane, Judge, ...	724 3 0	8	510 1 6	214 1 6	I. On account of processes served in this district, 2,518 5 0
Hubeeboolla Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	3,629 4 0	32	2,112 0 0	1,517 4 0	II. On account of processes served in other districts, 254 2 0
Ajoodhia Pershad, Moonsiff, ...	3,254 2 0	36	2,213 0 6	1,041 1 6	2,772 7 0
Total, ...	7,607 9 0	76	4,835 2 0	2,772 7 0	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and establishment including Zillah Judge and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed. (Ordinary.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, ...	9,277 0 0	84 0	332 0 0	9,029 0 0	36,823 2 0	...	27,794 2 0	Loss to Government, Rs. 9,482-13-6.
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	23,814 8 0	168 3	546 0 0	23,436 11 0	7,800 0 0	15,636 11 0	...	
Moonsiff, ...	6,595 0 0	35 9	39 0 0	6,591 9 0	3,866 14 6	2,724 10 6	...	
Total, ...	39,686 8 0	287 12	917 0 0	39,057 4 0	48,490 0 6	18,361 5 6	27,794 2 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

Regular Files.

	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	384	535	232	248	208	*232	...	...	125	199
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	242	297	368	400	451	†489	...	...	43	84
Moonsiff ...	3,176	3,466	2,827	3,268	2,933	‡3,485	...	...	262	239
Total ...	3,802	4,298	3,427	3,916	3,587	§4,206	...	...	430	522
Increase ...	...	496	...	...	160	290	...	...	...	92
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of cases transferred.

* Judge's Court	232
† Principal Sudder Ameen	2
‡ Moonsiffs	6
§ Total ...	240

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on the merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ..	W. J. Bramley, Esquire ...	5	...	...	20	9	198	232	85·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Rai Kanjee Sahoy ...	22	17	6	...	13	66	124	53·	
	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	8	39	6	5	20	287	365	78·	
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafeez-ood-deen Ahmud ...	50	322	17	30	164	329	912	36·	
Do., Hatras ...	Zakur Hoosein ...	75	122	11	11	91	302	612	49·	
Do., Akrabad ...	Rughnath Sahoy ...	226	90	14	5	59	400	794	51·	
Do., Khyr ...	Sultan Hussun ...	30	138	21	22	51	68	330	20·	
Do., Kasgunge ...	Nizm Ali Khan ...	150	151	32	4	115	385	837	46·	
	Total ...	566	879	107	97	522	2,035	4,206	48·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	105	83	75	90	69	82	...	...	44	47
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	751	1,067	252	711	607	996	3	...	315	407
Moonsiff ...	7,909	11,820	5,029	8,622	5,049	9,123	1	...	1,080	3,859
Total ...	8,765	12,970	5,356	9,423	5,725	10,201	4	...	1,439	4,213
Increase ...	...	4,205	...	...	369	778	...	...	...	2,874
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

	Number of applications for execution of decrees.					Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	
1864 ...	1,425	1,723	2,953	* 6,111	23·	
1863 ...	1,254	1,446	2,599	5,299	23·	

*Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of last year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of	Total.				
J. H. Prinsep, Esquire, Collector ...	...	5	5	2	...	2	4	1	...	5	100·
W. C. Turner, Esquire, Dy. Colr. ...	8	1	9	7	1	...	8	1	13	...	...
B. Hardinge, Esquire, ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	21	5·
E. Montagu, Esquire, ditto ...	...	12	12	2	5	...	7	5	71	47	26·
E. Macnaghten, Esquire, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...
G. F. Hall, Esquire, ditto ...	...	12	12	...	11	...	11	1	100	46	26·
W. A. Howe, Esquire, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
C. W. Kinlock, Esquire, ditto ...	15	55	70	26	23	3	52	18	44	158	35·
Jykishen Doss, ditto ...	10	53	63	14	17	5	36	27	47	320	17·
Ahsan Ali, ditto ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
Goorsurrun Doss, ditto ...	...	7	7	...	1	2	3	4	33	38	18·
C. Daniell, Esquire, Dy. Collr., Etah,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
C. Twigg, Esquire, ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
D. L. Sandford, Esquire, ditto ...	5	...	5	4	1	...	5	...	20	...	...
Gungapershaud, ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	4	25·
Umjud Ali, ditto ...	...	13	13	...	4	...	4	9	100	90	14·
Kifayut-ool-lah Khan, ditto ...	1	1	2	...	1	...	1	1	100	17	6·
Total ...	41	161	202	58	65	12	135	67	47	763	21·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	3	3	1	2	1	5	...	5	
Zakur Hoossein, Moonsiff, Hatrass ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	1	2	1	1	
Nizam Ali Khan, Moonsiff, Kasgunge ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	1	...	1	4	4	1	3	2	7	1	6	

Average duration of Suits.

		1863.			1864.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Judge ...	W. J. Bramley, Esquire,	...	4	7	...	6	8
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Rai Kanjee Sahoy ...	...	2	7	...	1	17
	Syud Ahmud Khan ...				...	2	16
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafeez-ood-deen Ahmud,	...	1	7	...	1	12
Do., Hatrass ...	Zakur Hoossein ...	...	...	21	...	...	20
Do., Akrabad ...	Rughnath Suhoy ...	...	...	16	...	...	19
Do., Khyr ...	Sultan Hussun ...	...	1	6	...	...	24
Do., Kasgunge ...	Nizam Ali Khan ...	...	1	16	...	1	21

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		*Cases in which the parties or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily or by Summons.*					Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.		
Judge ...	W. J. Bramley, Esquire,	15	3	14	32	200	232	14·
Principal Sudder Ameens,	Rai Kanjee Sahoy ...	11	21	39	71	53	124	57·
	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	26	54	73	153	212	365	42·
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafeez-ood-deen Ahmud	76	121	653	850	62	912	94·
Do., Hatrass ...	Zakur Hoossein ...	92	69	373	534	78	612	87.
Do., Akrabad ...	Rughnath Sahoy ...	148	208	293	649	145	794	82·
Do., Khyr ...	Sultan Hussun ...	41	54	196	291	39	330	88·
Do., Kasgunge ...	Nizam Ali Khan ...	128	168	418	714	123	837	85·
	Total ...	537	698	2,059	3,294	912	4,206	78·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII., 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Court during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Allygurh ...	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. and Etah,	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	3	...	1	...	4	...	48	...	16	...	64	
Allygurh ...	Moonsiff of Coel ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	18	...	2	...	20	
Ditto ...	Do., Hatrass ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	18	...	...	...	18	
Ditto ...	Do., Akrabad ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	13	...	4	...	17	
Ditto ...	Do., Khyr ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	42	...	1	...	43	
Etah ...	Do., Kasgunge,	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	14	...	3	...	17	
	Total ...	...	3	...	10	...	13	...	153	...	26	...	179	

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Rai Kanjee Sahoy ...	17	7	73	39	...		1	17	57·	
Syud Ahmud Khan ...	15	22	42	...	2	10	2	16	42·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.				
Coel ...	Huffeez-ood-deen Ahmud,	33	34	46	1	2	1	12	94.	
Hatrass ...	Zakur Hoossein ...	32	16	42	0	13	0	10	87·	
Akrabad ...	Rughnath Sahoy ...	53	11	34	0	22	0	19	82·	
Khyr ...	Sultan Hussun ...	10	24	45	0	16	0	24	88·	
Kasgunge ...	Nizam Ali Khan ...	47	12	51	1	1	1	21	85·	

Final results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulabana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	449 4 0	4	263 6 6	235 13 6	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	2,749 6 3	18	1,182 8 0	1,566 14 3	
Moonsiff, Cool	2,115 1 0	19	1,229 7 10	885 9 2	
Ditto, Hatrass	1,828 10 0	16	1,054 11 2	773 14 10	
Ditto, Akrabad	2,330 8 0	15	992 1 2	1,338 6 10	
Ditto, Khyr	977 2 0	10	660 14 9	316 3 3	
Ditto, Kasgunge	1,977 5 0	19	1,234 14 9	742 6 3	
Total ...	12,477 4 3	101	6,618 0 2	5,859 4 1	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Court.	AGGREGATE VALUE OF STAMPS FILED.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Sub-Judges salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized from insufficiently stamped document.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	
Judge ...	8,272 0 0	...	311 0 0	7,961 0 0	36,564	...	28,603	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	20,652 9 0	303 3 0	533 0 0	20,422 12 0	6,600	13,822 12 0	...	
Moonsiff, Cool ...	6,877 12 0	75 8 0	315 8 0	6,637 12 0	3,360	3,277 12 0	...	
Do., Hatrass ...	5,628 10 0	64 10 0	110 0 0	5,583 4 0	2,640	2,943 4 0	...	
Do., Akrabad ...	6,058 0 0	2 10 0	86 0 0	5,974 10 0	2,640	3,334 10 0	...	
Do., Khyr ...	3,511 10 0	...	86 8 0	3,425 2 0	2,640	785 2 0	...	
Do., Kasgunge,	6,238 12 0	31 8 0	116 0 0	6,154 4 0	2,640	3,514 4 0	...	
Total ...	57,239 5 0	477 7 0	1,558 0 0	56,158 12 0	57,084	27,677 12 0	28,603	

JAMES SIMSON,

Registor.

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864	1865.
Judge	822	857	451	457	359	* 363	...	3	138	313
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	99	139	1,032	1,049	393	† 398	7	7	143	216
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff 1st Grade,	782	960	732	841	798	‡ 915	...	1	101	138
Moonsiffs	2,099	2,570	1,917	2,121	2,215	$ 2,452	...	...	139	247
Total	3,802	4,526	4,132	4,468	3,760	4,128	7	11	516	914
Increase	...	724	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	398
Decrease	...	...	...	...	372	340	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases.

* Judges	321
† Principal Sudder Ameens	7
‡ Sudder Ameens ...	15
$ Moonsiffs	11
Total	354

Proportion per cent. of Cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Rejected for informality or delay, nonsuited, and dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Officiating Judge ...	C. R. Lindsay, Esq. ...	...	...	1	...	2	89	92	97	
Ditto ditto ...	B. Sapte, Esq. „ ...	...	...	1	2	...	268	271	99	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Mooftee Asud-oollah ...	4	24	5	2	3	360	398	90	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff,	Syed Qaseem Ali ...	29	322	21	59	63	421	915	46	
Moonsiff, Captaingunge ...	Mahomed Raheem-oollah,	36	338	10	67	15	244	710	34	
Ditto of Bansee,	Shah Abdoollah ...	8	52	5	1	4	61	131	47	
Ditto of ditto,	Mahomed Mondee Ali ...	13	29	2	...	...	156	200	78	
Ditto of ditto,	Moonshee Mankee Dass,	6	36	2	2	6	75	127	59	
Ditto of Bansgaon,	Mahomed Burkut Ali ...	140	132	6	26	38	215	557	39	
Ditto of Deoree,	Moonshee Lukput Rai ...	34	273	66	37	41	276	727	38	
	Total ...	270	1,206	119	196	172	2,165	4,128	52	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	537	456	567	628	390	422	...	...	76	110
Principal Sudder Ameen,	595	636	322	603	345	551	...	...	247	370
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	3,951	8,632	2,470	4,172	6,545	8,104	...	...	338	959
Moonsiffs, ...	5,662	5,978	4,328	5,599	3,233	5,108	...	...	661	1,679
Total, ...	10,745	15,702	1,687	11,002	10,513	14,185	...	...	1,322	3,118
Increase, ...	...	4,957	...	...	2,826	3,183	...	...	...	1,796
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for execution of Decrees.				Per-centage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1864, ...	1,733	783	2,721	5,237*	33	
1863, ...	1,689	1,992	1,232	4,913	34	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Per-centage of cases reversed, modified or remanded, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Per-centage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed, modified or remanded.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Mr. G. Ouseley, Collector of Goruckpore, ...	1	4	5	1	2	...	3	2	66	...	...
„ H. L. P. Wynne, Assistant Collector, ...	1	4	5	2	3	...	5	...	60	...	...
„ H. Wilson, ditto, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ W. R. Burkitt, ditto, ...	...	9	9	1	2	...	3	6	66	68	13
„ J. J. F. Lumsden, Dy. Collector, ...	4	...	4	2	2	...	4	...	50	...	...
„ F. E. Elliot, ditto, ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	36	8
„ E. S. Robertson, ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
„ B. Alone, ditto, ...	5	15	20	3	9	...	12	8	75	22	62
„ K. M. Nicholson, ditto, ...	3	2	5	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...
„ J. Vaughan, ditto, ...	1	4	5	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...
Baboo Pearee Mohun Banerjee, ditto, ...	...	5	5	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...
Moulvee Nazeer Ahmud, ditto, ...	37	51	88	32	41	2	75	13	55	335	15
Mahomed Kureem, ...	...	19	19	4	15	...	19	...	79	5	...
Moonshee Pragdutt, ...	1	34	35	12	2	...	14	21	14	367	9
Fyz-ood-deen Ahmud, Tehseeldar Circle I. ...	8	23	31	14	8	...	22	9	36	130	18
Moonshee Shoosahay Singh, ditto, Circle II. ...	4	15	19	8	5	...	13	6	38	22	68
Mahomed Tukee, ditto, Circle IV. ...	...	21	21	...	...	...	...	21	...	68	31
Thakoor Guneish Dutt, ditto, Circle VI. ...	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Zahur Ushruf, ditto, Circle VII. ...	8	3	11	7	3	1	11	...	27	165	2
Total, ...	74	215	289	104	93	33	200	89	46	1,218	18

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII., 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court, and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made	Number of persons committed	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	5	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	1	2	...	2	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bansee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bansgaon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Deorea, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	7	5	2	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1863			1864.		
	Years.	Months	Days.	Years.	Months	Days.
Mr. C R. Lindsay, Officiating Judge, ...	...	3	29	...	3	25
„ B. Sapto, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	3	24
Mooftee Asud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	7	28	...	5	9
Syud Qaseem Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	...	1	17	...	1	15
Mahomed Raheem oollah, Moonsiff Captaingunge, ...	...	...	23	...	...	29
Shah Abdoollah, } Moonsiff Bansee, ... {	...	...	18	...	...	20
Mahomed Mehudee Ali, } ... {	...	...	...	...	...	28
Moonshee Mankee Dass, Officiating Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	27
Mahomed Burkut Ali, ditto Bansgaon, ...	...	...	19	...	1	1
Moonshee Lukput Rai, ditto Deorea, ...	...	1	...	...	1	1

Personal Attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Courts and Name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons:— In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Per-centage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
1.		2.	3.	4	5.	6.	7	8.
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Officiating Judge,	Original, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeals, ...	1	3	...	4	88	92	4
Mr. B. Sapto, Officiating Judge,	Original, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeals, ...	28	11	10	49	222	271	18
Mooftee Asud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	Original, ...	21	29	34	89	37	126	71
	Appeals, ...	28	20	26	74	198	272	27
Syud Qaseem Ali, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...		137	280	430	847	68	915	93
Mahomed Raheem-oollah, Moonsiff Captaingunge,		36	...	613	649	61	710	91
Shah Abdoollah,	Moonsiff,	10	6	148	164	1	165	99
Mahomed Mehudee Ali,	Bansee, ...	12	2	97	111	6	117	95
Moonshee Mankee Dass, Officiating Moonsiff, ...		11	12	151	174	2	176	99
Mahomed Burkut Ali, ditto Bansgaon, ...		125	74	263	472	85	557	85
Moonshee Lukput Rai, ditto Deorea, ...		63	312	229	604	123	727	83
Total, ...		487	749	2,001	3,237	891	4,128	78

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.	12	13.	14.	15
Goruckpore	Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	73	...	2	...	75	...	31	...	...	...	31	
	Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff, ...	1	...	...	...	1	...	2	33	...	3	2	36	
	Moonsiff of Captaingunge,	...	2	...	..	...	2	...	4	...	1	...	5	
	Ditto of Bansee, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	...	12	
	Ditto of Bansgaon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	1	...	17	
	Ditto of Doorea, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	
	Total, ...	1	75	...	2	1	77	2	98	...	5	2	103	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.		6.		7.	8.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Per-centage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Per-centage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Per-centage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Mooftee Asud-oollah Khan, ...	8	22	100	29	6	10	5	9	41·	

Sudder Ameen's work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.		6.		7.	8.
Name of Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem*		Per centage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Per-centage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of Suits.		Per-centage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Syud Qaseem Ali Khan,	39	...	58	28	1	24	1	15	93	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Per-centage of cases appealed of appealable cases disposed of.	Per-centage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. M.	D.	Average duration of Suits. M.	D.	Per-centage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total numbers disposed of.	Remarks.
Captaingunge,	Mahomed Hossein, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto Raheem-oollah,	24	31	19	1	24	...	29	91	
Bansee,	Shah Abdoollah, ...	21	30	28	...	...	...	20	99	
	Mahomed Mehndee Ali, ...	48	4	...	...	18	...	28	95	
	Moonshee Mankee Doss, ...	23	16	...	...	...	...	27	99	
Banagaon,	Mahomed Raheem-oollah,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Mahomed Burkut Ali, ...	30	48	26	...	29	1	1	85	
Deorea, ...	Moonshee Lukput Rai, ...	31	23	24	1	2	1	1	83	
Goruckpore. ...	Abdoollah Khan, ...	...	...	100	...	...	...	...	...	

Financial Results of Muzkooree and Tulubana Returns.

1. Court.	2. Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	3. Number of Peons.	4. Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	5. Surplus at credit of Government at the close of the year.	6. Deficit.	7. Remarks.
Judge, ...	2,375 12 3	29	1,896 10 1	479 2 2	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	2,722 5 0	30	1,024 12 6	797 8 6	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff,	6,165 6 7	70	4,553 5 8	1,612 0 11	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge,... ...	3,623 13 0	40	2,530 0 0	1,093 13 0	...	
Ditto of Bansee, ...	2,084 13 0	26	1,694 3 2	390 9 10	...	
Ditto of Banagaon, ...	2,460 9 0	31	1,356 0 3	1,104 8 9	...	
Ditto of Deorea, ...	3,886 11 0	46	2,304 11 5	1,581 15 7	...	
Total, ...	23,319 5 10	256	16,259. 11 1	7,059 10 9	...	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1. Court.	2. Aggregate of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed, ordinary.	3. Aggregate of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	4. Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	5. Net Total.	6. Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	7. Net gain to Government.	8. Loss to Government.	9. Remarks.
Judge, ...	11,610 11 0	...	232	11,378 11	37,753 11 10	...	26,375 0 10	
P. S. Ameen, ...	14,942 0 0	168 0 0	25	15,085 0	6,600 0 0	8,485 0 0	...	
S. A. and 1st Grade Moonsiff,	12,871 0 0	555 0 0	55	13,371 0	3,960 0 0	9,411 0 0	...	
Moonsiff Captaingunge, ...	4,580 15 0	...	...	4,580 15	3,495 7 9	1,085 7 3	...	
Do. of Bansee,	3,601 4 0	5 4 0	...	3,666 8	2,652 8 5	953 15 7	...	
Do. of Banagaon,	4,426 2 0	399 12 0	8	4,817 14	2,640 0 0	2,177 14 0	...	
Do. of Deorea,	5,449 3 0	44 6 0	...	5,493 9	2,582 11 11	2,910 13 1	...	
Total, ...	57,481 3 0	1,172 6 0	320	58,333 9	59,684 7 11	25,024 1 11	26,375 0 10	

J. SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

Regular Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	576	764	181	191	317	* 347	...	...	233	212
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	93	79	377	398	473	† 495	...	...	145	192
Sudder Ameen, ...	260	180	260	232	170	‡ 202	...	...	71	42
Moonsiffs, ...	4,274	4,525	3,402	4,113	3,950	§ 4,842	...	...	481	149
Total, ...	5,203	5,548	4,160	4,934	4,910	‖ 5,886	...	...	930	595
Increase, ...	...	345	...	...	750	952	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	335

* Exclusive of Judge's Court,	...	...	541 Transferred.
† Ditto	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,		59 Ditto.
‡ Ditto	Sudder Ameen's Court,	...	18 Ditto.
§ Ditto	Moonsiff's Court, ...	...	39 Ditto.
	‖ Total,	...	657 Ditto.

Number and proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during 1864.							Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.		
Judge, ...	H. Vansittart, Esq.,	2	...	9	19	11	306	347	.88	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan, ...	12	13	4	15	7	444	495	·90	
Sudder Ameen,	Ali Bux, ...	24	46	...	5	41	57	173	·33	
	Heth Lall, ...	124	291	33	90	113	269	918	·29	
	Ubdool Basit Khan,	31	37	...	...	34	73	175	·42	
Moonsiff, Pillibheet, ...	Muksood Ali Khan,	52	196	3	* 30	69	201	551	·36	
Do., Suburbs Bareilly, ...	Jugdumba Pershad,	178	351	22	† 9	198	360	1,118	·32	
Do., Bocsulpore,	Ubdool Basit Khan,	65	160	7	...	76	205	513	·40	
Do., Islamnugger, ...	Zahoor Hossein, ...	102	155	19	‡ 32	103	320	731	·44	
Do., Suheswan,	Umanut Hossein, ...	252	129	11	§ 34	95	349	870	·40	
	Total, ...	842	1,378	106	234	742	2,584	5,886	·44	

* 1 Nonsuited.
† 6 Rejected and 3 nonsuited.
‡ 7 Nonsuited.
§ 5 Nonsuited.

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865
Judge, ...	145	105	96	140	74	107	...	...	92	91
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	285	266	127	304	193	312	...	...	114	96
Sudder Ameen, ...	1,807	1,494	888	1,860	973	1,498	...	...	363	370
Moonsiffs, ...	6,660	7,297	4,502	6,663	4,633	6,617	4	...	864	1,588
Total, ...	8,897	9,162	5,613	8,967	5,873	8,529	4	...	1,433	2,145
Increase, ...	...	265	...	...	260	...	...	...	...	712
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	438	...	4	...	..

Execution of Decrees.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863, ...	1,880	941	2,545	5,366	·35
1864, ...	1,619	961	2,269	* 4,849	·33

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Name and Designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of past year (1863).	Instituted during 1864.	Total.	Disposed of during 1864.				Pending at close of 1864.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealed to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1 Mr. R. M. Edwards, Collr., Bareilly, ...	2	9	11	8	3	...	11	...	27·	51	18·
2 „ B. Harding, Offg. ditto ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	3	33·
3 „ H. R. Clarke, Dy. ditto ditto, ...	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	...	...
4 „ S. M. Moens, ditto ditto, ...	6	9	15	7	5	1	13	2	38·	17	53·
5 „ A. M. Markham, Asst. ditto, ...	...	11	11	...	...	...	...	11	...	36	31·
6 „ M. Low, Deputy Collr, Pillibheet,	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	31	6·
7 „ H. R. Wilson, do. ditto, Bareilly,	6	2	8	3	5	...	8	...	62·	...	3·
8 „ D. S. Sandford, do. ditto, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...
9 „ M. Wittinbaker, do. ditto, ditto, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
10 Sheikh Khyr-ood-deeen Ahmed, do. ...	5	23	28	14	10	...	24	4	42·	158	15·
11 Gunga Pershad, Tehseeldar, Kuror, ...	1	22	23	2	2	...	4	19	50·	300	7·
12 Budr-ood-deen, ditto, Nawabgunge, ...	2	11	13	3	5	2	10	3	50·	50	22·
13 Ameer Ali, ditto, Pillibheet, ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	72	...
14 Salah-ood-deen, ditto, Furreedpore, ...	...	14	14	6	4	...	10	4	40·	58	24·
15 Buktawur Singh, ditto, Buheree, ...	3	15	23	7	6	2	15	8	40·	179	8·
16 Bhoop Singh, ditto, Beesulpore, ...	1	6	7	4	3	...	7	...	43·	6	100·
17 Oomrao Singh, ditto, Aoula, ...	1	36	37	8	9	1	13	24	69·	157	23·
18 Mr. J. C. Colvin, Dy. Collr., Pillibheet,	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	37	161	198	62	54	6	122	76	44·	1,126	14·
1 Mr. C. P. Carmichael, Collr., Budaon,	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
2 „ H. R. Clarke, Offg. ditto ditto, ...	1	5	6	1	1	2	4	2	25·	17	29·
3 „ C. H. T. Crosthwaite, Dy. do. do.	7	3	10	3	6	1	10	...	60·	...	...
4 „ H. R. Wilson, Settlement Officer,	...	12	12	5	2	...	7	5	29·	55	22·
5 Salamut Rae, Deputy Collector, ...	...	15	15	8	2	3	8	7	25·	105	14·
6 Mahomed Kureem, Settlement, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	61	2·
7 Beharee Lall, Dy. Collr., Saheswan, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	46	...
8 Kalka Pershad, Tehseeldar, Goonour,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...
9 Mr. Reid, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	8	37	45	3	11	6	30	15	37·	307	12·
Grand Total, ...	45	198	243	75	65	12	152	91	43·	1,433	14·

Operations of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 186

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII., 1859.				*Operation of Section 169, Act XXV., 1861.*				*Operation of Section 170, Act XXV., 1861.*				
Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Remarks.
H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	3	4	* 3	1	4	16	† 7	9	* 2 Acquitted by the Sessions Judge. 1 By the Nizamut Adawlut. † 4 Convicted by the Sessions Judge. 3 Pending Trial.
Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	...	
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff, Pillibheet, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jugdumba Pershad, ditto, Suburbs, Bareilly, ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ubdool Basit Khan, ditto, Beesulpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Zahoor Hossein, ditto, Islamnugger, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Umanut Hossein, ditto, Saheswan,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	3	4	3	1	5	19	7	9	

Average duration of Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
	1863.			1864.		
Name and Designation of Officer.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge, ...	...	2	28	...	3	18
Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	2	5	...	2	10
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	2	...	1	4
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Pillibheet, ...	...	...	27	...	...	26
Jugdumba Pershad, ditto, Suburbs, Bareilly,	...	...	25	...	...	27
Ubdool Basit Khan, ditto, Beesulpore, ...	...	...	16	...	...	10
Zahoor Hossein, ditto, Islamnugger, ...	...	...	29	...	1	
Umanut Hossein, ditto, Saheswan, ...	...	1	...	...	...	24

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6	7.	8.
	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.						
NAME OF COURT AND DESIGNATION OF OFFICER.	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge, ...	100	95	67	262	85	347	76·
Mahomed Kasim Alli Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	61	61	92	214	281	495	43·
Heth Lall, Sudder Ameen, ...	478	380	306	1,164	102	1,266	89·
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Pilibheet, ...	73	134	275	482	69	551	88·
Jugdumba Pershad, do. of Suburbs of Bareilly,	227	75	692	994	119	1,113	89·
Ubdool Basit Khan, do. of Beesulpore, ...	65	162	160	407	106	513	79·
Zahoor Hossein, do. of Islamnugger, ...	102	94	516	712	19	731	97·
Umanut Hossein, do. of Saheswan, ...	207	195	177	579	291	870	67·
Total, ...	1,313	1,216	2,285	4,814	1,072	5,886	82·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

ZILLAH.	COURT.	NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARPS OF MOUZAHS ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING THE YEAR 1864.												
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or Voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
BAREILLY.	Judge, ...	...	...	2	1	2	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	18	...	18	...	12	...	6	...	18	
	Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	34	...	34	...	22	...	4	...	26	
	Moonsiff of Pilibheet, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Ditto of Suburbs of Bareilly,	...	...	...	23	...	23	...	13	...	...	...	13	
	Ditto of Beesulpore,	...	...	1	2	1	2	...	4	...	..	...	4	
	Ditto of Islamnuggur,	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	25	...	1	...	26	
	Ditto of Saheswan, ...	...	...	...	8	...	8	...	40	...	6	..	46	
	Total, ...	...	...	3	89	3	89	...	118	...	17	...	135	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their merits and ex-parte. Original Suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan, ...	4	34	85·	58·	4 20	2 10	43·	

Sudder Ameens' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of cases decided per mensem on their merits and ex-parte.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
				D.	M. D.		
Moulvie Ali Bux, ...	...	...	40·	25	1·4	89·	
Rae Heth Lall, ...	51	46·	28·				
Moulvie Ubdool Basit Khan, ...	...	...	24·	...			

Moonsiffs' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their merits and ex-parte.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
						M. D.		
Philibheet, ...	Muksood Ali Khan, ...	21	27·	23·	...	26	88·	
Suburbs, Bareilly, ...	Jugdumba Pershad, ...	47	28·	26·	8	27	89·	
Beesulpore, ...	Ubdool Basit Khan, ...	25	23·	14·	15	19	79·	
Islamnugger, ...	Zuhoor Hossein, ...	37	19·	39·	6	1·5	97·	
Saheswan, ...	Amanut Hossein, ...	51	20·	45·	17	24	67·	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
NAME OF COURT.	Amount of Tulubana realized during 1864.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during 1864.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge, ...	465 15 0	7	617 10 6	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	939 7 0	10	647 13 0	291 10 0	
Sudder Ameen, ...	3,933 2 0	50	3,320 12 2	612 5 10	
Moonsiff of Pilibheet, ...	1,297 1 0	14	924 0 0	373 1 0	
Ditto Suburbs of Bareilly, ...	3,147 5 0	34	2,244 0 0	903 5 0	
Ditto of Beesulpore, ...	2,219 0 0	26	1,594 0 0	625 0 0	
Ditto of Islamnuggur, ...	2,649 8 0	30	1,839 0 0	809 10 7	
Ditto of Saheswan, ...	2,615 5 0	37	2,382 0 0	233 5 0	
Total, ...	17,266 6 0	208	13,569 12 1	3,696 9 11	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judge's salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.		
Judge, ...	9,788 1	...	653 0	9,135 1 0	38,301 6 6	...	29,166 5 6	
P. S. Ameen, ...	9,110 0	139 5 0	407 0	8,842 5 0	9,240 0 0	...	397 11 0	
Sudder Ameen, ...	12,088 4	56 12 6	596 0	11,549 0 6	4,200 0 0	7,349 0 6	...	
Moonsiff of Pilibheet, ...	4,060 10	2 0 0	49 0	4,013 10 0	3,600 0 0	413 10 0	...	
Do. Suburbs, Bareilly,	6,931 5	47 15 0	93 8	6,885 12 0	3,107 0 11	3,778 11 1	...	
Do. of Beesulpore,	3,123 10	1 5 0	17 8	3,107 7 0	2,844 0 0	263 7 0	...	
Do. of Islamnugger	4,959 15	16 10 6	129 0	4,847 9 6	2,844 0 0	2,003 9 6	...	
Do. of Saheswan, ...	5,541 0	17 1 0	64 8	5,493 0 0	2,844 0 0	2,649 9 0	...	
Total, ...	55,602 13	281 1 0	2,009 8	53,874 6 0	66,980 7 5	16,457 15 1	29,564 0 6	

J. SIMSON,
Registor.

ZILLAH SEHARUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge's Court, ...	367	551	167	182	143	* 168	...	...	60	188
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	177	218	350	364	441	† 492	1	...	57	56
Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	36	48	33	41	45	‡ 51	...	...	7	5
Moonsiff's Court, ...	3,465	3,451	2,251	3,447	2,492	§3,685	...	...	429	197
Total, ...	4,045	4,268	2,801	4,034	3,121	‖4,396	1	...	553	446
Increase, ...	...	223	...	...	320	362	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	107

Exclusive of transferred cases:

* From Judge's Court, ...	260	
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	1	
‡ Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	0	
§ Moonsiff's Court, ...	17	
Total, ...	278	transferred.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge, ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, ...	5	...	3	11	14	135	168	80·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Sookbasee Lall, ...	19	43	13	2	46	355	478	74·	
Ditto, ...	Mr. S. S. Melville, ...	3	3	...	1	2	5	14	36·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Mr. S S. Melville, ...	19	8	...	2	4	17	50	34·	
Ditto, ...	Mr. R. Manderson, ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	100·	
Moonsiff of Moozufferuggur, ...	Gunput Roy, ...	66	204	69	27	284	180	830	22·	
Do. Seharunpore,	Kazim Ali, ...	22	39	10	3	37	32	163	20.	
	Khadim Hossein, ...	134	246	35	32	295	197	939	31·	
Ditto, Deobund,	Kishoree Lall, ...	6	8	5	4	39	10	72	14·	
	Ram Lall, ...	49	38	36	19	67	242	453	53·	
Ditto, Shamli, ...	Gopal Roy, ...	43	302	85	20	121	137	708	19·	
	Mr. S. S. Melville, ...	23	8	4	12	47	25	119	21·	
	Mr. C. A. Damell, ...	14	13	5	14	24	14	84	17·	
Ditto, Dehra Doon,	Mr. F. Hall, ...	81	29	10	47	79	71	317	22·	
	Total, ...	484	941	275	194	1,081	1,421	4,396	32·	

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judges, ...	124	134	104	149	120	187	...	...	13	9
Principal Sudder Ameen,	781	922	357	772	589	956	...	...	215	209
Sudder Ameen, ...	48	67	16	44	36	76	...	...	30	22
Moonsiff, ...	6,991	11,047	3,505	7,561	5,660	8,969	...	...	794	3,316
Total, ...	7,944	12,170	3,982	8,526	6,405	10,188	...	...	1,252	3,556
Exclusive of cases transferred. Increase, ...	...	4,226	...	...	2,423	1,662	...	...	...	2,304
Exclusive of cases transferred. Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of Applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864, ...	1,694	1,738	3,523	* 6,865	23·
1863, ...	1,649	1,340	2,940	5,929	28·

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Seharunpore. Mr. H. D. Robertson, Collector, ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ A. J. Lawrence, Deputy Collector, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ C. A. Daniell, ditto, ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	112	...
„ H. L. G. Wynne, ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	54	2
„ J. Morgan, ditto, ...	2	4	6	2	...	...	2	4	...	138	3
Nundkishore, ditto, ...	...	22	22	2	1	...	3	19	33	274	8
Gholam Hyder, ditto, ...	...	2	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
Misree Lall, ditto, ...	...	7	7	...	...	...	...	7	...	21	38
Moozuffer-nuggur. Mr. S. N. Martin, Collector, ...	...	3	3	1	1	...	2	1	50	3	...
„ A. Colvin, Deputy Collector, ...	1	2	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	...	...
„ F. W. Baldwin, ditto, ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	8	12
„ J. R. Leeds, ditto, ...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	5	...	78	6
Manuck Chund, ditto, ...	3	3	6	3	2	...	5	1	40	141	2
Vikar Ally Beg, ditto, ...	...	16	16	13	1	...	14	2	7	202	8
Total, ...	7	68	75	25	7	2	34	41	20	1,026	7

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Courts.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Moonsiff of Deobund, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	2	3	3	...	
Moonsiff of Shamli, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	1	1	1	...	
Moonsiff of Dehra, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	...	...	...	...	3	3	2	1	3	4	4	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.		1863. Years.	1863. Months.	1863. Days.	1864. Years.	1864. Months.	1864. Days.	Remarks.
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge, ...	Original, ...	...	2	22	...	1	23	
	Appeal, ...	...	2	23	...	3	3	
Lalla Sookbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	Original, ...	...	1	6	...	1	21	
	Appeal, ...	...	...	29	...	1	5	
Mr. S. S. Melville, ditto, ...	... ...	...	5	24	...	2	27	
„ S. S. Melville, Sudder Ameen, ...	... ...	...	4	6	...	3	13	
„ R. Manderson, ditto, ...	... ...	...	...	...	...	1	15	
Mr. S. S Melville, Moonsiff of Dehra Doon, ...	... ...	...	...	...	...	5	29	
„ C. A. Daniell, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...	... ...	...	4	12	...	2	19	
„ C. F. Hall, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...	... ...	...	...	...	...	4	15	
Gunput Roy, Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur, ...	... ...	...	1	6	...	1	9	
Kazim Ally, ditto of Seharunpore, ...	... ...	...	1	8	...	1	15	
Khadim Hossein, ditto of Seharunpore, ...	... ...	...	...	...	...	1	9	
Kishoree Lall, ditto of Deobund, ...	... ...	...	...	17	...	...	12	
Ram Lall, ditto of Deobund, ...	... ...	...	...	...	...	...	15	
Gopal Roy, ditto of Shamli, ...	... ...	...	1	13	...	...	29	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons. In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.	Remarks.
1.		2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge, ...	Original, ...	...	...	4	4	1	5	80	* Exclusive of cases transferred.
	Appeal, ...	5	6	16	27	136	163	17	
Sookbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen.	Original, ...	20	40	129	189	34	223	85	
	Appeal, ...	43	69	87	199	56	255	78	
Mr. S. S. Melville, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...		2	1	8	11	3	14	·79	
„ R. Manderson, Sudder Ameen, ditto, ...		...	...	1	1	...	1	100	
„ S. S. Melville, ditto, ditto, ...		15	6	15	36	14	50	72	
Gunput Roy, Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur, ...		75	111	535	721	109	830	87	
Kazim Alli, ditto of Seharunpore, ...		23	39	99	161	2	163	99	
Khadim Hossein, ditto of Seharunpore, ...		134	312	461	907	32	939	97	
Kishoree Lall, ditto of Deobund, ...		8	2	60	70	2	72	97	
Ram Lall, ditto of Deobund, ...		54	4	380	438	15	453	97	
Gopal Roy, ditto of Shamli, ...		94	17	578	689	19	708	97	
Mr. S. S. Melville, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...		25	15	11	51	66	117	44	
C. A. Daniell, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...		7	7	16	30	55	85	35	
C. F. Hall, ditto of Dehra Doon, ...		51	37	35	123	195	318	39	
Total, ...		556	666	2,435	3,657	739	4,396	83	

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*In voluntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15
Seharunpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen, Seharunpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	52	...	...	...	52	
	Sudder Ameen of Dehra Doon, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...	...	...	50	
	Ditto of Seharunpore, ...	...	24	...	...	...	24	...	65	...	...	...	65	
	Ditto of Doobund, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	...	1	...	40	
	Ditto of Shamli, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	...	...	27	
	Total, ...	...	24	...	...	...	24	...	236	...	1	...	237	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.		Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
		Original Suits.	Appeals.						
						M. D.	M. D.		
Sookbasee Lall,	Original	...	21	57	35	2 0	1 21	81.	
	Appeal,	...	...	...	...	0 0	1 5		
Mr. S. S. Melville, ...		1	...	20	...	2 0	2 27	79.	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Percentage.
				M. D.	M. D.	M. D.	
Mr. S. S. Melville, ...	4	33	60	1 7	2 22	2 29	72.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in the past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to the number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Moozuffernuggur,	Gunput Roy, ...	26	40	31	2	10	1	9	87.	
Seharunpore, ...	Kazim Ally, ...	36	55	33	1	...	1	15	99.	
	Khadim Hossein, ...						1	9	97.	
Deobund, ...	Kishoree Lall, ...	29	35	24	1	...	...	12	97.	
	Ram Lall, ...						...	15	97.	
Shamli, ...	Gopal Roy, ...	23	30	42	2	15	...	29	97.	
Dehra Doon, ...	Mr. S S. Melville, ...	21	26	55	2	12	5	29	44.	
	„ C. A. Daniell, ...						2	19	35.	
	„ C. F. Hall, ...						4	15	39.	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of this year.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge, ...	1,138 0 0	12	747 9 0	390 7 0	
Roy Sookbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Seharunpore, ...	2,633 0 0	31	1,900 0 3	732 15 9	
Mr. S. S. Melville, ditto, Dehra Doon,	815 4 6	13	815 4 6	...	
Gunput Roy, Moonsiff, Moozuffernuggur,	2,775 12 0	30	1,942 14 5	832 13 7	
Khadim Hossein, ditto, Seharunpore, ...	2,931 4 0	33	1,982 5 9	948 14 3	
Ram Lall, ditto, Deobund, ...	1,984 11 6	20	1,290 14 11	693 12 7	
Gopal Roy, ditto, Shamli, ...	2,324 6 0	22	1,618 12 0	765 10 0	
Total, ...	14,602 6 0	161	10,297 12 10	4,684 9 2	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, ...	8,922 8 0	...	422 0	8,500 8 0	37,260	...	28,759 8 0	
1st P. S. Ameen, Seharunpore, ...	11,182 0 0	...	265 0	10,917 0 0	6,840	4,077 0 0	...	
2nd P. S. Ameen, Dehra Dhoon, ...	2,533 8 0	...	25 0	2,508 8 0				
S. A. of ditto, ..	1,564 0 0	15 0 0	32 0	1,547 0 0	1,200	5,229 10 0	...	
Moonsiff of ditto,	2,647 12 0	22 6 0	296 0	2,374 2 0				
Ditto of Mozuffernuggur, ...	9,935 0 0	42 0 0	492 0	9,485 0 0	4,200	5,285 0 0	...	
Ditto of Seharunpore, ...	9,187 8 0	42 4 0	841 0	8,388 12 0	3,600	4,788 12 0	...	
Moonsiff of Deobund, ...	6,383 2 0	53 2 0	116 8	6,319 12 0	2,848	3,475 12 0	...	
Do. of Shamli, ...	7,751 0 0	110 [illegible] 0	131 8	7,730 6 6	2,844	4,886 6 6	...	
Total, ...	60,106 6 0	285 10 6	2,621 0	57,771 0 6	58,788	27,742 8 6	1,016 15 6	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH MYNPOORIE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge's Court, ...	458	603	272	298	232	230*	...	1	79	119
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	112	132	341	355	357	375†	2	...	72	134
Moonsiff's Court, ...	3,190	3,339	2,834	3,170	3,013	3,500‡	...	...	315	151
Total, ...	3,760	4,074	3,447	3,823	3,602	4,135§	2	1	466	404
Increase, ...	...	314	...	...	155	312	...	...	...	...
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	62

Exclusive of transferred cases:—

* From Judge's Court, ...	307
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	6
‡ Moonsiff's Court, ...	4
§ Total, ...	317

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge's Court, ...	Mr. W. J. R. Carnac, from 1st January to 28th April, again from 29th October to 31st December, 1864, ...	...	...	...	5	...	31	36	86·	Exclusive of transferred cases.
	Mr. H. B. Henderson, from 29th April to 28th October, 1864,	5	1	...	21	2	195	224	87·	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court.	Sheikh Momin Ally Khan, from 1st January to 5th December, 1864, ...	7	19	4	5	12	300	347	86·	
	Rai Dabee Dyal, from 14th December to 31st December, 1864,	1	...	1	...	1	25	28	89·	
Moonsiff's Court, Etawah, 1st Grade.	Abdool Rahman Khan,	85	343	15	83	84	260	870	30.	
Moonsiff's Court, Etah, 2nd Grade,	Kunhya Lall, ...	83	201	116	13	95	239	747	32·	
Moonsiff's Court, Mynpoorie.	Kamal-ood-deen Ahmed,	122	461	88	24	125	332	1,152	29·	
Moonsiff's Court, Doondwarra, 3rd Grade.	Ahmed-oolla, from 1st January to 2nd February, 1864, ...	6	8	20	...	2	10	46	22·	
	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, from 3rd February to 31st December,...	105	186	62	18	43	271	685	39·	
	Total, ...	114	1,219	306	169	364	1,663	4,135	40·	

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
Court.	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	173	171	123	140	197	210	3	3	91	52
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	411	345	157	270	303	426	...	...	141	183
Moonsiffs, ...	6,318	7,255	3,372	6,785	4 152	5,948	...	...	917	2,228
Total, ...	6,902	7,771	3,652	7,195	4,652	6,584	3	3	1,149	2,463
Increase, ...	...	869	...	...	1,000	...	...	...	...	1,314
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	611	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5	6.
	Number of applications for execution.				
Year.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
1863, ...	938	1,361	2,514	4,813	20·
1864, ...	1,051	1,252	1,888	4,191*	25·

* This excludes 5 cases transferred to other Courts.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

1.		2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
					Decided.							
Name and designation of Revenue Officers.		Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Officers in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
Mynpoorie.	Mr. Fendall Thomson, Collector, ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	1	1	100·	13	15·
	Mr. H. M. Chase, Dy. Collr., & Offg Collr.,	...	9	9	...	3	1	4	5	75·	93	9·
	Mr. Hall, Deputy Collector, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	Mr. J. Wilson, Deputy Collector, ...	4	...	4	1	3	...	4	...	75·	...	...
	Mr. McConaghey, Assistant Collector,	...	29	29	12	4	9	25	4	16·	124	23·
	Syud Imdad Ali, Deputy Collector, ...	1	1	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	3	33·
	Pundit Dabee Pershad, Dy. Collector,	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	67	3·
	Raheem-ood-deen Khan, Do. of Tehseel Mynpoorie, ...	2	10	12	2	3	1	6	6	50·	79	12·
	Hafiz-ood-deen, Do. of Tehseel Kurhul,	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	7	...
	Ally Mohomed Khan, Do. of Tehseel Moostafabad, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	15	13·
	Rughbuns Sahi, Do. of Tehseel Shekoabad, ...	1	6	7	1	2	...	3	4	66·	32	19·
	Ajoodhia Pershad, Do. of Tehseel Bhogaon, ...	3	13	16	3	5	...	8	8	62·	34	38·
Etawah.	Mr. A. O. Hume, Collector, ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	6	16·
	Mr. A. C. Barnard, Deputy Collector,	4	3	7	3	4	...	7	...	57·	9	33·
	Mr. Richards, Deputy Collector, ...	...	4	4	1	3	...	4	...	75·	4	100·
	Mr. C. Grant, Deputy Collector, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	Mr. R. H. M. Warrand, Dy. Collector,	...	5	5	...	1	...	1	4	100·	33	15·
	Mr. F. Elliot, Assistant Collector, ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
	Mr. A. Sells, Assistant Collector, ...	2	23	25	2	13	...	15	10	87·	70	33·
	Ally Bux Khan, Deputy Collector, ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
	Syed Mohomed Hossein, Dy. Collr,	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
	Koer Luchmun Singh, Dy. Collr., ...	1	...	1	..	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Dy. Collr., ...	...	25	25	1	18	...	19	6	95·	122	20·
	Mehdee Ali, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Etawah, ...	...	5	5	1	3	...	4	1	75·	31	16·
	Zoolficar Ali, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Phuppoond, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...
	Gobind Pershad, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Bhurtna, ...	...	16	16	2	11	...	13	3	84·	89	18·
	Narain Dass, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Bidhone, ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	76	2·
Etah.	Mr. H. A. Harrison, Deputy Collector,	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	...	2	100·
	Mr. C. Twigg, Assistant Collector, ...	1	3	4	2	1	...	3	1	33·	33	9·
	Mr. Sandford, Deputy Collector, ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	Umjud Ali, Deputy Collector, ...	9	11	20	6	13	...	19	1	68·	20	55·
	Gunga Pershad, Deputy Collector, ...	...	9	9	2	...	1	3	6	...	61	15·
	Dawur Ali, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Etah,	2	10	12	3	3	...	6	6	50·	73	14·
	Horee Lall, Dy. Collr. of Tehseel Allygunge, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	23	8·
	Total, ...	35	197	232	49	97	13	159	73	62·	1,140	17·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII., 1859.				*Operation of Section 169, Act XXV., 1861.*				*Operation of Section 170, Act XXV., 1861.*			
NAME OF COURT AND DESIGNATION OF OFFICER.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Mr. W. J. R. Carnac, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mr. H. B. Henderson, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sheikh Momin Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Roy Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Abdool Rahman Khan, 1st grade Moonsiff at Etawah, ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kunhya Lall, 2nd grade Moonsiff at Etah,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kamal-ood-deen Ahmed, 3rd grade Moonsiff at Mynpoorie, ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	4	...	...	...	...	...
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, 3rd grade Moonsiff at Doondwarrah, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ahmed-ool-lah, 3rd grade Moonsiff at Doondwarrah, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total, ...	1	...	...	...	1	1	4	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
NAME AND DESIGNATION OF OFFICER.	1863.			1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. W. J. R. Carnac, Judge, ...	Mr.	Thomp	son's,	...	7	7
Mr. H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...	...	5	14	...	5	10
Sheikh Momin Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	1	20	...	2	25
Roy Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	3	21
Abdool Rahman Khan, Sudder Ameen, and 1st grade Moonsiff of Etawah, ...	...	1	2	...	1	5
Kunhya Lall, 2nd grade Moonsiff of Etah, ...	...	1	1	...	...	25
Kumal-ood-deen, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Mynpoorie, ...	...	1	3	...	...	28
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Doondwarrah, ...	...	1	7	...	...	23
Ahmed-ool-lah, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Doondwarrah, ...	...	...	...	...	...	25

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.						
Designation of Court and names of Presiding Officers.	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
Mr. W. J. R. Carnac, Judge, ...	...	...	...	...	36	36	...
Mr. H. B. Henderson, Officiating Judge, ...	5	9	4	18	206	224	8·
Momin Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	30	32	48	110	247	350	31·
Roy Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	3	2	5	20	25	20·
Abdool Rahman Khan, 1st grade Moonsiff of Etawah,	97	382	306	785	85	870	90·
Kunhya Lall, 2nd grade Moonsiff of Etah, ...	57	248	239	544	270	747	73·
Kumal-ood-deen Ahmed, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Mynpoory, ...	129	167	731	1,027	125	1,152	89·
Ahmed-ool-lah, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Doondwarrah,	6	1	39	46	...	46	100·
Qumr-ood-deen, 3rd grade Moonsiff of Doondwarrah,	93	67	470	630	55	685	92·
Total, ...	417	909	1,839	3,165	970	4,135	77·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
	Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*					
	By orders of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Judge's, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3
Principal Sudder Ameen's, ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	19	...	5	...	24
Sudder Ameen's and 1st grade Moonsiff's at Etawah, ...	...	3	...	20	...	23	...	12	...	2	...	14
2nd grade Moonsiff's at Etah, ...	...	1	...	11	...	12	...	36	...	...	...	36
3rd grade Moonsiff's at Mynpoorie,	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	23	...	1	...	24
3rd grade Moonsiff's of Doondwarrah at Allygunge, ...	...	1	...	10	...	11	...	55	...	...	...	55
Total, ...	...	5	...	51	...	56	...	148	...	8	...	156

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and* ex-prate *per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeals.						
						M. D.		
Momin Ally Khan, ...	7	21	91	66	...	2 25	31·	
Roy Dabee Dyal, ...	4	20	...	...	126 days.	3 21	20.	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular suits decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.
						M. D.	
Etawah Sudder Ameen's Court, ...	Abdool Rahman Khan,	30	45	24	21	1 5	90·
2nd grade Moonsiff at Etah, ...	Kunhya Lall, ...	37	20	21	14	0 25	73·
3rd grade Moonsiff at Mynpoorie, ...	Kamal-ood-deen Ahmed,	45	28	19	12	0 28	89·
3rd grade Moonsiff at Doondwarrah, ...	Ahmed ool-lah, ... (late)	32	30	...	...	0 23	100·
	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein,	40	11	26	16	0 25	92·

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
NAME OF COURT.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's, ...	410 10 6	4	345 14 5	64 12 1	
Principal Sudder Ameen's, ...	1,389 8 0	12	770 1 7	619 6 5	
Etawah Sudder Ameen's, 1st grade Moonsiff, ...	3,477 15 0	30	1,735 0 8	1,742 14 4	
Etah 2nd Grade Moonsiff's, ...	2,262 9 0	20	1,415 4 0	847 5 0	
Mynpoorie 3rd Grade Moonsiff's, ...	3,086 4 0	30	1,609 2 7	1,477 1 5	
Doondwarrah 3rd Grade Moonsiff's, ...	1,936 3 0	19	1,348 7 0	587 12 0	
Abolished Moonsiffees', ...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	12,563 1 6	115	7,223 14 3	5,339 3 3	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Judges' and Subordinate Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate Value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinarily.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, Mr. W. J. R. Carnac,	8,429 0	0 0 0	264 0 0	8,165 0 0	37,238 8 8	...	29,073 8 8	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	10,750 13	80 0 0	150 0 0	10,680 13 0	8,729 0 5	1,951 12 7	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Etawah,	7,580 5	117 0 0	34 0 0	7,663 5 0	3,960 0 0	3,703 5 0	...	
2nd Grade Moonsiff of Etah, ...	4,168 11	10 8 0	77 8 0	4,101 11 0	3,360 0 0	741 11 0	...	
3rd Grade Moonsiff of Mynpoory, ...	7,283 14	104 0 6	127 0 0	7,260 14 6	2,640 0 0	4,620 14 6	...	
3rd Grade Moonsiff of Doondwarrah, Allyguuj, ...	4,477 2	10 8 0	20 8 0	4,467 2 0	2,640 0 0	1,827 2 0	...	
Total, ...	42,689 13	322 0 6	673 0 0	42,338 13 6	58,567 0 1	12,844 13 1	29,073 8 8	

J. SIMSON,
Registor.

ZILLAH SHAHJEHANPORE.

Regular Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above* 1 *year.*		*Total pending.*	
Court.	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	399	483	294	303	212	255*	2	15	95	205
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	91	148	171	181	456	521†	...	3	56	91
Sudder Ameen, ...	228	410	174	207	387	427	...	...	21	4
Moonsiffs, ...	2,160	2,257	1,759	2,127	1,713	2,055‡	...	...	181	93
Total, ...	2,878	3,298	2,398	2,818	2,768	3,258§	2	18	‖353	393
Increase, ...	...	420	...	...	370	440	...	16	...	40
Decrease, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases.

* Judge's Court, ...	119
† Principal Sudder Ameen's, ...	1
‡ Moonsiff's, ...	201
§ Total transfers,	411

‖ In last year's Statement 347 were entered in this Column, but this year 6 cases of appeal under Act X. of 1859 have been added to it.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On Confession.	By Arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge, ...	Honorable R. A. J. Drummond & Mr. H. Lushington, ...	...	...	...	35	8	212	255	83·	
P.S. Ameen, ...	Momin Ali Khan, Debee Dial. ...	15	61	10	11	54	370	521	71·	
Sudder Ameen, ...	Rai Bukhtawar Singh	27	140	12	15	25	208	427	4·	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore, ...	Kuramut Ool-lah, Wazeer-ood-deen, ... Uzmut Ali, ...	25	229	24	23	56	131	488	27·	
Ditto of Tilhur,	Basheer-ood-deen ...	25	176	25	14	73	111	424	26·	
Ditto of Powain,	Wazeer-ood-deen, ...	31	118	...	18	41	94	302	31·	
Ditto of East Budaon, ...	Wajid Ali, ...	17	214	8	19	49	143	450	33·	
Ditto of West Budaon. ...	Nowab Rai, ...	27	180	12	22	27	123	391	31·	
	Total, ...	167	1,118	86	157	333	1,397	3,258	43·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge, ...	107	128	108	158	102	184	...	...	35*	25
Principal Sudder Ameen,	291	376	128	337	377	695	...	10	84	281
Sudder Ameen, ...	1,005	1,081	609	1,142	766	1,199	...	...	239†	133
Moonsiffs, ...	5,301	5,979	2,576	5,774	3,499	5,110	2	8	653	1,584
Total, ...	6,704	7,564	3,421	7,411	4,744	7,188	2	18	1,011	2,023
Increase, ...	...	860	...	...	1,323	...	...	16	...	1,012
Decrease, ...	...	...	...		...	223	...	...	...	...

* In past year's Report the number of cases in this Column was 238, because one case remanded from the Sudder Court was erroneously omitted which has now been added to it.

† In last year's Report 41 cases were entered in this Column, but this year 6 cases of appeal under Act X. of 1859 have been deducted from them.

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of Applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1864, ...	1,131	851	1,691	3,673*	31·	
1863, ...	1,154	836	1,700	3,690	31·	

* Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Zillah.	Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals decided.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Shahjehanpore.	Mr. W. G. Probyn, Collector, ...	4	5	9	2	2	3	7	2	29	26	19
	„ H. D. Willock, Deputy Collector, ...	3	3	6	2	2	1	5	1	40	5	60
	„ C. J. Daniell, Deputy Collector, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	9	22
	„ W. J. Mulligan, Assistant Collector, ...	1	1	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	...	...
	„ M. S. Howell, Assistant Collector, ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	8	100
	Moonoour Zuman, Deputy Collector, ...	4	7	11	4	4	...	8	3	50	50	[illegible]
	Pundit Kunhya Lall, Tehseeldar of Shahjehanpore, exercising the powers of Deputy Collector, ...	11	36	47	14	7	10	31	16	23	111	32
	Ahmed Hussein, Tehseeldar of Powain, exercising the powers of Deputy Collector,	8	6	14	8	5	...	13	1	38	80	8
	Qussim Hussun Khan, Tehseeldar of Tilhur, exercising the powers of Deputy Collector,	...	10	10	2	6	...	8	2	75	117	9
	Total, ...	31	72	103	33	26	15	74	29	35	400	18
Budaon.	Mr. C. P. Carmichael, Collector, ...	...	*1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
	„ F. O. Mayne, Collector, ...	...	2	2	...	...	1	1	1	...	9	22
	„ G. H. Lawrence, Collector, ...	...	3	3	...	..	...	...	3	...	* 32	9
	„ H. R. Clarke, Deputy Collector, ...	1	3	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	14	21
	„ J. R. Reid, Deputy Collector, ...	2	14	16	7	1	1	9	7	11	190	8
	Salamut Rai, Deputy Collector, ...	1	*3	4	...	1	1	2	2	50	28	11
	Nitta Nund, Tehseeldar of Budaon, exercising the powers of Deputy Collector, ...	3	2	5	...	3	...	3	2	100	10	20
	Total, ...	7	28	35	8	8	3	19	16	42	283	10
	Grand Total, ...	38	100	138	41	34	18	93	45	37	683	15

* This case was decided by the Collector in 1862, and was remanded from the Sudder Court in 1864.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII., 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, ...	3	3	...	3	2	3*	...	2	1	2	...	2	
Principal Sudder Ameen, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon, ...	2	6	1	5	...	9†	...	9	1	1	...	1	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ...	1	2	...	2	1	2	...	2	1	3	3	...	
Ditto of Tilhur, ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	5	1	4	
Ditto of Powain, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of East Budaon,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Datagunj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total, ...	7	12	1	11	3	14	...	13	5	11	4	7	

* One of these died before the case being disposed of.

† These persons were committed in one of the two cases entered under the preceding Section.

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1863.			1864.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Judges.	Honorable R. A. J. Drummond, from 1st January to 1st April, and from 2nd October to 31st December, 1864.	...	5	7	...	6	3
	H. Lushington, from 21st April to 5th August, 1864,	...	...	...	...	3	27
Principal Sudder Ameens.	Rai Dabee Dyal, from 1st Jany. to 6th Decr., 1864,	...	2	8	...	2	1
	Momin Ali Khan, from 12th to 21st December, ...	...	...	...	...	3	0
Sudder Ameen, Budaon.	Rai Bukhtawar Singh, from 6th Jany. to 31st Decr ,	...	...	...	...	..	20
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore.	Mahomed Kuramut-ool-lah, from 1st to 15th Jany.	...	1	19	...	...	29
	Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen, from 7th May to 20th June, 1864, ...	...	...	...	...	...	28
	Mahomed Uzmut Ali, from 21st June to 31st Decr,	...	...	...	...	...	23
Do. of Tilhur.	Mahomed Busheer ood-deen, from 1st January to 31st December, ...	...	...	19	...	...	16
Do. of Powain.	Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen, from 1st January to 31st December, ...	...	1	...	...	1	5
Do. of East Budaon.	Mahomed Wajid Ali, do. to do., ...	...	...	16	...	...	16
Do. of Datagunj.	Lalla Nawab Rai, from do. to do , ...	...	...	22	...	...	22

Personal Attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Courts and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge,	Honorable R. A. J. Drummond.	8	...	51	59	39	98	61·
	H. Lushington. ...	11	12	24	47	110	157	29·
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Rai Daibee Dyal, ...	65	75	202	342	171	513	67·
	Momin Ally Khan, ...	1	1	3	5	3	8	63·
Sudder Ameen of Budaon.	Rai Bukhtawar Singh, ...	32	49	297	378	49	427	89·
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore.	Kuramut Oollah, ...	9	9	10	28	4	32	88·
	Wazeer Ooddeen, ...	2	7	24	33	12	45	73·
	Uzmut Ally, ...	22	48	317	387	24	411	94·
Moonsiff of Tilhur.	Busheer Ooddeen, ...	34	36	333	403	21	424	95·
Moonsiff of Pawain,	Wazeer Ooddeen, ...	31	26	193	250	52	302	83·
Moonsiff of E. Budaon.	Wajid Ally, ...	38	55	323	416	34	450	92·
Moonsiff of Datagunj.	Nawab Rai, ...	34	19	322	375	16	391	96·
	Total, ...	287	337	2,099	2,723	535	3,258	83·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year 1864.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary or permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15
Shahjehanpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen,	4	42	...	7	4	49	...	16	...	5	...	21	
	Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore,	...	6	...	...	...	6	...	12	...	...	...	12	
	Ditto of Tilhur ...	...	9	...	8	...	17	...	9	...	2	...	11	
	Ditto of Pawain ...	4	12	...	1	4	13	4	4	...	1	4	5	
	Total ...	8	69	...	16	8	85	4	41	...	8	4	49	
	Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	5	
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	9	...	9	...	22	...	1	...	23	
	Moonsiff of E. Budaon ...	...	...	...	11	...	11	...	13	...	2	...	15	
	Moonsiff of Datagunj ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	14	...	...	...	14	
	Total ...	...	...	...	27	...	27	...	54	...	3	...	57	
	Grand Total ...	8	69	...	43	8	112	4	95	...	11	4	106	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.							
NAME OF PRINCIPAL SUDDER AMEEN.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
						M. D.		
Rai Dalbee Dyal	22	14	56·	30·	Transferred to Myupoorie.	2 1	67·	
					M. D.			
Momin Ali Khan	...	...	...	...	2 10	3 0	63·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
NAME OF SUDDER AMEEN.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of Suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				D.			
Rai Bukhtawur Singh	21	20	36	5	20	89·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
MOONSIFFER.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
						D.		
Shahjehanpore ...	Kuramut-oollah,...	...	...	30·	Went on leave.	29	45·	
	Wuzeer-ood-deen,	15	28·	66·	Returned to Pawain.	28	76·	
	Uzmut Ally, ...	...	...	60·	18 Days.	23	84·	
Telhur ...	Busheer-ood-deen,	13	18·	33·	1 Month.	16	95·	
					M. D.	M. D.		
Pawain ...	Wuzeer-ood-deen,	10	52·	22·	3 2	1 5	83·	
East Budaon ...	Wajid Ally, ...	14	31·	50·	1 2	0 16	92·	
Dátagunj ...	Nawab Rae, ...	14	30·	44·	... 19	0 22	96·	

Financial Results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
NAME OF COURT.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of 1864.	REMARKS
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	
Judge	545 12 0	2	153 4 9	392 7 2	
Principal Sudder Ameen	1,756 5 0	10	807 4 1	949 0 11	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon	1,117 10 0	10	659 15 9	457 10 3	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	834 1 6	10	501 1 0	333 0 6	
Ditto of Tilhur	1,051 12 7	10	636 15 10	414 12 9	
Ditto of Pawain	945 15 0	10	655 4 3	290 10 9	
Ditto of East Budaon	910 13 6	10	663 15 10	246 13 8	
Ditto of Datagunj	1,100 6 6	10	681 4 9	419 1 9	
Total ...	8,262 12 1	72	4,759 2 3	3,503 9 9	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Zillah and Subordinate Judge's Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
COURTS.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed, (ordinary.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge ...	6,394 14 0	...	142 0	6,252 14 0	27,366 4 4	...	21,113 6 4	
P. S. Ameen ...	8,951 5 0	31 8 0	348 8	8,634 5 0	6,662 8 2	1,971 12 10	...	
S. A., Budaon ...	4,435 2 0	1 6 0	8 8	4,428 0 0	3,739 5 1	688 10 11	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ...	4,556 13 6	21 0 0	54 8	4,523 5 6	1,650 5 2	2,873 0 4	...	
Ditto of Tilhur,	3,170 1 0	9 3 0	2 0	3,177 4 0	2,640 0 0	537 4 0	...	
Do. of Pawain,	2,409 8 0	168 0 0	28 0	2,549 8 0	2,640 0 0	...	90 8 0	
Do. of Budaon,	3,023 11 0	4 9 6	38 8	2,989 12 6	2,640 0 0	349 12 6	...	
Do. of Datagunj,	2,771 10 0	...	...	2,771 10 0	2,640 0 0	131 10 0	...	
Total ...	35,713 0 6	235 10 6	622 0	35,326 11 0	49,978 6 9	6,552 2 7	21,203 14 4	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH MORADABAD.

Regular Files.

Courts	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1864.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	457	599	176	200	136	149	...	...	53	199
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	163	200	432	456	567	603	3	...	149	63
Sudder Ameen ...	52	71	41	44	66	77	...	...	10	4
Moonsiff ...	4,917	5,369	3,909	4,931	4,154	5,273	1	1	411	496
Total ...	5,589	6,239	4,558	5,631	4,923	6,102	4	1	623	762
Increase ...	...	650	...	...	365	471	3	...	...	139
Decrease ...	635	...	365	496	...	...	...	3	139	...

Exclusive of transferred cases.

From Judge's Court	331
„ Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	7
„ Sudder Ameen's Court	1
„ Moonsiff's Court	17
Total ...	356

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Courts.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	J. Power, Esquire ...	...	..	2	9	4	134	149	90·	
P. S. Ameen ...	Mahomud Hussun ...	21	10	6	5	31	530	603	88·	
S. Ameen of Bijnour,	Mahomud Hussun ...	13	10	1	1	10	42	77	55·	
Moonsiff of Ditto ...	Mahomud Hussun ...	64	247	60	14	176	284	845	34·	
Do. of Nugeena ...	Jewun Kishen ...	99	75	83	78	175	574	1,084	53·	
Do. of Umroha ...	Shunker Doss ...	51	128	17	...	69	116	381	30·	
Do. of Ditto ...	Mukhun Lall ...	60	134	13	4	78	107	396	27·	
Do. of Sumbhul ...	Abid Ali ...	42	354	18	8	118	240	780	31·	
Do. of Environs ...	Sotee Beharee Lall ...	75	80	37	4	94	239	529	45	
Do. of City ...	Shew Narain ...	96	166	7	24	94	182	569	32·	
Do. of Billaree	Cheda Lall ...	42	250	41	22	161	173	689	25·	
	Total ...	563	1,454	285	169	1,010	2,621	6,102	43·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Courts.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863, *previous year.*		1864, *year under review.*		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	91	103	76	92	106	151	...	...	47	11
Principal Sudder Ameen	684	706	265	837	308	794	...	...	104	93
Sudder Ameens ...	334	446	255	350	315	411	...	...	31	68
Moonsiffs ...	10,818	12,274	8,173	11,026	7,945	11,268	...	...	1,593	2,799
Total ...	11,927	13,527	8,769	12,305	8,674	12,614	...	...	1,775	2,981
Increase ...	...	1,600	305	...	...	309	...	...	...	1,168
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	95	...	...	...	...	...

Applications for execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863 ...	1,923	1,644	2,728	6,295	31·
1864 ...	1,961	1,479	3,231	7,722	29·

Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. R. Manderson, Officiating Collector, Moradabad ...	...	10	10	1	6	1	8	2	75	103	10
„ A. Bouldorson, Dy. Collr., Moradabad,	6	17	23	11	8	...	19	4	42	47	36
„ M. Sandys, ditto, ditto ...	3	...	3	1	2	..	3	...	67	2	...
„ Tyrrell, ditto, ditto ...	...	11	11	3	2	1	6	5	33	56	20
„ W. B. Joyce, ditto, ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	64	5
Meer Imdad Ali, ditto, ditto ...	...	12	12	2	1	...	3	9	33	53	23
Emam-ood-deen, Tehseeldar, Moradabad, and Deputy Collector ...	...	19	19	5	13	...	18	1	72	115	17
Kewul Kishen, Tehseeldar of Hussunpore ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	11	18
Abdool Hadee, ditto Umroha ...	...	7	7	1	2	...	3	4	67	20	35
Saif-ool-lah Khan, Deputy Collector, Moradabad ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	....	...
Mr. J. Sladen, Dy. Collr., Bijnour ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	..
„ Carpenter, Asst. Collr., ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	43	2
„ D. Parker, ditto, ditto ..	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	6	17
Dabee Pershaud, ditto, ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Torab Ali, ditto, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100	...	...
Luchmun Singh, ditto, ditto ...	...	7	7	...	3	...	3	4	100	32	22
Total ...	12	92	104	30	41	2	73	31	56 p. c.	553	17 p. c.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mahomed Hussun, P. S. A. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Hussun, S. A. at Bijnour,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto, Moonsiff, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jewun Kishen, do., Nugeena,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Shunker Doss, do., Umroha...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mukhun Lall, do., ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abid Ali, do., Sumbhul,	1	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sotee Beharee Lall, Environs,	...	...	...	...	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Shew Narain, of City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cheda Lall, of Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	2	...	...	3	7	...	2	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
J. Power, Esquire, Judge ...	...	5	2	...	3	20
Mahomed Hussun, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	6	...	2	...
Ditto ditto, Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	21	...	1	3
Ditto ditto, Moonsiff ...	...	...	16	...	...	21
Jewun Kishen, Moonsiff of Nugeenah ...	...	...	30	...	...	30
Shunker Doss, ditto of Umroha ...	...	...	20	...	...	23
Mukhun Lall, ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	5
Abid Ali, ditto of Sumbhul ...	...	1	4	...	...	23
Sotee Beharee Lall, Moonsiff of Environs ...	...	...	27	...	...	29
Shew Narain, ditto, City ...	...	...	25	...	...	28
Cheda Lall, ditto, Billaree ...	...	1	3	...	1	2

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Judge's Court, Mr. J. Power,	2	4	1	7	5	12	58
P. S. A., Mahomed Hussun ...	18	50	29	97	93	190	52
S. A. Bijnour, ditto ...	14	12	45	71	7	78	91
Moonsiff, Bijnour, ditto ...	90	87	633	810	36	846	96
Do., Nugeena, Jewun Kishen,	123	173	533	829	255	1,084	76
Do., Umroha, Shunker Doss,	57	80	221	358	23	381	94
Do., do., Muckhun Lall ...	77	58	203	338	58	396	85
Do., Sumbhul, Abid Ali ...	74	271	247	592	194	786	75
Do., Environs, Sotee Beharee Lall ...	57	67	357	481	48	529	91
Moonsiff, City, Shew Narain,	135	96	149	380	192	572	66
Do., Billaree, Cheda Lall ...	96	319	178	593	96	689	86
Total ...	743	1,2'7	2,596	4,556	1,007	*5,563	82

* The personal attendance in this district is only shown in original cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntarily.						Involuntary or permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total number of decrees executed or put into execution during the year.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Moradabad.	P. S. Ameen ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	3	23	1	2	4	25	
	S. A., Bijnour ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	8	...	8	1	6	
	Moonsiff, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	...	7	...	39	
	Ditto, Nugeena ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	16	...	...	1	16	
	Ditto, Umroha ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	...	...	25	
	Ditto, Sumbhul ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	1	...	15	
	Ditto, Environs ...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	..	...	...	5	
	Ditto, City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Total ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	5	122	1	13	6	135	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Hussun,	12	34	40	15	1 16	2 0	52	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Hussun ...	5	40	10	0 20	1 3	91	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
Bijnour ...	Mahomed Hussun ...	34	21	11	1	5	0	21	96·	
Nugeena ...	Jewun Kishen ...	63	10	9	1	12	0	30	76·	
Umroha ...	Shunker Doss ...	...	...	...	...		0	23	94·	
Ditto ...	Mukhun Lall ...	30	27	14	0	20	1	5	85·	
Sumbhul ...	Abid Ali ...	25	21	15	1	2	0	23	75·	
Environs ...	Sotee Beharee Lall ...	29	20	7	1	9	0	29	91·	
City ...	Shew Narain ...	24	50	20	1	13	0	28	66·	
Billaree ...	Cheda Lall ...	21	20	19	0	25	1	2	86·	

Results of Tulubana and Muzkoorie Returns.

1.	2.			3.	4.			5.			6.
Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubana realized during the year.			Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.			Surplus at credit of Government on account of year.			Remarks.
	Rs.	As.	P.		Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	
Mr. J. W. Power, Judge, Moradabad ...	898	6	0	9	588	0	0	310	6	0	
Mahomed Hussun, P. S. Ameen, ditto ...	3,036	4	0	34	2,239	9	9	796	10	3	
Ditto S. A. and Moonsiff, Bijnour ...	2,634	10	3	26	1,675	5	4	959	4	11	
Jewun Kishen, Moonsiff, Nugeenah ...	3.404	3	0	40	2,494	0	10	910	2	2	
Shunker Doss and Mukhun Lall, Umroha ...	2,878	2	0	30	1,967	10	8	910	7	4	
Abid Ali, Moonsiff, Sumbhul ...	2,727	6	0	28	1,888	8	9	838	13	3	
Sotee Beharee Lall, Moonsiff, Environs ...	3,061	13	6	35	2,156	1	9	905	11	9	
Shew Narain, ditto, City ...	1,940	14	6	23	1,336	14	2	604	0	4	
Cheda Lall, ditto, Billaree ...	2,561	2	0	31	1,883	0	0	677	7	0	
Total ...	23,142	13	3	256	16,229	14	3	6,912	15	0	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Zillah Judges and Subordinate Courts.

1.	2.			3.			4.			5.			6.	7.			8.	9.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.																	
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).			Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.			Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	
Judge ...	6,765	0	0	...			577	0	0	6,188	0	0	36,444	...			30,256	
P. S. A of Moradabad,	14,160	0	0	84	0	0	985	0	0	13,265	0	0	6,600	6,665	0	0	...	
S. A. and Moonsiff, Bijnour ...	9,940	0	0	98	4	0	422	8	0	9,615	12	0	3,960	5,655	12	0	...	
Moonsiff, Nugeena	6,678	9	0	102	0	0	37	0	0	6,738	9	0	3,360	3,378	9	0	...	
Do., Umroha ...	6,544	2	0	61	1	6	97	0	0	6,508	3	6	3,360	3,148	3	6	...	
Do., Sumbhul ...	4,582	8	0	13	2	0	97	0	0	4,498	10	0	2,640	1,858	10	0	...	
Do., Environs ...	3,586	0	0	26	2	0	49	0	0	3,563	2	0	2,640	923	2	0	...	
Do., City ...	4,035	12	0	29	3	0	545	0	0	3,519	15	0	2,640	879	15	0	...	
Do., Billaree ...	4,364	13	0	6	9	0	168	0	0	4,203	6	0	2,640	1,563	6	0	...	
Total ...	60,657	12	0	420	5	6	2,977	8	0	58,100	9	6	64,284	24,072	9	6	30,256	30,256 0 0 loss to Govt. 24,072 9 6 net gain to Govt. 6,153 6 6 loss to Govt.

JAMES SIMSON,
Registrar.

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	244	455	205	315	385	413*	5	7	25	69
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	861	924	717	895	680	857†	...	...	73	138
Sudder Ameen ...	685	701	595	682	642	730	...	1	76	47
Moonsiff ...	525	1,032	398	483	874	1,020‡	...	...	69	83
Total ...	2,336	3,112	2,005	2,375	2,581	3,020§	5	8	243	337
Increase ...	...	776	...	...	576	645	...	3	...	94
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of transferred cases:—

* From Judge's Court	5
† From Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	3
‡ From Moonsiff's Court	6
§ Total ...	14

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits, to the total number decided.	
Judge ...	Mr. E. J. Boldero ...	5	5	2	23	5	373	413	91·	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	Rai Soonder Lall ...	58	306	10	58	119	306	857	36·	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	Hamid Hussun Khan,	87	307	11	17	71	237	730	32·	
Moonsiff of Tirohan,	Mahomed Ali Khan,	33	183	25	7	84	185	517	36·	
Moonsiff of Mahoba,	Mahomed Hafiz Rutheem ...	50	113	4	11	44	281	503	56·	
	Total ...	233	914	52	116	323	1,382	3,020	46·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	218	323	166	224	211	307	...	1	38	55·
P. S. A. of Banda ...	1,118	1,263	374	1,121	547	1,022	...	...	138	386·
S. A. of Futtehpore ...	1,129	1,308	690	1,119	722	1,299	...	...	282	306·
Moonsiffs ...	765	1,530	551	707	1,084	1,508	2	5	148	182·
Total ...	3,220	4,424	1,781	3,171	2,564	4,136	2	6	606	929·
Increase ...	...	1,204	...	...	...	965	...	4	...	323·
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	743	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1863 ...	648	624	695	1,967	33·
1864 ...	765	647	1,099	* 2,511	30·

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Court, decided by Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total				
Mr. J. W. Power, Collector of Futtehpore ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	...	...
„ C. Grant, Officiating ditto ...	...	5	5	4	...	...	4	1	...	23	22·
„ W. Blunt, Officiating ditto ...	...	11	11	7	3	...	10	1	30	78	14·
„ P. Whally, Assistant ditto ...	...	11	11	3	3	...	6	5	33	109	10·
Baboo Thakoor Pershad, Deputy Collector ...	...	11	11	5	5	...	10	1	50	141	8·
Furhut Ali, ditto of Pergunnah Futtehpore,	...	20	20	17	...	2	19	1	...	84	24·
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, ditto of Pergunnah Kylyanpore ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	5	...	40	58	8·
Mr. H. R. Clarke, Offg. Collr. of Banda ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	18	6·
„ C. Robertson, Deputy Collr. of Kirwee, Zillah Banda ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	11	9·
„ C. W. Moore, Deputy Collr. of Kirwee, Zillah Banda ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	119	1·
„ J. Wilson, Dy. Collr. of Banda ...	...	4	4	1	2	...	3	1	66	40	10·
„ N. Parsick, Dy. Collector of Banda ...	...	3	3	...	3	...	3	...	100	4	75·
Hafiz Ali, Dy. Collr. of Pergunnah Banda ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	16	6·
Kasheenath, do. of do. Pylanee ...	...	7	7	4	2	1	7	...	28	77	9
Jankee Pershad, do. of do. Angasee ...	...	4	4	2	1	...	3	1	33	21	19·
Kaleechurn, do. of do. Darsenda ...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	4	...	15	26·
Munoor Ali, do. of Zillah Humeerpore...	...	9	9	4	5	...	9	...	55	48	19·
Enayut Hussun, do. of Pergunnah Mahoba,	...	2	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	41	5·
Osman Khan, do. of do. Rât ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	10	10·
Ahmed Ali Beg, do. of do. Mondaha, ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	4	25·
Total ...	...	101	104	55	28	6	89	15	33	917	11·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. E. J. Boldero, Judge,	...	...	...	...	*2	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	* Of these one was acquitted by Magistrate. † Of these two were released by the Magistrate, and eight by the Sessions Judge.
Rai Soonder Lall, P. S. A. of Banda ...	1	1	1	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hamid Hussun Khan, S. A. of Futtehpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem Moonsiff of Mahoba,	...	...	...	...	† 10	8	...	8	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	1	1	...	12	9	1	8	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Year.	Month.	Days.	Year.	Month.	Days.
Mr. E. J. Boldero, Judge ...	...	...	30	...	1	10
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	...	1	19	...	1	18
Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	...	1	5	...	1	6
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	...	...	26	...	1	...
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, Moonsiff of Mahoba ...	...	1	2	...	...	22

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and Name of Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.*	Percentage of cases of personal attendance, to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr E. J Boldero, Judge ...	63	75	149	287	126	413	70
Rai Soonder Lall, P. S. A. of Banda,	53	109	483	644	213	857	75
Hamid Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	83	236	268	587	143	730	80
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	86	69	313	468	49	517	90
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, Moonsiff of Mahoba ...	72	136	166	374	129	503	74
Total ...	357	625	1,378	2,360	660	3,020	78

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3	4.	5.	6	7.	8.	9	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Futtehpore.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	3	2	4	2	7	
	P. Sudder Ameen of Banda,	...	...	...	13	...	13	...	15	...	...	...	15	
	S. A of Futtehpore, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Moonsiff of Mahoba ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	...	...	...	16	...	16	...	29	2	4	2	33	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M. D.	M. D.	
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen.	31	...	22	29	0 2	1 18	75

Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M. D.	M. D.		
Hamid Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore	28	...	48	15	0 24	1 6	80	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases decided.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Tirohan ...	Mahomed Ali Khan ...	20	80	19	1 5	1 0	90	
Mahoba ...	Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem ...	28	22	27	0 22	0 22½	74	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulubanah realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	1,479 4 0	10	632 0 0	847 4 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	3,365 3 0	34	2,244 0 0	1,121 3 0	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	2,328 5 0	20	1,320 0 0	1,008 5 0	
Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	1,550 4 9	12	792 0 0	758 4 9	
Moonsiff of Mahoba ...	1,675 5 0	16	1,056 0 0	619 5 0	
Total ...	10,398 5 9	92	6,044 0 0	4,354 5 9	

Income of Stamps and costs of the Zillah Judges and Subordinate Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	*Average Value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalty realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Rasseenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	
Judge ...	9,250 0 0	...	25	9,225 0 0	36,360 12 4	...	27,135 12 4	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	9,179 0 0	639 10 0	8	9,810 10 0	6,600 0 0	3,210 10 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	6,222 4 0	135 8 0	85	6,272 12 0	3,960 0 0	2,312 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Tirohan,	3,919 1 0	128 12 0	...	4,047 13 0	2,640 0 0	1,407 13 0	...	
Moonsiff of Mahoba,	3,916 0 0	175 2 0	4	4,087 2 0	2,640 0 0	1,[illegible] 2 0	...	
Total ...	32,486 5 0	1,079 0 0	122	33,443 5 0	52,200 12 4	8,478 0 0	27,135 12 4	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH MEERUT.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Judge ...	286	523	321	383	471	501*	...	2	29	72·
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	314	393	340	382	373	406†	1	...	75	61·
Moonsiff ...	3,111	3,601	2,419	2,953	2,785	3,550‡	...	1	240	282·
Total ...	3,711	4,517	3,080	3,668	3,579	4,457§	1	3	344	415·
Increase ...	...	806	...	...	499	789	...	2	...	71·
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Exclusive of cases transferred.

* From Judge's Court	3
† Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	22
‡ Moonsiff's Court	22
§ Total ...	47

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. Turnbull ...	...	...	...	...	...	20	20	...	
Ditto ...	„ Read ...	...	...	...	6	1	28	35	80·	
Ditto ...	„ Thornhill ...	1	...	2	15	8	420	446	92·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Pygumber Buksh ...	47	37	17	5	28	272	406	67·	
Moonsiff, Meerut	Mirza Allee ...	1	356	21	28	177	442	1,025	43·	
„ Boolundshuhur,	Mukhun Lall ...	35	532	96	68	188	319	1,238	26·	
„ Ghazeeabad ...	Ainoodeen ...	21	129	1	69	285	782	1,287	61·	
	Total ...	105	1,054	137	191	687	2,283	4,457	51·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1863.		1864.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1863.	1864.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	194	284	166	220	180	247	...	...	56	93
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	764	1,222	384	896	700	1,127	...	...	261	356
Moonsiffs ...	5,938	7,441	1,932	5,976	2,872	6,786	...	...	837	1,493
Total ...	6,896	8,947	2,482	7,092	3,752	8,160	...	...	1,154	1,942
Increase ...	....	2,051	...	...	1,270	1,068	...	...	...	788
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
1863 ...	1,266	1,111	2,791	5,168	24·49
1864 ...	1,888	1,003	3,100	5,991	33·

*Exclusive of cases transferred.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of last year.	Instituted during year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1864.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of	Total.				
Mr. Forbes, Collector ...	...	8	8	2	4	2	8	...	4	190	50
„ Neale, Asst. ditto ...	...	12	12	...	9	...	9	3	17	71	100
„ O. B. Ridsdale, ditto ...	...	6	6	3	2	...	5	1	7	83	40
„ G. Billings, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	52	88
Kower Wazeer Ali Khan, ditto ...	...	27	27	3	21	...	24	3	13	204	...
Fuxl Ahmed, ditto ...	...	3	3	...	...	3	3	...	8	39	...
Bishumbur Sahai, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	43	...
Kurm Ali, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	68	...
Nussur Ali, ditto ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	3	87	...
Tuffuzzool Hoossin ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	..
Total ...	...	59	59	11	36	5	52	7	7	838	50
MOOLUNDSHUHUR.											
Mr. Keene, Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
„ Webster, ditto ...	...	4	4	...	4	...	4	...	8	49	100
„ Montaga, Dy. ditto ...	1	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	6	16	100
„ Currie, ditto ...	...	2	3	1	1	1	3	...	...	...	...
Mohib Ali, ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	1	126	...
Bishen Dyal, ditto ...	...	5	5	...	2	1	3	2	2	315	67
Mungul Sain, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kour Pershaud, ditto ...	...	7	7	4	2	...	6	1	9	755	33
Iyedad Hoossain, ditto ...	...	4	4	...	2	...	2	2	5	780	100
Syud Mahomed, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	519	...
Total ...	1	26	27	6	12	2	20	5	1	2,561	60
Grand Total ...	1	85	86	17	48	7	72	12	3	3,399	53

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge, G. D. Turnbull, Esq.,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Not yet disposed of.
„ H. S. Reid, Esq. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
„ M. B. Thornhill, Esq.,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
P. S. A., Pygumber Bux ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff, Meerut,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	14	...	...	
Ainoodeen, Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	14	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1863.			1864.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. G. D. Turnbull, Judge ...	...	...	9	...	2	8
„ H. S. Reid, Officiating Judge ...	...	...	...	...	2	8
„ M. B. Thornhill, Officiating Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	20
Pygumber Bux, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	25	...	1	28
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff, Meerut ...	...	...	26	...	...	29
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff Boolundshuhur ...	...	...	26	...	...	24
Ainoodeen, do., Ghazeeabad ...	...	...	22	...	...	20

Personal attendance of Parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.*					Total number of cases decided.*	Pertentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.		
Mr. Turnbull, Judge ...	3	2	4	9	11	20	45·
„ Reid, Officiating Judge ...	4	3	6	13	22	35	28·
„ Thornhill, ditto ...	38	74	175	287	159	446	64·
Pygumber Bux, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	69	70	250	389	17	406	96·
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff of Meerut ...	121	323	580	1,024	1	1,025	100·
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur ...	78	278	767	1,123	115	1,238	91·
Ainoodeen, ditto, Ghazeeabad ...	35	46	853	934	353	1,287	73·
Total ...	348	796	2,635	3,779	678	4,457	85·

*Exclusive of transferred cases.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 248.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Meerut.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	...	...	26	
	Moonsiff, Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30	...	...	...	30	
	Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...	...	...	18	
	Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad ...	...	4	...	5	...	9	...	30	...	...	...	30	
	Total ...	...	4	...	5	...	9	...	104	...	...	...	104	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Pygumber Bux ...	28	...	45	41	2	5	1	28	96	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiffs.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	Days.		
Boolundshuhur ...	Mukhun Lall ...	38	17	24	2	10	24	91	
Ghazeeabad ...	Ain-ood-deen ...	67	10	34	1	12	20	73	
Meerut ...	Mirza Ali ...	39	30	37	2	10	29	100	

Financial results of Muzkoorie and Tulubana Returns.

Name of Court and Presiding Officer.	Amount of Tulabana realized during the year.	Number of Peons.	Amount paid as salary of Peons during the year.	Surplus at credit of Government on account of the year.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court	776 0 0	10	606 0 0	170 0 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	3,342 11 0	30	1,686 7 0	1,656 4 0	
Meerut, Moonsiff's Court ...	3,085 15 0	38	2,334 6 2	751 8 10	
Boolundshuhur, Moonsiff's Court ...	4,556 8 0	40	2,340 6 8	2,216 1 4	
Ghazeeabad, ditto ditto ...	4,132 15 0	37	2,376 7 8	1,756 7 4	
Total ...	15,894 1 0	155	9,343 11 6	6,550 5 6	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Court.	AGGREGATE VALUE OF STAMPS FILED.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total	Costs of Civil and Sub-Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized from insufficiently stamped documents.					
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.
Judge ...	11,032 8 0	...	1,116 8 0	9,916 0 0	36,672	...	26,756
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	21,569 8 0	545 12 0	767 0 0	24,348 4 0	6,600	14,748 4 0	...
Moonsiff, Meerut ...	8,925 8 0	53 2 0	92 8 0	8,886 2 0	3,360	5,526 2 0	...
Do., Boolundshuhur,	9,754 8 0	119 7 0	188 0 0	9,685 15 0	2,826	8,826 15 0	...
Do., Ghazeeabad...	9,343 14 0	144 6 0	6 8 0	9,481 12 0	2,380	6,601 12 0	...
Total ...	60,625 14 0	862 11 0	2,170 8 0	59,318 1 0	52,338	35,703 0 0	26,756

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 133A.

FROM

ROBERT SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

J. D. SANDFORD, ESQUIRE,

Officiating Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces.

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 3RD JUNE, 1865.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt on the 6th April of Mr. James Simson's letters, Nos. 398 and 471, dated respectively the 22nd and 27th February last, submitting the Court's Reports on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces, and on the operations of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra, during the year 1864, and in reply to communicate as follows :—

2. *Administration of Civil Justice.*—There has been a considerable increase in litigation throughout the Provinces as compared with the previous year. The total number of suits instituted exceeds that for any year since 1857, excluding the exceptional year 1861 ; and, if the cases instituted in the Small Cause Courts and in the Kumaon Division be added, there are upwards of 5,000 cases more than were filed in the Civil Courts in 1856.

3. *State of the pending files at the close of the year.*—The explanation of the increased arrears in the Ghazeepore and Goruckpore Courts is sufficient so far as the Judges are concerned, but the Principal Sudder Ameens of these districts have not performed that amount of work which they ought to have done.

The Lieutenant-Governor is glad to find from subsequent Returns that the arrears in the Court of the Agra Judge have been greatly diminished during the first quarter of the present year. The state of Mr. John Power's files is extremely unsatisfactory, but this will form the subject of a separate communication.

4. The large number of cases remanded by the Sudder Court sufficiently accounts for the increase in the number of suits pending for more than a year.

5. *Amount of Civil business disposed of.*—The cases disposed of in the three Small Cause Courts of Agra, Allahabad, and Benares, should be added to the amount of work disposed of by the Civil Courts of those districts as given in paragraph 14 of the Report, in order to show the real amount of Civil business performed ; the district of Agra would then be 5th instead of 10th in the list, and Allahabad and Benares would be 13th and 15th respectively. The Table in paragraph 15, exhibiting the arrangement of districts according to the total number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* during the year, is, however, His Honor thinks, a better criterion of the amount of work done than that given in the preceding paragraph.

6. The percentages of cases decided on their merits to the total number disposed of in each district are, on the whole, satisfactory. *Ex-parte* cases are 2 per cent. less, and cases decided on confession of judgment 1 per cent. greater than last year : the other percentages are the same. The new Registration Law will doubtless diminish the number of cases disposed of on confession of judgment.

7. The very large increase in the number of miscellaneous cases instituted during the year under review, as compared with the previous year, has been caused by the action of Acts XIV. of 1859 and X. of 1861, and is not likely to continue.

8. The Sudder Court are requested to forward, for the information of Government, a copy of the special explanation which they have called for from the Judge of Shahjehanpore regarding the large number of cases of old standing pending on his miscellaneous files.

9. With regard to the remarks of the Court as to the great evil of the present procedure under Section 20, Act XIV. of 1859, whereby decrees of the Civil Court can be kept hanging *in terrorem* over the heads of judgment-debtors for an indefinite number of years, with only nominal attempts to execute them, it appears to the Lieutenant-Governor that the proper remedy would be to define the nature of the "proceedings" to be taken by the decree-holder under that Section so as to ensure their not being merely nominal. Mr. Carnac's proposal to limit the duration of a decree absolutely to three years would unduly favor the fraudulent judgment-debtor.

10. The results generally of appeals under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 disposed of by the Zillah Judges are not favorable; the attention of the Board of Revenue will be called to the subject, and the Court's remarks in their District Resolutions should be communicated by the several Judges to the Collectors.

11. In some instances, however, the Returns are very satisfactory; among the best may be mentioned those of Talamund Pershad and Umjud Hossein in Ghazeepore, who decided respectively 390 and 203 suits, only two per cent. of which were appealed; none of the decisions of the former being reversed, and only one of the latter.

12. The questions raised in paragraphs 38 to 40 of the Report, and having reference to the condition of the Jummabundee or Rent-roll papers, will be considered and disposed of by the Sudder Board of Revenue, whose attention will be directed to the subject.

13. The details given in paragraphs 42 to 46 show that more cases have been prosecuted criminally under the provisions of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170 of Act XXV. of 1861; but the results have not been more successful than in previous years. The difficulties attending the production of sufficient proof in such cases are, however, usually very great.

14. With regard to the average duration of cases in the Courts of the Zillah Judges, the Returns from Furruckabad and Benares are very satisfactory. The Agra Return is very bad indeed, showing an average nearly double that of any other district. The Lieutenant-Governor hopes that the efforts made by Mr. Patterson to reduce his arrears during the first quarter of the present year will not be relaxed, and that a very decided improvement will be shown in the Statements for 1865.

15. The Returns of Mirzapore and Mynpoorie, though more favorable than those for 1863, are still very much too high. The average of Allygurh has increased to six months and eight days, as compared with four months and seven days in 1863, and of this unfavorable feature Mr. Bramly gives no sufficient explanation. The Lieutenant-Governor will expect to see more satisfactory results from these three districts in the Returns for 1865.

16. As to the average duration of cases in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, the Goruckpore Returns are the most unfavorable. As the division of this district has now taken place, and the Civil Courts have been strengthened, great improvement may be looked for.

17. The averages in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs are generally favorable; the only one which appears at all excessive being that of the Sudder Ameen of Ghazeepore, of which no explanation has been given.

18. The Returns of personal attendance of parties to suits in the Courts show satisfactorily that, in original cases, the personal attendance of parties is the rule and non-attendance the exception.

19. The attention paid to the provisions of Sections 243 to 248 of Act VIII. of 1859 appears to the Lieutenant-Governor to be sufficient, and the results are considered satisfactory.

20. Messrs. M. Thornhill and H. S. Reid, the Judges of Meerut, decided the largest number of Civil cases, and as the average duration of suits in their Court was only one month and twenty-two days, and the proportion of appeals from their decisions was small, the results are most creditable to them. It is observed that the largest amount of Civil and Criminal work combined was performed by the Judge of Agra, and this explains in some measure the unfavorable average duration of cases in his Court.

21. The Subordinate Judge most entitled to favorable notice is Hubeeb-ool-lah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Mirzapore, who performed a large amount of work, and whose percentages of cases appealed and decisions modified and reversed are likewise satisfactory. I am also to express the Lieutenant-Governor's satisfaction at the results attained by the other officers commended by the Court in paragraph 70.

22. The large amount of Civil work disposed of by Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of Allahabad, is deserving of approval, and Moonsiffs Ain-ood-deen in Meerut and Jewun Kishen in Moradabad seem also to have done good work.

23. The present state of the files of the Sudder Court reflects the highest credit upon the officers* to whose exertions this most gratifying result is attributable. With the aid of four extra Judges for seven months, the Court has not only doubled but nearly trebled the out-turn of the previous year. The Lieutenant-Governor has no doubt that much of this improvement in the rate of disposing of business may be ascribed to improved arrangements of Translators, &c., which it is to be regretted were not sooner resorted to. Still, the result is mainly due to the able, vigorous, and unremitting labor of the several Judges of the Court, for which the Lieutenant-Governor has much pleasure in recording the warm acknowledgements of the Government. He feels assured that he may rely with confidence upon the continued exertions of the present members of the Court to maintain the existing satisfactory condition of their files.

Mr. A Ross. " W Edwards. " W. Roberts. " F. B. Pearson.	PERMANENT JUDGES.
Mr. J H. Batten. " R. Spankie " C. R Lindsay. " D. Simson	EXTRA JUDGES.

24. The considerable diminution noticed in paragraph 85 in the proportion of remands of special appeals to the Lower Courts is considered satisfactory. The actual number is naturally large, in consequence of the unusually high number of cases decided by the Sudder Court during the year.

25. The Lieutenant-Governor concurs with the Court in considering the results exhibited in the Returns for 1864 to be generally favorable, and His Honor desires me to convey to the Court the thanks of the Government for their exertions towards the improvement of the administration of Civil Justice in these Provinces.

26. *Operations of the Small Cause Courts.*—With reference to paragraph 14 of the Small Cause Report, I am to remark that no cause is assigned for the very small percentage of cases completely executed in the Small Cause Court at Agra. It would have been satisfactory to have received some explanation of this unfavorable result.

27. There is still room for improvement in the Return showing the average duration of suits in the Agra Court. The Returns for the Allahabad and Benares Courts are considered satisfactory.

28. The Court will be separately addressed on the subject of the new Act, XI. of 1865.

29. The Returns of the working of the Small Cause Courts during the year are considered by the Lieutenant-Governor to be on the whole favorable, and His Honor requests that the Sudder Court will convey to the Judges the acknowledgments of Government for the satisfactory manner in which their duties have been performed.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. SIMSON,

Secretary to the Government,

North-Western Provinces.

No. 134A.

ORDERED, that extract, paragraphs 31 to 40, from the Sudder Court's Report, No. 398, dated 22nd February, with extract, paragraphs 10 to 12, from this letter, be forwarded to the Secretary to the Sudder Board of Revenue, for the information and guidance of the Board.

GOVERNMENT OF N.W.P.

REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE,

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

DURING THE YEAR 1865:

INCLUDING THE COURT'S REPORT

ON THE

OPERATION OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS

IN THE SAME YEAR:

WITH THE

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT IN REPLY;

AN APPENDIX OF NUMERICAL RETURNS

ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS

OF THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

DURING THE YEAR 1865:

AND A TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Allahabad:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

1866.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	No. and date.	Page.
Report of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces for the year 1865	No. 738A., dated 22nd March, 1866.	1
Statements Nos. 1, 2, and 3 of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut for five years	...	35
Report of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, for 1865	...	1
General Statements from I. to V. of Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Dehra Dhoon, and Agra, for 1865...	...	6
Civil Statements for the district of Benares ...	...	19
Ditto for the do. of Azimgurh ...	...	13
Ditto for the do. of Allahabad ...	...	54
Ditto for the do. of Furruckabad ...	...	1
Ditto for the do. of Jounpore ...	...	7
Ditto for the do. of Cawnpore ...	...	30
Ditto for the do. of Agra ...	...	65
Ditto for the do. of Ghazeepore ...	...	71
Ditto for the do. of Mirzapore ...	...	42
Ditto for the do. of Allygurh ...	...	60
Ditto for the do. of Goruckpore ...	...	94
Ditto for the do. of Bareilly ...	...	24
Ditto for the do. of Seharunpore ...	...	89
Ditto for the do. of Mynpoorie ...	...	35
Ditto for the do. of Shahjehanpore ...	...	47
Ditto for the do. of Moradabad ...	...	77
Ditto for the do. of Futtehpore ...	...	100
Ditto for the do. of Meerut ...	...	84
Government (North-Western Provinces) reply to Court's Annual Report on the administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during 1865 ...	...	107

ABSTRACT.

Paragraph 1.—Submits Report and usual Returns.

Paragraph 2.—State of regular files.

Paragraph 3.—Exclusive of Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, and the Courts in Kumaon, 68,155 original suits and appeals were instituted in 1865, as compared with 66,732 in 1864.

Paragraph 4.—Inclusive of the above Small Cause Courts and the Courts in Kumaon, the income of regular litigation was Rs. 77,695 in 1865, as contrasted with Rs. 86,319 in 1864.

Paragraph 5.—A decrease of 8,624 in the number of regular suits and appeals instituted in 1865. But there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in the ordinary Civil Courts. The main diminution occurred in Kumaon, where the decrease was 9,926.

This large decrease, entirely of a reactionary character, due to a rush of litigation early in 1865 in Kumaon, before the introduction of Act XIV. of 1859 and the extension to Gurhwal of the Stamp Act in regard to bonds.

PARAGRAPH 6.—A diminution of 121 occurred in the number of suits instituted in the Small Cause Courts, notwithstanding the constitution of a fourth Court at Dehra Dhoon in 1865. Decrease in Agra Small Cause Court said to be due to the Court's ruling in regard to suits on bonds in which real property is hypothecated.

PARAGRAPH 7.—In the ordinary Civil Courts there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in 1865. This increase occurred almost entirely in the number of original suits. Out of eighteen districts there was an increase in eleven, while there was a diminution in the remaining seven.

PARAGRAPH 8.—The increase of litigation said to be due to the operation of Section 17, Act XVI. of 1864, the falling in of the several shorter periods of limitation prescribed by Act XIV. of 1859, and a general increase of trade. In some instances the increase ascribed to the progress of the revision of settlement, the failure of cotton speculations, and the effect of the Court's ruling regarding mortgage liens referred to in paragraph 6.

PARAGRAPH 9.—The decrease in seven districts variously explained. In some districts in the Benares Division, the decrease attributed to high price of food and grain. This reason not considered conclusive in regard to districts where the number of bond debts have increased, notwithstanding the above circumstances. The Court believe the causes to be chiefly of a local nature.

Decrease in Seharunpore due in some measure to establishment of a Small Cause Court at Dehra; and in Jounpore there was a more than usually large number of suits of a particular class in 1864, which caused a diminution in the number of the same class of cases in 1865.

PARAGRAPH 10.—In some districts, the large number of cases instituted at the end of 1864 to save the period of limitation was followed by a corresponding diminution in 1865.

Natural course of litigation disturbed by recent enactments, especially the new Limitation Law.

PARAGRAPH 11.—Of 83,207 cases for disposal, 58,123 cases were decided on trial, and 67,823 finally disposed of; 6,106 being transferred to other Courts, and 9,278 being left pending at the close of the year. This result considered satisfactory, with reference to the increased number of cases decided on trial and finally disposed of.

PARAGRAPH 12.—Comparative state of the pending files at the close of 1864 and 1865.

PARAGRAPH 13.—Results shown in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens least satisfactory. Court however explain why they do not lay undue stress on the results exhibited in this Statement.

Returns of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens very satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 14.—Excluding the Returns of four districts named, where the increase was almost nominal, there was a diminution in eight, and an increase in six of the number of cases pending.

PARAGRAPH 15.—The arrears of pending cases increased in Goruckpore. The Court have expressed dissatisfaction with the small amount of work performed by Usud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen. The amount of work performed by the Judge not large. But durin

portion of the year he was burdened with the additional duties of Commissioner, and had to organize measures in connection with the revision of the judiciary establishments. Expediency of affording additional *temporary* aid under the Court's consideration.

PARAGRAPH 16.—The heavy arrears in Moradabad due in a great measure to the embarrassed state of the files when Mr. Lindsay took charge after the incumbency of Mr. John Power. In the event of special measures being deemed necessary towards lightening the files, a separate reference will be made to Government.

PARAGRAPH 17.—The large number of cases pending in the Ghazeepore district, not due to any want of exertion on the part of the Judge or his subordinates. The appointment of an additional Principal Sudder Ameen for this district urged by the Judge, who has been called upon to report specially on the subject.

PARAGRAPH 18.—Detail of the special orders issued by the Court regarding those districts where the arrears of pending cases have unduly increased considered unnecessary.

PARAGRAPH 19.—A decrease from 113 to 35 in the number of cases pending more than a year, at the close of the year. Of these, 18 were remanded by the Sudder Court towards the end of the year. In seven districts there were no cases of old standing, and in only two districts the number exceeded 4. Result considered to be very satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 20.—Classification of districts according to the number of cases *finally disposed of* in 1865 in all the Civil Courts, inclusive of the Small Cause Courts.

PARAGRAPH 21.—74,107 cases finally disposed of in 1865.

71,609 cases finally disposed of in 1865, being an average of 4,717 regular suits and appeals finally disposed of in each district, as compared with 3,978 per district in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 22.—Classification of districts according to the number of cases decided solely on their merits and *ex-parte*.

PARAGRAPH 23.—Ghazeepore first on the list; Bareilly, Agra, and Moradabad next in order. Shahjehanpore occupies the seventeenth place, though it stood eleventh in the order of districts arranged according to the number of cases finally disposed of. Mirzapore stands at the bottom of the list.

PARAGRAPH 24.—Results of disposal of regular litigation.

PARAGRAPH 25.—

Ex-parte	10	*Per cent.*
Confession	21	
Arbitration	3	
Default	4	
Adjustment	10	
Merits	52	
	100	

PARAGRAPH 26.—Percentage of cases decided *ex-parte* increased by one per cent The proportion (ten per cent.), however, not considered excessive, for the reasons explained.

In some Courts the ratio of these cases explained to be due to the large number of insolvency cases, and of those founded on book or bond debts.

In the four Small Cause Courts, the general average of these cases was eighteen per cent., a ratio nearly double that obtained in the ordinary Civil Courts.

The Court have no reason to apprehend any abuse in the operation of the procedure prescribed in regard to *ex-parte* cases.

PARAGRAPH 27.—Twenty-one per cent. disposed of on confession of judgment, as compared with 22 per cent. in 1864. Parties frequently think it adds to the binding nature of contracts to file a suit regarding it in order to procure execution of judgment. The new Registration Law has not yet had any sensible effect in diminishing the ratio of these cases.

Remarkable that, in the four Small Cause Courts, the number of cases decided on confession of judgment amounted to thirty-two per cent.

PARAGRAPH 28.—For three years the ratio of cases decided by *arbitration* has been three per cent.

In the Small Cause Courts only one per cent. of the cases were thus disposed of.

PARAGRAPH 29.—Four per cent. of the cases were *struck off in default*, the same as in 1864. The proportion of cases *adjusted or withdrawn* (ten per cent.) diminished by two per cent.

PARAGRAPH 30.—The proportion of cases decided *on their merits* improved from fifty to fifty-two per cent. notwithstanding increase of litigation in the Civil Courts. In the Small Cause Courts thirty per cent. were so decided.

PARAGRAPH 31.—Classification of districts (excluding Small Cause Courts) according to percentage of cases decided on *their merits* only.

PARAGRAPH 32.—Proportion of cases decided on their merits range from seventy-six per cent. in Jounpore to thirty-one per cent. in Allahabad, being highest in the four districts of the Benares Division.

PARAGRAPH 33.—State of the miscellaneous files.

PARAGRAPH 34.—1,25,269 miscellaneous cases filed in 1865, as compared with 1,47,986 in 1864; the decrease being the reactionary and natural result of an extraordinary influx of applications for execution of decrees preferred in December, 1864.

PARAGRAPH 35.—Exclusive of cases transferred, 1,47,460 miscellaneous cases were finally disposed of in 1865, as compared with 1,27,906 in 1864; 83,796 cases were decided on their merits, as compared with 86,106 in 1864.

The pending arrears on the miscellaneous files were reduced from 38,443 to 16,624.

PARAGRAPH 36.—The number pending at the close of 1864 was unfavorably affected by the great influx of litigation adverted to in paragraph 34.

PARAGRAPH 37.—The number of cases on the miscellaneous files pending more than a year diminished from 31 to 19, of which seven were pending in Moradabad and six in Futtehpore. Altogether the condition of the miscellaneous files considered very satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 38.—Execution of decrees.

PARAGRAPH 39.—73,360 applications for execution of decrees filed in 1865, as compared with 96,446 in 1864. The cause for the large diminution the same as that given in paragraph 34.

PARAGRAPH 40.—Of 94,834 applications, 21,360 or 23 per cent. were fully executed as compared with 29 per cent. in 1864; and 18,156, or 19 per cent. partially executed, as compared with 21 per cent. in 1864; the large proportion of 58 per cent. having been struck off in default. Explanation of this result.

Special carefulness, however, enjoined on the part of the civil judiciary generally in the performance of this important part of their duties.

Much improvement may be looked for during the current year.

PARAGRAPH 41.—Classification of districts according to the largest proportion of decrees fully executed in each.

PARAGRAPH 42.—Highest ratio (39 per cent.) obtained in Benares; the lowest (sixteen per cent.) in Mynpoorie.

PARAGRAPH 43.—In the small Cause Courts the general percentage of applications fully executed was 28 per cent., and of cases struck off in default 43 per cent.

PARAGRAPH 44.—Acts X., 1859, and XIV., 1863.

APPEALS.

PARAGRAPH 45.—12,530 cases appealable to the Judge, were disposed of by the revenue authorities in 1865, as compared with 15,101 in 1864. Accuracy of these Returns not relied on by the Court.

Cases not appealable to the Judge are still often included in the Return of cases appealable to that officer. In 1864, the Returns of four districts were found to be incorrect.

PARAGRAPH 46.—Assuming the Returns to be correct, the greatest number of cases disposed of in Bareilly, Agra, Mynpoorie, and Shahjehanpore, and the fewest in Mirzapore and Benares. Officers who disposed of the largest number of cases indicated.

PARAGRAPH 47.—Of the appealable cases disposed of 2,739, or 23 per cent., were appealed to Zillah Judges, as compared with 13 per cent. in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 48.—Classification of districts according to the number and proportion of appeals preferred.

PARAGRAPH 49.—Jounpore, which stood at the top of the corresponding list given in last year's Report, is now second in order judged by the *number* of appeals instituted, and fifth in regard to the ratio of such appeals to appealable cases.

In Bareilly, Jounpore, Goruckpore, Shahjehanpore, and Mynpoorie, the number of appeals instituted exceeded 200 in number. While in Benares, Goruckpore, and Ghazeepore, more than forty per cent. of the appealable cases were actually appealed. The ratio (9) of appeals preferred in Agra was very moderate.

PARAGRAPH 50.—Results in respect to proportion of appeals to appealable cases in regard to officers who decided not less than 100 cases during the year.

Proportion of cases appealed remarkably small in the cases of Mr. Twigg, Syud Mahomed, Mr. Clarke, Irshad Ali, Mahomed Kurreem, Mr. Henvey, Dhunput Rae, Bukhtawur Singh, and Sullaood-deen.

In the cases of Tallmun Pershad, Pragdutt, Rajah Jye Kishen, and Ali Hussun, the percentage was very high.

PARAGRAPH 51.—Of 2,842 cases of appeal disposed of by the Zillah Judges, 1,490 decisions were confirmed, and 890, or 31 per cent., reversed or modified, as compared with 43 per cent. in 1864. The improvement satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 52.—Most favorable results obtained by the revenue authorities in Allahabad, Mirzapore, and Meerut.

Results very unfavorable in Furruckabad, Futtehpore, and Azimgurh.

PARAGRAPH 53.—Returns of those officers of whose decisions the greatest and least percentages of reversals or modifications obtained, the cases being omitted in which the number of appeals disposed of do not exceed ten.

Result most creditable to the judgment of Mr. Morgan. In the cases of Messrs. Sells and Tyrrell, out of thirteen and twelve appeals respectively disposed of, only one was interfered with in appeal. The percentages obtained by Mehdie-ool-zuman, Mr. Walker, Ram Pertab, Vikar Ali Beg, and Umjud Ali also very favorable. The least favorable results obtained by Kunnoo Lall, Mr. Wilson, Ameer Ali, and Buldeo Buksh.

PARAGRAPH 54.—Quotation of Mr. Vansittart's remarks in reference to appeals under Act X. of 1850.

PARAGRAPH 55.—Procedure observed by the revenue authorities in their decision of cases. Reference to the practice adopted by Mr. Spankie of recording a memorandum in each case of appeal of instances of neglect.

Quotation of Mr. Spankie's remarks on the subject.

PARAGRAPH 56.—Extract of Mr. Spankie's remarks circulated to all Zillah Judges, directing their attention to the advisability of keeping up a record of irregularities which should be expressly noted for future guidance.

Extracts of the Court's remarks on the operation of the appellate jurisdiction under these Acts communicated to the Board of Revenue.

PARAGRAPH 57.—Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

PARAGRAPH 58.—Twenty-four prosecutions under Section 24 were made, and forty-seven persons committed for trial, of whom twenty-one convicted in five districts, and nineteen acquitted. In 1864, out of thirteen cases, in which fifty persons were committed, fifteen were convicted and twenty-seven acquitted. Results of 1865 more favorable.

PARAGRAPH 59.—Thirty-two cases were prosecuted criminally, and 53 persons committed to the Sessions under Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861, of whom twenty-one were convicted, and thirty-eight acquitted. The same number of persons were respectively convicted and acquitted in 1864, although the number of cases committed for trial in 1864, was fifty-eight.

PARAGRAPH 60.—Under Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861, Criminal prosecutions were instituted, and seventy-eight persons committed for trial; of those tried, ten were convicted, and fifty-one acquitted. This last result not satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 61.—Difficulties attending the successful prosecution of cases under these punitive Sections.

PARAGRAPHS 62 to 64.—Average duration of suits.

PARAGRAPH 65.—Return of Allygurh Judgeship (eight months, three days) also very unfavorable; explanation of the Judge not considered altogether satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 66.—Unfavorable average in Shahjehanpore (seven months, seventeen days) explained to be due to old cases on the file of the late Judge when Mr. Thompson took charge.

PARAGRAPH 67.—Cause of high average duration in Goruckpore sufficiently obvious.

PARAGRAPH 68.— Special orders have been passed by the Court in regard to districts in which the averages are high.

PARAGRAPH 69.—Separate averages prepared for the first time showing the duration of appeals decided under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863.

General average of these cases was three months and twenty-four days.

Averages of Moradabad, Jounpore, and Shahjehanpore, exceedingly high.

PARAGRAPH 70.—In the Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts the average was two months and seventeen days, as compared with two months and four days in 1864.

The above ratio was owing to the exceptionally long average shown in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore.

Improvement expected in this respect during the current year, with reference to the appointment of a Principal Sudder Ameen at Bustee.

PARAGRAPH 71.—Suitable orders passed in other cases where the averages were high.

The average duration of the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens of Cawnpore, Mynpoorie, Allahabad, and Benares, remarkably favorable.

PARAGRAPH 72.—In the Courts of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens, the results in this respect very satisfactory.

General average in the Sudder Courts of the Sudder Ameens diminished from twenty-seven to twenty days, and in those of the Moonsiffs from twenty-seven to twenty-six days.

In a few instances the high averages have been specially noticed.

General results apparently highly satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 73.—Personal attendance of parties to suits.

PARAGRAPH 74.—Of 67,823 suits and appeals disposed of during the year, in 34,218 both parties were present. Proportion slightly more favorable than the corresponding one of 1864.

PARAGRAPH 75.—Of the other cases decided, the defendants only appeared in 10,827, and the plaintiffs alone in 9,956; in 12,822 cases neither of the parties were present in Court. In other words, eight-two per cent. of the parties were present when their cases were decided.

This result very satisfactory with reference to the number of cases struck off.

PARAGRAPH 76.—Sections 243, 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

PARAGRAPH 77.—Under Section 243, ten villages and 142 shares of villages belonging to judgment-debtors were temporarily leased or mortgaged at the instance of the Civil Courts in execution of decrees.

Such alienations most numerous in Goruckpore, Azimgurh, and Ghazeepore.

PARAGRAPH 78.—Under Section 244, one entire village and 211 shares were alienated temporarily at the instance of the Collectors.

Such alienations most numerous in Bareilly, Goruckpore, Agra, and Mynpoorie.

PARAGRAPH 79.—In all, the number of temporary alienations were only about one-half as numerous as in 1864, and there was no sensible increase in the number of sales in execution of decrees in 1865.

Twenty-five entire villages and 1,450 shares were sold in 1865, as compared with thirty-five villages and 1,804 shares in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 80.—Number of sales effected during the year not considered excessive.

PARAGRAPH 81.—Imprisonment in execution of decrees of Court.

PARAGRAPH 82.—586 debtors were imprisoned as compared with 473 in 1864.

The value of the decrees for which these debtors were imprisoned was Rs. 417,672, contrasted with Rs. 148,111 in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 83.—Classification of districts according to greater or less amount of work performed by the Zillah Judges.

PARAGRAPH 84.—Mr. Paterson, Judge of Agra, disposed of the largest amount of civil and criminal work. This officer specially commended by the Court for his exertions in clearing off his arrears.

The Judges of Azimgurh, Mynpoorie, and Futtehpore, also disposed of a large quantity of work.

PARAGRAPH 85.—The amount of work performed in the Judgeships of Moradabad, Seharunpore, and Mirzapore, not large.

PARAGRAPH 86.—The Zillah Judges disposed of 158 original suits, as compared with 164 in 1864. This number not large with reference to the increasing number of cases in which either the parties or the documents are English.

PARAGRAPH 87.—Number of appeals preferred to the Court from the decisions of the Zillah Judges and their results.

PARAGRAPH 88.—Twenty-seven per cent. of the decisions of Zillah Judges were appealed to the Court during 1865, as contrasted with forty-four per cent. in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 89.—Excluding the Returns of the Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the lowest percentages of appeals to cases decided were those of Messrs. Spankie, Best, F. Thompson, and Fane.

The percentage of cases appealed from Messrs. Paterson's decisions, 18 per cent., was also remarkably moderate.

Highest averages were those of Messrs. Sapte, Beldero, and Brandy.

PARAGRAPH 90.—Of 1,066 appeals disposed of, the Court confirmed the decisions of Zillah Judges in 593, and reversed those in 401, or thirty-eight per cent.: 72 were otherwise disposed of.

Proportion of cases reversed not so favorable as in 1864, when it was 33 per cent.

PARAGRAPH 91.—Most favorable results obtained by Messrs. Best, Becher, and Bramly. Least favorable by Messrs. Vansittart and Lushington.

PARAGRAPH 92.—In some respects the above results not conclusive tests of judicial efficiency.

PARAGRAPH 93.—Messrs. Spankie and Turnbull deserving of special commendation, and after them Messrs. Thornhill and Lindsay named as efficient officers.

Decisions of Mr. Fane also specially noticed.

PARAGRAPH 94.—Statement showing comparative number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* by the several Principal Sudder Ameens.

PARAGRAPH 95.—Mr. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares, disposed of the largest amount of work.

Next to Mr. Smith, Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, and Rae Soondur Lall, performed a large amount of judicial business.

Mahomed Buksh and Mr. Smith similarly distinguished themselves in 1864.

PARAGRAPH 96.—Ratio of appeals preferred to appealable cases, and of decisions reversed or modified to appeals tried, from the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

PARAGRAPH 97.—Thirty-one per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens appealed to higher authority, as compared with thirty-seven per cent. in 1864.

Best results were those of Kanjee Sahay, Principal Sudder Ameen of Ghazeepore; Kasim Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly; and Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad.

PARAGRAPH 98.—Thirty-six per cent. of the Principal Sudder Ameen's decisions were reversed or modified, as compared with twenty-nine per cent. in 1864.

Most favorable results obtained by Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Syud Pygumber Buksh, and Khoorsheid Ally Khan.

Least good percentages were those of Mahomed Hussun Khan and Rai Kanjee Sahay.

PARAGRAPH 99.—Special favorable mention of Syud Ahmed Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Allygurh.

PARAGRAPH 100.—Classification of districts according to amount of work performed by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

PARAGRAPH 101.—This Statement contrasts favorably with that of last year.

Allahabad stands at the head of the list in 1864 and 1865. In 1865 eighty-five cases per mensem were disposed of, as compared with sixty-eight per mensem in 1864.

Abdool Qyoom pre-eminently distinguished himself in each year.

PARAGRAPH 102.—Result of appeals from the orders of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

PARAGRAPH 103.—Thirty-six per cent. of the Sudder Ameens' appealable decisions were appealed, as compared with forty per cent. in 1864. Twenty-five per cent. of the Moonsiffs' decisions were appealed, as compared with 27 per cent. in the previous year.

PARAGRAPH 104.—Thirty-three per cent. of the Sudder Ameens' decisions were interfered with, as compared with 30 per cent. in 1864.

Thirty-one per cent. of the Moonsiffs' decisions were reversed or modified. These results not unfavorable.

PARAGRAPH 105.—Nature of original suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the North-Western Provinces.

PARAGRAPH 106.—Of 57,033 original suits, sixty-six per cent. were for debts on bond, as compared with sixty-four per cent. in 1864. Nine per cent. for real property as compared with ten per cent. in 1864. The remaining 14,297 suits were claims of other descriptions.

PARAGRAPH 107.—Same results observable in Benares as in former years in regard to suits for bond debts. Suits for real property predominate in Goruckpore and Azimgurh.

PARAGRAPH 108.—*Muzkooree and Tullubana Returns.* Separate report on the subject will be submitted.

PARAGRAPH 109.—Inclusive of penalties, the value of Stamps filed amounted to Rs. 916,290-6-0, from which Rs. 19,101-12-0 were refunded, leaving a net balance of Rs. 897,188-10-0 at the credit of Government, as compared with Rs. 865,724-5-0 at the end of 1864.

Increase satisfactory.

PARAGRAPH 110.—The cost of salaries and establishments of Zillah and Subordinate Judges' Courts amounted to Rs. 1,015,196-12-7.

The net cost to Government of the civil judiciary in the North-Western Provinces was therefore Rs. 118,008-2-2, exclusive of the Sudder Court.

In Meerut, Agra, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore, the cost of the Courts was more than covered by the income of Stamps.

PARAGRAPH 111.—Value of Stamps filed in the Sudder Court was Rs. 92,589-8-0, from which Rs. 10,031 were deducted for Razeenamahs, leaving a net total of Rs. 82,558-8-0.

PARAGRAPH 112.—Total expenditure connected with the Court was Rs. 290,041-0-4, the net cost to Government was therefore Rs. 207,482-8-4.

PARAGRAPH 113.—In 1865, 67,823 original suits and appeals, representing an aggregate value of Rs. 25,288,320, and involving costs to the amount of Rs. 2,104,563, were disposed of.

Average value of each suit was Rs. 373, and the average cost Rs. 31; the percentage of cost to value being Rs. 8.

PARAGRAPH 114.—Tabulated Statement showing the above results.

PARAGRAPH 115.—Sudder Court's files.

PARAGRAPH 116.—Regular Appeals.

PARAGRAPH 117.—Special Appeals.

PARAGRAPH 118.—Explanation of the increase in the pending files of the Court.

PARAGRAPH 119.—Mr. Ross unable from illness to take a large share of the purely judicial business.

PARAGRAPH 120.—Mr. Roberts was on leave during two months of the year, and was occupied one month as President of the Committee for the examination of Assistants.

PARAGRAPH 121.—Results of appeals preferred to the Sudder Court.

PARAGRAPH 122.—Decisions in 66 regular appeals confirmed.

In 2 dismissed on default.
2 adjusted or withdrawn.
15 reversed.
4 remanded; and
29 modified.

118 total disposed of.

PARAGRAPH 123.—Special Appeals.

Decisions in 843 confirmed.
117 dismissed on default.
16 adjusted or withdrawn.
152 reversed.
305 remanded.
39 amended.

Total, 1,472; thirty-four per cent. being reversed, modified, and remanded.

PARAGRAPH 124.—System of employing Ameens on fixed salaries.

PARAGRAPH 125.—Except in Goruckpore, where a slight deficit occurred, there was a substantial gain to Government amounting to Rs. 32,141-11-10.

PARAGRAPH 126.—On the whole, the results satisfactory and creditable to the European and Native judiciary of the North-Western Provinces.

PARAGRAPH 127.—Statements A. to K., and copies of the Court's replies to the Zillah Judges' Reports, also submitted.

REPORT

OF THE

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT,

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

IN THE YEAR 1865.

No. 738A. OF 1866.

FROM

JAMES SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register to the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

ROBERT SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government of the

North-Western Provinces,

Allahabad.

DATED AGRA, THE 22ND MARCH, 1866.

S. D. A., N. W. P.

Present:
W. ROBERTS, *Esquire,*
F. B. PEARSON, *Esquire,*
Judges.
R. STANKIE, *Esquire,*
G. D. TURNBULL, *Esquire,*
Offg. Judges.

SIR,

I AM desired to submit, for the information of Government, the Court's Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during the year 1865, with the usual figured Statements.

Submits Report and usual Returns.

2. A general view of the numerical results of civil litigation in the ordinary Courts of these Provinces during the past year, as exhibited in the Return of *Regular* Suits and Appeals instituted and disposed of during the year and those pending at the close of it, is given below, together with the corresponding results of the previous year :—

State of Regular files.

Zillah.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Seharunpore ...	4,268	3,553	3,121	4,396	2,597	3,584	...	1	446	429
Meerut ...	4,517	4,072	3,579	4,457	3,081	3,722	3	1	415	556
Allygurh...	4,298	4,226	3,587	4,206	3,560	4,137	...	1	522	610
Moradabad ...	6,239	6,145	4,558	5,631	4,770	5,810	1	4	762	1,397
Bareilly ...	5,548	5,799	4,910	5,886	5,121	5,878	...	...	595	534
Shahjehanpore,	3,298	4,068	2,768	3,258	3,275	3,815	18	8	393	643
Agra ...	3,706	3,709	3,077	3,747	3,515	4,093	13	...	651	268
Furruckabad ...	4,885	5,152	3,962	4,655	4,558	5,282	17	...	420	290
Mynpoorie ...	4,074	3,922	3,602	4,135	3,611	4,071	1	2	404	255
Cawnpore ...	2,277	2,669	2,105	2,386	2,365	2,680	...	...	166	173
Futtehpore ...	3,112	3,265	2,581	3,024	2,793	3,237	8	2	337	370
Allahabad ...	2,247	2,743	1,939	2,198	2,358	2,627	6	...	209	320
Goruckpore ...	4,526	4,543	3,760	4,128	3,780	4,177	11	11	914	1,278
Azimgurh ...	3,473	3,227	2,702	3,319	2,775	3,430	5	1	638	432
Jounpore ...	2,774	2,559	2,327	2,579	2,360	2,634	22	3	594	520
Mirzapore ...	2,244	2,359	1,784	2,155	2,010	2,359	...	...	464	465
Benares ...	719	962	636	701	848	951	1	...	78	87
Ghazeepore ...	4,527	5,182	3,552	4,009	4,796	5,336	7	1	1,104	951
Total ...	66,732	68,155	54,845	65,337	58,123	67,823	113	35	9,112	9,278
Increase ...	...	1,423	...	...	3,278	2,486	...	...	...	166
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	78	...	...

Exclusive of Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, and the Courts in Kumaon, 68,155 original suits and appeals were instituted in 1865, as compared with 66,732 in 1864.

3. Exclusive of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, and of the Courts in the province of Kumaon, 57,372 original suits and 10,783 appeals were instituted in the year 1865, as compared with 56,383 original suits and 10,349 appeals in 1864; being an aggregate total of 68,155 suits and appeals instituted in 1865, contrasted with 66,732 in 1864.

Inclusive of the above Small Cause Courts and the Courts in Kumaon, the income of regular litigation was 77,695 in 1865, as contrasted with 86,319 in 1864.

4. Inclusive of the suits instituted in the Small Cause Courts and in the province of Kumaon, the total income of regular litigation, original and appellate, amounted to 77,695 in 1865, as compared with 86,319 in 1864.

A decrease of 8,624 in the number of regular suits and appeals instituted in 1865. But there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in the ordinary Civil Courts. The main diminution occurred in Kumaon, where the decrease was 9,926.

This large decrease, entirely of a reactionary character, due to a rush of litigation early in 1865 in Kumaon, before the introduction of Act XIV. of 1859 and the extension to Gurhwal of the Stamp Act in regard to bonds.

5. It would appear from the above that there was a decrease of 8,624 in the number of regular suits and appeals instituted in the North-Western Provinces in the year under report. But, as already observed, there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in the ordinary Civil Courts of these Provinces. The main portion of the diminution occurred in the province of Kumaon, in which alone the decrease was 9,926. This remarkable decrease was entirely of a reactionary character, being solely due to a rush of litigation which occurred in Kumaon in the early months of 1865, previous to the introduction of the Limitation Act (XIV. of 1859) on the 20th March of that year, and the extension to Gurhwal of the Stamp Act in regard to bonds.

A diminution of 121 occurred in the number of suits instituted in the Small Cause Courts, notwithstanding the constitution of a fourth Court at Dehra.

6. In the Courts of Small Causes there was a slight diminution of 121 in the number of suits instituted, notwithstanding the constitution of a fourth Court of this description at Dehra in the beginning of the year. One of the Judges, Mr. Berkeley, attributes the decrease which occurred in his Court to be in some measure due to

the Sudder Court's ruling that a mortgagee who sues on a bond in the Small Cause Court is held *ipso facto* to waive his lien on the real property mortgaged, as a suit to maintain such lien would not be cognizable in the Small Cause Courts.

Dheon in 1865. Decrease in Agra Small Cause Court said to be due to the Court's ruling in regard to suits on bonds in which real property is hypothecated.

7. Turning to the ordinary Civil Courts, in which there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in the year under review, it is observable that this increase had reference almost entirely to *original* litigation, the number of appeals in 1864 and 1865 respectively* being almost identical. In 11 out of 18 districts there was an increase; while in the remaining 7 districts there was a diminution.

* 1864 10,349
1865 10,783

In the ordinary Civil Courts there was an increase of 1,423 suits and appeals in 1865. This increase occurred almost entirely in the number of original suits. Out of 18 districts there was an increase in 11, while there was a diminution in the remaining 7.

8. Among the causes which have been assigned for the increase of litigation may be mentioned the operation of Section 17, Act XVI. of 1864, which, in the opinion of many suitors, necessitated action being taken before 31st December, 1865, in regard to instruments executed *before* the date on which the Registration Act came into force,—the falling in of several of the shorter periods of limitation prescribed by Act XIV. of 1859, and a general increase of trade throughout the country. To these causes, which are of general application, may be added one or two local circumstances (such as the progress of the revision of settlement, the failure of cotton speculations, and the effect of the Court's ruling regarding mortgage liens, already adverted to) which have contributed to the same result.

The increase of litigation said to be due to the operation of Section 17, Act XVI. of 1864, the falling in of the several shorter periods of limitation prescribed by Act XIV. of 1859, and a general increase of trade. In some instances the increase ascribed to the progress of the revision of settlement, the failure of cotton speculations, and the effect of the Court's ruling regarding mortgage liens referred to in paragraph 6.

9. The decrease of litigation which occurred in seven districts has been variously explained. In some districts of the Benares Division, it has been attributed to the high price of food and grain which prevailed during a large portion of the year. But this reason cannot be accepted as conclusive in those districts where the number of bond debts has increased notwithstanding the prevalence of famine prices; and the Court are inclined to believe that the causes of the diminution were chiefly of a local nature.

The decrease in 7 districts variously explained. In some districts in the Benares Division, the decrease attributed to high price of food and grain. This reason not considered conclusive in regard to districts where the number of bond debts have increased notwithstanding the above circumstances. The Court believe the causes to be chiefly of a local nature.

Thus, in the Seharunpore district, the decrease was in some measure the result of the establishment of a Small Cause Court at Dehra; and in Jounpore there was a more than usually large number of suits of a particular class in the previous year,—a circumstance which gave rise to a corresponding diminution in the income of this description of cases in 1865.

Decrease in Seharunpore due in some measure to establishment of a Small Cause Court at Dehra; and in Jounpore there was a more than usually large number of suits of a particular class in 1864, which caused a diminution in the number of the same class of cases in 1865.

10. In more districts than one, the large influx of cases instituted at the close of 1864 to save the period of limitation prescribed by Act XIV. of 1859 was followed by a corresponding diminution in the year under review; and here the Court would observe generally that recent enactments, especially the new Limitation Law, have had the effect of materially disturbing the natural course of civil litigation, and of rendering less valuable than they would otherwise have been, for purposes of comparison, the statistics of judicial administration.

In some districts the large number of cases instituted at the end of 1864 to save the period of limitation was followed by a corresponding diminution in 1865.

Natural course of litigation disturbed by recent enactments, especially the new Limitation Law.

11. Of 83,207 cases for disposal in the ordinary Civil Courts during the past year, 58,123 cases were decided on trial and 67,823 finally disposed of, 6,106 cases being transferred to other Courts,—leaving 9,278 cases pending on the files at the close of 1865, as compared with 9,112 at the end of 1864. As there was an increase of 3,278 cases decided on trial and 2,486 finally disposed of in 1865, as contrasted with the Returns of 1864, this result is considered satisfactory.

Of 83,207 cases for disposal, 58,123 cases were decided on trial and 67,823 finally disposed of, 6,106 being transferred to other Courts, and 9,278 being left pending at the close of the year. This result considered satisfactory with reference to the increased number of cases decided on trial and finally disposed of.

12. In the next table is exhibited a comparative view of the condition of the pending files of each *grade* of Civil Courts in these Provinces at the close of the years 1864 and 1865, as calculated by the time which would be required to clear the files at the rate of working obtained in each year.

Comparative state of the pending files at the close of 1864 and 1865.

Number.	Court.	*Time necessary to clear the files of cases pending at the close of*			
		1864.		1865.	
		Months.	Days.	Months.	Days.
1	Judges' ...	2	23	2	9
2	Principal Sudder Ameens',	2	24	3	14
3	Sudder Ameens' ...	1	13	0	26
4	Moonsiffs' ...	1	1	0	28

Results shown in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens least satisfactory. Court however explain why they do not lay undue stress on the results exhibited in this Statement.

13. In this Statement, the results shown in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens are the least satisfactory, the average time necessary to clear their files having increased from 2 months 24 days in 1864 to 3 months 14 days in 1865. But the Court do not lay undue stress on the results of this Statement *per se*, as cases are sometimes transferred to the files of these officers towards the close of the year; and a better test of their efficiency is to be found in the actual amount of work disposed of by them, the average duration of the cases actually decided, and the *quality* of their work as evidenced by the result of appeals from their decisions.

Returns of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens very satisfactory.

The Returns of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens, however, are subject to no such qualifying remarks, and must be considered to be very satisfactory.

Excluding the Returns of 4 districts named, where the increase was almost nominal, there was a diminution in 8 and an increase in 6 of the number of cases pending.

14. Excluding the Returns of four districts,* in which the increase was almost nominal, there was a diminution in eight districts and an increase in six of the number of cases pending on the files at the close of the year, as compared with the number of cases similarly pending at the end of 1864.

* Cawnpore.
Futtehpore.
Mirzapore.
Benares.

Arrears of pending cases increased in Goruckpore. The Court have expressed dissatisfaction with the small amount of work performed by Ussud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen. The amount of work performed by the Judge not large. But during portion of the year he was burdened with the additional duties of Commissioner, and had to organize measures in connection with the revision of the Judiciary Establishments. Expediency of affording additional *temporary* aid under the Court's consideration.

15. The arrears of pending cases in the Goruckpore Judgeship have increased from 914 to 1,278, and the Court, in their reply to the Judge's Annual Report on the civil administration of this district, have expressed dissatisfaction with the comparatively small amount of work performed by Ussud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen. The amount of work performed by the Judge himself was not large. But during a portion of the year Mr. Lindsay was burdened with the additional duties of Commissioner, and had to organize measures connected with the revision of the Judiciary Establishments. The Court have under consideration the expediency of recommending the temporary deputation of an additional officer to aid in clearing off the arrears which have accrued in this district.

Eventually, however, they are sanguine that the Judiciary Establishments recently sanctioned by the Supreme Government will be sufficient for the requirements of this important district.

The heavy arrears in Moradabad due in a great measure to the embarrassed state of the files, when Mr. Lindsay took charge after the incumbency of Mr. John Power. In the event of special measures being deemed necessary towards lightening the files, a separate reference will be made to Government.

16. The heavy arrears (1,097 cases) existing in the Moradabad district were due in a great measure to the embarrassed state of the files when the present Judge, Mr. Lindsay, took charge of the Judgeship after the incumbency of Mr. John Power, under whose administration the arrears had continued steadily to increase. The Judgeship was vacant for nearly three months before Mr. Lindsay assumed charge of his duties.

The Court have addressed the Government separately in regard to the administration of Mr. Power in the district to which he was transferred in July last, and another communication will be made in the event of special measures being deemed necessary towards lightening the files of the Moradabad Judgeship.

17. The number of cases pending on the files of the Civil Courts of the Ghazeepore district at the close of the year was also very great. This result was not however due to any want of exertion on the part of the Judge or his subordinates, who disposed of a large quantity of work during the year. The matter has already been reported to Government, by whose sanction Shama Churn Banerjee was specially deputed to clear off the arrears pending on the Principal Sudder Ameen's file; and the Court are glad to say that, on the 20th March, these arrears had been reduced to 162.

The large number of cases pending in the Ghazeepore district not due to any want of exertion on the part of the Judge or his subordinates. The appointment of an additional Principal Sudder Ameen for this district urged by the Judge, who has been called upon to report specially on subject.

In his Annual Report, the Judge has expressed his opinion that the addition of a second Principal Sudder Ameen is permanently required for this district, and Mr. Lushington has been desired to report specifically on the subject. The Court will hereafter acquaint Government with the result of this reference.

18. The Court think it unnecessary to detail here the special orders which have been passed by them regarding those districts in which the arrears of pending cases have unduly increased.

Detail of the special orders issued by the Court regarding those districts where the arrears of pending cases have unduly increased considered unnecessary.

19. There was a decrease from 113 to 35 in the number of cases which had been pending more than a year at the close of the year under report, as compared with the number similarly pending at the end of 1864. Of the 35 old cases thus pending, 18 had been remanded by the Sudder Court towards the close of the year. In seven districts there were, however, no cases of old standing on the files, and in only two districts did the number exceed 4.

The Court consider this result to be very satisfactory. They have passed suitable orders in all the cases under notice.

A decrease from 113 to 35 in the number of cases pending more than a year at the close of the year. Of these, 18 were remanded by the Sudder Court towards the end of the year. In 7 districts there were no cases of old standing, and in only 2 districts the number exceeded 4. Result considered to be very satisfactory.

20. The following Statement shows the districts of these Provinces arranged in order according to the number of cases *finally disposed of* in all the Civil Courts, inclusive of Courts of Small Causes, during the year under review:—

Classification of districts according to the number of cases *finally disposed of* in 1865 in all the Civil Courts, inclusive of the Small Cause Courts.

No.	Zillah.	Total number of cases finally disposed of during the year 1865, exclusive of transferred cases.
1	Bareilly	5,878
2	Agra	5,830
3	Moradabad	5,810
4	Ghazeepore	5,336
5	Furruckabad	5,282
6	Allahabad	4,448
7	Goruckpore	4,177
8	Allygurh	4,137
9	Mynpoorie	4,071
10	Seharunpore	3,952
11	Shahjehanpore	3,815
12	Meerut	3,722
13	Azimgurh	3,430
14	Benares	3,309
15	Futtehpore	3,287
16	Cawnpore	2,680
17	Jounpore	2,634
18	Mirzapore	2,359
	Total	74,107
	General Average	4,117

21. Altogether, 74,107 cases were finally disposed of in 1865, as compared with 71,609 in the previous year,—being an average of 4,117 regular suits and appeals finally disposed of in each district, as contrasted with an average of 3,978 per district in 1864.

74,107 cases finally disposed of in 1865.

71,609 cases finally disposed of in 1864, being an average of 4,117 regular suits and appeals finally disposed of in each district, as compared with 3,978 per district in 1864.

The district of Bareilly stands at the head of the list. Moradabad, which was first last year, is now third. Ghazeepore has risen from tenth to fourth, and Agra from fifth to second. In both years the districts of Mirzapore, Jounpore, and Cawnpore, were the last three on the list.

Classification of districts according to the number of cases decided solely on their merits and *ex-parte*.

22. Judging, however, by the number of cases decided solely on their merits and *ex-parte*, the following would be the arrangement of the districts,—cases disposed of by the Small Cause Courts being taken into account :—

No.	Zillah.	Number of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte*.
1	Ghazeepore ...	4,193
2	Bareilly ...	3,642
3	Agra ...	3,283
4	Moradabad ...	3,161
5	Furruckabad	3,122
6	Allygurh ...	2,760
7	Azimgurh ...	2,533
8	Goruckpore ...	2,478
9	Allahabad ...	2,455
10	Mynpoorie ...	2,356
11	Jounpore ...	2,198
12	Meerut ...	2,089
13	Benares ...	2,054
14	Cawnpore ...	1,856
15	Seharunpore	1,825
16	Futtehpore ...	1,806
17	Shahjehanpore	1,747
18	Mirzapore ...	1 371
	Total ...	44,929
	Average of 18 Zillahs ...	2,496

Ghazeepore first on the list; Bareilly, Agra and Moradabad next in order. Shahjehanpore occupies the 17th place, though it stood 11th in the order of districts arranged according to the number of cases finally disposed of. Mirzapore stands at the bottom of the list.

23. Here the district of Ghazeepore, which was third on the former list, is now first; Bareilly, Agra, and Moradabad, following next in order as in the first list. It is noticeable that Shahjehanpore only occupies the seventeenth place in respect to the number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*, though it stood eleventh in the order of districts arranged according to the total number of cases finally disposed of.

Mirzapore stands at the bottom of each list.

Results of disposal of regular litigation.

24. The regular litigation, which came before the ordinary Civil Courts in these Provinces, was disposed of as shown in the next Statement :—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Zillah.	*Total number of cases disposed of during* 1865.									
	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Average of Moonsiffs' Courts.	Remarks.
Seharunpore	341	776	222	132	855	1,258	3,584	35·	22·	
Meerut	108	858	84	143	548	1,981	3,722	53.	46·	
Allygurh	625	738	62	140	437	2,135	4,137	52·	42·	
Moradabad	600	1,415	194	202	838	2,561	5,810	44·	37·	
Bareilly	917	1,415	64	262	495	2,725	5,878	46·	37·	
Shahjehanpore	223	1,442	86	182	358	1,524	3,815	40·	30·	
Agra	416	744	85	256	322	2,270	4,093	55·	39·	
Furruckabad	716	1,256	180	221	503	2,406	5,282	46·	39·	
Mynpoorie	412	996	259	173	287	1,944	4,071	48·	36·	
Cawnpore	284	498	11	115	200	1,572	2,680	59·	52·	
Futtehpore	214	919	68	138	306	1,592	3,237	49·	40·	
Allahabad	555	676	108	65	204	1,019	2,627	31·	39·	
Goruckpore	328	1,158	144	188	209	2 150	4,177	51·	43·	
Azimgurh	191	208	34	145	510	2,342	3,420	68·	57·	
Jounpore	180	140	22	128	146	2,018	2,634	76·	73·	
Mirzapore	271	545	94	183	166	1,100	2,359	47·	36·	
Benares	130	70	9	34	69	639	951	67·	...	
Ghazeepore	434	470	133	98	442	3,759	5,336	70·	63·	
Total ...	6,945	14,324	1,859	2,895	6,895	34,995	67,823	52·	43·	

25. Ten per cent. of the cases disposed of were decided *ex-parte ;* 21 per cent. on confession of judgment; 3 per cent. by arbitration ; 4 per cent. dismissed on default ; 10 per cent. adjusted or withdrawn ; and 52 per cent. decided on their merits.

	Per cent.
Ex-parte	10
Confession	21
Arbitration	3
Default	4
Adjustment	10
Merits	52
	100

26. The percentage of cases decided *ex-parte* has increased from 9 to 10 per cent. But the Court do not consider this proportion to be excessive, as suits of this nature are for the most part undefended, with the view of lightening the burden of the costs chargeable on *bonâ fide* claims.

Percentage of cases decided *ex-parte* increased by 1 per cent. The proportion (10 per cent.), however, not considered excessive, for the reasons explained.

In some Courts, such as that of the Benares district, the high ratio of these cases is explained to be due to the large number of insolvency cases, and of those founded on book or bond debts, and the Court have no doubt that, in districts where suits of the latter description are numerous, the proportion of suits decided *ex-parte* is correspondingly high. Thus, they remark that, in the four Small Cause Courts, the general average of cases decided *ex-parte* was 18 per cent.,—a ratio nearly double that obtained in the ordinary civil tribunals of the North-Western Provinces. On the whole, the Court have no reason to apprehend the prevalence of any abuses in the operation of the procedure prescribed by the law in regard to *ex-parte* cases.

In some Courts the ratio of these cases explained to be due to the large number of insolvency cases, and of those founded on book or bond debts.

In the four Small Cause Courts, the general average of these cases was 18 per cent., a ratio nearly double that obtained in the ordinary Civil Courts.

The Court have no reason to apprehend any abuse in the operation of the procedure prescribed in regard to *ex-parte* cases.

27. Twenty-one per cent. of the cases were disposed of on confession of judgment, as compared with 22 per cent. in the previous year. The Court have in former Reports expressed their opinion that the parties frequently think it adds to the binding nature of a contract to file a suit regarding it, with the view of procuring execution of judgment; and they observe that the new Law of Registration has come too recently into operation to have had as yet any sensible effect in diminishing the ratio of these cases.

21 per cent. disposed of on confession of judgment, as compared with 22 per cent. in 1864. Parties frequently think it adds to the binding nature of contracts to file a suit regarding it, in order to procure execution of judgment. The new Registration Law has not yet had any sensible effect in diminishing the ratio of these cases.

It is worthy of remark that, in the four Courts of Small Causes, where the number of petty bond and book debts preponderated, the proportion of suits decided on confession of judgment amounted to 32 per cent.

Remarkable that, in the four Small Cause Courts, the number of cases decided on confession of judgment amounted to 32 per cent.

28. The percentage of cases disposed of by arbitration (3 per cent.) has not varied for three years. In the Small Cause Courts little recourse is had to this method of adjudication, no more than 1 per cent. of the cases having been thus disposed of.

For 3 years the ratio of cases decided by *arbitration* has been 3 per cent.

In the Small Cause Courts only 1 per cent. of the cases were thus disposed of.

29. The proportion of cases struck off in default (4 per cent.) was the same as in 1864 ; that of cases adjusted or withdrawn (10 per cent.) having diminished by 2 per cent.

4 per cent. of the cases were *struck off in default*, the same as in 1864. The proportion of cases *adjusted or withdrawn* (10 per cent.) diminished by 2 per cent.

30. The Court are glad to notice that the proportion of cases decided on their merits has improved from 50 to 52 per cent., notwithstanding an increase of litigation in the Civil Courts during the year. In the Courts of Small Causes decisions of this nature were naturally fewer proportionately, being 30 per cent. on the whole number decided.

The proportion of cases decided on *their merits* improved from 50 to 52 per cent., notwithstanding increase of litigation in the Civil Courts. In the Small Cause Courts 30 per cent. were so decided.

31. Excluding the Courts of Small Causes, the following classification exhibits the districts arranged according to the percentage of cases decided on *their merits only* :—

Classification of districts (excluding Small Cause Courts) according to percentage of cases decided on *their merits* only.

No.	Zillah.	Percentage of cases decided on merits to total disposed of.
1	Jounpore	76·
2	Ghazeepore	70·
3	Azimgurh	68·
4	Benares	67·
5	Cawnpore	59·
6	Agra	55·
7	Meerut	53·
8	Allygurh	52·
9	Goruckpore	51·
10	Futtehpore	49·
11	Mynpoorie	48·
12	Mirzapore	47·
13	Furruckabad	46·
14	Bareilly	46·
15	Moradabad	44·
16	Shahjehanpore	40·
17	Seharunpore	35·
18	Allahabad	31·
	General Average	52·

Proportion of cases decided on their merits range from 76 per cent. in Jounpore to 31 per cent. in Allahabad, being highest in the 4 districts of the Benares Division.

32. The proportion of cases decided on their merits thus ranged from 76 per cent. in Jounpore, to 31 per cent. in Allahabad, being highest in the four districts of the Benares Division, where the proportion of suits for immovable property is large.

State of the miscellaneous files.

33. The following Tabular Statement exhibits the year's results in regard to miscellaneous suits, excluding cases of formal or routine nature:—

Zillah.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865	1866	1865.	1866.
Seharunpore	12,170	8,983	6,405	10,188	6,448	11,767	...	...	3,556	865
Meerut	8,947	6,625	3,752	8,160	3,653	7,529	...	...	1,942	1,038
Allygurh	12,970	8,821	5,725	10,201	5,201	12,077	...	...	4,213	1,103
Moradabad	13,527	12,741	8,674	12,614	9,375	13,907	...	7	2,981	1,693
Bareilly	9,162	9,736	5,873	8,529	6,657	10,886	...	...	2,145	999
Shahjehanpore	7,564	6,879	4,744	7,188	5,006	8,235	18	...	2,023	745
Agra	10,325	7,407	3,382	8,526	3,892	9,517	...	...	3,672	1,631
Furruckabad	9,632	9,911	6,003	9,380	5,711	10,524	3	...	1,442	575
Mynpoory	7,771	5,706	4,652	6,584	4,215	7,508	3	2	2,463	674
Cawnpore	4,910	4,777	2,853	4,746	3,693	5,312	...	...	686	356
Futtehpore	4,424	4,027	2,564	4,136	2,209	4,383	6	6	929	568
Allahabad	4,505	3,541	1,962	3,757	1,717	4 131	...	1	1,408	831
Goruckpore	15,702	13,073	10,513	14,185	9,923	14,943	...	1	3,118	1,477
Azimgurh	6,636	5,938	4,278	6,179	4,263	6,827	1	...	1,882	989
Jounpore	4,597	4,197	3,130	4,345	2,500	4,568	...	1	947	579
Mirzapore	3,453	2,871	1,637	2,976	1,635	3,255	...	1	977	493
Benares	2 404	2,054	1,445	2,028	1,647	2,289	..	..	895	660
Ghazeepore	9,287	7,982	5,014	7,229	6,051	9,802	...	...	3,164	1,348
Total ...	1,47,986	1,25,269	82,606	1,30,951	83,796	1,47,460	31	19	38,443	16,624
Increase	...	...	...	...	1,190	16,509	...	...	...	...
Decrease	...	22,717	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	21,819

1,25,269 miscellaneous cases filed in 1865, as compared with 1,47,986 in 1864; the decrease being the reactionary and natural result of an extraordinary in-

34. The number of miscellaneous cases instituted in the Courts in the year under review amounted to 1,25,269, as compared with 1,47,986 in the previous year, showing a diminution of 22,717 cases. This decrease was the reactionary and natural result of an extraordinary influx of applications for the execution of decrees

preferred in December, 1864, to save the period of limitation prescribed by Acts XIV. of 1859 and XI. of 1861, as noted in the last year's Report.

flux of applications for execution of decrees preferred in December, 1864.

35. Exclusive of cases transferred to other Courts, 1,47,460 miscellaneous cases were finally disposed of in the year under report, as contrasted with 1,27,906* in 1864; and 83,796 cases were decided on their merits, compared with 82,606 in the previous year;—showing an increase of 1,190 in the latter description of cases.

* Inclusive of cases transferred this number was 1,30,951.

Exclusive of cases transferred, 1,47,460 miscellaneous cases were finally disposed of in 1865, as compared with 1,27,906 in 1864. 83,796 cases were decided on their merits, as compared with 82,606 in 1864.

The pending arrears on the miscellaneous files were thus reduced from 38,443, pending at the close of 1864, to 16,624, similarly pending at the end of 1865.

The pending arrears on the miscellaneous files were reduced from 38,443 to 16,624.

36. The number of miscellaneous cases pending on the files at the close of the year 1864 was unfavorably affected by the great influx of litigation, above adverted to. But the arrears pending at the close of the year under report were considerably less than those of former years.

The number pending at the close of 1864 was unfavorably affected by the great influx of litigation adverted to in paragraph 34.

37. The number of cases on the miscellaneous files which had been pending more than a year has diminished from 31 to 19. Of these, 7 were pending in the Moradabad district and 6 in that of Futtehpore. The Court have passed suitable orders in regard to these cases, in regard to which the explanations submitted were held to be insufficient. Altogether, the condition of the miscellaneous files is considered very satisfactory.

The number of cases on the miscellaneous files pending more than a year diminished from 31 to 19, of which 7 were pending in Moradabad and 6 in Futtehpore. Altogether, the condition of the miscellaneous files considered very satisfactory.

38. *Execution of decrees.*

Execution of decrees.

Zillah.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.					
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
Seharunpore	1,703	1,732	5,195	8,630	20·	
Meerut	1,817	2,117	2,021	5,955	31·	
Allygurh	1,411	2,562	4,548	8,521	17·	
Moradabad	1,754	1,405	4,166	7,325	24·	
Bareilly	1,451	963	3,914	6,328	23·	
Shahjehanpore	1,085	853	3,177	5,115	21·	
Agra	1,338	781	4,803	6,922	19·	
Furruckabad	1,367	1,449	4,776	7,592	18·	
Mynpoorie	856	1,305	3,263	5,424	16·	
Cawnpore	748	466	1,595	2,809	33·	
Futtehpore	607	560	1,578	2,745	22·	
Allahabad	949	433	1,924	3,306	29·	
Goruckpore	1,663	917	4,285	6,865	24·	
Azimgurh	1,176	463	2,141	3,780	31·	
Jounpore	627	437	1,956	3,020	21·	
Mirzapore	422	404	1,642	2,468	17·	
Benares	691	468	627	1,786	39·	
Ghazeepore	1,695	841	3,707	6,243	27·	
Total ...	21,360	18,156	55,318	94,834	23·	

73,360 applications for execution of decrees filed in 1865, as compared with 96,446 in 1864. The cause for the large diminution the same as that given in paragraph 34.

39. 73,360 applications for the execution of decrees were instituted in 1865, as compared with 96,446 similarly instituted in 1864. The cause of this large diminution is the same as that given in paragraph 34 of this address, in regard to the decrease of miscellaneous cases generally.

Of 94,834 applications 21,360, or 23 per cent., were fully executed, as compared with 29 per cent. in 1864; and 18,156, or 19 per cent., partially executed, as compared with 21 per cent., in 1864;—the large proportion of 58 per cent. having been struck off in default. Explanation of this result.

40. Out of 94,834 applications disposed of, 21,360, or 23 per cent., were fully executed, as compared with 29 per cent. in the previous year; and 18,156, or 19 per cent., partially executed, as compared with 21 per cent. in 1864;—the large proportion of 58 per cent. having been struck off in default. In explanation of this result, the Court need only make the following quotation from their Annual Report for 1864:—

"It is to be expected that there will be a considerable increase in the proportion of cases struck off in default during the current year,* with reference to the number of applications preferred by parties at the close of the year, not with the view of prosecuting their cases, but with the sole object of saving the limitation period, and reviving the limit of time from which future applications would be calculated."

* 1865.

Special carefulness however enjoined on the part of the Civil Judiciary generally in the performance of this important part of their duties.

The above anticipation has been fully borne out by the Returns of the year. Still, the Court have not failed to enjoin special carefulness on the part of the Civil Judiciary generally in the performance of this important part of their judicial duties. When default is *purposely* incurred, the Courts have no option but to strike the case off the files; but judicial officers have been specially cautioned against the abuse of striking off cases at the close of the year with the view of thereby clearing their files.

Much improvement may be looked for during the current year.

Now that the temporary pressure caused by the operation of the Limitation Laws has, in a great measure, ceased to be felt, much improvement may be looked for during the current year.

Classification of districts according to the largest proportion of decrees fully executed in each.

41. The Statement given below shows the districts arranged in order according to the largest proportion of decrees fully executed in each:—

Number.	Zillah.	Ratio per cent.
1	Benares	39·
2	Cawnpore	33·
3	Azimgurh	31·
4	Meerut	31·
5	Allahabad	29·
6	Ghazeepore	27·
7	Moradabad	24·
8	Goruckpore	24·
9	Bareilly	23·
10	Futtehpore	22·
11	Jounpore	21·
12	Shahjehanpore	21·
13	Seharunpore	20·
14	Agra	19·
15	Furruckabad	18·
16	Allygurh	17·
17	Mirzapore	17·
18	Mynpoorie	16·
	General Average	23·

Highest ratio, 39 per cent., obtained in Benares; the lowest, 16 per cent., in Mynpoorie.

42. From this Return it will be observed that the highest ratio, 39 per cent., was obtained in the district of Benares, and the lowest, 16 per cent., in that of Mynpoorie.

In the Small Cause Courts the general percentage of applications fully executed was 38 per cent., and of cases struck off in default 43 per cent.

43. In the Small Cause Courts the general percentage of applications fully executed was 38 per cent., and of cases struck off in default 43 per cent.

44. *Appeals under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863.*

Acts X. 1859 and XIV. 1863.
Appeals.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Number.	Zillah.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts, decided in 1865 by the Revenue Authorities.	Number of cases appealed to the Judge	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed or modified or remanded.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
1	Seharunpore	393	113	121	51	29·	42·
2	Meerut	661	100	62	15	15·	22·
3	Allygurh	502	179	199	84	36·	42·
4	Moradabad	626	138	63	19	22·	30·
5	Bareilly	2,323	280	273	117	18·	43·
6	Shahjehanpore	798	205	134	53	26·	40·
7	Agra	1,411	131	256	75	9·	29·
8	Furruckabad	604	94	147	84	16·	57·
9	Mynpoorie	1,260	202	266	99	16·	37·
10	Cawnpore	316	90	101	29	28·	29·
11	Futtehpore	430	105	107	51	24·	48·
12	Allahabad	538	128	107	16	24·	15·
13	Goruckpore	564	255	169	61	45·	36·
14	Azimgurh	578	175	215	97	30·	45·
15	Jounpore	826	255	302	110	31·	36·
16	Mirzapore	117	36	45	9	31·	20·
17	Benares	148	69	66	28	47·	42·
18	Ghazeepore	435	184	209	92	42·	44·
	Total ...	12,530	2,739	2,842	890	22·	31·

45. 12,530 cases under these Acts, appealable to the Judge, were disposed of by the Revenue Authorities during the year, as compared with 15,101 * in the previous year.

12,530 cases appealable to the Judge, were disposed of by the Revenue Authorities in 1865, as compared with 15,101 in 1864. Accuracy of these Returns not relied on by the Court.

* In the Statement of last year the total was erroneously given 17,135, which was subsequently corrected as 15,101 on receipt of amended Statements from 4 districts.

The Court have had frequent cause to complain of the inaccurate manner in which these Returns of appealable cases are compiled. And they regret to say that they cannot place implicit confidence in the correctness of the above figures, so as to feel in a position to institute any reliable comparison between the returns of 1865, and previous years.

Cases not appealable to the Judge are still often included in the Return of cases appealable to that officer. In 1864 the Returns of 4 districts were found to be incorrect.

They observe that cases from which no appeal lies, or which are appealable solely to the Commissioner, are still not unfrequently included in the Return of cases appealable to the Civil Judge. In no less than four districts were the Returns submitted with the Annual Report of 1864 subsequently found to be incorrect. If the Collectors of districts, as a rule, were careful to explain the principles upon which this Return should be compiled to the Ministerial Establishments, there would be little risk of error or mistake; but, as matters now stand, there is reason to believe that many of the Returns are far from trustworthy.

46. Assuming the Returns of appealable cases to be correct, the greatest number of these cases were disposed of by the Revenue Authorities of the districts of Bareilly, Agra, Mynpoorie, and Shahjehanpore, and the fewest by those of Mirzapore and Benares.

Assuming the Returns to be correct, the greatest number of cases disposed of in Bareilly, Agra, Mynpoorie and Shahjehanpore, and the fewest in Mirzapore and Benares. Officers who disposed of the largest number of cases indicated.

The greatest number of cases were disposed of by—

Dhunput Rai,	Deputy Collector	of Agra	... ...	456
Gunga Pershaud,	Ditto ditto	of Bareilly	... ...	400
Bukhtawur Singh,	Ditto ditto	of Bahera	... ...	280
Khair-ood-deen,	Ditto ditto	of Bareilly	... ...	277
Ali Buksh Khan,	Ditto ditto	of Jounpore	... ...	205
Sulla-ood-deen,	Ditto ditto	of Furreedpore	... ...	201

Of the appealable cases disposed of, 2,739, or 23 per cent., were appealed to Zillah Judges, as compared with 13 per cent. in 1864.

47. Of the appealable cases disposed of, 2,739, or 23 per cent., were appealed to the Zillah Judges, as compared with 13 per cent. in the previous year.

Classification of districts according to the number and proportion of appeals preferred.

48. The following is a classification of the districts, according to the number and proportion of appeals preferred in each :—

Number of Appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859.			Percentage of Appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859 to total revenue decisions.		
1	Bareilly	280	1	Benares	47·
2	Jounpore	255	2	Goruckpore	45·
3	Goruckpore	255	3	Ghazeepore	42·
4	Shahjehanpore	205	4	Allygurh	36·
5	Mynpoorie	202	5	Jounpore	31·
6	Ghazeepore	184	6	Mirzapore	31·
7	Allygurh	179	7	Azimgurh	30·
8	Azimgurh	175	8	Scharunpore	29·
9	Moradabad	138	9	Cawnpore	28·
10	Agra	131	10	Shahjehanpore	26·
11	Allahabad	128	11	Allahabad	24·
12	Scharunpore	113	12	Futtehpore	24·
13	Futtehpore	105	13	Moradabad	22·
14	Meerut	100	14	Bareilly	18·
15	Furruckabad	94	15	Furruckabad	16·
16	Cawnpore	90	16	Mynpoorie	16·
17	Benares	69	17	Meerut	15·
18	Mirzapore	36	18	Agra	9·

Jounpore, which stood at the top of the corresponding list given in last year's Report, is now 2nd in order judged by the *number* of appeals instituted, and 5th in regard to the *ratio* of such appeals to appealable cases.

49. From this Return it will be observed that Jounpore, which stood at the top of the corresponding list given in last year's Report, both in respect to the absolute number and the percentage of appeals preferred, is now second in order judged by the *number* of appeals instituted, and 5th in regard to the *ratio* of such appeals to appealable cases.

In Bareilly, Jounpore, Goruckpore, Shahjehanpore, and Mynpoorie, the number of appeals instituted exceeded 200 in number; while in Benares, Goruckpore, and Ghazeepore, more than 40 per cent. of the appealable cases were actually appealed. The ratio (9) of appeals preferred in Agra was very moderate.

In each of the districts of Bareilly, Jounpore, Goruckpore, Shahjehanpore, and Mynpoorie, the number of appeals instituted under the Acts exceeded 200 in number; while in those of Benares, Goruckpore and Ghazeepore, more than 40 per cent. of the appealable cases were actually appealed.

The ratio of appeals preferred in the Agra district (9) was very moderate.

Results in respect to proportion of appeals to appealable cases in regard to officers who decided not less than 100 cases during the year.

50. The following Statement shows the results in respect to the proportion of appeals to appealable cases in regard to those individual Revenue Officers who decided not less than 100 cases during the year :—

Zillah.	Name of Officer.	Number of appealable cases decided by Revenue Officer.	Percentage of cases appealed.
Jounpore ...	Atkinson, Mr. E. T., Jounpore	140	33·
	Ali Buksh Khan, Ditto	205	17·
	Nusseer-ood-deen, Ditto	177	35·
Mynpoorie ...	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Etawah	111	23·
	Gobind Pershad, Bhurtna	140	20·
	McConaghey, Mr. W. M., Mynpoorie	152	12·
Azimgurh ...	Syud Ali Hussun, Azimgurh	141	41·
Agra ...	Henvey, Mr. F., Agra	103	6·
	Chowbey Dhunput Rai, Ditto	456	7·
	Syud Irshad Ali, Ditto	128	3·
	Syud Ikram Ali, Ditto	137	18·
	Twigg, Mr. J. W., Muthra	134	1·
	Clarke, Mr. J., Ditto	108	2·
Bareilly ...	Markham, Mr. A. M., Bareilly	120	16·
	Khyr-ood-deen, Ditto	277	15·
	Gunga Pershad, Ditto	400	15·
	Bukhtawar Singh Baharee	280	10·
	Sulla-ood-deen, Furreedpore	201	10·
	Ameer Ali, Pilibheet	135	18·
Goruckpore ...	Prag Dutt, Goruckpore	199	46·
Allygurh ...	Rajah Jye Kishen Dass, Allygurh	176	42·
Moradabad ...	Manderson, Mr., Moradabad	120	20·
	Koer Luchmun Singh, Bijnour	137	21·
Ghazeepore ...	Talemun Pershad, Ghazeepore	130	67·
Shahjehanpore,	Kasim Hossein Khan, Shahjehanpore ...	134	18·
	Mahomed Kureem, Budaon	173	5·
Cawnpore ...	Mahomed Mohsin, Jajmow	111	17·
Meerut and Boolundshuhur...	Syud Mahomed, Boolundshahur	134	1·
Furruckabad ...	Wilson, Mr. H., Furruckabad	149	38·
Seharunpore ...	Leeds, Mr. J. R., Mozufferuuggur	145	33·
Allahabad ...	Nasir Ali Khan, Allahabad	117	39·
	Ghazee-ood-deen, Ditto	154	11·

His Honor will observe that the proportion of cases appealed was remarkably small in the cases of Mr. Twigg (1 per cent.), Syud Mahomed (1 per cent.), Mr. Clarke (2 per cent.), Irshad Ali (3 per cent.), Mahomed Kurreem (5 per cent.), Mr. Henvey (6 per cent.), Dhunput Rai (7 per cent.), Bukhtawur Singh (10 per cent.), and Sulla-ood-deen, (10 per cent.)

Proportion of cases appealed remarkably small in the cases of Mr. Twigg, Syud Mahomed, Mr. Clarke, Irshad Ali, Mahomed Kurreem, Mr. Henvey, Dhunput Rai, Bukhtawur Singh and Sulla-ood-deen.

In the cases of Talemun Pershaud (67 per cent.), Pragdutt (46 per cent.), Rajah Jeykishen (42 per cent.), and Ali Hussun (41 per cent.), the percentage of appeals preferred was very high.

In the cases of Talemun Pershad, Pragdutt, Rajah Jye Kishen, and Ali Hussun, the percentage was very high.

51. Of 2,842 cases of appeal under these Acts disposed of by the Zillah Judges, 1,490 decisions were confirmed, and 890, or 31 per cent., reversed or modified, as compared with 43 per cent. in the previous year. This improvement is considered satisfactory.

Of 2,842 cases of appeal disposed of by the Zillah Judges, 1,490 decisions were confirmed, and 890, or 31 per cent., reversed or modified, as compared with 43 per cent. in 1864. The improvement satisfactory.

52. The most favorable results were obtained by the Revenue Authorities in the districts of Allahabad, Mirzapore, and Meerut, in which districts only 15 per cent., 20 per cent., and 22 per cent., respectively, of the decisions reviewed were interfered with in appeal.

Most favorable results obtained by the Revenue Authorities in Allahabad, Mirzapore, and Meerut.

In the districts of Furruckabad (57 per cent.), Futtehpore (48 per cent.), Azimgurh (45 per cent.), on the other hand, the results were very unfavorable.

Results very unfavorable in Furruckabad, Futtehpore, and Azimgurh.

53. In the following Return the Court exhibit the Returns of those officers of whose decisions the greatest and least percentages of reversals or modifications obtained, the cases being omitted in which the number of appeals disposed of did not exceed 10 :—

Returns of those officers of whose decisions the greatest and least percentages of reversals or modifications obtained, the cases being omitted in which the number of appeals disposed of do not exceed 10.

Zillah.	Name of Officer.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified or remanded.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified or remanded, to total number decided.
Bareilly ...	Ameer Ali, Peelibheet	24	11	8	73·
	Bukhtawur Singh, Baheree	29	31	10	32·
	Khyr-ood-deen, Bareilly	41	27	13	48·
	Mahomed Kurreem, Budaon	25	10	3	30·
	Moens, Mr. S. M., Bareilly	14	6	1	16·
	Markham, Mr., Ditto	19	28	18	64·
	Sulla-ood-deen, Furreedpore	21	17	5	29·
	Wilson, Mr. H. R., Budaon	11	12	6	50·
Jounpore ...	Atkinson, Mr. E. T., Jounpore	47	32	8	25·
	Ali Buksh Khan, Ditto	36	10	5	50·
	Jugmohun Singh, Ditto	11	7	5	71·
	Kishen Narain, Ditto	26	41	21	51·
	Nusseer-ood-deen, Ditto	63	26	9	34·
	Robinson, Mr. T. W., Ditto	15	1	0	0·
	Syud Toorab Ali, Ditto	33	91	39	42·
Moradabad ...	Joyce, Mr., Moradabad	25	3	0	0·
	Luchmun Singh, Bijnour	29	17	11	64·
	Manderson, Mr., Moradabad	24	5	1	20·
	Tyrrell, Mr. H. F., Ditto	31	10	4	40·
Ghazeepore ...	Bachman, Mr. J. G., Ghazeepore	16	17	8	47·
	Huttee Bahadoor, Ditto	11	20	6	30·
	Rae Buldeo Buksh, Ditto	37	40	28	70·
	Smith, Mr. J., Ditto	10	10	3	30·
	Talemun Pershad, Ditto	87	84	36	43·
Futtehpore ...	Abdoollah Khan, Kulliangunge	10	8	6	75·
	Saheb Ali Khan, Kora	10	10	1	10·
	Turhut Ali, Pergunnah Futtehpore ...	23	23	9	39·
	Whalley, Mr. P., Futtehpore	11	9	4	14·
Shahjehanpore ...	Ahmud Hossein, Shahjehanpore	16	11	3	27·
	Howell, Mr. M. S., Ditto	19	9	1	11·
	Kunyha Lall, Ditto	17	24	6	25·
	Kasim Hossein, Tilhur	24	19	12	63·
	Rogers, Mr. H. M.	13	0	0	0·
	Reid, Mr. J. R.	15	13	3	23·
	Toorab Ali	20	1	1	100·
	Umjud Ali Khan, Datagunge	16	4	4	100·
Azimgurh ...	Concannon, Mr, Azimgurh	25	21	9	43·
	Gholam Russool Khan, Pergunnah Sugree ...	22	20	8	40·
	Leupolt, Mr. J. C., Azimgurh	41	38	20	52·
	Mehdi-ool-Zuman, Mohumdabad	11	10	1	10·
	Syud Ali Hussun, Nizamabad	58	58	27	46·
	Shunker Dyal Panday, Mahoul	34	31	15	48·
Jounpore and Azimgurh.	Tracy, Mr. B.,* Azimgurh	15	15	5	33·
Cawnpore ...	Gholam Hossein, Russoolabad	18	18	9	50·
	Mirza Ahsan Ali	15	21	6	29·
	Mahomed Mohsin, Jajmow	19	17	3	18·
Mirzapore ...	Curwen, Mr. F., Mirzapore	12	13	4	31·

N. B.—Those who have performed work in two districts in the same year are marked *.

Zillah.	Name of Officer.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
	Walker, Mr., Mirzapore	13	18	2	11·
Allahabad ...	Ghazee-ood-deen	17	10	6	60·
	Mudud Ali	15	12	5	42
	Mahomed Hussun	16	12	4	33·
	Nasir Ali Khan	46	35	15	43·
	Seraj-ood-deen	12	7	1	14·
	Thornhill, Mr. E. B.	10	7	4	57·
Seharunpore ...	Leeds, Mr. J R., Mozuffernuggur ...	48	40	22	55·
	Morgan, Mr. J., Seharunpore	15	19	0	0
	Nund Kishore, Ditto	13	26	18	69
	Vikar Ali, Mozuffernuggur	16	17	2	12
Allygurh ...	Kinloch, Mr.	30	48	25	52
	Rajah Jye Kishen Dass	74	89	44	49·
	Tidy, Mr.	21	9	4	44·
Meerut and Boolundshuhur.	Billings, Mr G, Meerut	11	11	2	7·
	Mungul Sen, Boolundshuhur	11	7	2	28·
	Mohib Ali, Ditto	18	1	1	100
	Ridsdale, Mr. S., Meerut	14	8	0	0·
Furruckabad ...	Kishen Lall, Chubramow	12	12	6	50
	Moulvie Mahomed Moheen, Furruckabad ...	17	17	12	71·
	Ram Narain, Kunouj	13	13	5	38·
	Robertson, Mr J. G., Furruckabad ...	14	12	8	67·
	Tyrrell, Mr. W., Ditto	16	13	1	8.
	Wilson, Mr. H., Ditto	56	52	40	77
Mynpoorie ...	Ajoodhya Pershad, Bhowgaon	20	19	3	16·
	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Etawah	26	25	20	80
	Chase, Mr. H, Mynpoorie	11	11	5	45·
	Gobind Pershad, Bhurtnah	28	28	13	46·
	Mehdee Ali, Pergunnah Etawah	11	11	6	54·
	McConaghey, Mr. W. M., Mynpoorie ...	19	19	4	21·
	Moulvie Umjud Ali, Etah	17	17	2	12·
Mynpoorie and Bareilly.	Gunga Pershad,* Etah	78	86	34	40·
	Pundit Dabee Pershad, Mynpoorie ...	11	11	7	64·
	Rughobuns Sahai, Shekoabad	10	10	5	50·
Mynpoorie and Agra.	Sells, Mr. A.,* Etawah	28	27	5	19·
	Syud Dawar Ali, Etah	11	11	5	45·
	Thompson, Mr. F, Mynpoorie	11	11	5	45·
Benares ...	Ali Hossein, Benares	24	24	14	58
	Robertson, Mr H. D, Ditto	13	13	6	46·
	Rampertab, Ditto	20	18	2	11
Goruckpore ...	Alone, Mr. B., Goruckpore	50	12	4	33·
	Mahomed Tukkee, Ditto	28	35	11	31·
	Prag Dutt, Ditto	91	72	29	40·
	Sandford, Mr. D L., Bustee	12	0	0	0
Goruckpore and Mynpoorie.	Wigram, Mr. F,* Ditto	38	3	1	33·
Agra ...	Chowbey Dhunput Rae, Agra	34	40	12	30·
	Syud Ikram Ali, Ditto	25	25	17	68·

N. B.—Those who have performed work in two districts in the same year are marked *.

Result most creditable to the judgment of Mr. Morgan. In the cases of Messrs. Sells and Tyrrell, out of 13 and 12 appeals respectively disposed of, only 1 was interfered with in appeal. The percentages obtained by Mehdi-ool-Zuman, Mr. Walker, Ram Pertab, Vikar Alee Beg, and Umjud Alee, also very favorable. The least favorable results obtained by Kunnoo Lall, Mr. Wilson, Ameer Ali, and Buldeo Buksh.

The result of this Statement is most creditable to the judgment of Mr. Morgan, Deputy Collector, 19 appeals from whose decisions were disposed of without a single reversal. In the cases of Messrs. Sells and Tyrrell, out of 13 and 12 appeals respectively disposed of, only one was interfered with in appeal. The percentages obtained by Mehdi-ool-Zuman (10 per cent.), Mr. Walker (11 per cent.), Ram Pertab (11 per cent.), Vikar Ali Beg (12 per cent.), and Umjud Ali (12 per cent.), were also very favorable.

The least favorable results were those obtained by Kunnoo Lall (80 per cent.), Mr. Wilson (77 per cent.), Ameer Ali (73 per cent.), and Buldeo Buksh (70 per cent.).

Quotation of Mr. Vansittart's remarks in reference to appeals under Act X. of 1859.

54. In writing on the subject of appeals under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, Mr. Vansittart remarks:—"The interest of the litigation in the Judge's Court turns in the main on appeals from decrees passed by the Revenue Authorities under Act X. of 1859. At this exceptional moment of settlement the increase is large, the proprietors generally serving notices of enhancement, relying as they do on the fairness of Government,—the cultivators usually suing on the plea that the money-rates of rent fixed 30 and 40 years ago are perpetual rates. Writing generally, I may take it as proved that, 40 years ago, the general rate of rent was one-third division of the several kinds of produce grown, *plus* expenses, and we have only to glance at a Statement of prices during the half century to see that the higher rates now ruling being often 300 or 400 per cent. in advance, the rising value of land is not a momentary or capricious movement, but a lasting rise, springing from causes beyond the action of either cultivating peasant or land proprietor; or, I may write it, Government itself."

Procedure observed by the Revenue Authorities in their decision of these cases. Reference to the practice adopted by Mr. Spankie of recording a memorandum in each case of appeal of instances of neglect. Quotation of Mr. Spankie's remarks on the subject.

55. In connection with the subject of the regularity of the procedure observed by the Revenue Authorities in the decision of these cases, the Court referred in last year's Report to a practice adopted by Mr. Spankie, when Judge of Jounpore, of recording a memorandum in each case of appeal of the several instances of neglect of rule and procedure observable in the proceedings of the Revenue Authorities. In his Annual Report for the past year, Mr. Spankie has recorded the following remarks on the same subject:—

"I have kept a note-book of cases during the year, and a copy of the entries in each month was sent regularly to the Collector, and circulated by him to his subordinates; so that errors and irregularities became known to all, and have been put a stop to. I observed that, as the year went on, and cases came up on appeal which had been decided subsequently to the issue of my notes, I had occasion to make fewer entries in my book. I was called on by the Sudder Board of Revenue to report the result of the 2nd Quarter's appeals, and did so, at the same time submitting to them a copy of my notes, in order to show the nature of the irregularities complained of. I received the thanks of the Board for the communication."

Extract of Mr. Spankie's remarks circulated to all Zillah Judges, directing their attention to the advisability of keeping up a record of irregularities which should be expressly noted for future guidance.

Extracts of the Court's remarks on the operation of the appellate jurisdiction under these Acts communicated to the Board of Revenue.

56. The Court have circulated the above extract to all Zillah Judges, directing their attention to the advisability of keeping up a record of irregularities, which should be expressly noticed for future guidance; and they have communicated extracts of all their remarks on the operation of the appellate jurisdiction under those Acts, for the information of the Sudder Board of Revenue.

57. *Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and of Sections* 169, 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Zillah.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.												Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.												Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.												Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			*Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.*			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			*Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.*			*Number of persons committed.*			*Number of persons convicted.*			*Number of persons acquitted.*			
	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiffs.	
Seharunpore	...	...	1	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	5	...	...	9	...	...	7	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	In this Statement the Sudder Ameens are included with the Moonsiffs.
Meerut	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	
Allygurh	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	3	...	10	8	...	1	5	...	9	3	...	1	1	...	1	3	...	1	2	...	...	1	
Moradabad	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	7	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	6	
Bareilly	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Shahjehanpore	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Agra	...	...	3	...	...	15	...	...	3	...	...	8	...	1	2	...	1	4	...	...	1	...	1	3	...	2	...	...	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Furruckabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mynpoorie	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Cawnpore	1	5	1	3	7	3	3	4	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Futtehpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	2	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	*1	...	...	...	...	...	...	*Will be heard at Banda Sessions.
Allahabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Goruckpore	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	1	...	1	26	...	11	...	...	2	26	...	9	
Azimgurh	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	
Jounpore	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirzapore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benares	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	6	...	...	2	...	...	4	...	...	*3	...	...	7	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	* 1 case, 1 person, pending in Magistrate's Court.
Ghazeepore	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	5	7	12	7	9	31	6	5	10	...	4	15	2	10	20	2	23	32	1	3	17	1	20	17	1	7	10	26	24	28	...	2	8	26	6	19	

Section 24, Act VIII. 1859; Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Twenty-four prosecutions under Section 24 were made, and 47 persons committed for trial, of whom 21 convicted in 5 districts and 19 acquitted. In 1864, out of 13 cases, in which 50 persons were committed, 15 were convicted and 27 acquitted. Results of 1865 more favorable.

32 cases were prosecuted criminally, and 53 persons committed to the Sessions under Section 169, Act XXV. 1861, of whom 21 were convicted and 38 acquitted. The same number of persons were respectively convicted and acquitted in 1864, although the number of cases committed for trial in 1864 was 58.

Under Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861, 18 criminal prosecutions were instituted, and 78 persons committed for trial. Of those tried, 10 were convicted and 51 acquitted. This last result not satisfactory.

Difficulties attending the successful prosecution of cases under these punitive Sections.

58. Twenty-four prosecutions were made for false verification of written documents under Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and 47 persons were committed for trial, of whom 21 were convicted in five districts and 19 acquitted. In 1864, out of 31 cases, in which 50 persons were committed, 15 were convicted and 27 acquitted. The results of 1865 were therefore somewhat more favorable than those of the previous year.

59. Under Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861, which relates to charges connected with false evidence, 32 cases were prosecuted criminally, and 57 persons committed to the Sessions, of whom 21 were convicted and 38 acquitted. The same number of persons were respectively convicted and acquitted in the year 1864, although the number of persons committed for trial in the latter year was 58.

60. Under Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861, (relating to cases of forgery,) 18 criminal prosecutions were instituted and 78 persons committed for trial. Of those tried, 10 were convicted and 51 acquitted. This last result cannot be considered satisfactory.

61. The Court have frequently alluded to the extreme difficulties attending the successful prosecution of cases under the punitive Sections now under notice, and although the general results of the prosecutions, as indicated in the margin, cannot be considered as successful, they have no doubt that the occasional judicious exercise of the power conferred on the Judiciary under these provisions is calculated to check in some degree, if not to repress, the crimes of forgery and perjury, at one time so prevalent in connection with the litigation of the Civil Courts.

Abstract.

Year.	*Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.*				*Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.*				*Operation of Section 170, Act VIII. of 1859.*			
	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted.	Cases committed.	Persons committed.	Persons convicted.	Persons acquitted
1865	24	47	21	19	32	57	21	38	18	78	10	51
1864	31	50	15	27	39	58	21	38	26	79	26	38

Average duration of suits.

62. The next Return exhibits the average duration of suits in the several grades of Courts in the North-Western Provinces :—

	Judges.			*Principal Sudder Ameens.*			*Sudder Ameens.*			*Moonsiffs.*			*Average duration of cases under Act X. of 1859.*		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Seharunpore	...	5	10	...	2	18	...	1	10	...	...	22	...	5	...
Meerut	...	4	24	...	1	17	...	...	..	...	...	23	...	3	19
Allygurh	...	8	3	...	3	24	...	...	...	...	...	28	...	5	4
Moradabad	1	...	11	...	3	28	...	...	20	...	1	3	...	8	17
Bareilly	...	2	28	...	...	30	...	...	28	...	...	24	...	2	13
Shahjehanpore	...	7	17	...	3	26	...	...	14	...	1	2	...	5	15
Agra	...	3	14	...	1	19	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	2	4
Furruckabad	...	1	15	...	1	18	...	...	25	...	...	21	...	1	17
Mynpoorie	...	5	16	...	1	6	...	...	28	...	...	20	...	4	1
Cawnpore	...	1	22	...	...	29	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	23
Futtehpore	...	2	10	..	1	23	...	1	15	...	...	23	...	2	7
Allahabad	...	4	23	...	1	7	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	5	3
Goruckpore	...	7	2	...	8	26	...	1	6	...	...	25	...	5	11
Azimgurh	...	3	7	...	3	7	...	2	4	...	1	4	...	1	29
Jounpore	...	5	13	...	2	27	...	...	...	...	1	29	...	5	19
Mirzapore	...	4	3	...	2	5	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	5	9
Benares	...	1	9	...	1	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
Ghazeepore	...	5	26	...	2	27	...	2	8	...	...	20	...	2	29
General Average ...	...	4	25	...	2	17	...	...	20	...	...	26	...	3	24

63. The Court regret to observe that the general average duration of cases in the Courts of the Zillah Judges increased from 3 months 26 days in 1864 to 4 months 25 days in the year under report.

64. The longest average obtained in the Court of the Judge of Moradabad, and was attributable to the dilatory proceedings of the late Judge, Mr. J. Power, who officiated during the first half of the year, and a delay of three months which occurred between Mr. Power's departure from Moradabad and the arrival of Mr. Lindsay. On the present Judge, Mr. Lindsay, taking charge of his duties, he found many cases of old standing, some of which are indicated marginally, pending on the Judge's file, all of which ought to have been previously decided by Mr. Power, and the subsequent disposal of which unduly affected Mr. Lindsay's own Returns. If the Moradabad Returns were excluded, the general average would be 3 months 5 days,—a result more favorable than the corresponding return of 1864.

5 Cases of 1862.
7 Cases of 1863.
35 Cases of 1864.

65. The return of the Allygurh Judgeship (8 months 3 days) is likewise very unfavorable, and has been explained by Mr. Bramly to have been caused by the number of arrears formerly on his file, the disposal of many of which during the past year has necessarily increased his average. But the Court do not consider this explanation to be altogether sufficient, as the amount of work performed by Mr. Bramly, though greater than in the previous year, was by no means so great as that performed in many other districts; and the Court look for much improvement in the results of the current year.

Return of Allygurh Judgeship, 8 months 3 days, also very unfavorable. Explanation of the Judge not considered altogether sufficient.

66. In Shahjehanpore, the unfavorable average (7 months 17 days) has been explained to be due to the existence of a number of old cases on the file of the late Judge, Mr. Drummond, when Mr. F. Thompson took charge of the Judgeship.

Unfavorable average in Shahjehanpore (7 months 17 days) explained to be due to old cases on the file of the late Judge when Mr. Thompson took charge.

67. The cause of the high average duration obtaining in the Goruckpore district is sufficiently obvious.

Cause of high average duration in Goruckpore, sufficiently obvious.

68. The Court think it unnecessary to refer further in detail to the other districts in which the averages appear high. In every case where such seemed necessary special orders have been passed.

Special orders have been passed by the Court in regard to districts in which the averages are high.

69. His Honor will perceive that, for the first time, separate averages have been prepared showing the duration of appeals decided under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863. The general average duration of these cases in all the districts was 3 months 24 days,—a proportion which the Court trust will be considerably reduced during the current year.

Separate averages prepared for the first time showing the duration of appeals decided under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863. General average of these cases was 3 months and 24 days.

Some of the averages, such as those of Moradabad, 8 months 17 days; Jounpore, 5 months 19 days; and Shahjehanpore, 5 months 15 days, are exceedingly high.

Averages of Moradabad, Jounpore, and Shahjehanpore, exceedingly high.

70. In the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, the average duration of suits was 2 months 17 days, as compared with 2 months 4 days in the previous year. The ratio would, however, have been smaller but for the exceptionally long average shown in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore. As an additional officer of this grade has recently been appointed to this district, the Court look for much improvement in the results of the current year, although the full effect of this measure will not be evident till 1867.

In the Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts the average was 2 months and 17 days, as compared with 2 months and 4 days in 1864. The above ratio was owing to the exceptionally long average shown in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore. Improvement expected in this respect during the current year, with reference to the appointment of a Principal Sudder Ameen at Bustee.

71. Suitable orders have been passed in regard to the other cases where the averages appeared to be too high.

Suitable orders passed in other cases where the averages were high.

The average duration exhibited in the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens—of Cawnpore, 0 month 29 days; Mynpoorie, 1 month 6 days; Allahabad, 1 month 7

The average duration of the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens of Cawn-

pore, Mynpoorie, Allahabad, and Benares, remarkably favorable.

In the Courts of the Moonsiffs and Sudder Ameens, the results in this respect very satisfactory.

General average in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens diminished from 27 to 20 days, and in those of the Moonsiffs from 27 to 26 days.

In a few instances the high averages have been specially noticed.

General results apparently highly satisfactory.

days; and Benares, 1 month and 9 days—was remarkably favorable, and creditable to Mahomed Abdoollah, Dabee Dial, Khoorsheid Ali Khan, and Mr. Smith.

72. In the Courts of the inferior grades of judicial officers, the results in this respect are very satisfactory, the general average duration of suits in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens having diminished from 27 to 20 days, and in those of the Moonsiffs, 2nd and 3rd grade, from 27 to 26 days. In a few instances, which have been specially noticed, the average duration was too long; but, on the whole, the general results appear to be highly satisfactory.

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

73. In the following Statement are exhibited the figured results of the personal attendance of parties to suits in the several districts of these Provinces:—

Number.	Zillah.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court ordinarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	*Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.*			Remarks.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			Superior Courts.	Moonsiffs.	General.	
1	Seharunpore ...	504	534	2,023	3,061	523	3,584	61·	92·	85·	
2	Meerut ...	262	561	2,329	3,152	570	3,722	79·	83·	85·	
3	Allygurh ...	603	840	1,552	2,995	1,142	4,137	33·	84·	72·	
4	Moradabad ...	654	955	3,083	4,692	1,118	5,810	20·	88·	81·	
5	Bareilly ...	1,553	1,541	2,061	5,145	733	5,878	87·	74·	90·	
6	Shahjehanpore,	360	321	2,652	3,333	482	3,815	55·	93·	87·	
7	Agra ...	479	782	1,607	2,868	1,225	4,093	47·	83·	70·	
8	Furruckabad ...	948	590	3,352	4,890	392	5,282	88·	95·	92·	
9	Mynpoorie ...	450	683	1,978	3,111	960	4,071	27·	90·	76·	
10	Cawnpore ...	426	208	1,773	2,407	273	2,680	81·	92·	90·	
11	Futtehpore ...	277	565	1,291	2,133	1,104	3,237	54·	75·	65·	
12	Allahabad ...	644	709	798	2,151	476	2,627	63.	90·	82·	
13	Goruckpore ...	653	674	1,850	3,177	1,000	4,177	25·	88·	76·	
14	Azimgurh ...	542	250	2,289	3,081	349	3,430	91·	88·	90·	
15	Jounpore ...	259	477	799	1,535	1,099	2,634	27·	74·	58·	
16	Mirzapore ...	299	114	1,632	2,045	314	2,359	90·	83·	87·	
17	Benares ...	244	186	240	670	281	951	70·	...	70·	
18	Ghazeepore ...	799	837	2,909	4,555	781	5,336	80·	87·	85·	
	Total ...	9,956	10,827	34,218	55,001	12,822	67,823	60·	81·	81·	

Of 67,823 suits and appeals disposed of during the year, in 34,218 both parties were present. Proportion slightly more favorable than the corresponding one of 1864.

Of the other cases decided, the defendants only appeared in 10,827, and the

74. 67,823 suits and appeals were disposed of during the year. In 34,218 of these, *both* the parties were personally present in Court. This proportion is slightly more favorable than the corresponding one of last year.

75. Of the other cases decided, the defendants only appeared in 10,827, and the plaintiffs alone in 9,956, leaving a balance of 12,822 cases in which neither of the

parties were present in Court, in other words 82 per cent. of the parties were present in Court when their cases were decided. With reference to the number of cases struck off in default, this result is very satisfactory, and shows that, as a general rule, the contending litigants in the Civil Courts are confronted with each other previous to the decision of their cases.

plaintiffs alone in 9,056, in 12,822 cases neither of the parties were present in Court. In other words, 82 per cent. of the parties were present when their cases were decided.

This result very satisfactory with reference to the number of cases struck off.

76. *Operation of Sections* 243, 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

Sections 243, 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

No.	Zillah.	NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING 1865.												REMARKS.
		TEMPORARY, CONDITIONAL, PARTIAL, OR VOLUNTARY.						INVOLUNTARY AND PERMANENT UNDER SECTION 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1	Seharunpore ...	...	5	...	...	...	5	...	199	...	6	...	205	
2	Meerut ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	44	...	65	..	109	
3	Allygurh ...	...	1	...	4	...	5	...	118	1	16	1	134	
4	Moradabad ...	...	12	...	7	...	19	6	106	1	9	7	115	
5	Bareilly ...	...	...	...	75	...	75	1	111	...	7	1	118	
6	Shahjehanpore ...	...	...	...	17	...	17	5	109	1	5	6	114	
7	Agra ...	...	7	...	30	...	37	1	50	...	69	1	119	
8	Furruckabad ...	...	4	...	18	...	22	...	108	...	2	...	110	
9	Mynpoorie ...	...	7	...	22	...	29	1	102	...	14	1	116	
10	Cawnpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	9	...	14	
11	Futtehpore ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	20	1	18	1	38	
12	Allahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	166	1	33	6	199	
13	Goruckpore ...	10	51	...	24	10	75	1	132	1	6	2	138	
14	Azimgurh ...	...	30	...	8	...	38	...	72	...	8	...	80	
15	Jounpore ...	...	...	1	2	1	2	5	26	2	4	7	30	
16	Mirzapore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	1	1	1	13	
17	Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	1	1	1	12	
18	Ghazeepore ...	...	24	...	...	...	24	...	59	...	38	...	97	
	TOTAL ...	10	142	1	211	11	353	25	1,450	10	311	35	1,761	

77. Under the provisions of Section 243, 10 villages and 142 shares of villages belonging to judgment-debtors were temporarily leased or mortgaged at the instance of the Civil Courts in execution of decrees of Court. Alienations of this description were most numerous in the districts of Goruckpore, Azimgurh, and Ghazeepore, where a large proportion of the suits instituted are connected with land.

Under Section 243, 10 villages and 142 shares of villages belonging to judgment-debtors were temporarily leased or mortgaged at the instance of the Civil Courts in execution of decrees.

Such alienations most numerous in Goruckpore, Azimgurh, and Ghazeepore

78. At the instance of the Collectors of Land Revenue, one entire village and 211 shares were temporarily alienated under Section 244 of the Civil Procedure Code; greatest recourse having been had to this process in the districts of Bareilly, Goruckpore, Agra, and Mynpoorie.

Under Section 244, one entire village and 211 shares were alienated temporarily at the instance of the Collectors.

Such alienations most numerous in Bareilly, Goruckpore, Agra, and Mynpoorie.

79. Altogether, although the number of temporary alienations under the two Sections abovementioned were only about one-half as numerous as in the previous year, the Court are glad to observe that there was a decrease in the number of *sales* in execution of decrees in the year under review, 25 entire villages and 1,450 shares

In all, the number of temporary alienations were only about one-half as numerous as in 1864, and there was no sensible increase in the number of

Sales in execution of decrees in 1865.

25 entire villages and 1,630 shares were sold in 1865, as compared with 35 villages and 1,804 shares in 1864.

having been thus permanently alienated, as compared with 35 villages and 1,804 shares in 1864.

Number of sales effected during the year not considered excessive.

80. Considering the multitude of interests affected, and the general small value of the shares sold in execution of decrees (a circumstance which militated against a more frequent resort to the mitigative provisions of the Code), the Court cannot consider the number of sales effected during the year to have been excessive.

Imprisonment in execution of decrees of Court.

81. *Imprisonment in execution of decrees of Court.*

No.	Zillah.	No.	Amount of debt.	REMARKS.
1	Seharunpore	27	11,830	
2	Meerut	...	...	
3	Allygurh	41	4,809	
4	Moradabad	78	9,198	
5	Bareilly	3	3,111	
6	Shahjehanpore	6	1,804	
7	Agra	58	11,788	
8	Furruckabad	58	5,319	
9	Mynpoorie	42	5,048	
10	Cawnpore	32	23,652	
11	Futtehpore	30	6,000	
12	Allahabad	31	6,691	
13	Goruckpore	9	2,002	
14	Azimgurh	38	13,623	
15	Jounpore	30	6,083	
16	Mirzapore	58	24,847	
17	Benares	25	2,76,683	
18	Ghazeepore	20	5,184	
	Total	586	4,17,672	
	Total for 1864	473	1,48,111	
	Increase	113	2,69,561	
	Decrease	...	...	

586 debtors were imprisoned, as compared with 473 in 1864.

82. The number of debtors imprisoned in execution of the decrees of the Civil Courts during the year was 586, as compared with 473 in the previous year.

The value of the decrees for which these debtors were imprisoned was Rs. 4,17,672, contrasted with Rs. 1,48,111 in 1864.

The value of the decrees on account of which these debtors were imprisoned amounted to Rs. 4,17,672, contrasted with Rs. 1,48,111 in 1864.

83. The following Statement shows the amount of work, civil and criminal, performed by each Zillah Judge during the past year,—the arrangement being made according to the number of *civil* cases decided on their merits and *ex parte*:—

Classification of districts according to greater or less amount of work performed by the Zillah Judges.

Number in order of Civil work performed.	Zillah.	Original suits.	Work actually performed. Appeals. From Principal Sudder Ameen.	From Collectors under Act X. of 1859.	From Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.	Miscellaneous Statement No. 2. Headings 1 to 20.	Total.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days on which the Judge actually sat on the Civil side.	Criminal. Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days given to criminal sittings.	Total value of civil and criminal work performed in days.	Order of Zillah according to the last column.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
1	Agra ...	29	310	217	15	261	832	379	144	132	92	511	I.
2	Azimgurh ...	...	50	198	322	325	895	252	192	62	62	314	IV.
3	Futtehpore ...	26	102	99	200	228	655	252	178	129	108	381	II.
4	Cawnpore ...	14	90	96	111	349	660	207	161	100	57	307	V.
5	Ghazeepore ...	...	48	206	162	304	720	198	143	102	97	300	VI.
6	Goruckpore ...	3	50	156	123	441	773	195	117	85	98	280	IX.
7	Furruckabad ...	7	47	139	206	233	632	193	147	92	53	285	VIII.
8	Bareilly ...	11	17	268	149	85	530	183	133	113	92	296	VII.
9	Jounpore ...	9	62	264	57	108	500	181	168	55	36	236	XIV.
10	Mynpoorie ...	...	79	246	...	231	556	168	88	156	143	324	III.
11	Meerut ...	26	73	61	55	185	400	166	153	93	72	259	XI.
12	Allygurh ...	...	110	186	8	96	400	152	148	92	83	244	XIII.
13	Benares ...	5	122	61	...	214	402	142	113	107	104	249	XII.
14	Allahabad ...	10	81	99	25	155	370	137	150	98	93	235	XV.
15	Shahjehanpore,	3	97	97	43	74	314	127	135	150	110	277	X.
16	Mirzapore ...	7	38	44	159	101	349	121	166	99	86	220	XVII.
17	Seharunpore ...	6	45	115	38	101	305	107	124	120	110	227	XVI.
18	Moradabad ...	2	51	58	1	73	185	67	163	135	95	202	XVIII
	Total ...	158	1,472	2,610	1,674	3,564	9,478	3,227	2,623	1,920	1,581	5,147	...
	Total of 1864...	164	1,474	1,764	1,627	3,284	8,313	2,926	2,631	1,955	1,644	4,881	...
	Increase ...	...	...	846	47	280	1,165	301	...	...	...	166	...
	Decrease ...	6	2	...	...	...	...	...	8	35	63	...	...

84. The greatest amount of work in the Civil Department was performed by Mr. Paterson, Judge of Agra, whose strenuous exertions in clearing off the arrears which recently encumbered his file have received the Court's special commendation. This officer also performed the largest quantity of work in the Criminal Department. The Judges of Azimgurh, Mynpoorie, and Futtehpore, likewise got through a large quantity of work.

Mr. Paterson, Judge of Agra, disposed of the largest amount of Civil and Criminal work. This officer specially commended by the Court for his exertions in clearing off his arrears.

The Judges of Azimgurh, Mynpoorie, and Futtehpore, also disposed of a large quantity of work.

In the Judgeships of Moradabad, Seharunpore, and Mirzapore, the amount of civil work performed was not large.

The amount of work performed in the Judgeships of Moradabad, Seharunpore, and Mirzapore, not large.

85. The number of *original* suits disposed of by the Judges was 178, as compared with 164 in the previous year. With reference to the increasing number of cases in these provinces in which either the parties or the documents are English, the Court do not consider this number to be excessive.

The Zillah Judges disposed of 158 original suits, as compared with 164 in 1864. This number not large with reference to the increasing number of cases in which either the parties or the documents are English.

86. The following Table shows the number of appeals, with their results, preferred to the Sudder Court from the decisions of the Zillah Judges during the twelve months, beginning from the last quarter of 1864:—

Number of appeals preferred to the Court from the decisions of the Zillah Judges and their results.

Name of Judge.	Zillah.	No. of original suits and appeals finally decided during 12 months commencing from last quarter of 1864, and the 3 quarters of 1865.	No. of appeals from those decisions to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, regular and special, preferred in the 12 months of 1865.	Percentage of appeals to cases, and appeals decided.	No. of appeals decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut during the year 1865.	Number affirmed.	Number modified or reversed.	Dismissed on default, adjusted, or withdrawn, and otherwise disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number decided by the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.
Mr. M. B. Thornhill,	Furruckabad ... Meerut ...	590	118	20	102	62	37	3	36·
„ H. S. Reid ...	Furruckabad ... Allahabad ...	174	62	36	61	28	29	4	44·
„ A. Ross ...	Azimgurh ...	480	155	32	103	58	39	6	38·
„ J. R. Best ...	Jounpore ... Futtehpore ...	174	25	14	24	13	4	7	17·
„ F. M. Lind ...	Jounpore ...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·
„ R. Spankie ...	Meerut ... Jounpore ...	402	50	12	29	17	7	5	58·
„ C. Horne ...	Mynpoorie ... Benares ...	245	81	33	52	27	21	4	40·
„ H. B. Henderson,	Benares ... Mynpoorie ...	141	57	40	31	19	10	2	32·
„ G. Lance ...	Cawnpore ...	...	21	...	21	14	6	1	28·
„ B. Sapte ...	Cawnpore ... Ghazeepore ... Goruckpore ...	133	73	55	70	45	18	7	26·
„ H. G. Keene ...	Cawnpore ... Allahabad ... Allygurh ...	245	77	31	47	21	26	...	55·
„ J. Power ...	Moradabad ... Futtehpore ...	188	64	34	39	18	21	...	54·
„ H. Vansittart,	Bareilly ...	378	68	18	42	16	26	...	62·
„ R. H. Dunlop,	Bareilly ...	...	3	...	3	1	2	...	66·
„ H. Lushington,	Ghazeepore ... Shahjehanpore,	305	85	28	47	18	28	1	60·
„ W. S. Paterson,	Agra ...	623	110	18	66	34	22	10	33·
„ G. P. Money ...	Allahabad ...	78	11	14	11	4	6	1	54·
„ J. W. Sherer ...	Allahabad ... Ghazeepore ... Mirzapore ...	258	97	38	62	30	29	3	47·
„ G. D. Turnbull,	Meerut ...	196	47	24	19	13	5	1	26·
„ E. J. Boldero,	Futtehpore ...	112	61	54	61	30	27	4	44·
„ W. J. R. Carnac,	Mynpoorie ... Ghazeepore ...	15	9	60	9	7	...	2	...
„ C. R. Lindsay,	Moradabad ... Goruckpore ...	215	75	35	22	13	5	4	23·
„ G. Ouseley ...	Goruckpore ...	80	5	6	...	...	...	...	...
„ W. J. Bramly,	Allygurh ...	183	84	45	62	48	13	1	21·
„ S. J. Becher ...	Seharunpore ...	209	38	18	27	21	5	1	18·
„ H. P. Fane ...	Mirzapore ...	213	36	17	21	13	7	1	33·
„ F. Thompson ...	Shahjehanpore,	241	33	14	13	8	5	...	38·
Hon'ble R. Drummond,	Shahjehanpore,	14	21	...	21	15	2	4	9·
	TOTAL ...	5,892	1,567	27	1,066	593	401	72	38·

87. During the last quarter of 1864, and the first three quarters of 1865, 5,892 original suits and appeals were decided by the Zillah Judges; and 1,567, being an approximate percentage of 27 per cent., were appealed to the Court during the year 1865, as contrasted with a corresponding proportion of 44 per cent. similarly appealed in 1864. This proportion was moderate, and exhibits a great improvement on that of the preceding year.

27 per cent. of the decisions of Zillah Judges were appealed to the Court during 1865, as contrasted with 44 per cent. in 1864.

88. Excluding the Returns of those Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the lowest percentages of appeals to cases decided were those of Messrs. Spankie, Best, F. Thompson, and Fane. Considering the very large number of cases decided by him, the percentage of cases appealed from Mr. Paterson's decisions (18 per cent.) was also remarkably moderate.

Mr. Spankie	... 12	per cent.
„ Best	... 14	„ „
„ Thompson	... 14	„ „
„ Fane	... 17	„ „

Excluding the Returns of the Judges who decided fewer than 100 cases, the lowest percentages of appeals to cases decided were those of Messrs. Spankie, Best, F. Thompson, and Fane.

The percentage of cases appealed from Mr. Paterson's decisions, 18 per cent., was also remarkably moderate.

The *highest* averages of appeals to cases decided were those of Messrs. Sapte, Boldero, and Bramly.

Mr. Sapte	... 55	per cent.
„ Boldero	... 54	„ „
„ Bramly	... 45	„ „

Highest averages were those of Messrs. Sapte, Boldero, and Bramly.

89. Of 1,066 appeals from the decisions of the Zillah Judges disposed of by the Court during the year, the decisions of 593 were confirmed, and of 401 or 38 per cent. reversed or modified; 72 being otherwise disposed of.

Of 1,066 appeals disposed of, the Court confirmed the decisions of Zillah Judges in 593, and reversed those in 401, or 38 per cent.; 72 were otherwise disposed of.

The proportion of cases reversed was not so favorable as in the previous year, when 33 per cent. were reversed, but it was somewhat better than the corresponding ratio (40 per cent.) of 1863.

Proportion of cases reversed not so favorable as in 1864, when it was 33 per cent.

90. The most favorable individual results in respect to the smallest proportion of decisions reversed were those obtained by Messrs. Best, Becher, and Bramly, and the least favorable by Messrs. Vansittart and H. Lushington; 62 and 60 per cent., respectively, of whose decisions were interfered with in appeal.

Mr. Best	... 17	per cent.
„ Becher	... 18	„ „
„ Bramly	... 21	„ „

Most favorable results obtained by Messrs. Best, Becher, and Bramly. Least favorable by Messrs. Vansittart and Lushington.

91. In some respects, however, the above figured results are not conclusive tests of the judicial efficiency of the officers referred to, as decisions are sometimes reversed on a point of law, although the judgment of the Court from which the appeal lies may be otherwise careful and sound.

In some respects the above results not conclusive tests of judicial efficiency.

92. The two Judges of the Court who have sat on the Bench with a slight interval throughout the year desire me to mention the officers whom they consider deserving of special commendation, and, in doing so, they can conscientiously commend the judicial ability of their present colleagues now officiating as Judges of the Court, Messrs. Spankie and Turnbull, as deserving of honorable mention. After them, they would indicate Messrs. M. B. Thornhill and Lindsay as efficient officers, and they would also acknowledge the full, clear, and carefully-considered decisions of Mr. Fane, which almost invariably give the Court all particulars essential in forming a judgment of the cases coming before them, and evince sound judgment on his part.

Messrs. Spankie and Turnbull deserving of special commendation, and after them Messrs. Thornhill and Lindsay named as efficient officers.

Decisions of Mr. Fane also specially noticed.

93. The number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* by the several Principal Sudder Ameens in the North-Western Provinces, is shown below:—

Statement showing comparative number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* by the several Principal Sudder Ameens.

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average No. of original cases and appeals (reckoning 3 to 1 original suit) decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Average No. of original cases and appeals decided on merits per mensem.
Benares	Mr. R. H. Smith	49	38
Agra	Mahomed Buksh	42	37
Futtehpore	Rai Soonder Lall	42	37
Allahabad	Khoorsheid Ali Khan	31	22
Mirzapore	Hubeeb-oollah Khan	30	20

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average No. of original cases and appeals (reckoning 3 to 1 original suit) decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Average No. of original cases and appeals decided on merits per mensem.
Meerut	Pygumber Buksh	28	24
Ghazeepore	Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee (additional) ...	26	26
Allygurh	Syud Ahmed Khan	26	24
Ghazeepore	Rai Kanjee Sahay	25	25
Azimgurh	Abdool Azeez Khan	22	21
Mynpoorie	Rai Dabee Dyal	20	19
Moradabad	Mahomed Hussun Khan	19	17
Cawnpore	Mahomed Abd-ool-lah Khan	18	14
Seharunpore	Sookhbasee Lall	18	17
Jounpore	Syud Hossein Ruza	17	16
Shahjehanpore ...	Momin Ali Khan	15	14
Goruckpore	Mooftee Usud-ool-lah Khan	15	15
Furruckabad	Cazee Inayut Hossein Khan	14	11
Bareilly	Kassim Ali Khan	13	13
Dehra Dhoon	Mr. S. S. Melville	1	1

Mr. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares, disposed of the largest amount of work.

Next to Mr. Smith, Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, and Rai Soondur Lall, performed a large amount of judicial business.

Mahomed Buksh and Mr. Smith similarly distinguished themselves in 1864.

Ratio of appeals preferred to appealable cases, and of decisions reversed or modified to appeals tried, from the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens.

94. From the Table it will be seen that the Principal Sudder Ameen who disposed of the largest quantity of work (49 per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*, of which 38 per mensem were on merits) was Mr. Smith, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares.

	Merits and *ex-parte*.	Merits only.
*	42	37
†	42	37

Next to Mr. Smith, Mahomed Buksh,* Principal Sudder Ameen of Agra, and Rai Soondur Lall,† Principal Sudder Ameen, of Futtehpore, performed a large amount of judicial business.

The Court observe that Mahomed Buksh and Mr. Smith similarly distinguished themselves for their assiduity and industry in the previous year.

95. The percentages of appeals to appealable cases, and of decisions modified or reversed of appeals tried, as respects the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, were the following:—

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Ratio per cent. Of appeals to appealable cases decided.	Ratio per cent. Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appeals tried.	Ratio per cent. Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appealable cases decided.	Remarks.
Mynpoorie	Momin Ally Khan	42·	32·	10·	
Cawnpore	Mahomed Abd-ool-lah Khan	41·	25·	10·	
Meerut	Syud Pygumber Buksh	38·	26·	5·	
Agra	Moulvie Mahomed Buksh	37·	38·	22·	
Mirzapore	Hubeeb-oollah Khan	37·	41·	8·	
Goruckpore	Mooftee Usud-oollah Khan	35·	32·	8·	
Allygurh	Syud Ahmed Khan	33·	33·	9·	
Jounpore	Syud Hussun Ruza Khan	32·	38·	12·	
Allahabad	Khoorsheid Ally Khan	32·	30·	8·	
Shahjehanpore	Dabee Dial	32·	31·	12·	
Furruckabad	Kazee Enayut Hossein Khan	30·	38·	12.	
Benares	Mr. R. H. Smith	29·	34·	10·	
Azimgurh	Abdool Azeez Khan	28·	33·	9·	
Seharunpore	Rai Sookhbasee Lall	27·	39·	7·	
Futtehpore	Rai Soonder Lall	26·	32·	7·	
Moradabad	Mahomed Hussun Khan	25·	44·	8·	
Bareilly	Kasim Ally Khan	16·	41·	6·	
Ghazeepore	Rai Kanjee Sahay	15·	43·	7·	
		3·	36·	10·	

96. Thirty-one per cent. of the appealable cases disposed of by the Principal Sudder Ameens were appealed to higher authority, as compared with 37 per cent. similarly appealed in 1864. According to this standard, the best results, *i. e.*, those in which the proportion of appeals preferred was lowest, were those of Rai Kanjee Sahay, Principal Sudder Ameen of Gazeepore (15), Kasim Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly (16), and Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad (25).

Thirty-one per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens appealed to higher authority, as compared with 37 per cent. in 1864.

Best results were those of Kanjee Sahay, Principal Sudder Ameen of Ghazeepore, Kasim Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly, and Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Moradabad.

97. Thirty-six per cent. of the decisions of the Principal Sudder Ameens were reversed or modified in appeal as compared with 29 per cent. in the previous year.

Thirty-six per cent. of the Principal Sudder Ameens' decisions were reversed or modified, as compared with 29 per cent. in 1864.

The officers who obtained the most favorable results in respect to smallest ratios of decisions interfered with in appeal were Mahomed Abdoollah Khan (25 per cent.), Syud Pygumber Buksh (26 per cent.), and Khoorsheid Ally Khan, (30 per cent.)

Most favorable results obtained by Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Syud Pygumber Buksh, and Khoorsheid Ally Khan.

The least good percentages were those of Mahomed Hussun Khan (44 per cent.), and Rai Kanjee Sahay, (43 per cent.)

Least good percentages were those of Mahomed Hussun Khan and Rai Kanjee Sahay.

98. Irrespective of figured results, the Court desire me however to make special favorable mention of Syud Ahmed Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Allygurh, whose decisions are in all respects full, clear, and often masterly and exhaustive.

Special favorable mention of Syud Ahmed Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Allygurh.

99. The following Return exhibits the average number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs :—

Classification of districts according to amount of work performed by the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

No.	Zillah.	Average No. of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem per Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff.	Average No. of cases decided on merits only.
1	Allahabad	85	47
2	Mirzapore	55	43
3	Ghazeepore	52	45
4	Azimgurh	47	42
5	Furruckabad	44	33
6	Meerut	41	39
7	Jounpore	39	36
8	Bareilly	39	27
9	Mynpoorie	37	29
10	Cawnpore	35	28
11	Moradabad	34	29
12	Allygurh	33	23
13	Goruckpore	32	28
14	Agra	31	24
15	Futtehpore	26	22
16	Seharunpore	22	17
17	Shahjehanpore	19	16

100. This Statement contrasts favorably, in respect to the amount of work performed, with the corresponding Statement prepared for 1864. The district of Allahabad stands at the head of the list in each year; but in 1865 no less than 85 cases were disposed of monthly on their merits and *ex-parte*, compared with 68 per mensem similarly disposed of in 1864. The Moonsiff who pre-eminently distinguished himself for industry and application in each year was Abdool Qyoom. After him, Syud Kazim Ally Khan,* Sudder Ameen of Ghazeepore, Jewun Kishen,† 2nd grade Moonsiff of Nugeena, and Mankee Dass,‡ 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Kaemgunge, disposed of a large amount of work.

This Statement contrasts favorably with that of last year.

Allahabad stands at the head of the list in 1864 and 1865. In 1865, 85 cases per mensem were disposed of, as compared with 68 per mensem in 1864.

Abdool Qyoom pre-eminently distinguished himself in each year.

On merits and *ex-parte*.
†76 per mensem.
‡65 per mensem.
‡55 per mensem.

Results of appeals from the orders of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

101. The following Statement shows the results of the appeals preferred to the Zillah Judges, or referred by them to the Principal Sudder Ameens, from the decisions of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs :—

Court.	Number of appealable cases decided.	Number of cases appealed.	Appeals modified and reversed.	Appeals confirmed.	Percentage: Of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appeals decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appealable cases decided.
Sudder Ameens ..	3,627	1,303	474	891	36·	33·	13·
Moonsiffs	19,888	4,974	1,481	2,983	25·	31·	7·

Thirty-six per cent. of the Sudder Ameens' appealable decisions were appealed, as compared with 40 per cent. in 1864. Twenty-five per cent. of the Moonsiffs' decisions were appealed, as compared with 27 per cent. in the previous year.

Thirty-three per cent. of the Sudder Ameen's decisions were interfered with, as compared with 30 per cent. in 1864.

Thirty-one per cent. of the Moonsiffs' decisions were reversed or modified.

These results not unfavorable.

102. In the Sudder Ameens' Courts, 36 per cent. of the appealable cases were actually appealed, as contrasted with 40 per cent. in 1864. In the Moonsiffee Courts only 25 per cent. were appealed, compared with 27 per cent.

103. Four hundred and seventy-four decisions of the Sudder Ameens were interfered with in appeal, *i. e.*, 33 per cent., as compared with 30 per cent. in 1864. In the Courts of the Moonsiffs, 31 per cent. of the decisions heard in appeal were either reversed or modified.

These results cannot be considered unfavorable.

Nature of original suits instituted in the Civil Courts in the North-Western Provinces.

104. The nature of the original suits which were instituted in the Civil Courts in the North-Western Provinces during the past year is indicated below :—

1. Division.	2. Zillah.	3. Number of suits instituted for: Real property, Columns 2 to 7.	4. Debts on bond.	5. Other suits, 8 to 14, and 16 to 22.	6. Total.	7. Proportion of suits for real property to total suits.	8. Proportion of suits for bond debts to total suits.
Meerut	Seharunpore	279	2,209	495	A. 2,983	10·	74·
	Meerut	232	2,526	528	B. 3,286	7·	17·
	Allygurh	139	2,497	990	3,626	4·	69·
Rohilkund ...	Moradabad	416	3,762	1,115	C. 5,293	8·	71·
	Bareilly	344	3,785	890	5,019	7·	75·
	Shahjehanpore	130	2,626	763	D. 3,519	4·	74.
Agra	Agra	396	2,122	508	3,026	13·	70·
	Furruckabad	197	3,220	1,228	E. 4,645	4·	69·
	Mynpoorie	260	2,467	621	3,348	8·	74·
	Cawnpore	129	1,412	707	2,248	6·	63·
Allahabad ...	Futtehpore	330	1,794	692	2,816	12·	71·
	Allahabad	78	1,826	410	F. 2,314	3·	79·
	Goruckpore	993	1,574	1,030	3,597	27·	44·
	Azimgurh	521	996	932	2,449	21·	41.
Benares	Jounpore	186	859	785	1,830	10·	47·
	Mirzapore	101	1,173	776	2,050	5·	57·
	Benares	41	503	221	765	5·	66·
	Ghazeepore	212	2,401	1,606	4,219	5.	57·
	TOTAL ...	4,984	37,752	14,297	*57,033	9·	66·

A.—Exclusive of 58 reviews of judgments and re-instituted.
B.—Exclusive of 11 reviews of judgments and re-instituted.
C.—Exclusive of 100 reviews of judgments and re-instituted.
D.—Exclusive of 1 case struck off.
E.—Exclusive of 129 cases re-instituted and applications for review of judgment.
F.—Exclusive of 40 cases re-instituted, review of judgment.

* Total 339 excluded from the account.

105. Out of 57,033 original suits instituted during the year, 37,752, or 66 per cent., were for debts on bond, as contrasted with 64 per cent. in the previous year; 4,984, or 9 per cent. being for real property, as compared with 10 per cent., in 1864. The remaining 14,297 suits were claims of other descriptions.

106. The same results are observable in the Benares Division as in former years, the number of suits founded on bond debts being smaller here than elsewhere, and suits for real property predominating in the Goruckpore and Azimgurh districts.

107. *Muzkooree and Tahdeeq Returns.*—These Returns are not now prepared according to the calendar year, and the Court will report separately on the subject after the close of the current financial year.

108. *Stamp Returns.*—

Zillah.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).			Value of penalties realised for insufficiently-stamped documents.			Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.			Net Total.			Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.			Net gain to Government.			Loss to Government.			Remarks.
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	R.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	
Sudder Court,	92,589	8	0	...			10,031	0	0	82,558	8	0	2,90,041	0	4	...			2,07,482	8	4	
Scharunpore...	48,199	0	0	58	2	0	1,819	8	0	46,437	10	0	57,806	0	0	...			11,368	6	0	
Meerut ...	55,565	1	0	776	12	0	982	0	0	55,359	13	0	52,852	13	0	2,507	0	0	...			
Allygurh ...	56,515	0	0	512	15	0	1,627	8	0	55,400	7	0	57,020	10	1	...			1,620	3	1	
Moradabad ...	62,599	8	0	775	2	0	1,366	8	0	62 008	2	0	64,272	0	0	...			2,263	14	0	
Bareilly ...	60,078	0	0	176	4	0	1,145	8	0	59,108	12	0	64,529	0	3	...			5,420	4	3	
Shahjehanpore	39,332	14	0	222	11	0	1,015	8	0	38,540	1	0	75,856	3	11	...			37,316	2	11	
Agra ...	63,024	5	0	772	8	0	2,073	8	0	61,723	5	0	55,533	0	0	6,190	5	0	...			
Furruckabad,	58,348	15	0	535	14	0	1,516	0	0	57,368	13	0	58,393	8	0	...			1,024	11	0	
Mynpoorie ...	42,228	3	0	166	12	0	625	0	0	41,769	15	0	54,371	8	5	...			12,601	9	5	
Cawnpore ...	48,877	10	0	254	10	0	998	0	0	48,134	4	0	52,080	0	0	...			3,945	12	0	
Futtehpore ...	34,607	6	0	625	10	0	119	0	0	35,114	0	0	52,296	0	0	...			17,182	0	0	
Allahabad ...	63,138	8	0	32	7	0	942	0	0	62,223	15	0	48,909	15	0	13,314	0	0	...			
Goruckpore ...	56,061	13	0	824	7	0	342	0	0	56,544	4	0	58,365	4	3	...			1,821	0	3	
Azimgurh ...	40,924	0	0	134	0	0	263	0	0	40,795	0	0	54,791	0	0	...			13,996	0	0	
Jounpore ...	31,000	14	0	193	11	0	337	0	0	30,857	9	0	53,035	0	0	...			22,177	7	0	
Mirzapore ...	37,210	8	0	372	11	0	1,082	8	0	36,500	11	0	48,181	6	0	...			11,680	11	0	
Benares ...	38,208	1	0	259	2	0	1,592	12	0	36,874	7	0	47,756	0	0	...			10,881	9	0	
Ghazeepore ...	73,080	2	0	602	0	0	1,254	8	0	72,427	10	0	59,147	7	8	13,280	2	4	...			
Total of Zillah Judges and Sudder Court.	1,001,584	4	0	7,295	10	0	29,132	12	0	9,79,747	2	0	1,305,237	12	11	35,291	7	4	360,782	2	3	Net Loss, Rs. 325,490 10 11.
Total of Zillah Judges' Courts.	908,994	12	0	7,295	10	0	19,101	12	0	8,97,188	10	0	1,015,196	12	7	35,291	7	4	1,53,299	9	11	Net Loss, Rs. 118,008 2 7.
Average ...	50,499	11	4	405	5	0	1,061	3	4	49,843	13	0	56,399	13	1	1,960	10	2	8,516	10	3	

Of 57,033 original suits, 66 per cent. were for debts on bond, as compared with 64 per cent. in 1864, 9 per cent. for real property, as compared with 10 per cent. in 1864. The remaining 14,297 suits were claims of other descriptions.

Same results observable in Benares as in former years in regard to suits for bond debts. Suits for real property predominate in Goruckpore and Azimgurh.

Muzkooree and Tahdeeq Returns.—Separate Report on the subject will be submitted.

Inclusive of penalties, the value of Stamps filed amounted to Rs. 9,16,290-6-0, from which Rs. 19,101-12-0 were refunded, leaving a net balance of Rs. 8,97,188-10-0 at the credit of Government, as compared with Rs. 8,65,724-5-0 at the end of 1864.

Increase satisfactory.

The cost of salaries and establishments of Zillah and subordinate Judges' Courts amounted to Rs. 10,15,196-12-7.

The net cost to Government of the Civil Judiciary in the North-Western Provinces was therefore Rs. 1,18,008-2-2, exclusive of the Sudder Court.

In Meerut, Agra, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore, the cost of the Courts was more than covered by the income of Stamps.

Value of Stamps filed in the Sudder Court was Rs. 92,589-8-0, from which Rs. 10,031 were deducted for Razeenamahs, leaving a net total of Rs. 82,558-8-0.

Total expenditure connected with the Court was Rs. 2,90,041-0-4; the net cost to Government was therefore Rs. 2,07,482-8-4.

In 1865, 67,823 original suits and appeals, representing an aggregate value of Rs. 2,52,88,320, and involving costs to the amount of Rs. 21,04,563, were disposed of.

Average value of each suit was Rs. 373, and the average cost Rs. 31; the percentage of cost to value being Rs. 8.

109. Including penalties imposed for infringement of the Stamp Act, the value of the Stamps filed in the Courts of the Zillah Judges and subordinate Civil Courts in the North-Western Provinces, amounted to Rs. 9,16,290-6-0, from which sum Rs. 19,101-12-0 were refunded on account of Razeenamahs, leaving a net balance of Rs. 8,97,188-10-0 at the credit of Government, as compared with a corresponding balance of Rs. 8,65,724-5-0 at the end of the previous year. The increase is very satisfactory.

110. The total cost of the establishments of the Zillah and subordinate Courts (inclusive of salaries of Judges) amounted to Rs. 10,15,196-12-7. The net cost therefore of the Civil Judiciary in the North-Western Provinces, exclusive of the Sudder Court, during the year, was Rs. 1,18,008-2-7.

In the four districts of Meerut, Agra, Allahabad, and Ghazeepore, the cost of the Courts was more than covered by the income of Stamps.

111. The aggregate value of the Stamps filed in the Sudder Court during the year under report amounted to Rs. 92,589-8-0, from which Rs. 10,031 were deducted for Razeenamahs, leaving a net total of Rs. 82,558-8-0.

112. The total expenditure connected with the Sudder Court amounted to Rs. 2,90,041-0-4, so that the net cost of the Court to Government was Rs. 2,07,482-8-4.

113. During the year 1865, 67,823 original suits and appeals, representing an aggregate value of Rs. 2,52,88,320, and costs of Rs. 21,04,563, were disposed of in the Zillah and subordinate Civil Courts of these Provinces.

The average value of each suit was Rs. 373, and the average cost, Rs. 31; the percentage of costs to value being thus Rs. 8.

114. These results are tabulated in the following Statement :—

Zillah.	Total number of suits disposed of, original and appeal.	Total value of suits, omitting fractions.	COSTS. Value of Stamps required: For plaint and appeal, memorandum, &c.	For appointment of Pleaders.	Total Stamp charges.	Pleaders' fees.	Tulubanah.	Diet of witnesses.	Ameens' fees.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average costs per suit.	Percentage of column 7 to column 12.	Percentage of all other costs to column 12.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Seharunpore ...	3,584	6,37,381	38,943	2,929	41,872	50,637	5,556	4,604	323	604	1,03,596	178	16·	29	49·	51·
Meerut ...	3,722	80,12,153	45,956	3,230	49,186	70,700	7,519	5,597	380	...	1,33,382	2,156	2·	36	53·	47·
Allygurh ...	4,137	8,12,317	40,651	3,023	43,674	68,279	6,591	4,616	365	270	1,23,795	196	15·	30	55·	45·
Moradabad ...	5,810	8,39,248	46,077	5,417	51,494	61,016	12,178	9,876	1,634	33	1,36,231	144	16·	23	45·	55·
Bareilly ...	5,878	7,98,379	39,762	4,376	44,138	57,958	10,257	6,737	381	2,371	1,21,842	136	15·	21	48·	52·
Shahjehanpore ...	3,815	5,71,311	29,310	2,951	32,261	40,823	5,359	2,964	284	1,688	83,379	149	15·	22	49·	51·
Agra ...	4,093	20,93,283	59,474	3,460	62,934	87,514	9,744	4,754	772	295	1,66,013	511	8·	41	53·	47·
Furruckabad ...	5,282	10,76,311	44,684	3,565	48,249	58,532	7,257	4,859	457	1,453	1,20,807	204	11·	23	48·	52·
Mynpoorie ...	4,071	5,25,344	35,028	3,009	38,037	47,755	7,371	2,979	387	665	97,194	129	18·	24	51·	49·
Cawnpore ...	2,680	9,53,680	47,517	2,461	49,978	50,952	4,759	4,017	700	601	1,11,007	358	13·	41	65.	45·
Futtehpore ...	3,237	4,84,674	25,467	3,534	29,001	28,419	6,164	6,168	248	606	70,606	150	15·	22	41·	59·
Allahabad ...	2,627	14,14,469	54,543	1,955	56,498	76,060	5,526	5,703	253	542	1,44,582	538	10·	55	53·	47·
Goruckpore ...	4,177	8,78,716	41,265	4,639	45,904	66,451	10,414	9,576	956	4,157	1,37,458	210	16·	33	48·	52·
Azimgurh ...	3,430	6,11,764	32,823	3,535	36,358	53,868	4,886	2,622	544	4,959	1,03,237	178	17·	30	52·	48·
Jounpore ...	2,634	19,74,671	26,485	2,371	28,856	40,668	3,457	1,548	524	555	75,608	749	3·	28	53·	47·
Mirzapore ...	2,359	13,67,194	43,179	1,854	45,033	76,112	3,668	1,922	879	958	1,28,572	580	9·	54	59·	41·
Benares ...	951	10,55,618	31,845	781	32,626	52,436	1,627	1,188	477	734	89,088	1,102	8·	93	59·	41·
Ghazeepore ...	5,336	11,76,807	54,549	4,785	59,334	82,573	7,407	4,503	757	3,592	1,58,166	220	13·	30	52·	48·
Total, Rs. ...	67,823	2,52,88,320	7,37,558	57,875	7,95,433	10,70,753	1,19,740	84,233	10,321	24,083	21,04,563	373	8·	31	51·	49·

115. The state of the Sudder Court's files is shown in the following Statement :—

	Pending on 1st January		Admitted.		Disposed of.		Pending on 31st December	
	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Regular	360	49	141	129	452	118	49	60
Increase	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11
Decrease	...	311	...	12	...	334	...	...
Special	2,069	474	1,541	1,684	3,136	1,472	474	686
Increase	...	...	...	143	...	...	...	212
Decrease	...	1,595	...	...	...	1,664	...	...
Total Increase ...	...	...	...	143	...	...	...	223
Total Decrease ...	...	1,906	...	155	...	1,998	...	...

116. *Regular appeals.*—Forty-nine *regular* appeals were pending on the Court's file at the close of the year 1864, and 129 were instituted during the year 1865, giving a total of 178 for disposal. Of these, 118 were disposed of, leaving 60 regular appeals pending on the file at the close of the year.

Pending at close of 1864.	Instituted in 1865.	Total.	Disposed of in 1865.	Pending at close of 1865.
49	129	178	118	60

117. *Special appeals.*—Four hundred and seventy-four special appeals were pending on the Sudder Court's file at the end of 1864, and 1,684 were instituted during the year (being an increase of 143), leaving 2,158 for disposal. Of these, 1,472* were disposed of during the year, and 686 left pending at the close of it.

Pending at close of 1864.	Instituted in 1865.	Total.	Disposed of in 1865.	Pending at close of 1865.
474	1,684	2,158	1,472	686

* 1,091 *special appeals* were disposed of by the Court when consisting of five Judges in 1863, and 159 regular appeals.

118. His Honor will observe that the pending files of the Sudder Court have somewhat increased. But the Judges desire me to explain that, owing to the failure of the health of their late colleague, Mr. Ross, the Court was undermanned during several months of the year. This will be evident from the following Statements :—

	*Number of Regular Benches.**	*Number of Special Benches.**	*Number of Judges present with Court.*
January ...	10	17	4
February ...	6	16	4
March ...	7	21	4
April ...	6	21	4
May ...	5	15	4
June ...	4	20	4
July ...	7	16	3
August ...	6	19	3
September ...	3	10	3
October ...	4	2	3
November ...	6	20	4
December ...	0	17	4

* NOTE.—An ordinary Bench consists of two Judges. Fridays are devoted to English meetings and full Benches when required. The Court's criminal and miscellaneous duties have not been taken into account. The Dussehra holidays extended from 22nd September to 21st October.

	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	November.	December.	TOTAL.
Mr. Ross	1	1	1	1	1	1	½	0	⅔	⅔	0	1	8⅔
„ Edwards	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
„ Roberts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5/6	0	1/6	1	10
„ Pearson	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
„ Spankie	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	½	1	0	1½

119. From the first Statement, which shows the number of regular and special Benches actually sitting, it will be seen that during several months when Mr. Ross was present with the Court he was unable from illness to take a large share of the purely judicial duties. The second Statement indicates the number of Judges actually present with the Court during each month, the results being represented by the unit and fractions of a month.

Mr. Ross unable from illness to take a large share of the purely judicial business.

120. I am likewise to observe that Mr. W. Roberts was absent on leave during two months of the year, and was occupied during all May as President of the Committee for the examination of Assistants.

Mr. Roberts was on leave during two months of the year, and was occupied one month as President of the Committee for the examination of Assistants.

121. The mode in which the appeals were disposed of by the Court is indicated below :—

Results of appeals preferred to the Sudder Court.

Appeals.	Dismissed on default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Confirmed.		Amended.		Reversed.		Remanded.	
	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Regular ...	6	2	7	2	246	66	60	29	123	15	9	4
Special ...	173	117	16	16	2,059	843	64	39	355	152	469	305

122. Of 118 regular appeals disposed of during the year, 66 were confirmed, 2 dismissed on default, 2 adjusted or withdrawn, 15 reversed, 4 remanded, and 29 modified in appeal.

Decisions in 66 regular appeals confirmed.
In 2 dismissed on default.
2 adjusted or withdrawn.
15 reversed.
4 remanded; and
29 modified.

118 total disposed of.

123. Of 1,472 special appeals disposed of, 843 were confirmed, 117 dismissed on default, 16 adjusted or withdrawn, 152 reversed, 305 remanded, and 39 amended on appeal, showing a percentage of 34 per cent. of cases reversed, modified, and remanded on appeal.

Special appeals.
Decisions in 843 confirmed.
„ „ 117 dismissed on default.
„ „ 16 adjusted or withdrawn.
„ „ 152 reversed.
„ „ 305 remanded.
„ „ 39 amended.

Total, 1,472; 34 per cent. being reversed, modified, and remanded.

System of employing Ameens on fixed salaries.

124. The following Return shows the financial results of the system of employing Sale Ameens on fixed salaries in the Civil Courts during the past year :—

Zillah.	Amount of fees realized.	Total amount of salary.	Net gain to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Seharunpore	5,272 10 1	3,030 6 8	2,242 3 5	
Meerut	4,738 4 3	1,299 2 0	3,439 2 3	
Allygurh	4,163 15 6	1,980 0 0	2,183 15 6	
Moradabad	5,074 3 3	4,300 9 8	773 9 7	
Bareilly	4,367 13 5	3,360 0 0	1,007 13 5	
Shahjehanpore	3,706 10 9	3,080 0 0	626 10 9	
Agra	4,494 14 5	3,240 0 0	1,254 14 5	
Furruckabad	5,598 8 6	3,581 15 0	2,016 9 6	
Mynpoorie	2,372 6 2	1,600 0 0	772 6 2	
Cawnpore	6,613 7 7	1,400 0 0	5,213 7 7	
Futtehpore	2,494 7 5	1,701 4 7	793 2 10	
Allahabad	4,614 7 10	1,920 0 0	2,694 7 10	
Goruckpore	2,306 1 3	3,225 5 4	...	Net loss, Rs. 919-4-1.
Azimgurh	3,737 8 1	1,680 0 0	2,057 8 1	
Jounpore	2,683 5 1	1,445 0 1	1,238 5 0	
Mirzapore	3,432 3 9	996 8 0	2,435 11 9	
Benares	3,922 13 8½	2,040 0 0	1,882 13 8½	
Ghazeepore	4,843 2 5	2,415 0 3	2,428 2 2	
TOTAL ...	74,436 15 5½	42,295 3 7	33,060 15 11½	Net gain, Rs. 32,141-11-10.

Except in Goruckpore, where a slight deficit occurred, there was a substantial gain to Government amounting to Rs. 32,141-11-10.

125. It will be observed that, with the exception of Goruckpore, in which a slight deficit occurred, there was a substantial gain to Government by the establishment of these officers in every district of the North-Western Provinces, the aggregate net gain amounting to Rs. 32,141-11-10.

On the whole, the results satisfactory and creditable to the European and Native judiciary of the North-Western Provinces.

126. In conclusion, I am to observe that, although some of the results set forth in the foregoing Report are not quite so favorable as those of the preceding year, they are nevertheless on the whole satisfactory, and creditable to the European and Native judiciary of the North-Western Provinces.

Statements A. to K. and copies of the Courts' replies to the Zillah Judges' Reports also submitted.

127. The Statements A. to K. prescribed by the Government of India, and manuscript copies of the Court's replies to the Annual Reports of the Zillah Judges, accompany this Report.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

No. 1.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT *showing the number of Regular and Special Appeals admitted and disposed of in the Court of Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North-Western Provinces, during the years* 1861, 1862, 1863, 1864, *and* 1865.

	1861.		1862.		1863.		1864.		1865.	
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.
Pending on 1st January ...	127	947	148	762	296	1,420	360	2,069	49	474
Admitted during the year ...	143	876	239	1,445	223	1,740	141	1,541	129	1,684
Total ...	270	1,823	387	2,207	519	3,160	501	3,610	178	2,158
Dismissed on default ...	2	55	2	88	3	42	6	173	2	117
Adjusted or withdrawn ...	4	8	5	17	2	9	7	16	2	16
Confirmed	71	733	54	484	83	666	247	2,059	66	843
Amended	15	34	14	19	13	25	60	64	29	39
Reversed	19	98	10	49	48	141	123	355	15	152
Remanded	11	133	6	110	10	208	9	469	4	305
Total ...	122	1,061	91	787	159	1,091	452	3,136	118	1,472
Pending on 31st December ...	148	762	296	1,420	360	2,069	49	474	60	686

No. 2.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT *showing the total value or amount of Regular and Special Appeals instituted, decided, and pending in the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, North-Western Provinces, at the close of the years* 1861, 1862, 1863, 1864, *and* 1865.

	1861.	1862.	1863.			1864.			1865.		
			Instituted including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.
Before the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut ...	27,60,845	45,65,492	58,17,535	34,10,750	77,72,169	1,26,13,010	1,09,90,555	16,22,455	48,70,285	34,53,413	14,16,872

Average duration of suits in Sudder Dewanny Adawlut.

	1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Sudder Dewanny Adawlut	...	2	26

SUDDER DEWANNY ADAWLUT, N. W. P.,
AGRA:
The 22nd March, 1866.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

REPORT

ON THE

WORKING OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS

OF

BENARES, ALLAHABAD, AGRA, AND DEHRA DHOON,

FOR THE YEAR 1865.

No. 1035 OF 1866.

FROM

JAMES SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,

North-Western Provinces,

TO

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces.

DATED AGRA, THE 25TH APRIL, 1866.

S. D. A., N. W. P.

Present:
W. ROBERTS, Esquire,
F. B. PEARSON Esquire,
Judges.
R. SPANKIE, Esquire,
G. D. TURNBULL, Esquire,
Officiating Judges.

SIR,

I AM directed to submit the Report of the Sudder Dewanny Adawlut on the working of the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the past year (1865).

Submits Report on Small Cause Courts for 1865.

2. The number of suits instituted in the four Small Cause Courts above mentioned during the year under report amounted to 6,210, as compared with 6,331 instituted in 1864 in the three Courts of Benares, Agra, and Allahabad alone. If, for purposes of comparison, the Returns of the Dehra Dhoon Court, which was only opened on the 1st January, 1865, be excluded from the Statements of 1865, the decrease in the income of litigation in the three Courts would be 448.

6,210 suits instituted in 1865 in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Agra, Allahabad, and Dehra Dhoon. 6,331 suits instituted in 1864 in Agra, Allahabad, and Benares.

Exclusive of Dehra Dhoon, the decrease in the income of litigation would be 448.

3. The diminution, so far as it occurred in the Benares and Allahabad Courts, was slight. In Agra, where there was a decrease of 250 cases, Mr. Berkeley attributed the diminution to the effect of the Sudder Court's ruling that a mortgagee who sues

In Benares and Allahabad the diminution was slight.

The decrease of 250 cases in Agra attributed to the

effect of the Sudder Court's ruling that a mortgagee who sues on a bond in the Small Cause Court is held to waive his lien on the real property mortgaged.

on a bond in the Small Cause Court is held, *ipso facto*, to waive his lien on the *real* property mortgaged, as a suit to maintain such lien would not be cognizable in a Small Cause Court.

Actual number of suits instituted in each Court during 1864 and 1865.

4. The actual number of suits instituted in each Court during 1864 and 1865 was the following :—

Years.	Benares.	Allahabad.	Agra.	Dehra Dhoon.
1864 ...	2,399	1,895	2,037	...
1865 ...	2,299	1,797	1,787	327

Of 6,210 suits instituted, 2,637 were for bond debts; *i. e.*, 1,136 in Benares, 621 in Allahabad, 813 in Agra, and 67 in Dehra Dhoon.

5. Out of 6,210 suits instituted, 2,637 were for debts on bond, *i. e.*—

1,136 in Benares ;
621 in Allahabad ;
813 in Agra ;
67 in Dehra Dhoon.

Various descriptions of suits instituted in each Court.

6. The various descriptions of suits instituted in each Court, are shown in the next table :—

Name of Small Cause Courts.	Bond debts.	Shop debts.	Book Account debts.	For personal property.	"Dust Girdan" claims.	For rent.	For damages.	For wages.	For money due on written contract.
Benares ...	1,136	453	189	176	114	85	70	55	21
Allahabad ...	621	209	202	6	242	77	48	138	254
Agra ...	813	104	174	176	261	82	11	47	119
Dehra Dhoon ...	67	...	73	36	42	4	8	56	41

In Benares the proportion of suits for "shop debts" was very large: not a single case of this description in Dehra Dhoon. In Allahabad suits for "money due on written contract" were very numerous, as were money claims unsupported by written documents in Allahabad and Agra.

7. From the above it will be observed that, in the Benares district, the proportion of suits instituted for "shop debts" was very large, while not a single case of this description is reported to have been instituted in the Dehra Dhoon Court. The Court have expressed their surprise to learn this last result. In the Allahabad Court suits for "money due on written contract" were very numerous, as were money claims unsupported by written documents in Allahabad and Agra.

Of 6,417 cases for disposal, 6,284 were disposed of, leaving 133 pending at the end of 1865, compared with 166 similarly pending at the close of 1864.

8. Of 6,417 cases for disposal during the year under review, 6,284 were disposed of, leaving 133 pending on the files at the close of the year, as compared with 166 similarly pending at the end of 1864.

Details of the pending cases.

9. The details of pending cases were —

20 in Benares ;
86 in Allahabad;
77 in Agra ;
0 in Dehra Dhoon.

Agra Return not favorable; those of Dehra Dhoon and Benares creditable to the Judges.

The Agra Return is not favorable in this respect; but those of Dehra Dhoon and Benares are creditable to the presiding officers of those Courts.

Details of cases decided *ex-parte*.

10. Details of cases decided *ex-parte* :—

Courts.	Number of cases disposed of.	Number decided *ex-parte*.	Percentage decided *ex-parte*.
Benares	2,358	603	26·
Allahabad	1,821	335	18·
Agra	1,737	111	6·
Dehra Dhoon	368	64	17·

11. Of the cases disposed of, 18 per cent. were decided *ex-parte*, compared with 17 per cent. in the previous year, and a proportion of 10 per cent. in the ordinary Civil Courts of the North-Western Provinces in 1865. The difference between the results obtaining in two classes of Courts is obviously due to the distinctive feature of the litigation in each.

Of the cases disposed of, 18 per cent. were decided *ex-parte*, compared with 17 per cent. in 1864, and a proportion of 10 per cent. in the ordinary Civil Courts in 1865.

12. 2,049 cases were decided on confession of judgment, *i. e.*, 33 per cent., contrasted with 34 per cent. in the previous year, and 21 per cent. in the North-Western Provinces generally in 1865.

2,049 cases, or 33 per cent., were decided on confession of judgment, compared with 34 per cent. in 1864, and 21 per cent. in the North-Western Provinces generally in 1865.

13. The detailed results of cases decided on confession of judgment were the following:—

Detailed results of cases decided on confession of judgment.

Courts.	Number of cases disposed of.	Number of cases decided on confession.	Percentage of cases decided on confession.
Benares	2,358	694	29·
Allahabad	1,821	637	35·
Agra	1,737	663	38·
Dehra Dhoon	368	55	15·

14. The Court remarked in last year's Report that the proportion of cases decided in Agra on confession of judgment, 42 per cent., was very high. They notice that in the year under report the proportion, 38 per cent., is still high; but Mr. Berkeley has assured them that these cases represent the *bonâ fide* transactions of the litigants, and that cases of collusive or fraudulent confession are the rare exception instead of the rule.

In Agra the proportion of these cases was 38 per cent. compared with 42 per cent. in 1864.

Assurance of Mr. Berkeley regarding these cases.

15. In Allahabad Mr. Macnaghten attributes the number of confessions to the general anxiety of defenders to avail themselves of the provisions of Section 194, Act VIII. of 1859, and to be allowed to satisfy their decrees by instalments.

Explanation of Mr. Macnaghten as to these cases.

16. 1,876 suits, or 30 per cent., were decided on their merits in the Small Cause Courts, compared with 29 per cent. in the previous year, and an average percentage in the ordinary Civil Courts of the North-Western Provinces in 1865 of 52 per cent.

1,876 suits, or 30 per cent., were decided on their merits, compared with 29 per cent. in 1864, and 52 per cent. generally in the North-Western Provinces in 1865.

Details of cases decided on their merits.

Name of Courts.	Number of cases disposed of.	Cases decided on merits.	Percentage of cases decided on merits.
Benares	2,358	682	29·
Allahabad	1,821	546	30·
Agra	1,737	486	28·
Dehra Dhoon	368	162	44·

17. The percentages of cases decided on their merits in the Benares, Allahabad, and Agra Courts have not varied materially since 1864. It is worthy of notice that a much higher proportion of cases was decided on their merits in the recently-established Small Cause Court of Dehra Doon than elsewhere.

Percentages of these cases in Benares, Allahabad, and Agra Courts, have not varied materially since 1864.

In Dehra Dhoon, the proportion of such cases was much higher than elsewhere.

18. *Execution of decrees.*—Of 5,026 cases of application for the execution of decrees disposed of during the year, 1,549, or 31 per cent., were completely executed, and 614, or 12 per cent., partially executed,—as contrasted with 31 per cent. completely executed and 10 per cent. partially executed in 1864.

Execution of decrees.

Of 5,026 cases for disposal, 1,549, or 31 per cent., were completely executed, and 614, or 12 per cent., partially executed,—contrasted with 31 per cent. completely executed and 10 per cent. partially executed in 1864.

Detailed percentages of applications fully executed of those disposed of in each Court in 1864 and 1865.

19. The detailed percentages of applications fully executed of those disposed of in each Court in 1864-65 were the following :—

Courts.	*Percentage fully executed of applications disposed of.*	
	1864.	1865.
Benares	44·	32·
Allahabad	33·	35·
Agra	25·	19·
Dehra Dhoon	0·	59·

The falling-off in the Small Cause Courts of Benares and Agra in respect to cases fully executed noticed by the Court.

The high percentage in Dehra Dhoon very satisfactory.

20. The Court have noticed the falling-off observable in the Small Cause Courts of Benares and Agra in respect to the proportion of decrees fully executed. The high percentage of applications executed in full in the Dehra Dhoon Court is considered very satisfactory.

In addition to the above, 678 applications were made for the sale of immovable property.

11 shares of land were sold and 220 shares in immovable property of other descriptions.

21. In addition to the above, 678 applications were made for the sale of the *immovable* property of judgment-debtors, through the ordinary Civil Courts under Section 11, Act XLII. of 1860, and subsequently under Section 20, Act XI. of 1865; 11 shares of land being thus sold in execution, and 220 shares in immovable property of other descriptions.

Average duration of cases in each Court during 1864 and 1865.

22. The following table exhibits the average duration of the cases decided in each Small Cause Court during the past two years :—

Courts.	1864.	1865.
Benares	8	8
Allahabad	7	6
Agra	16	15
Dehra Dhoon	...	6

Unfavorable average in Agra due to the failure of Mr. Berkeley's health.

The comparatively unfavorable average shown in Agra was due to the failure of Mr. Berkeley's health, which prevented him from disposing of the cases with that degree of promptitude and despatch which might have been otherwise looked for.

Quotation of the remarks of the Judge of the Dehra Dhoon Small Cause Court in regard to this Return.

23. Commenting on the Return of his own Court, Mr. Hall observes : "There is "reason to believe that the procedure of the Court, from its summary nature, has tended "to reduce the average duration of suits, and is in this respect adapted to the wants of "the community of Mussoorie, where the population is always fluctuating, and consists "mainly of Europeans who are unacquainted with the system of appeal of the ordi-"nary District Courts."

Income of stamps and Surplus Tulubana and cost of judicial establishments.

Value of stamps filed in 1865 was Rs. 40,403, compared with Rs. 40,695-10-0 in 1864. Rs. 701 were refunded for Razeenamahs, leaving a net balance of Rs. 39,702.

24. *Income of Stamps and cost of Judicial Establishments.*—In the aggregate, the total value of stamps filed in the Small Cause Courts amounted to Rs. 40,403, as compared with Rs. 40,695-10-0 in 1864. The amount refunded for Razeenamahs was Rs. 701, leaving a net balance of Rs. 39,702.

Rs. 618-1-0 were also realized as penalties; Rs. 115-2-6 by fines; and Rs. 4,667-0-5 as Surplus Tulubana.

The net income of the four Courts amounted to Rs. 45,102-3-11, compared with Rs. 46,687-4-1 in 1864 from the Courts of Agra, Allahabad, and Benares.

In addition to the above, Rs. 618-1-0 were realized as penalties imposed on account of documents insufficiently stamped; Rs. 115-2-6 by fines; and Rs. 4,667-0-5 as Surplus Tulubana. The net income of the four Courts thus amounted to Rs. 45,102-3-11, compared with a net income of Rs. 46,687-4-1 from the three Courts of Benares, Allahabad, and Agra in the year 1864.

Total cost of the Courts was Rs. 46,483-5-6, so that

25. The total cost of the Courts and their establishments during the past year amounted to Rs. 46,483-5-6, so that a slight loss (of Rs. 1,381-1-7) was incurred by

Government. It is to be observed, however, that no part of the salary of the Judge of the Dehra Court of Small Causes is taken into account in the above calculation. The Benares and Agra Courts more than paid their expenses, exhibiting a net gain of Rs. 3,601-15-11 as marginally detailed. In the Allahabad Court, owing to the higher salary of the Judge, the deficit was Rs. 6,283-12-6.

Benares	... Rs.	3,377	8	5
Agra	... "	224	7	6
Total	... Rs.	3,601	15	11

there was a slight loss to Government of Rs. 1,281-1-7.

Salary of Judge of Dehra Dhoon Small Cause Court not taken into account.

Benares and Agra Courts more than pay their expenses.

In Allahabad the deficit owing to the higher salary of the Judge.

26. *Applications for a new trial.*—These may be summarized as follows :—

Applications for a new trial.

Name of Courts.	Pending at close of 1864.	Instituted in 1865.	Total for disposal.	Summarily struck of.	Admitted for trial.		Pending at close of 1865.
					Former judgment maintained.	Former judgment reversed or modified.	
Benares	...	34	34	28	...	4	2
Allahabad	...	32	32	24	2	6	...
Agra	53	137	190	76	12	18	84
Dehra Dhoon	...	21	21	10	2	9	...

27. The Court have noticed the unfavorable nature of the Agra Returns as compared with those of the other Courts, not only in regard to the disproportionately large number of applications preferred for a new trial, but with reference to the large number pending trial at the close of the year.

Unfavorable nature of the Agra Returns noticed by the Court.

28. Only four references on points of law were made to the Sudder Court during the past year,—one from the Allahabad and three from the Dehra Dhoon Court. The Court had therefore little or no opportunity of forming an opinion regarding the judicial aptitude of the officers who were Judges of the Small Cause Courts during the year.

Only four references made to the Sudder Court in 1865,—one from Allahabad, and three from Dehra Dhoon.

Little or no opportunity afforded to the Court of forming an opinion as to the judicial aptitude of the Judges.

29. Speaking generally from the Returns before them, and excluding the results of Agra so far as they were unfavorably affected by the state of Mr. Berkeley's health, the Court consider that Shamachurn Banerjee, Mr. E. Macnaghten, Mr. R. H. Smith, Mr. C. F. Hall, and Mahomed Buksh, are entitled to credit for their exertions during the year. The figured results of the Dehra Dhoon Court, presided over by Mr. C. F. Hall, are specially favorable and creditable to that officer.

Speaking generally, and excluding Mr. Berkeley's Returns, Shamachurn Banerjee, Mr. E. Macnaghten, Mr. R. H. Smith, Mr. C. F. Hall, and Mahomed Buksh, entitled to credit.

The figured results of the Dehra Dhoon Court specially favorable and creditable to Mr. Hall.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. I.

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1865.

Name of Presiding Officer.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of the year 1864.	Instituted during the year 1865.	Total under trial.	Disposed of in the year 1865.							Pending at the close of December, 1865.	Remarks.
					Ex parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
MR. R. H. SMITH AND BABOO SHAMACHURN BANERJEE, *Judges of the Small Cause Court, Benares.*	I.—For debts on bond,	31	1,136	1,167	306	461	11	269	18	93	1,158	9	
	II.—For debts on book accounts ...	2	189	191	52	45	4	54	8	26	189	2	
	III.—For money due on written contract,	...	21	21	5	...	1	7	3	5	21	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i.e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	39	453	492	161	101	2	126	20	77	487	5	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written document,	1	114	115	16	16	1	51	5	25	114	1	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	2	55	57	8	5	...	32	2	10	57	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	1	85	86	18	23	...	33	1	10	85	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value,	1	176	177	29	35	10	70	5	27	176	1	
	IX.—For damages ...	2	70	72	8	8	...	4	3	12	71	1	
	Total ...	79	2,299	2,378	603	694	29	682	65	285	*2,358	20	
E. MACKINTEE, ESQUIRE, *Judge of the Small Cause Court, Allahabad.*	I.—For debts on bond,	29	621	650	118	358	2	88	12	53	631	19	
	II.—For debts on book accounts ...	5	202	207	41	64	5	63	6	25	204	3	
	III.—For money due on written contract,	6	254	260	72	67	3	63	9	37	251	9	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i.e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	209	210	46	72	...	52	8	31	209	1	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written document ...	12	242	254	29	40	8	120	20	37	254	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	7	138	145	22	10	1	87	8	15	143	2	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	77	77	5	17	3	37	4	11	77	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value,	...	6	6	...	...	...	5	...	1	6	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	48	48	2	9	...	31	...	4	46	2	
	Total ...	60	1,797	1,857	335	637	22	546	67	214	1,821	36	

* By Baboo Shama Churn 1,645
By Mr. R. H. Smith 713

TOTAL ... 2,358

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. 1.

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1865.—(Concluded.)

Name of presiding officer.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of the year 1864.	Instituted during the year 1865.	Total under trial.	Disposed of in the year 1865.							Pending at the close of December, 1865.	Remarks.
					Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
V. BERKELEY, ESQUIRE, *Judge of Small Cause Court, Agra.*	I.—For debts on bond,	6	813	819	68	412	7	164	21	123	795	24	
	II.—For debts on book accounts ...	2	174	176	15	57	3	45	2	49	171	5	
	III.—For money due on written contract,	8	119	127	12	32	...	40	3	19	106	21	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	6	104	110	1	32	1	15	8	39	96	14	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents,	2	261	263	6	71	2	83	25	73	260	3	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	2	47	49	2	5	2	18	2	12	41	8	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	82	82	3	28	...	36	3	17	81	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value,	1	176	177	4	26	2	84	15	46	177	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	11	11	...	...	...	7	...	3	10	1	
	Total ...	27	1,787	1,814	111	663	17	486	79	381	1,737	77	
C. F. HALL, ESQUIRE, *Judge of Small Cause Court, Dehra Dhoon.*	I.—For debts on bond,	13	67	80	10	27	1	25	6	11	80	...	
	II.—For debts on book accounts ...	20	73	93	13	11	1	41	5	22	93	...	
	III.—For money due on written contracts,	1	41	42	13	5	1	19	1	3	42	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents,	1	42	43	5	5	1	23	...	9	43	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	56	57	17	...	...	29	5	6	57	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	1	4	5	1	1	...	2	...	1	5	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value,	3	36	39	5	5	2	17	...	10	39	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	1	8	9	...	1	1	6	...	1	9	...	
	Total ...	41	327	368	64	55	7	162	17	63	368	...	
	GRAND TOTAL ...	207	6,210	6,417	1,113	2,049	75	1,876	228	943	6,284	133	

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. II.

RETURN *showing the number of decrees executed and unexecuted in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year.*

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
		Applications received for execution.				DISPOSED OF.									*Balance of cases pending execution.*		
						Applications executed in full.				*Applications executed in part.*							
Name and designation of Officer.	Number of cases pending at the close of past year.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	Cases involving immovable property transferred for execution to other Courts under certificate granted to decree-holders under Section 2 Act XLII. of 1860	Total for disposal after deduction of cases transferred in column 5.	Amount of decree realized in full by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in full by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after the passing of decree.	Total number of applications executed in full.	Amount of decree realized in part by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in part by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after passing of the decree.	Total number of applications executed in part.	Percentage of column 10 to column 6.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	REMARKS.
Baboo Shamachurn Banerjee, Judge of S. C. C. of Benares, and Mr. R. H. Smith, Offg. Do. do. ...	354	846	1,479	318	2,361	9	126	568	703	52	71	311	434	30·	13	153	1,053 struck off on default.
E. Macnaghten, Esq., Do. do., Allahabad ...	133	598	1,218	175	1,774	145	170	262	577	187	56	256	499	33·	4	105	589 ditto ditto. 78 money paid before judgment.
V. Berkeley, Esq., Do. do., Agra ...	165	462	773	160	1,239	21	80	94	195	48	69	46	163	16·	8	191	682 struck off on default.
C. F. Hall, Esq., Do. do., Dehra Dhoon ...	...	152	3	25	130	11	57	6	74	15	10	1	26	57·	4	...	26 ditto ditto.
TOTAL ...	652	2,058	3,472	678	5,504	186	433	930	1,549	302	206	614	1,122	28·	29	449	2355 struck off. 78 money paid before judgment.

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. III.

RETURN *showing the average duration of suits in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1865.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865. Years.	Months.	Days.
Baboo Shamachurn Banerjee, Judge of Small Cause Court of Benares, and Mr. R. H. Smith, Officiating Ditto of ditto ...	...	...	8
E. Macnaghton, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Allahabad ...	...	...	6
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Agra ...	...	...	15
C. F. Hall, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Dehra Dhoon ...	...	...	6
General average ...	...	...	9

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. IV.

RETURN *showing the value of Stamps sold, penalties imposed, fines realized, and Surplus Tulubana accruing, together with the cost of Court and Establishment, and balance, profit or loss, accruing in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, for the year* 1865.

Name and designation of Officer.	Receipts. Ordinary Stamp receipts. Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenumah.	Net Total.	Amount of Stamp penalties realized; vide Register No. 4.	Amount of fines realized; Register No. 10.	Amount of Surplus Tulubana; Register No. 6.	Cost of Small Cause Court, including salary of Judge and establishment.	Net profit.	Net loss.	Remarks.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Baboo Shamachurn Banerjee, Judge of Small Cause Court of Benares, and Mr. R. H. Smith, Officiating Ditto of ditto ...	14,729 8 0	67 8 0	14,662 0 0	223 2 0	26 0 0	1,929 0 6	13,462 10 1	2,377 8 5	...	Out of the Surplus Tulubana, Rs. 410-5-3 has been sent to the Judge's Court as Ameen's fees.
E. Macnaghten, Esq., Ditto of Allahabad ...	12,048 0 0	223 8 0	11,824 8 0	168 4 0	45 0 0	1,672 6 10	19,993 15 4	...	6,283 12 6	
V. Berkeley, Esq., Ditto of Agra ...	11,509 0 0	305 0 0	11,204 0 0	223 9 0	4 8 0	366 2 7	12,073 12 1	224 7 6	...	
C. F. Hall, Esq., Ditto of Dehra Dhoon ...	2,116 8 0	105 0 0	2,011 8 0	3 2 0	39 10 6	199 6 6	953 0 0	1,303 11 0	...	
TOTAL ...	40,403 0 0	701 0 0	39,702 0 0	618 1 0	115 2 6	4,667 0 5	46,483 5 6	4,902 10 11	6,283 12 6	Net loss, Rs. 1,381-1-7.

ANNUAL RETURN,—No. V.

RETURN *showing the number and results of the applications preferred for a new trial under Section* 21, *Act XI. of* 1865, *in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, for the year* 1865.

Name and designation of Officer.	Pending trial at the close of last year.		Presented during current year.		Total for disposal.		*Applications struck off or rejected without being entered in Register No. 1 for trial.*		Applications admitted for trial.						Remarks.
									Cases in which former judgment was reversed or maintained.		*Cases in which former judgment was reversed or modified.*		*Cases pending trial at the close of the year* 1865.		
	By Plaintiff.	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Baboo Shamachurn Banerjee, Judge of the Small Cause Court of Benares, and Mr. R. H. Smith, Officiating Ditto ditto	...	...	13	21	13	21	9	19	...	...	2	2	2	...	
E. Macnaghten, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Allahabad,	...	...	16	16	16	16	12	12	1	1	3	3	...	...	
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Agra ...	47	6	104	33	151	39	60	16	11	1	16	2	64	20	
C. F. Hall, Esquire, Ditto ditto of Dehra Dhoon,	...	...	12	9	12	9	9	1	1	1	2	7	...	...	
TOTAL ...	47	6	145	79	192	85	90	48	13	3	23	14	66	20	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 183A. OF 1866.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,
Secretary to Government,
North-Western Provinces,

TO

J. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,
Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,
North-Western Provinces.

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 11TH JUNE, 1866.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1035, dated the 25th April, being Report on the working of the Courts of Small Causes in Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year 1865.

2. In reply, I am desired to observe that the number of pending cases at the close of the year, the percentage of decrees fully executed, the average duration of cases, the number of applications for a new trial, and the number remaining undisposed of, all show unfavorably for the Agra Court. His Honor is however willing to believe that these unsatisfactory results are attributable chiefly to the illness of Mr. Berkeley, and not to any want of industry and application on his part. The Judges of the other Courts of Small Causes appear to have worked well, and are deserving of commendation.

3. I am also to request that, as Courts of Small Causes have been established under the provisions of Section 6, Act XXII. of 1864, in all Cantonments in these Provinces, the Court will be good enough to obtain from the different Cantonment Magistrates, Statements of cases decided by them as Small Cause Court Judges, and include them next year in the Annual Returns.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient Servant,
R. SIMSON,
Secretary to the Government of the
North-Western Provinces.

APPENDIX B.

NUMERICAL RETURNS ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE RESULTS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, DURING THE YEAR 1865.

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	473	506	324	348	399	419	17	...	118	32
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	81	123	162	176	295	313	...	...	13	14
Sudder Ameen ...	158	206	99	115	163	188	...	...	17	32
Moonsiff ...	4,173	4,317	3,377	4,016	3,701	4,362	...	...	272	212
Total ...	4,885	5,152	3,962	4,655	4,558	5,282	17	...	420	290
Increase ...	...	267	...	...	596	627	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...	130

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court	Name of the Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr M B Thornhill,	3	...	...	17	3	396	419	95	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan ...	32	7	2	2	16	254	313	81	
Sudder Ameen ...	Moulvie Ali Bux Khan,	23	31	7	4	21	97	188	52	
Moonsiff of Southern Division ..	Ditto	142	283	23	30	119	253	850	30	
Moonsiff of Northern Division ...	Pundit Hur Suhoy ...	37	35	12	11	22	60	177	34	
	Sotee Beharee Lall ...	83	165	24	21	79	178	550	32	
Moonsiff of Kaimgunge ...	Manki Doss ...	174	196	47	41	84	445	987	45	
Moonsiff of Chubramow ..	Kishen Dyal ...	102	210	41	64	102	490	1,009	49	
Moonsiff of Kunouj,	Kishoree Lall ...	115	329	24	31	57	233	789	30	
	Total ...	716	1,256	180	221	503	2,406	5,282	46	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	243	214	223	283	233	280	3	...	70	4
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	291	316	352	636	202	328	...	...	57	45
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs ...	9,098	9,381	5,428	8,461	5,276	9,916	...	...	1,315	526
Total ...	9,632	9,911	6,003	9,380	5,711	10,524	3	...	1,442	575
Increase ...	...	279	...	...	...	1,144	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	292	...	...	3	...	867

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,536	1,306	2,074	5,816	26
1865 ..	1,367	1,449	4,776	7,592	18

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of the past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Remarks.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.					
Mr. H. Wilson, Settlement Officer ...	18	38	56	12	40	4	56	...	71	149	25	
„ W. Tyrrell, Deputy Collector ...	14	2	16	12	1	3	16	...	6	6	33	
„ J. G. Robertson, Asst. ditto ...	2	12	14	4	8	...	12	2	67	70	17	
„ G. Adams, ditto ditto ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	5	...	40	31	16	
Moulvie Mobeen, Deputy Collector ...	4	13	17	5	12	...	17	...	71	82	16	
Heit Ram, Tehseeldar of Mohumdabad,	3	4	7	1	6	...	7	...	86	46	9	
Kishen Lall, do. of Chubramow,	2	10	12	6	6	...	12	...	50	81	12	
Fuzul Ahmud, do. of Kaimgunge,	4	3	7	3	4	...	7	...	57	53	6	
Ram Narain, do. of Kunouj ...	8	5	13	8	5	...	13	...	38	49	10	
Nujm-ood-deen, do. of Tirwa ...	...	2	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	37	5	
Total ...	55	94	149	55	84	8	147	2	57	604	16	

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, of Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, of Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, of Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, of Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
					None.							

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. H. S. Reid, Judge	...	...	14	...	...	...
„ M. B. Thornhill, ditto	...	...	28	...	* 1	15
Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	1	7	...	1	18
Heit Lall, Sudder Ameen	...	...	19	...	...	...
Moulvie Ali Bux Khan, ditto	...	...	30	...	...	25
Heit Lall, Moonsiff of Southern Division	...	...	13	...	...	...
Moulvie Ali Bux Khan, ditto ditto	...	...	27	...	...	21
Pundit Hur Suhoy, ditto of Northern ditto	...	...	15	...	...	15
Sotee Behuree Lall, ditto of ditto	...	...	...	...	..	22
Abdool Umjud, ditto of Kaimgunge	...	...	16	...	...	...
Mankee Dass, ditto of ditto	...	...	...	...	...	19
Kishen Dyal, ditto of Chubramow	...	...	24	...	...	25
Nasir Ali Khan, ditto of Kunouj	...	...	14	...	...	...
Kishoree Lall, ditto of ditto	...	...	28	...	...	23

* Average duration of appeal cases under Act X. of 1859 and Act XIV. of 1863, 1 month, 17 days.

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Judge ...	Original ...	4	...	4	8	...	8	100
	Appeal ...	85	62	129	276	135	411	67
Kazee Inaynt Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	Original ...	38	11	67	116	10	126	92
	Appeal ...	25	21	128	174	13	187	93
Ali Buksh Khan, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division		201	183	606	990	48	1,038	95
Pundit Hur Suboy, Moonsiff of Northern Division ...		42	7	121	170	7	177	96
Sotee Beharee Lall, ditto ditto ...		112	54	368	534	16	550	97
Mankee Dass, ditto of Kaimgunge		211	62	660	933	54	987	95
Kishen Dyal, ditto of Chubramow		114	106	745	965	44	1,009	96
Kishorse Lall, ditto of Kunouj		116	84	524	724	65	789	92
	Total ...	948	590	3,352	4,890	392	5,282	93

Operation of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
Furruckabad.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	5	...	2	...	7	
	S. A and Mff. of Sn. Dn. ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	38	...	...	...	38	
	Moonsiff, Northern Division,	...	4	...	7	...	11	...	11	...	...	...	11	
	Moonsiff, Kaimgunge ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	39	...	...	...	39	
	Moonsiff, Chubramow ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	...	7	
	Moonsiff, Kunouj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	8	
	Total ...	...	4	...	18	...	22	...	108	...	2	...	110	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
		Original.	Appeal.						
						Days.	M. D.		
Furruckabad.	Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan ...	9	15	55	54	16	1 18	93	
	On merits only ...	6	15						

Sudder Ameen's work.

District.	Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
					M. D.	Days		
Furruckabad.	Moulvie Ali Bux Khan ...	11	34	53	2 2	25	95	
	On merits ...	9						

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.		
Southern Division,	All Bux Khan ...	35	14	20	1	21	95	
	(On merits ...	23)						
Northern Division,	Pundit Hursuhaie ...	36	46	47	...	15	96	
	(On merits ...	24)			Day.			
Northern Division,	Sotee Beharee Lall ...	36	19	36	10	22	97	
	(On merits ...	25)						
Kaiemgunj ...	Mankie Doss ...	55	13	38	16	19	95	
	(On merits ...	41)						
Chubramow ...	Kishen Dyal ...	53	15	36	24	25	96	
	(On merits ...	44)						
Kunnouj ...	Kishoree Lall ...	31	33	33	5	23	92	
	(On merits ...	21)						

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Average value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Average value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Civil Judges' salaries and establishment, including Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	10,921 0 0	...	322 0 0	10,499 0 0	36,553 8 0	...	26,054 8 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	13,468 8 0	43 6 0	554 0 0	12,962 14 0	6,600 0 0	6,362 14 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Southern Division ...	12,308 1 0	231 0 0	368 0 0	12,171 1 0	3,960 0 0	8,211 1 0	...	
Moonsiff of Northern Division .	4,354 0 0	34 2 0	74 0 0	4,314 2 0	2,640 0 0	1,674 2 0	...	
Moonsiff of Kaiemgunj ...	5,698 8 0	66 1 0	28 8 0	5,736 1 0	2,640 0 0	3,096 1 0	...	
Moonsiff of Chubramow ...	6,453 14 0	114 4 0	135 0 0	6,433 2 0	2,640 0 0	3,793 2 0	...	
Moonsiff of Kunnouj ...	5,245 0 0	42 1 0	34 8 0	5,252 9 0	3,360 0 0	1,892 9 0	...	
Total ...	58,348 15 0	535 14 0	1,516 0 0	57,363 13 0	58,393 8 0	25,029 13 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	785	706	278	317	392	459	18	3	310	236
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	120	159	392	409	421	440	2	...	47	90
Moonsiffs ...	1,869	1,694	1,657	1,853	1,547	1,735	2	...	237	194
Total ...	2,774	2,559	2,327	2,579	2,360	2,634	22	3	594	520
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	33	55	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	215	...	...	...	...	...	19	...	74

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1	2.	3	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge ...	Mr. R. Spankie ...	12	...	1	47	20	379	459	82	
Principal Sudder Ameen	Syud Hussun Russa ...	11	31	7	8	11	372	440	85	
Moonsiff of City ...	Mahomed Kamil ...	50	98	1	27	19	613	808	76	
Do. of Pergunnah	Shah Abdoollah ... / Niaz Mahomed ...	72	11	5	9	59	330	486	68	
Do. of Murriahoo,	Shah Rahut Ali ...	35	...	8	37	37	324	441	73	
	Total ...	180	140	22	128	146	2,018	2,634	76	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	202	228	77	187	108	218	...	1	61	71
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	614	627	376	592	368	699	...	...	169	100
Moonsiffs ...	3,781	3,342	2,677	3,566	2,024	3,651	...	...	717	408
Total ...	4,597	4,197	3,130	*4,345	2,500	4,568	...	1	947	579
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	223	...	1	...	...
Decrease ...	...	400	...	...	630	...	...	...	...	368

* Exclusive of transferred cases, 4,332.

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	668	524	1,030	2,222	30
1865 ...	627	437	1,956	3,020	21

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. F M. Bird, Collector ...	5	3	8	4	3	1	8	...	37	3	100
„ T. B. Tracey, Officiating Collector,	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
„ H A. Harrison, Do. do. ...	...	3	3	...	...	1	1	2	...	9	33
„ C. Girdlestone, Assistant do. ...		...	8	2	2	4	8	...	25	...	...
„ W. H. Smith, Do. do. ...		1	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	...	...
„ E. T. Atkinson, Do. do. ...	8	47	55	23	8	1	32	23	25	140	34
„ W Rawlins, Do. do. ...	...	15	15	...	...	1	1	14	...	25	60
„ P. Niblett, Deputy Collector ...	38	8	46	35	6	3	44	2	14	...	...
„ J H. Walker, Do. do. ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ N. Parsick, Do. do. ...	3	...	3	1	2	...	3	...	67	...	...
Syud Torab Ali, Do. do. ...	58	33	91	47	39	5	91	...	43	57	58
Ali Buksh Khan, Do. do. ...	...	36	36	...	5	5	10	26	50	205	18
Baboo Balmokund Do. do. ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	13	15
Pundit Kishoon Narain, Tehseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector ...	16	26	42	12	21	8	41	1	51	68	38
Sheikh Sahib Ali, Do. do. ...	18	1	19	11	7	1	19	...	37	4	25
Jugmohun Singh, Do. do. ...	2	11	13	1	5	1	7	6	71	70	16
Sheopershaud, Do. do. ...	...	5	5	3	...	2	5	...	...	55	9
Nusseer-ood-deen Khan, Do. do. ...	...	63	63	13	9	4	26	37	35	177	36
Total ...	160	255	415	154	110	38	302	113	36	826	31

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name and designation of Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge ...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Niaz Mahomed, Moonsiff of Pergunnah ...	1	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	4	5	4	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.						1865.					
	Civil cases, other than Act X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 cases.			Act X. of 1859 & XIV. of 1863 cases.			Civil cases, other than Act X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 cases.			Act X of 1859 & XIV. of 1863 cases.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Messrs. H B Henderson, R. Spankie, J. R. Best, and R. Spankie, Judges ...	...	2	17	...	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	13	...	5	19
Syud Hussun Ruza, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	2	27	...	...	...
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City ...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	28	...	...	...
Sheikh Mehdi Ali and Shah Abdoollah, Moonsiff of Pergunnah ...	...	1	10	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Shah Abdoolah, and Niaz Mahomed, Moonsiffs of Pergunnah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	16	...	...	...
Shah Ruhut Ali, Moonsiff of Murriahoo ...	...	1	10	...	...	...	...	1	15	...	...	...

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

Name and designation of Presiding Officers.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Mr. R Spankie, Judge ...	21	...	31	...	39	1	91	1	367	459	20
Syud Hussun Ruza, P. S. Ameen ...	17	30	10	46	12	40	39	116	285	440	35
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City ...	93	...	5	240	171	171	269	411	128	808	84
Shah Abdoollah ... } Do. of Pergunnah,	19	...	10	32	35	35	64	67	142	486	52
Niaz Mahomed ... } Do. of Pergunnah,	28	3	5	16	35	34	68	53	92		
Shah Rahut Ali, Do. of Murriahoo ...	2	46	2	80	6	220	10	346	85	441	81
Total ...	180	79	63	414	298	501	541	994	1,099	2,634	58

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntarily.						*Involuntarily and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Jounpore.	Judge	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	3	...	...	1	3	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	4	7	2	2	6	9	
	Moonsiff of the City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	
	Ditto of Pergunnah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	2	...	13	
	Ditto of Murriahoo ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Total ...	...	...	1	2	1	2	5	26	2	4	7	30	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits	Percentage of original and appeal cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.		REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.				M. D	Original.	Appeal.	
Syud Husann Rusa ...	9	24	69	41	74	2 27	47	21	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
City ...	Mahomed Kamil ...	55	30	25	36	M. D 1 28	84	
Pergunnah ...	Shah Abdoollah, Niaz Mahomed,	34	32	35	39	2 16	52	
Murriahoo ...	Shah Rahut Ali ...	30	39	21	52	1 15	81	

Income of Stamps and cost of Judiciary Establishment.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently Stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	8,790 14 0	...	183 0 0	8,607 14 0	36,531 10 8	...	27,923 12 8	
Principal Sudder Ameen	9,576 8 0	30 3 0	130 0 0	9,476 11 0	6,600 0 0	2,876 11 0	...	
Moonsiff of the City	5,760 0 0	10 12 0	14 0 0	5,756 12 0	3,974 4 7	1,782 7 5	...	
Ditto of Pergunnah	3,970 8 0	11 0 0	10 0 0	3,971 8 0	2,876 6 5	1,095 1 7	...	
Ditto of Murriahoo	2,903 0 0	141 12 0	...	3,044 12 0	3,052 13 9	...	8 1 9	
TOTAL ...	31,000 14 0	193 11 0	337 0 9	30,857 9 0	53,035 0 0	5,754 4 0	27,931 14 5	

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH AZIMGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	895	772	486	514	570	627	1	...	119	66
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	102	77	430	455	490	517	4	1	243	50
Sudder Ameen ...	962	842	446	812	408	772	...	...	168	200
Moonsiffs ...	1,514	1,536	1,340	1,538	1,307	1,514	...	...	108	116
Total ...	3,473	3,227	2,702	3,319	2,775	3,430	5	1	638	432
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	73	111	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	246	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	206

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of the Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	
Judge's Court ...	A. Ross, Esquire ...	...	...	8	5	52	562	627	90·	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,	Abdool Uzeez Khan,	5	5	5	9	18	475	517	91·	
Sudder Ameen's Court ...	Mahomed Hossein Khan, ...	65	27	2	71	293	314	772	41·	
Mahomdabad Moonsiffee ...	Ahmud-ool'ah ...	64	123	9	14	98	504	812	62·	
Nugra Moonsiffee,	Bhugwan Pershaud,	57	53	10	46	49	487	702	69	
	Total ...	191	208	34	145	510	2,342	3,430	68·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	411	398	332	393	325	393	...	...	112	110
Principal Suddor Ameen ...	504	413	267	486	262	472	...	...	218	160
Sudder Ameen ...	2,068	1,669	964	1,861	822	2,019	1	...	780	428
Moonsiffs ...	3,653	3,458	2,715	3,439	2,854	3,943	...	...	772	291
Total ...	6,636	5,938	4,278	*6,179	4,263	6,827	1	...	1,882	989
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	648	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	698	...	...	15	...	...	1	...	893

* Exclusive of transferred cases, 6,150.

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,219	430	1,519	3,168	38·
1865 ...	1,176	463	2,141	3,780	31·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officer.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. C. J. H. Richards, Collector ...	5	6	11	5	5	1	11	...	45	6	100
„ T. B. Tracy, Deputy Collector ...	9	5	14	8	5	1	14	...	36	7	71
„ E. S. Robertson, Ditto Ditto ...	...	4	4	3	1	...	4	...	25	42	9
„ J. S. Porter, Assistant Collector,	1	5	6	2	4	...	6	...	66	17	29
„ J. C. Leupolt, Ditto Ditto ...	15	26	41	16	20	2	38	3	52	93	28
„ J. W. Concannon, Deputy Collector	5	20	25	9	9	3	21	4	43	86	23
„ E. A. Cline, Ditto Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
Syud Ali Hussun, Ditto of Pergunnah Nizamabad ...	13	45	58	23	27	8	58	...	46	141	32
Shunker Dial Panday, Ditto of Pergunnah Mahaul ...	...	34	34	12	15	4	31	3	48	68	50
Mehde-ool-zuman, Ditto of Pergunnah Mahomdabad ...	2	9	11	6	1	3	10	1	10	35	26
Gholam Russool Khan, Ditto of Pergunnah Sugree ...	3	19	22	10	8	2	20	2	40	83	20
Sheogolam Singh, Ditto of Pergunnah Mahomdabad ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
Total ...	53	175	228	94	97	24	215	13	45	578	30

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170 of Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24 of Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169 of Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170 of Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of persons in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of persons in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Mahomed Hossein, Sudder Ameen, Azimgurh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2
Bhugwan Pershaud, Moonsiff of Nugra,	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.			
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Andrew Ross, Esquire, Judge ...	...	1	20	...	1	29	Of appeals under Act X. of 1859, and XIV. of 1863.
Andrew Ross, Esquire, Judge ...	...	2	19	...	3	7	
Abdool Uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	2	21	...	3	7	
Mahomed Hossein Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	...	...	2	4	
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	...	2	20	...	1	5	
Bhugwan Pershaud, Moonsiff of Nugra ...	...	...	26	...	1	4	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Andrew Ross, Esquire, Judge ...	227	109	203	539	88	627	86·
Abdool Uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	12	9	489	510	7	517	98·
Mahomed Hossein Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	166	43	419	628	144	772	81·
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	64	52	633	749	63	812	92·
Bhugwan Pershaud, Moonsiff of Nugra ...	73	37	545	655	47	702	93·
Total ...	542	250	2,289	3,081	349	3,430	90·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntarily.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section* 248.					
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
Azimgurh.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	1	...	8
	Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	5	...	21
	Mahomdabad Moonsiffee ...	...	11	...	8	...	19	...	14	...	2	...	16
	Nugra Moonsiffee ...	...	19	...	...	...	19	...	31	...	...	...	31
	Total ...	...	30	...	8	...	38	...	72	...	8	...	80

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Abdool Uzeez Khan ...	11	29	70	81	1 5	3 7	98	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Hossein Khan ...	26	...	73	47	3 3	2 4	81	

Income of Stamps and costs of Judiciary Establishment.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razoonamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court	10,901 0 0	...	117 0 0	10,784 0 0	36,551 0 0	...	25,767 0 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court,	8,335 0 0	20 0 0	25 0 0	8,380 0 0	9,000 0 0	...	620 0 0	
Sudder Ameen's Court ...	10,554 0 0	...	54 0 0	10,500 0 0	3,960 0 0	6,540 0 0	...	
Mahomdabad Moonsiffee ...	5,263 0 0	5 0 0	56 0 0	5,212 0 0	2,440 0 0	2,572 0 0	...	
Nugra Moonsiffee	5,821 0 0	109 0 0	11 0 0	5,919 0 0	2,640 0 0	3,279 0 0	...	
Total ...	40,924 0 0	134 0 0	263 0 0	40,795 0 0	54,791 0 0	...	13,996 0 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.								
Nugra ...	Bhugwan Pershand ...	41	14	22	0	27	1	4	93
Mahomdabad ...	Ahmud-oollah ...	43	39	33	1	2	21	5	92
		Average number of regular cases decided on their merits.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.		Average duration of suits. M. D.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.
									REMARKS.

ZILLAH BENARES.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1866.			
			Previous year		*Year under review.*		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	Previous year.	Year under review.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	171	197	167	186	188	200	...	...	8	9
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	548	765	469	515	660	751	1	...	70	78
Total ...	719	962	636	701	848	951	1	...	78	87
Increase ...	...	243	...	...	212	250	...	...	...	9
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of Cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Decided on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on their merits.	Total.	Percentage of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Benares ...	Judge ...	...	...	...	8	4	188	200	94	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	130	70	9	26	65	451	751	60	
	Total ...	130	70	9	34	69	639	951	67	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			Previous year		Year under review.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January 1866.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on their merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on their merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	319	296	233	311	214	306	...	...	43	23
Judge, Small Cause Court ...	106	25	24	82	11	53	...	...	36	8
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,979	1,733	1,188	1,635	1,422	1,930	...	...	816	629
Total ...	2,404	2,054	1,445	2,028	1,647	2,289	...	...	895	660
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	202	261	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	350	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	235

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way	
Previous year ...	584	449	544	1,577	37
Year under review,	691	468	627	1,786	39

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total cases disposed of.	Number of suits relating to rent, instituted and disposed of by each of the Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of the cases appealed to the number decided by each	Remarks.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.					
Mr. J. H. Bax, Collector, Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
„ G. E. Watson, Offg. Collector ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	3	100	
„ H. S. Halsey, Depy. Collector ...	...	3	3	...	3	...	3	...	100	...	...	
„ C. W. Moore, Ditto ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100	...	...	
„ H. D. Robertson, Ditto ...	...	13	13	4	6	3	13	...	46	9	11	
„ D. C. Halkett, Ditto ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	6	50	
„ J. Quinn, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	
„ E. A. Cline, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
„ P. Niblett, Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	5	20	
Ali Hussun, with powers of D. C. ...	...	24	24	10	14	...	24	...	58	93	25	
Rampertab, ditto ditto ...	...	20	20	14	2	2	18	2	11	29	69	
Total ...	...	69	69	33	28	5	66	3	42	148	47	

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24 of Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169 of Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
BENARES. Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
BENARES. Judge, Small Cause Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
BENARES. Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	4	6	2	4	*3	7	1	5	* 1 case, 1 person pending in Magistrate's Court.
Total ...	..	...	...	...	4	6	2	4	3	7	1	5	

Average duration of Suits.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Year.	Month.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Judge—Appeals from Collector, Act XI. of 1859 and Act XIV. of 1863	...	...	29	...	1	...
Judge—Appeals from Principal Sudder Ameen	...	1	12	...	1	9
Principal Sudder Ameen	...	1	18	...	1	9

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		In which defendant was personally before the Court.		In which both parties were personally before the Court.		Total cases of personal attendance.				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judge	26	...	...	37	26	...	52	37	111	200	44
Principal Sudder Ameen,	63	155	95	54	96	118	254	327	170	751	77
Total ...	89	155	95	91	122	118	306	364	281	951	70

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntarily and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		Instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Remarks.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	1	1	1	12	
	Judge, Small Cause Court,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	1	1	1	12	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Mr. R. H. Smith	38	...	26	35	1	7	1	9	77	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinarily).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	6,878 1 0	36 15 0	860 12 0	6,054 4 0	38,756 0 0	...	32,701 12 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	31,301 8 0	222 3 0	732 0 0	30,791 11 0	9,000 0 0	21,791 11 0	...	
Judge, Small Cause Court, with powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	28 8 0	...	...	28 8 0	...	28 8 0	...	
Total ...	38,208 1 0	259 2 0	1,592 12 0	36,874 7 0	47,756 0 0	...	10,881 9 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

Regular Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
Court.	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	764	769	317	347	445	453	...	...	212	186
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	79	103	473	495	409	436	...	...	192	249
Sudder Ameen ...	180	199	170	202	176	195	...	...	42	39
Moonsiffs ...	4,525	4,728	3,950	4,842	4,091	4,794	...	...	149	60
Total ...	5,584	5,799	4,910	5,886	5,121	5,818	...	...	595	534
Increase ...	...	251	...	...	211	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	61

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during 1865.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	H. Vansittart, Esq. ...	...	...	...	8	...	445	453	98	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Kasim Ali Khan ...	15	23	5	22	5	366	436	84	
Sudder Ameen ...	Het Lall ...	202	397	13	117	94	338	1,161	29	
Moonsiff of Peelebheet ...	Muksood Ali Khan ...	64	229	6	45	56	315	715	44	
Do. Suburbs, Bareilly	Jugdumba Pershad ...	93	164	5	5	62	171	500	...	
Do. Besulpore ...	Ditto ...	41	83	1	1	37	70	234	...	
	Total ...	134	247	6	7	99	241	734	33	
Moonsiff of Besulpore ...	Ubdool Basut Khan ...	57	134	3	4	70	138	406	...	
Do Subs., Bareilly,	Ditto ...	33	89	...	1	31	101	255	...	
On Depn. Sudder Ameen's Court,	Ditto ...	36	43	...	13	14	105	211	...	
	Total ...	126	266	3	18	115	344	872	39	
Moonsiff of Islamnugger ..	Zahoor Hoosein ...	119	179	20	25	64	294	701	42	
Do. of Suheswan,	Amanut Hoosein ...	257	74	11	20	62	382	806	47	
	Total ...	917	1,415	64	262	495	2,725	5,878	46	

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
Court.	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	105	110	74	107	85	119	...	...	91	82
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	266	276	193	312	187	311	...	...	96	81
Sudder Ameen ...	1,494	3,081	973	1,493	2,280	3,102	...	...	370	340
Moonsiffs ...	7,297	6,269	4,633	6,617	4,105	7,354	...	...	1,588	496
Total ...	9,162	9,736	5,873	*8,529	6,657	10,886	...	...	2,145	999
Increase ...	...	574	...	...	784	2,357	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,146

* Exclusive of transferred cases, 8,445.

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,619	961	2,269	4,849	33
1865 ...	1,451	963	3,914	6,328	23

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of 1864.	Instituted during 1865.	Total.	*Disposed of during 1865.* Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at close of 1865.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealed to the Civil Court decided by Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Courts, to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
Mr. R. M. Edwards, Collector of Bareilly,	...	9	9	2	6	...	8	1	75	20	45
Mr. B. Hardinge, Officiating ditto ...	1	3	4	...	3	1	4	...	75	3	100
„ S. M. Moens, Deputy Collector of Bareilly	2	14	16	4	1	1	6	10	16	31	45
„ A. M. Markham, Assistant Collector of Bareilly	11	19	30	10	18	...	28	2	64	120	16
Khyr-ood-deen, Deputy Collector of Bareilly	4	41	45	14	13	...	27	18	48	277	15
Gunga Pershad, Ditto ...	19	58	77	38	28	...	66	11	42	400	15
Mr. E. Colvin, Deputy Collector, Peelebheet	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	28	11
Buktawur Singh, Ditto, Beharee ...	8	29	37	21	10	...	31	6	32	280	10
Oomrao Singh, Ditto, Ounla ...	24	6	30	20	7	3	30	...	23	58	10
Sala-ood-deen, Ditto, Furreedpore,	4	21	25	12	5	...	17	8	29	201	10
Ameer Ali, Ditto, Peelebheet,	...	24	24	3	8	...	11	13	73	135	18
Bhoop Singh, Ditto, Besulpore ...	...	5	5	2	3	...	5	...	60	6	33
Budr-ood-deen, Ditto, Nawagunge,	3	1	4	1	...	...	1	3	...	1	100
Total ...	76	233	309	130	102	5	237	72	43	1,560	15
Mr. G. H. Lawrence, Officiating Collector, Budaon	...	4	4	1	...	...	1	3	...		
Mr. H. R. Clarke, Officiating Collector, Budaon	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...		
Mr. H. R. Wilson, Deputy Collector, Budaon	5	11	16	6	6	...	12	4	50		
Salamut Roy, Deputy Collector, Budaon,	7	...	7	2	5	...	7	...	71		
Jawala Sahai, Deputy Collector, Budaon,	...	4	4	2	1	...	3	1	33		
Jorahally, Ditto ...	...	3	3	1	...	...	1	2	...		
Mahomed Kureem, Ditto ...	1	25	26	7	3	...	10	16	30		
Total ...	15	47	62	21	15	...	36	26	42		
GRAND TOTAL ...	91	280	371	151	117	5	273	98	43		

Average duration of Suits.

1.	2.			3.		
	1864.			1865.		
Name and designation of Officer.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge	...	3	18	...	2	28
Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	2	10	...	...	30
Het Lall, Sudder Ameen	...	1	4	...	...	28
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Peelebheet	...	...	26	...	...	29
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff of Suburbs of Bareilly ...	...	...	27	...	...	27
Ubdool Basut Khan, Moonsiff of Beesulpore	...	...	19	...	...	16
Zahoor Hoossein, Moonsiff of Islamnuggur	...	1	...	...	1	2
Amanut Hoossein, Moonsiff of Saheswan	...	...	24	...	...	19

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were personally before the Court voluntarily or by summons.						
Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided (original and appeal).	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
Mr. H. Vansittart, Judge of Bareilly ...	250	75	100	425	28	453	93
Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	68	83	17	228	208	436	52
Het Lall, Sudder Ameen ...	580	410	278	1,268	104	1,372	91
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Peeleebheet ...	96	241	301	638	77	715	89
Ubdool Basut Khan, Moonsiff of Suburbs of Bareilly ...	129	44	181	654	111	755	87
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff of Beesulpore ...	101	253	161	515	125	640	80
Zahoor Hoossein, Ditto of Islamnuggur ...	119	190	374	683	18	701	97
Amanut Hoossein, Ditto of Saheswan ...	210	245	289	744	62	806	92
Total ...	1,553	1,541	2,061	5,145	733	5,878	87

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
		Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
	Civil Court.	By order of Court under Section 248.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		Remarks.
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
Bareilly.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	2	...	2	1	12	...	2	1	14	
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	34	...	34	...	39	...	3	...	42	
	Moonsiff of Peeleebheet ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Moonsiff of Suburbs of Bareilly ...	...	...	...	24	...	24	...	9	...	2	...	11	
	Moonsiff of Islamnuggur ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	14	...	...	...	14	
	Moonsiff of Saheswan ...	...	...	...	10	...	10	...	33	...	...	...	33	
	Do. of Beesulpore ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Total ...	...	...	...	75	...	75	1	111	...	7	1	118	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their merits and ex-parte.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.			
Mahomed Kasim Ally Khan ...	4	28	64	53	6 25	30	52	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of cases decided per mensem on their merits.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
				Days.	Days		
Rae Heth Lall	45	41	36	14	28	92	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	10,525 10 0	6 9 0	260 0 0	10,272 3 0	37,484 8 3	...	27,212 5 3	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	11,344 6 0	...	338 0 0	11,006 6 0	9,000 0 0	2,006 0 0	...	
Sudder Ameen ...	14,013 8 0	102 15 0	348 8 0	13,767 15 0	4,082 8 0	9,685 7 0	...	
Moonsiff of Peeleebheet ...	5,304 8 0	...	41 0 0	5,263 8 0	3,360 0 0	1,903 8 0	...	
Do. Suburbs of Bareilly,	5,568 14 0	48 6 0	49 0 0	5,568 4 0	2,682 0 0	2,886 4 0	...	
Do. of Beesulpore ...	3,752 12 0	...	8 0 0	3,744 12 0	2,640 0 0	1,104 12 0	...	
Do. of Islamnuggur ...	4,590 12 0	10 8 0	52 0 0	4,549 4 0	2,640 0 0	1,909 4 0	...	
Do. of Saheswan ...	4,977 10 0	7 14 0	49 0 0	4,936 8 0	2,640 0 0	2,296 8 0	...	
Total ...	60,078 0 0	176 4 0	1,145 8 0	59,108 12 0	64,259 0 3	21,792 1 0	27,212 5 3	Rs. 5,420 ... Net Loss.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiffs' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.
Peeleebheet ...	Muksood Ali Khan ...	32	15	33	...	29	89
Suburbs, Bareilly ...	Ubdool Basut Khan ...	17	39				
On Deputation Sudder Ameen ...	Ditto ...	11 } 39	33	20	18	16	87
Beesulpore ...	Ditto ...	11	30				
Ditto ...	Jugdumba Pershaud ...	9 } 31	21	33	4	27	80
Suburbs, Bareilly ...	Ditto ...	22	41				
Islamnuggur ...	Zahoor Hoossein ...	34	20	42	...	1—2	97
Saheswan ...	Umanut Hoossein ...	53	7	41	...	19	92
						REMARKS.	

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	387	421	276	301	311	331	...	...	64	58
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	211	289	407	474	348	404	...	...	32	43
Moonsiffs ...	1,679	1,959	1,422	1,611	1,706	1,945	...	...	70	72
Total ...	2,277	2,669	2,105	2,386	2,365	2,680	...	...	166	173
Increase ...	...	392	...	...	260	294	...	...	...	7
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Exparte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	B. Sapte, Esqs.,	...	...	...	7 } 13	5 } 7	167 } 311	179 } 331	93·	
	H. G. Keene, Esqs.,				6	2	144	152	95·	
P. S. Ameen ...	Abdoollah Khan ...	46	48	2	7	49	252	404	62·	
City Moonsiff ...	Mehmood Bux ...	141	324	1	45	112	453	1,076	42·	
Seorajpore Ditto,	Enayut Hossein ...	25 } 48	59 } 59	1 } 1	2 } 2	4 } 7	98 } 266	189 } 383	52·	
	Mehndee Ally ...	23	...	...	...	3	168	194	87·	
Akberpore Ditto,	Abdool Mujeed ...	49	67	7	48	25	290	486	60·	
	Total ...	284	498	11	115	200	1,572	2,680	59·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	342	367	319	338	349	373	...	...	42	36
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	760	644	404	743	395	703	...	...	109	79
Moonsiffs ...	3,808	3,766	2,130	3,665	2,949	4,236	...	...	535	241
Total ...	4,910	4,777	2,853	4,746	3,693	5,312	...	...	686	356
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	840	566	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	133	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	330

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck of.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	821	518	1,489	2,828	29·
1865 ...	748	466	1,595	2,809	33·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Courts to cases decided by Revenue officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. Monckton, Collector ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...
„ Spedding, Assistant Collector ...	3	8	11	5	2	1	8	3	25·	8	62·
„ Montague, Deputy Ditto ...	...	6	6	...	6	...	6	...	100·	9	67·
„ Buck, Assistant Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	2	50·
Ehsan Ally, Deputy Ditto ...	6	15	21	13	6	2	21	...	29·	17	88·
Mahomed Mohim, Tehseeldar of Jajmow ...	1	19	20	12	3	2	17	3	18·	111	17·
Zamin Ally, Tehseeldar of Bhogneepore ...	2	4	6	6	...	...	6	...	...	19	21·
Madho Pershaud, Tehseeldar of Bilhour ...	1	7	8	6	2	...	8	...	25·	44	16·
Gholam Hossein, Tehseeldar of Rusoolabad,	2	18	20	9	9	...	18	2	50·	47	38·
Jeorakhun Lall, Tehseeldar of Akberpore,	4	2	6	4	1	...	5	1	20·	18	11·
Heit Ram, Tehseeldar of Derapore ...	2	7	9	7	...	...	7	2	...	29	24·
Baluk Ram, Tehseeldar of Sarh Salempore,	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	11	27·
Total ...	22	90	112	67	29	5	101	11	29·	316	28·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr B. Sapte, Judge ...	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	5	7	4	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mehmood Bux, City Moonsiff ...	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	...
Sheorajpore Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Akberpore Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ..	7	13	10	3	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	...

Average duration of suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864. Previous year.			1865. Year under review.			
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	
Mr. B. Sapte, Judge	...	2	13	...	1	21	Original.
Mr. H. G. Keene, Officiating Judge ...	...	3	15	...	1	23	Appeals in Civil cases. Acts X. of 1859 and XIV of 1863. Original Appeal.
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	23	
	...	1	28	...	1	7	
	...	...	20	...	...	21	
Mehmood Bux, City Moonsiff	...	1	...	...	...	23	
Enayet Hossein, Mehndee Ally } Moonsiffs of Sheorajpore,	...	...	20	...	...	18	
Abdool Mujeed, Moonsiff of Akberpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	20	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons. In which plaintiff was personally before the Court. Voluntarily.	By Summons.	In which defendant was personally before the Court. Voluntarily.	By Summons.	In which both parties were personally before the Court. Voluntarily.	By Summons.	Total cases of personal attendance. Voluntarily.	By Summons.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.	
B. Sapto } Esquires, Judges {	4	...	...	4	7	...	11	4	1	16	94·	} Original
H. G. Keene } Esquires, Judges {	57	...	...	62	122	..	179	62	74	315	76·	} Appeal.
Abdoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	75	...	...	64	104	...	179	64	41	284	85	} Original
	24	...	...	24	54	...	78	24	18	120	85·	} Appeal.
Mehmood Bux, City Moonsiff ...	141	...	...	15	875	...	1,016	15	45	1,076	96·	
Enayet Hossein } Sheorajpore Moonsiff ...	76	...	...	25	248	...	324	25	34	383	91·	
Mehndee Ally } Sheorajpore Moonsiff ...												
Abdool Mujeed, Akberpore ...	49	...	...	14	363	...	412	14	60	486	75	
Total ...	426	...	...	208	1,773	...	2,199	208	273	2,680	90·	

Operations of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of mouzahs and shares of mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year. Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary. By order of Court under Section 243. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	At instances of the Collector under Section 244. Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Involuntary and permanent under Section 248. Hereditary. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Other. Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Total. Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
CAWNPORE.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	3	
	City Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Sheorajpore Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Akberpore Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	8	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	9	...	14	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex parte. Original suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. M.	D.	Average duration of suits. M.	D.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
Mahomed Abdoollah Khan ...	15	10	78	25	1	8	1	7* 21†	84	

* Original. † Appeals.

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7	8	9.
Court.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed (ordinary.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As P	Rs As. P	Rs As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As P	Rs. As. P.	Rs As. P	
Zillah Judge	9,388 0 0	...	294 0 0	9,094 8 0	36,756 0 0	...	27,562 8 0	
1st Principal Sudder Ameen ...	22,755 10 0	204 12 0	642 0 0	22,318 6 0	6,780 0 0	15,538 6 0	...	
2nd Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Officiating Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff —								
Of City Cawnpore	9,405 8 0	49 14 0	54 0 0	9,101 6 0	2,808 0 0	6,593 6 0	...	
Of Sheorajpore	3,584 0 0	...	...	3,584 0 0	2,808 0 0	776 0 0	...	
Of Akberpore	3,744 0 0	...	8 0 0	3,736 0 0	2,928 0 0	808 0 0	...	
Total ...	48,877 10 0	254 10 0	998 0 0	48,134 4 0	52,080 0 0	23,715 12 0	27,562 8 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Sudder Ameens' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided upon their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appeals tried.	Number of days' work pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
City Cawnpore ...	Mehmood Bux ...	50	29	29	10 Days.	23 Days.	96	
	On merits ...	38						
Sheorajpore ...	Enayet Hossein ...	18	29	36	19	18	91	
	On merits ...	48	6					
Ditto ...	Melindee Ally ...	22						
	On merits ...	29	25	29	15	20	75	
Akberpore ...	Abdool Mujeed ...	25						
	On merits ...							

ZILLAH MYNPOORY.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending				Remarks.
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.		
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	
Judge ...	603	565	232	260	325	*352	1	...	119	33	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	132	176	357	375	523	547	...	†2	134	68	
Moonsiffs ...	3,339	3,181	3,013	3,500	2,763	*3,172	...	...	151	154	
Total ...	4,074	3,992	3,602	4,135	3,611	4,071	1	2	404	255	
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	1	...	...	
Decrease ...	...	82	...	...	...	64	...	...	...	149	

* Exclusive of cases transferred; *viz.*, Judge	...	299
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	0
Moonsiffs	...	47
Total	...	346

† The two cases above one year were remanded on appeal on the 4th and 10th December, 1865, from the Judge's and the Sudder Court respectively.

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge's ...	Mr. W. J. R. Carnac, from 1st January to 2nd March, 1865,	...	...	...	...	...	6	6	100·	
Ditto ...	*Mr. C. Horne, from 3rd April to 31st December, 1865,	5	...	2	22	5	312	346	90·	
P. S. Ameen's ...	Rai Dabee Dyal ...	14	26	6	9	15	477	547	87·	
Moonsiff's, Etawah,	Abdool Rahman Khan,	67	195	12	81	61	376	792	47·	
Do., Etah ...	Kunhya Lall ...	83	188	148	17	68	200	704	28·	
Do., Mynpoory	Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud,	99	412	71	24	92	323	1,021	32·	
Do., Shekoabad	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, from 17th January to 31st December, 1865,	140	168	20	19	45	245	637	38·	
Do., Doondwarah, from 1st to 16th January, 1865.	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein,	4	7	...	1	1	5	18	28·	
	Total ...	412	996	259	173	287	1,944	4,071	48·	

* Charge taken April 3rd; attended the Examination at Agra until 10th, when work commenced.

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending				Remarks.
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January*		
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865	1866.	1865.	1866.	
Judge ...	171	209	197	210	231	258	3	...	52	4	
Principal Sudder Ameer,	345	381	303	426	277	472	...	...	183	105	
Moonsiffs ...	7,255	5,116	4,152	5,948	3,707	*6,778	...	†2	2,223	565	
Total ...	7,771	5,706	4,652	6,584	4,215	7,508	3	2	2,463	674	
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	924	...	...	...	...	
Decrease ...	...	2,065	...	...	437	...	...	...	...	1,789	

* Excludes 874 cases transferred to other Courts.

† One case instituted 20th December, 1864; action taken 7th February, 1865; and a case sent for and received 8th March. On the 6th July notice of execution was issued, and returned unexecuted 27th July. On the 29th November the said notice was again issued, and has not yet been received back.

In second case, 23rd December, 1864, date of institution 9th February; notice issued 3rd March; objection filed 22nd March; disallowed, 27th June Requisition for sale is sent to Collector, and 20th September fixed for sale. As no one appeared, sale postponed to 20th December, 1865; result not yet known

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1864 ...	1,051	1,252	1,888	4,191	25	
1865 ...	856	1,305	3,263	*5,424	16	

* This excludes 831 cases transferred to other Courts.

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

District.	Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of last year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Decided. Confirmed.	Decided. Reversed or modified	Decided. Otherwise disposed of.	Decided. Total.	Pending at close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Officers in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
Etawah.	Mr. A. O. Hume Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...
	„ C. Grant, Deputy Collector,	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	11	18·
	„ R. H. Warland, Ditto ...	4	...	4	3	1	...	4	...	25·	...	...
	„ H. C. Barstow, Ditto ...	...	8	8	5	3	...	8	...	37·	62	13·
	„ A. Sells, Assistant Collector,	10	5	15	7	4	4	15	...	27·	24	21·
	„ C. W. Moore, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	„ R. Jardine, Deputy Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	52	...
	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Ditto ...	...	26	26	5	20	...	25	1	80·	111	23·
	Mehdee Ali, Ditto of Pergunnah Etawah ...	1	10	11	5	6	...	11	...	55·	89	11·
	Gobind Pershad, Ditto of Bhurtnah ...	3	25	28	15	13	...	28	...	46·	140	18·
	Narain Dass, Ditto of Bidhonah,	...	4	4	1	2	...	3	1	66·	99	4·
	Syud Kumal ood deen Hossein, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...
	Total ...	18	80	98	43	49	4	96	2	51·	609	13·
Mynpoory.	Mr. F. Thompson, Collector ...	1	10	11	2	5	4	11	...	45·	12	83·
	„ H. M. Chase, Officiating Ditto and Deputy Ditto...	5	6	11	6	5	...	11	...	45·	7	86·
	„ W. Kaye, Officiating Collector ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	12	25·
	„ F. S. Wigram, Ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	6	50·
	„ R. W. Colvin, Ditto ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	10	20·
	„ W. M. McConaghey, Officiating Deputy Collector ...	4	15	19	14	4	1	19	...	21·	152	10·
	Pundit Dabee Pershad, Deputy Collector ...	2	9	11	4	7	...	11	...	64·	77	12·
	Ruheem-ood-deen Khan, Ditto of Moostafabad ...	6	3	9	8	...	1	9	...	...	13	23·
	Hafiz-ood-deen, Ditto of Kurhul ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	10	20·
	Ali Mahomed Khan, Ditto of Mynpoory ...	2	1	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	9	11·
	Rughobuns Suhai, Ditto of Shekoabad ...	4	6	10	5	5	...	10	...	50·	55	11·
	Ajoodhia Pershad, Ditto of Bhowgaon ...	8	12	20	16	3	...	19	1	16·	50	24·
	Total ...	32	72	104	67	30	6	103	1	29·	413	17·
Etah.	Mr. H. A. Harrison, Deputy Collector of Etah ...	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	2	50·
	„ C. H. T. Crosthwaite, Ditto of Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
	„ C. Twigg, Assistant Collector ...	1	6	7	7	...	...	7	...	...	34	18·
	Umjud Ali, Deputy Collector ...	1	16	17	15	2	...	17	...	12	94	17·
	Moonshee Gunga Pershad, Ditto,	6	14	20	14	6	...	20	...	30	56	25·
	Syud Dawur Ali, Ditto of Pergunnah Etah ...	6	5	11	4	5	2	11	...	45·	19	26·
	Nawab Kitait-oollah, Ditto of Ditto ...	...	4	4	...	4	...	4	...	100·	18	22·
	Moonshee Hurnarain, Ditto ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	15	13·
	Horee Lall, Ditto of Pergunnah Allygunge ...	2	1	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	...	...
	Total ...	17	50	67	44	20	2	69	...	29·	238	21·
	Grand Total ...	67	202	269	155	99	12	266	3	37·	1,260	16·

Operations of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitment was made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitment was made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitment was made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Mr. W. J. R Carnac, Judge, from 1st January to 2nd March, 1865,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mr. C. Horne, Judge, from 3rd April to 31st December, 1865 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rai Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Abdool Ruhman Khan, Sudder Ameen at Etah ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kunhya Lall, Moonsiff, 2nd Grade, at Etah ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	1	1	...	1
Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud, Ditto, 3rd ditto, at Mynpoory ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Ditto, ditto, at Shekoabad, from 17th January to end of year ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Ditto, ditto, at Doondwarah, from 1st to 16th January, 1865 ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	1	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	1	1	...	1

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
					Act X.	cases.
Mr. W. J R. Carnac, Judge, from 1st January to 2nd March, 1865 ...	...	7	7	...	5	17
Mr. C. Horne, Judge, from 3rd April to 31st December, 1865,	...	...	...	...	5 4	* 16 † 1
Rai Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	3	21	...	1	6
Abdool Ruhman Khan, Sudder Ameen of Etawah ...	...	1	5	...	...	28
Kunhya Lall, Moonsiff, 2nd Grade, of Etah ...	...	...	25	...	...	17
Kamal-ood deen Ahmud, 3rd ditto Moonsiff of Mynpoory ...	...	...	23	...	...	24
Qumr-ood-deen, Ditto ditto of Shekoabad ...	...	...	..	...	...	18
Qumr-ood-deen, Ditto ditto of Doondwarah ...	...	...	23	...	...	18
Total ...	...	2	13	...	2	6

* Duration of Principal Sudder Ameen's cases. † Duration of Act X. cases.

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily, or by summons				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr W. J. R Carnac, Judge, from 1st January to 2nd March ...	...	...	1	1	5	6	16·
Mr C Horne, Judge, from 3rd April to close of 1865,	21	5	14	40	306	346	11·
Rai Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	49	80	69	198	349	547	36·
Abdool Ruhman Khan, Sudder Ameen at Etawah ...	77	326	294	697	95	792	88
Kunhya Lall, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Etah ...	72	109	481	662	42	704	94·
Kamal-ood deen Ahmud, 3rd Grade Ditto of Mynpoory,	93	71	760	924	97	1,021	90·
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Moonsiff of Shekoabad, from 17th January to 31st December, 1865 ...	135	91	349	575	62	637	90·
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Moonsiff of Doondwarah, from 1st January to 16th idem ...	3	1	10	14	4	18	77·
Total ...	450	683	1,978	3,111	960	4,070	76·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
	Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248*					
	By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12	13.
Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	..	1	...	4	...	5	1	22	...	2	1	24
Etawah, Sudder Ameen's Court ..	...	3	...	4	...	7	...	23	...	3	...	26
Etah, Moonsiff's Court ...	...	2	...	4	...	6	...	36	...	5	...	41
Mynpoory, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	8	...	8	...	16	...	2	...	18
Shekoabad, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	...	2	...	3	...	5	...	2	...	7
Doondwurah, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	...	7	...	22	...	29	1	102	...	14	1	116

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and* ex-parte *per mensem.*		Percentage cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage cases reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	*Percentage of original and appeal cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.*		REMARKS.
	Regular.	Appeal.					Original.	Appeal.	
Momin Ali Khan, late Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	48·	...	...	...	...	Momin Ali Khan left before the commencement of the past year.
						M. D.			
Rai Dabee Dyal, throughout the year	10	31	59·	40·	45 days.	1 6	46·	31·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular suits decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage cases appealed to those appealable.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.
					Days.	Days.	
Etawah, 1st Grade ...	Abdool Rahman Khan...	38	27	31	29	28	88·
Etah, 2nd Grade ...	Kunhya Lall ...	36	18	41	22	17	94·
Mynpoory, 3rd Grade ...	Kamal-ood-deen ...	41	26	22	8	24	90·
Shekoabad and Doondwarah, 3rd Grade ...	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein,	22	15	40	15	18	90·

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed ordinarily.	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court	9,413 12 0	...	317 0 0	9,096 12 0	34,367 7 0	...	25,270 11 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	11,055 7 0	...	100 0 0	10,955 7 0	6,780 0 0	4,175 7 0	...	
1st Grade Moonsiff at Etawah	5,383 11 0	45 8 0	25 8 0	5,403 11 0	4,140 0 0	1,263 11 0	...	
2nd ditto Ditto, Etah	5,194 1 0	30 8 0	68 8 0	5,156 1 0	3,540 0 0	1,616 1 0	...	
3rd ditto Ditto, Mynpoory	6,513 12 0	39 3 0	82 0 0	6,470 15 0	2,760 1 5	3,710 13 7	...	
3rd ditto Ditto, Shekoabad	4,604 0 0	51 9 0	32 0 0	4,623 9 0	2,784 0 0	1,839 9 0	...	
3rd ditto Ditto, Doondwarah, from 1st to 16th January, 1865	63 8 0	...	...	63 8 0	...	63 8 0	...	
Total, Rs. ...	42,228 8 0	166 12 0	625 0 0	41,769 15 0	54,371 8 5	12,669 1 7	25,270 11 0	Loss, 12,601 9 5

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1866.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	304	308	205	220	248	258	...	...	121	77
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	814	808	717	861	725	860	...	...	193	237
Moonsiff ...	1,126	1,243	862	1,074	1,037	1,241	...	...	150	151
Total ...	2,244	2,359	1,784	2,155	2,010	2,359	...	...	464	465
Increase ...	...	115	...	...	226	199	...	...	...	1
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge ...	Mr. Fane ...	...	...	1	1	8	179	189	95·	
Ditto ...	„ Shorer ...	...	...	...	...	1	68	69	98·	
P. S. Ameen ...	Hubeeb-oollah Khan ...	119	173	27	99	36	406	860	47·	
Moonsiff ...	Ajoodhya Pershad,	152	372	66	83	121	447	1,241	36·	
	Total ...	271	545	94	183	166	1,100	2,359	47·	

Miscellaneous.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January, 1865.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	149	154	93	143	101	108	...	...	28	20
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,382	1,142	628	1,218	607	1,378	...	1	459	277
Moonsiff ...	1,922	1,575	916	1,615	927	1,869	...	...	490	196
Total ...	3,453	2,871	1,637	2,976	1,635	3,355	...	1	977	493
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	379	...	1	...	...
Decrease ...	...	582	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	484

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	483	377	1,211	2,071	23·
1865 ...	422	404	1,642	2,428	17·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Court to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. W. C. Turner, Depy. Collector,	4	1	5	3	1	1	5	...	20·	5	20·
„ J. Smith, Ditto ...	3	1	4	4	...	...	4	...	...	2	50·
„ J. H. Walker, Ditto ...	6	13	19	16	2	...	18	1	11·	21	62·
„ F. Curwen, Ditto ...	5	12	17	9	4	...	13	4	31·	34	35·
„ W. W. G. Cornwall, Ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	17	12·
Syud Mahomed Tukee, Ditto ...	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	24	8·
Moonshee Noor Ali, Ditto ...	...	4	4	1	2	...	3	1	66·	6	67·
Moonshee Beshashur Dyal, Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	8	12·
Total ...	18	36	54	35	9	1	45	9	20·	117	31·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170 of Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. H. P. Fane, Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hubeeb-oollah Khan, P.S Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ajoodhya Pershad, Moonsiff, ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. H. P. Fane, Judge	...	6	Acts X. of 1859, and XIV. of 1863. 23	...	5 4	9 3
Hubeeb oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	4	...	2	5
Ajoodhya Pershad, Moonsiff	...	1	4	...	1	4

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.	REMARKS.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which Defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total Cases of personal attendance.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6	7.	8.	9.
Mr. H P. Fane, Judge ...	51	36	105	192	66	258	74	...
Hubeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	105	10	702	817	43	860	95·	...
Ajoodhya Pershad, Moonsiff ...	143	68	825	1,036	205	1,241	83	...
Total ...	299	114	1,632	2,045	314	2,359	87·	...

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Court.	NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING THE YEAR.												REMARKS
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah	Shares of Mouzah.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzah.	
1.	2	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15
Mirzapore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	..	11	...
	Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	2	...
	Total ...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	12	1	1	1	13	...

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases and appeals in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.		REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.					Original cases.	Appeals.	
					M. D.	M. D.			
Hubeeb oollah Khan,	28	6	40	39·	3 5	2 5	94	96	Appealable, 335.
On merits ...	18	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits, and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mirzapore ...	Ajoodhya Pershad ...	55	27	29	3 14	1 4	83	Appealable, 513.
	On merits ...	43	...	...	...	...	...	...

Income of Stamps, and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
COURT	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.*		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped document.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	7,644 0 0	...	341 0 0	7,303 0 0	36,577 6 0	...	29,274 6 0	Actual loss to Government, Rs. 11,680 11 0.
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	21,958 8 0	233 4 0	692 0 0	21,549 12 0	7,800 0 0	13,749 12 0	...	
Moonsiff	7,608 0 0	89 7 0	49 8 0	7,647 15 0	3,804 0 0	3,843 15 0	...	
Total ...	37,210 8 0	372 11 0	1,082 8 0	36,500 11 0	48,181 6 0	17,593 11 0	29,274 6 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH SHAHJEHANPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judges ...	483	547	212	255	240	288	15	4	205	202
Principal Sudder Ameens ...	148	171	456	521	302	328	3	3	91	197
Sudder Ameens ...	410	422	387	427	394	424	...	...	4	4
Moonsiffs ...	2,257	2,928	1,713	2,055	2,339	2,775	...	1	93	240
Total ...	3,298	4,068	2,768	3,258	3,275	3,815	18	8	393	643
Increase ...	...	770	...	...	507	557	...	...	...	250
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond ...	...	...	...	30	...	23	53	43	
	F. Thompson ...	...	...	...	12	6	217	235	92	
Principal Sudder Ameen	Momin Ali Khan ...	8	49	11	11	15	234	328	71	
Sudder Ameen ...	Rai Bukhtawur Singh,	29	151	20	11	19	194	424	46	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ...	Mahomed Uzmut Ali ...	12	79	1	6	7	34	139	24	
	Mahomed Kurramutoollah ...	78	221	23	21	63	192	598	32	
Moonsiff of Tilhur ...	Mahomed Busheer-ood-deen ...	24	339	12	35	126	252	788	32	
Do. of Powaino,	Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen ...	32	178	5	12	37	89	353	25	
Do. of E. Budaon	Mahomed Wajid Ali ...	26	240	3	16	49	170	504	34	
Do. of Dataganj ...	Lalla Nawab Rai ...	6	69	4	11	14	51	155	33	
	Lalla Cheda Lall ...	...	28	3	3	6	9	49	18	
	Mahomed Sooltan Hussun ...	3	88	4	14	16	59	189	31	
	Total ...	223	1,442	86	182	353	1,524	3,815	40	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	128	98	102	184	74	157	...	...	25	35
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	376	381	377	695	414	435	10	...	281	102
Sudder Ameen ...	1,081	1,071	766	1,199	612	1,152	...	...	133	60
Moonsiffs ...	5,979	5,329	3,499	5,110	3,876	5,311	8	...	1,584	548
Total ...	7,564	6,879	4,744	7,188	4,976	7,055	18	..	2,023	745
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	232	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	685	...	...	...	133	...	18	...	1,278

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,085	853	3,177	5,115	21
1864 ...	1,131	851	1,691	3,673	31

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Zillah.	Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year. Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals decided.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
Shahjehanpore.	Mr. W G. Probyn, Collector ...	2	9	11	2	4	3	9	2	44	5	80
	„ H. D. Willock, Officiating Collector	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	„ H. D. Willock, Deputy ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	„ Kinloch, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
	„ C. J. Daniell, Ditto ditto ...	2	6	8	1	1	2	4	4	25	17	35
	„ M. S Howell, Ditto ditto ...	2	19	21	5	1	3	9	12	11	63	30
	„ H. M. Rogers, Ditto ditto ...	...	13	13	...	...	...	...	13	...	65	20
	Munowur Zuman, Ditto ditto ...	8	9	12	2	5	3	10	2	50	52	17
	Imdad Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
	Kunhya Lall, Tehseeldar of Shahjehanpore...	16	17	33	10	6	8	24	9	25	58	29
	Ahmud Hossein, Tehseeldar of Powaine,	1	16	17	2	3	6	11	6	27	37	43
	Kasim Hussun Khan, Tehseeldar of Tilhur	2	24	26	3	12	4	19	7	63	134	18
	Hurdhyan Singh, Tehseeldar of Julalabad	...	7	7	...	...	...	...	7	...	66	11
	Total ...	29	124	153	26	33	32	91	62	36	497	25
Budaon.	Mr. C. P. Carmichael, Collector ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
	„ F. O. Mayne, Ditto ...	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
	„ G. H. Lawrence, Ditto ...	3	6	9	...	3	4	7	2	43	...	...
	„ J. R. Reid, Deputy ditto ...	7	15	22	10	3	...	13	9	23	23	65
	„ H. R. Clarke, Ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100	...	...
	„ T. G. Honson, Ditto ditto ...	...	4	4	...	...	...	...	4	...	16	25
	Sulamut Rai, Ditto ditto ...	2	5	7	4	2	1	7	...	29	11	45
	Torab Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	20	20	...	1	...	1	19	100	25	80
	Mahomed Kurreem, Ditto ditto ...	...	8	8	...	...	...	...	8	...	173	5
	Nita Nund, Tehseeldar of Budaon ...	2	5	7	2	4	1	7	...	57	4	100
	Umjud Ali Khan, Tehseeldar of Dataguuj	...	16	16	...	4	...	4	12	100	49	33
	Total ...	16	81	97	16	20	7	43	54	47	301	27
	Grand Total ...	45	205	250	42	53	39	134	116	40	798	26

Operation of Section 24 *of Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	1	1	..	1	1	1	...	1	
Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Tilhur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Powaine	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Eastern Budaon	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Datagunj	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	1	...	...	3	4	...	4	2	2	...	2	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
			Of Civil Suits.			
Hon'ble R. A. J Drummond, Judge, from 1st January, to 11th March, 1865	...	6	3	...	3	5
F. Thompson, Esquire, Judge, from 30th March, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	...	...	7	17
Momin Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st January, to 31st December, 1865	...	3	...	...	3	26
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Sudder Ameen, Budaon, from 1st January, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	20	...	...	14
Mahomed Uzmut Ali, Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore, from 1st January, to 14th March, 1865	...	...	23	...	...	21
Mahomed Kurramut-oollah, Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore, from 15th March, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	29	...	1	6
Mahomed Busheer ood-deen, Moonsiff of Tilhur, from 1st January, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	16	...	...	15
Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Powaine, from 1st January, to 31st December, 1865	...	1	5	...	1	2
Mahomed Wajid Ali, Moonsiff of Eastern Budaon, from 1st January, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	16	...	...	24
Lalla Nawab Rai, Moonsiff of Datagunj, from 1st January, to 11th May, 1865	...	...	22	...	...	25
Lalla Cheda Lall, Moonsiff of Datagunj, from 10th June, to 10th July, 1865	...	...	...	...	1	4
Mahomed Sooltan Hussun, Moonsiff of Datagunj, from 25th October, to 31st December, 1865	...	...	...	...	1	19
			Of Appeals under Act X. of 1859, *and Act XIV. of* 1863.			
Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond	...	...	...	...	...	12
Fendall Thompson, Esq.	...	...	...	...	5	5

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntary.	By summons.	Voluntary.	By summons.	Voluntary.	By summons.	Voluntary.	By summons.			
Judges ... Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond,	11	...	8	...	8	...	27	...	26	53	69
Judges ... F. Thompson, Esquire ...	28	3	9	1	100	...	137	4	94	235	57
Principal Sudder Ameen, Momin Ali Khan ...	5	31	8	27	20	78	33	136	159	328	53
Sudder Ameen of Budaon ... Rai Bukhtawur Singh ...	...	30	...	11	...	359	...	400	24	424	94
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, Mahomed Uzmut Ali ...	...	14	...	6	...	113	...	133	6	139	96
Moonsiffs of Shahjehanpore, Mahomed Kurramut-oollah,	...	79	...	20	...	477	...	576	22	598	96
Moonsiff of Tilhur ... Mahomed Busheer-ood-deen,	...	58	...	92	...	603	...	753	35	788	96
Ditto of Powaine, Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen	...	37	...	53	...	229	...	319	34	353	90
Ditto of E. Budaon, Mahomed Wajid Ali ...	...	46	...	51	...	372	...	469	35	504	93
Moonsiff of Dataganj ... Lalla Nowbut Rai ...	...	8	...	9	...	118	...	135	20	155	87
Moonsiff of Dataganj ... Lalla Cheda Lall ...	...	2	...	9	...	32	...	43	6	49	88
Moonsiff of Dataganj ... Mahomed Sooltan Hussun,	...	8	...	17	...	143	...	168	21	189	89
Total ...	44	316	25	296	128	2,524	197	3,136	482	3,815	87

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of civil courts during the year 1865.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section* 244.		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13	14.	15.
Shahjehanpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	3	38	...	4	3	42	...
	Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	...	12	...
	Ditto of Tilhur ..	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	15	...	...	...	15	...
	Ditto of Powaine ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	2	10	...	...	2	10	...
	Total ...	...	...	...	6	...	6	5	75	...	4	5	79	...
Budaon.	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	1	...	...	...	1	...
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	16	...	...	...	16	...
	Moonsiff of E. Budaon ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	9	...	...	...	9	...
	Ditto of Dataganj ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	8	1	1	1	9	...
	Total ...	...	...	...	11	...	11	...	34	1	1	1	35	...
	Grand Total ...	...	...	...	17	...	17	5	109	1	5	6	114	...

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeal.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Momin Ali Khan ...	12	9	80	31	7 1	3 26	8	
On merits ...	11	9	...	...	...	...	52	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.						
Rai Bukhtawur Singh ...	20	11	31	3 days.	14	94	
On merits ...	18						

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
						D.		
Shahjehanpore,	Mahomed Uzmut Ali ...	29	32	22	Restored to his substantive appointment.	21	96·	
Ditto ...	Mahomed Kuramut-oollah ...					M D. 1 6	96·	
	On merits ...	21	...	...	M. D. 2 11	...	...	
Tilhur ...	Busheer-ood-deen ...	24	18	15	0 7	0 15	96·	
	On merits ...	22	...	...	...	...	...	
Powaine ...	Wuzeer-ood-deen ...	11	30	26	2 9	1 2	90·	
	On merits ...	8	...	...	...	...	...	
Eastern Budaon,	Wajid Ali ...	16	34	50	0 6	0 24	93·	
	On merits ...	14	...	...	...	...	...	
Datagunj ...	Nawab Rae ...	12	23	33	Transferred to Bilatee. Resigned.	0 25	87·	
	Cheda Lall ...					1 4	88·	
	Sooltan Hussun ...				0 4	1 19	89·	
	On merits ...	11	...	...	...	...	..	

Income of Stamps and cost of Judiciary Establishment.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge	6,730 8 0	...	349 8 0	6,381 0 0	47,955 6 7	...	41,574 6 7	
Principal Sudder Ameen	10,102 6 0	53 0 0	563 0 0	9,592 6 0	9,000 0 0	592 6 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon	4,006 1 0	53 4 0	33 0 0	4,026 5 0	4,140 0 0	...	113 11 0	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	5,346 8 0	21 0 0	63 0 0	5,304 8 0	3,114 14 5	2,189 9 7	...	
Ditto of Tilhur	4,984 10 0	49 12 0	...	5,034 6 0	3,260 0 0	177 4 6	...	
Ditto of Powaine	2,460 0 0	7 14 0	...	2,467 14 0	2,798 0 0	...	330 2 0	
Ditto of Eastern Budaon	3,187 1 0	37 13 0	4 0 0	3,220 14 0	2,868 0 0	352 14 0	...	
Ditto of Datagunj	2,515 12 0	...	3 0 0	2,512 12 0	2,719 14 11	...	207 2 11	
Total ...	39,332 14 0	222 11 0	1,015 8 0	38,540 1 0	75,856 3 11	4,909 3 7	42,225 6 6	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st Jan	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Messrs. H. S Reid, G P. Money, J. W. Sherer, Judges	409	389	349	362	215	229	6	...	92	115
Principal Sudder Ameen of Allahabad	398	487	417	446	543	586	...	...	41	87
Moonsiff of City ...	1,440	1,867	1,173	1,390	1,600	1,812	...	...	76	118
Total ...	2,247	2,743	1,939	2,198	2,358	2,627	6	...	209	320
Increase ...	...	496	...	...	419	429	...	...	...	111
Decrease ...	...	..	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3	4	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year 1865								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided	Remarks.
Judges ...	Messrs H S Reid, G. P. Money, J. W Sherer,	1	...	...	8	6	214	229	93	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan ...	103	98	11	2	41	331	586	56	
Moonsiff of City Allahabad ...	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom ...	451	578	97	55	157	474	1,812	26	
	Total ...	555	676	108	65	204	1,019	2,627	39	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.				Remarks.
			1864.		1865.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st Jan., 1865.		
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	
Messrs. H. S. Reid, G. P. Money, J. W. Sherer, Judges,	201	195	177	272	155	164	...	...	23	29	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan ...	1,146	992	410	934	478	1,252	...	1	457	237	
Mr. J. C. Robertson, Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Mr. E. Macnaghten, Judges of Small Cause Court,	141	38	7	51	12	122	...	...	93	7	
Moonsiff, Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, of City Allahabad ...	3,017	2,316	1,368	2,500	1,072	2,593	...	...	835	558	
Total ...	4,505	3,541	1,962	3,757	1,717	4,131	...	1	1,408	831	
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	374	1	...	...	...	
Decrease ...	...	964	...	...	245	...	...	...	...	577	

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1864 ...	1,041	515	1,100	2,656	38
1865 ...	949	433	1,924	3,306	29

Appeals under Acts X. of 1859 *and XIV. of* 1863.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year. Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided in 1865 by the Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	
Moulvie Mahomed Hussun, Deputy Collector	4	2	6	3	3	...	6	...	50	26	8	
Syud Nasir Ali Khan, Ditto ...	11	50	61	21	5	13	39	22	13	135	37	
Moulvie Wajid Ali, Ditto ...	1	3	4	...	...	1	1	3	...	33	9	
Sheikh Seraj-ood-deen Ahmud, Ditto ...	5	14	19	8	1	4	13	6	8	91	15	
Mahomed Ghazee-ood-deen Khan, Deputy Collector	7	24	31	9	2	7	18	13	11	108	22	
Mirza Kurreem Beg, Ditto ...	1	2	3	2	...	...	2	1	...	5	40	
Mr. G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B., Collector,	1	3	4	2	...	...	2	2	...	3	100	
Syud Mudud Ali, Deputy Collector ...	3	12	15	6	3	2	11	4	27	54	22	
Mr. E. B. Thornhill, Ditto ...	3	2	5	3	2	...	5	...	40	...	...	
„ W. Young, Ditto ...	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	
„ G. Dale, Ditto ...	2	13	15	5	...	2	7	8	...	57	23	
„ E. Macnaghten, Offg. Collector ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	
„ J. H. Morris, Collector ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	
„ G. Pasley, Deputy Collector ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	3	33	
„ J. Sladen, Assistant Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	
„ C. Girdlestone, Deputy Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	
„ J. Hickers, Ditto ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	
Abdool Hossein ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Total ...	39	128	167	60	16	31	107	60	15	538	24	

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	
Court of the Judge of Allahabad ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Allahabad	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Court of the Moonsiff of City Allahabad ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	Persons entered in column 9 were acquitted by the Moonsiff.
Total	..	...	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1864.			1865.			REMARKS.
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Messrs. H. S. Reid, G. P. Money, and J. W. Sherer, Judges,	Appeals ...	...	2	24	...	4	23	*N. B.*—There are no cases under Act XIV. of 1863.
	Revenue ditto ...	...	...	...	...	5	3	
	Original suits ...	...	1	16	...	2	10	
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen,	Appeals ...	...	1	6	...	1	6	
	Original suits ...	...	...	...	...	1	8	
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff, City of Allahabad,		...	...	27	...	...	25	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided	Percentage of cases of personal attendance (col. 5) to total cases decided (col. 7).
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Messrs. H. S. Reid, G. P. Money, and J. W. Sherer, Judges ...	15	14	37	66	163	229	28
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	145	76	229	450	136	586	77
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of City Allahabad,	484	619	532	1,635	177	1,812	90
Total ...	644	709	798	2,151	476	2,627	82

Operation of Sections 243, 244 and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

1.	2.	3.		4.		5.		6.		7.		8.		9.		10.		11.		12.	13.
		NUMBER OF MOUZAHS AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING THE YEAR 1865.																			
		TEMPORARY, CONDITIONAL, PARTIAL, OR VOLUNTARY.										INVOLUNTARY AND PERMANENT UNDER SECTION 248.									
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of Collector under Section 244.*		*Total of columns 3 and 5.*		*Total of columns 4 and 6.*				*Hereditary.*				*Other.*		*Total.*			
DISTRICT.	Civil Court.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.					Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.			Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.		REMARKS.
ALLAHABAD.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			...	...			...	...	...	...		
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			5	123			1	19	6	142		
	Moonsiff of City Allahabad's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			...	43			...	14	...	57		
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			5	166			1	33	6	199		

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.							
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Original suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original suits in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
Moulvie Mahomed Khoorshoid Ali Khan	28	9	41	30	1·23	Original suits ... 1 8 M.D. Appeals, 1 6½	Original suits ... 84 Appeals, 49	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
MOONSIFFEE.	Name of Moonsiffee.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
City Allahabad ...	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom ...	85	30	22	23	25	90	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently Stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge, Allahabad	9,167 8 0	...	466 0 0	8,701 8 0	36,549 15 0	...	27,848 7 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Ditto	39,608 0 0	12 12 0	444 0 0	39,176 12 0	9,000 0 0	30,176 12 0	...	
Moonsiff of City, Allahabad	14,358 0 0	19 11 0	32 0 0	14,345 11 0	8,360 0 0	10,985 11 0	...	
Total ...	63,133 8 0	32 7 0	942 0 0	62,225 15 0	43,909 15 0	41,162 7 0	27,848 7 0	
					Deduct loss ...	27,848 7 0		
					Net gain to Government ...	13,314 0 0		

JAMES SIMSON,

Register.

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	535	594	203	232	304	333	...	1	199	154·
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	297	340	451	489	555	607	...	...	84	133·
Moonsiffs ...	3,466	3,292	2,933	3,485	2,701	3,197	...	...	239	323·
Total ...	4,298	4,226	3,587	4,206	3,560	4,137	...	1	522	610·
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	88·
Decrease ...	...	72	...	...	27	69	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of the Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge	W. J. Bramly, Esq.	...	1	...	24	5	277	307	90·	
	H. G. Keene Esq. ...	...	...	...	...	...	26	26	100·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ..	Syud Ahmed Khan ...	30	45	5	12	40	475	607	78·	
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmed ...	106	253	14	41	127	308	849	36·	
Moonsiff, Hatrass,	Zakur Hossein ...	68	115	9	17	73	236	518	46·	
Moonsiff, Akrabad,	Rughnauth Sahay ...	200	123	12	6	48	341	730	47·	
Moonsiff, Kasgunge,	Nizam Ally Khan ...	198	91	13	22	73	414	811	51	
Moonsiff, Khyr...	Sultan Hossein ...	17	90	8	17	48	39	219	18·	
	Abid Ally Beg ...	6	20	1	1	23	19	70	27·	
	Total ...	625	738	62	140	437	2,135	4,137	52·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1864.		1865.		*Above* 1 *year.*		*Total pending on* 1*st January,*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	83	86	69	82	96	111	...	...	47	26
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,067	896	607	996	613	1,111	...	...	407	190
Moonsiffs ...	11,820	7,839	5,049	9,123	4,492	10,855	...	...	3,859	887
Total ...	12,970	8,821	5,725	10,201	5,201	12,077	...	...	4,213	1,103
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	1,876	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	4,149	...	...	524	...	...	...	...	3,110

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,411	2,562	4,548	8,521	17·
1864 ...	1,425	1,733	2,953	6,111	23·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of last year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Court to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Allygurh.	Mr. J. H. Prinsep, Collector, Allygurh,	1	2	3	...	2	1	3	...	67	10	20·
	„ Barrie, Deputy Ditto ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	9	22·
	„ Ridsdale, „ Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	4	25·
	„ Tidy, „ Ditto ...	...	21	21	4	4	1	9	12	44	58	36·
	„ Patterson, „ Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	50·
	„ Montague, „ Ditto ...	5	1	6	4	2	...	6	...	33	...	...
	„ Turner, „ Ditto ...	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
	„ Hall, „ Ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	„ Macnaghton, „ Ditto ...	...	4	4	1	2	1	4	...	50	1	...
	„ Meller, „ Ditto ...	...	7	7	1	...	...	1	6	...	12	58·
	„ Kinlock, „ Ditto ...	18	30	48	20	25	3	48	...	52	44	68·
	„ Cline, „ Ditto ...	...	3	3	1	...	...	1	2	...	15	20·
	Rajah Joy Kishen Doss, Ditto ...	27	74	101	37	44	8	89	12	49	176·	42·
	Goorsurndoss, „ Ditto ...	4	8	12	6	1	1	8	4	12	19	42·
	Syud Mahomed, „ Ditto ...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	5	...	23	22·
	Total ...	57	160	217	80	80	15	175	42	46	373	48·
Etah.	Mr. Twigg, Deputy Collector, Etah ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	3	66·
	„ Sandford, „ Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
	Umjud Ally „ Ditto ...	9	3	12	11	...	1	12	...	...	20	15·
	Kifayut-oollah Khan, Ditto ...	1	5	6	3	2	1	6	...	33	26	19·
	Dawur Ally. „ Ditto ...	...	8	8	4	1	...	5	3	20	80	10·
	Total ...	10	19	29	18	4	2	24	5	17	129	15·
	Grand Total ...	67	179	246	98	84	17	199	47	42	205	36·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Syud Ahmed Khan, P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	3	10	1	9	1	1	1	...
Zakur Hossein, Moonsiff of Hatrass ...	...	...	...	...	2	4	2	2	1	3	2	1
Rughnauth Sahay, Moonsiff of Akrabad,	...	...	...	...	2	4	3	1	...	...	...	...
Nizam Ally Khan, Moonsiff of Kasgunge,	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	1	1	...	...	7	18	6	12	2	4	3	1

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1864.			1865.					
					Civil cases.			Cases under Act X. of 1859.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Judge ...	Mr. W. J. Bramly ...	...	...	...	...	8	3	...	5	4
	„ H. G. Keene ...	...	6	8	...	10	6	...	9	8
P. S. Ameen ...	Syud Ahmed Khan ...	...	2	16	...	3	24	...	...	...
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmed,	...	...	12	...	1	18	...	...	...
„ Hatrass,	Zakur Hossein ...	...	...	20	...	...	14	...	...	...
„ Akrabad,	Rughnauth Sahay ...	...	...	19	...	...	21	...	...	...
„ Khyr,	Sultan Hossein ...	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	...	...
	Abid Ali Beg ...	...	...	24	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ Kasgunge,	Nizam Ali Khan ...	...	1	21	...	...	29	...	...	...

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in persons, voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		In which defendant was personally before the Court.		In which both parties were personally before the Court.		Total cases of personal attendance.				
		Voluntarily.	By summons	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judge	Mr. W. J. Bramly ...	14	2	14	1	12	...	45	3	259	307	16·
	„ H. G. Keene ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	24	26	8·
P. S. Ameen ...	Syud Ahmed Khan ...	64	11	48	42	65	30	177	83	347	607	43·
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hufiz-ood-deen Ahmed,	12	73	84	48	284	229	380	350	119	819	86·
„ Hatrass,	Zakur Hossein ...	3	59	17	40	52	274	72	373	73	518	86·
„ Akrabad,	Rughunauth Sahay ...	...	155	131	215	2	87	133	457	140	730	80·
„ Khyr,	Sultan Hossein ...	2	19	39	6	51	62	92	87	40	219	82·
	Abid Ali Khan ...	1	6	12	8	1	26	14	40	16	70	77·
„ Kasgunge,	Nizam Ali Khan ...	175	...	135	...	317	60	627	60	124	811	85·
	Total ...	278	325	480	360	784	768	1,542	1,453	1,142	4,137	72·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.					
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Allygurh and Etah ...	P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	28	1	6	1	34
Allygurh ...	Moonsiff, Coel ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	3	...	2	...	5
Ditto ...	„ Hatrass ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	9
Ditto ...	„ Akrabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	...	13
Ditto ...	„ Khyr ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	40	...	2	...	42
Etah ...	„ Kasgunge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	6	...	31
	Total ...	...	1	...	4	...	5	...	118	1	16	1	134

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total number disposed of.	No. of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of appeals as well as of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.
	Original.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.	
Syud Ahmed Khan, P.S. Ameen,	18	25	58	33	3	9	3	24	50 Original cases. 35 Appeals.

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	9,686 0 0	...	190 8 0	9,495 8 0	36,809 14 9	...	27,314 6 9	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	20,964 8 0	262 9 0	968 0 0	20,259 1 0	6,452 0 0	13,807 1 0	...	
Moonsiff of Coel	6,633 8 0	131 10 0	206 8 0	6,558 10 0	3,360 0 0	3,198 10 0	...	
Ditto of Hatrass	4,207 11 0	10 8 0	58 0 0	4,160 3 0	2,640 0 0	1,520 3 0	...	
Ditto of Akrabad	4,929 8 0	24 13 0	34 8 0	4,919 13 0	2,640 0 0	2,279 13 0	...	
Ditto of Khyr	2,680 13 0	...	119 8 0	2,561 5 0	2,478 11 4	82 9 8	...	
Ditto of Kasgunge	7,413 0 0	87 7 0	50 8 0	7,445 15 0	2,640 0 0	4,805 15 0	...	
Total ...	56,515 0 0	512 15 0	1,627 9 0	55,400 7 0	57,020 10 1	25,694 3 8	27,314 6 9	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmed ...	36	34	46	0 26	1 18	86	
Hatrass ...	Zakur Hossein ...	26	24	42	0 13	0 14	86	
Akrabad ...	Rughnauth Sahay ...	46	18	40	0 22	0 21	80	
Khyr ...	Sultan Hossein ...	5	30	31	0 0	0 22	82	
Kasgunge ...	Abid Ali Beg ...	2	20	...	0 3	1 0	77	
	Nizam Ali Khan ...	52	14	41	3 2	0 29	85	

ZILLAH AGRA.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	597	672	221	249	571	641	10	...	344	84
Judge of Small Cause Court in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	1	1	5	8	...	...	...	7
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	628	449	748	819	738	789	3	...	182	81
Moonsiffs ...	2,481	2,588	2,112	2,678	2,201	2,655	...	...	125	96
Total ...	3,706	3,709	3,077	3,747	3,515	4,093	13	...	651	268
Increase ...	...	3	...	...	438	346	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. W. S. Paterson ...	...	1	2	59	11	568	641	89	
Judge of S. C. C. in capacity of P. S. Ameen...	„ V. Berkeley ...	1	1	...	3	...	3	8	37	
P. S. Ameen ...	Mahomed Buksh ...	62	7	1	15	36	668	789	85	
Moonsiff of Muttra ...	Nasir Ali Khan ...	99	187	17	51	84	202	640	32	
Moonsiff of Futtiabad ...	Nussur-oollah Khan ...	66	166	38	58	32	331	691	48	
Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	Gopal Suhae ...	83	226	9	47	83	182	630	29	
Moonsiff of Keraolee ...	Ram Lall ...	105	155	19	23	76	316	694	46	
	Total ...	416	744	85	256	322	2,270	4,093	55	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January,*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	386	290	123	426	261	293	...	...	119	27
Judge of Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	179	73	80	291	31	113	...	...	130	7
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	2,611	961	634	1,987	471	1,052	...	...	1,126	217
Moonsiffs ...	7,149	6,083	2,545	5,822	3,129	8,059	...	...	2,297	1,313
Total ...	10,325	7,407	3,382	8,526	3,892	9,517	...	...	3,672	1,631
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	510	991	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	2,918	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,041

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,191	884	3,910	5,985	20
1865 ...	1,338	781	4,803	6,922	19

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. A. R. Pollock, Offg. Collector of Agra,	2	7	9	3	3	3	9	...	33	1	...
„ B. Hardinge, Ditto ditto ..	9	...	9	7	1	1	9	...	11	...	...
„ A. C. Lyall, Deputy ditto ...	2	2	4	1	...	3	4	...	...	...	...
„ W. Kaye, Ditto ditto ...	32	6	38	22	9	7	38	...	24	38	16
„ H. C. Barstow, Ditto ditto ...	15	...	15	7	6	2	15	...	40	...	...
„ A. Sells, Ditto ditto ...	...	13	13	11	1	...	12	1	8	58	22
„ J. Fisher, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ T. Harvey Ditto ditto ...	...	6	6	1	3	2	6	...	50	103	6
„ T. A. Brown, Ditto ditto ...	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	6	...
Chowbey Dhunput Rao, Ditto ditto ...	9	34	43	24	12	4	40	3	30	456	7
Mahomed Hossein, Ditto ditto ...	27	6	33	22	7	4	33	...	21	32	19
Nujm-ood-deen Hyder, Ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	43	5
Syud Irshad Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	4	4	...	1	3	4	...	25	128	3
„ Ikram Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	25	25	7	17	1	25	...	68	137	18
	99	106	205	110	61	30	201	4	30	1,002	11
Mr. H. D. Robertson, Collector of Muttra,	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	..
„ W. C. Plowden, Ditto ditto ...	1	1	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	...	...
„ H. B. Henderson	1	3	4	3	...	1	4	...	...	...	...
„ C. Grant	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
„ W. Kaye	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
„ B. Hardinge...	...	3	3	...	2	...	2	1	100	4	75
„ J. A. Loch	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	32	3
„ E. S. Robertson	3	...	3	...	1	2	3	...	33	...	...
„ F. Menvey	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	11	...
„ J. H. Carter	2	2	4	2	...	2	4	...	...	...	...
„ J. W. Twigg	...	2	2	...	1	...	1	1	100	134	1
„ J. Clarke	1	2	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	108	2
Sulamut Rae	...	4	4	3	1	...	4	...	25	28	14
Mahomed Hossein Khan	2	...	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...
Pundit Umur Nath	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	4	...
„ Banee Pershad	4	...	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	...	...
„ Motee Lall	7	6	13	8	5	...	13	...	38	85	7
Ali Buksh	6	...	6	5	...	1	6	...	...	3	...
Total ...	33	25	58	32	14	9	55	3	25	409	6
GRAND TOTAL ...	132	131	163	142	75	39	256	7	29	1,411	9

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	2	15	...	...
Moonsiff of Muttra	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff of Futtiabad	2	12	...	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
Moonsiff of Jullaysur	1	3	3	...	1	2	1	1	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff of Keraolee	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	2	...	...	...	...
Total ...	3	15	3	8	3	5	1	4	2	15	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge ...	...	11	3	...	3	14	M D. Act X. ... 2 4 Reg appeals, 3 22
„ V. Berkeley, Judge of Small Cause Court, as Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	26	..	5	28	
Mahomed Bukeh, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	24	...	1	19	
Nasir Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	...	27	...	...	25	
Nussur-oollah Khan, Moonsiff of Futtiabad	...	...	26	...	...	21	
Gopal Suhye, Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	...	1	21	...	1	4	
Ram Lall, Moonsiff of Keraolee ...	...	...	...	...	...	24	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total No. of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge,	3	6	1	10	631	641	2.
" V. Berkeley, Judge of S. C. C., as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	2	1	2	5	3	8	63
Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	153	81	423	657	132	789	83
Nasir Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Muttra ...	102	244	199	545	95	640	85
Nasir-oollah Khan, Moonsiff of Futtiabad ...	26	279	238	543	148	691	79
Gopal Suhae, Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	71	100	324	495	135	630	78
Ram Lall, Moonsiff of Keraolee ...	122	71	420	613	81	694	88
Total ...	479	782	1,607	2,868	1225	4,093	70

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Agra.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Judge of Small Cause Court as P. S. Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	5	...	3	...	8	1	22	...	...	1	22	
	Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	1	...	5	...	6	...	...	...	40	...	40	
	" of Futtiabad	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	12	...	12	
	" of Jullaysur ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	17	...	17	
	" of Keraolee ...	...	...	...	20	...	20	...	28	...	...	...	28	
	Total ...	...	7	...	30	...	37	1	50	...	69	1	119	

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	No. of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Buksh ...	32	29	56	42	1 7	1 19	83	Original ... 90
On merits ...	27	29	...	...	...	...	...	
Mr. V. Berkeley ...	1	...	33	...	10 26	5 28	63	Appeal ... 75

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realised for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	
Judge	11,563 8 0	...	1,545 0 0	10,018 8 0	36,861 0 0	...	26,842 8 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen	29,794 8 0	558 8 0	205 0 0	30,148 0 0	6,780 0 0	23,368 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Muttra ...	5,850 4 0	67 0 0	148 8 0	5,768 12 0	3,540 0 0	2,228 12 0	...	
Moonsiff of Futtiabad	5,253 0 0	126 0 0	43 0 0	5,336 0 0	2,784 0 0	2,552 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Jullaysur ...	4,355 0 0	21 0 0	38 0 0	4,358 0 0	2,784 0 0	1,554 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Keraolee.....	6,208 1 0	...	94 0 0	6,114 1 0	2,784 0 0	3,330 1 0	...	
Total ...	63,024 5 0	772 8 0	2,073 8 0	61,723 5 0	55,533 0 0	33,032 13 0	26,842 8 0	6,190 5 0

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
Muttra ...	Nasir Ally Khan ...	27	38	30	10	M. D. 49 25	85	
	On merits ...	16	...	...	...	...	...	
Futtiabad ...	Nasir-oollah Khan ...	36	27	34	13	0 24	79	
	On merits ...	30	...	...	...	...	...	
Jullaysur ...	Gopal Rao ...	23	21	33	15	1 4	78	
	On merits ...	16	...	...	...	...	...	
Keraolee ...	Ram Lall ...	37	44	38	14	0 24	88	
	On merits ...	28	...	...	...	...	...	

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

Regular Files.

Court	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864	1865.
Judge ...	922	953	308	324	416	433	3	...	495	80
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	167	129	367	382	694	722	4	1	194	264
Offg. Additional P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	90	93	...	...	...	189
Sudder Ameen ...	229	270	167	191	232	273	...	...	68	62
Moonsiff ...	3,209	3,830	2,710	3,112	3,364	3,815	...	...	347	356
Total ...	4,527	5,182	3,552	4,009	4,796	5,336	7	1	1,104	951
Increase ...	...	655	...	...	1,244	1,327	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	153

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.*	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	
Judge ..	J. W. Sherer, Esq.	...	...	...	...	2	20	22	91·	
	H. Lushington, Esq.	...	1	1	5	10	394	411	96·	
P. S. Ameen ...	Rao Kanjee Sahae ..	5	5	8	9	19	676	722	94·	
Offg. Additional P. S. Ameen ...	Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee ...	...	...	...	...	3	90	93	97·	
Sudder Ameen ...	Mahomed Kazim Ali	43	27	5	4	37	157	273	58·	
Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	Mahomed Kazim Ali	148	110	7	56	150	549	1,020	54	
Moonsiff of Bulleah	Baboo Ram Kali Chowdry ...	83	57	48	...	66	517	771	67·	
Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	Moulvie Zein-ool-abdeen ...	30	30	27	5	58	520	670	78·	
Moonsiff of Syedpore	Baboo Kasi Nath Biswas ...	57	112	14	3	44	478	703	67·	
Moonsiff of Rusrah ...	Lalla Salig Ram ...	68	128	23	16	53	363	651	56·	
	Total ...	434	470	133	98	442	3,759	5,336	70·	

* Exclusive of transferred cases.

Miscellaneous Cases.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	312	363	280	362	304	329	...	...	56	38
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	774	677	379	587	536	778	...	...	379	298
Offg. Additional P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sudder Ameen ...	988	932	579	795	726	1,103	...	...	322	166
Moonsiffs ...	7,213	6,010	3,776	5,485	4,485	7,592	...	...	2,407	846
Total ...	9,287	7,982	5,014	229	6,051	9,802	...	...	3,164	1,348
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	1,037	2,573	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	1,305	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,816

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck of.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864	1,375	641	1,990	4,006	34·
1865	1,695	841	3,707	6,243	27·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities during the year.	Percentage of cases appealable to Civil Courts to cases decided by Revenue Officers
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
M. Brodhurst, Esq., Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
G. B. Pasley, Esq., Offg. Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	1	100·
C. W. Mellor, Esq., Deputy Ditto ...	5	7	12	11	1	...	12	...	8	7	100·
W. C. Turner, Esq., Deputy Ditto ...	2	...	2	...	2	...	2	..	100	...	...
J. Smith, Esq., Assistant Ditto ...	...	10	10	4	3	3	10	...	30	21	48·
W. Barry, Esq., Ditto Ditto ...	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	8	25·
J. G. Bachman, Esq., Deputy Ditto ...	3	16	19	9	8	...	17	2	47	78	21·
Ali Bux Khan, Ditto ...	2	1	3	1	2	...	3	...	67	...	...
Rao Buldeo Bux, Ditto ...	4	37	41	12	28	...	40	1	70	39	95·
Ram Golam Singh, Tehseeldar ...	7	4	11	8	3	...	11	...	27	6	67·
Talamund Pershaud, Ditto ...	4	87	91	48	36	...	84	7	43	130	07·
Umjud Hossein, Ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	35	...
Moulvie Mahomed Shafi, Ditto ...	1	4	5	3	...	...	3	2	...	43	9·
Hati Bahadoor, Ditto ...	11	11	22	14	6	...	20	2	30	25	44·
Oudh Beharee Lall, Ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	42	7·
Total ...	40	184	224	114	92	3	209	15	44	435	42·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Zein-ool-abdeen, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad,	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ..	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1864.			1865.		
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
B. Sapte, Esq., Judge	Rent suits ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Civil suits ...	...	5	17	...	...	...
J. W. Shorer, Esq., Officiating Judge	Rent suits ...	...	...	...	...	3	13
	Civil suits ...	...	4	21	...	6	9
H Lushington, Esq, Ditto	Rent suits ...	...	...	...	...	2	29
	Civil suits ...	...	...	...	...	5	26
Syed Ahmed Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...		...	2	21	...	...	...
Rae Kanjee Sahae, Ditto ditto ...		...	3	2	...	2	27
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Offg. Additional ditto ...		...	...	...	...	...	14
Kazim Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore		...	3	4	...	2	8
Baboo Ram Kali Chowdry, Moonsiff of Bulloeah ...		...	...	20	...	...	22
Moulvie Zein-ool-abdeen, Ditto of Mahomdabad ...		...	...	17	...	...	22
Baboo Kasi Nath Biswas, Ditto of Syedpore ...		...	...	26	...	...	20
Lalla Salig Ram, Ditto of Rusrah ...		...	...	26	...	...	17
Total ...		...	2	2	...	1	20

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
J. W. Sherer, Esq., Officiating Judge ...	4	4	12	20	2	22	91·
H. Lushington, Esq., Officiating Judge ..	72	33	197	302	109	411	73
Rao Kanjee Sahae, Principal Sudder Ameen,	150	159	266	575	147	722	80.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Offg. Ditto,	8	6	72	86	7	93	92·
Kazim Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	58	76	56	187	86	273	69·
Kazim Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	211	231	289	731	289	1,020	72·
Baboo Ram Kali Chowdry, Moonsiff of Bulleeah	90	71	543	704	67	771	91·
Moulvie Zein-ool-abdeen, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad	46	44	564	654	16	670	98·
Baboo Kasi Nath Biswas, Moonsiff of Syedpore	74	104	493	671	32	703	95
Lalla Salig Ram, Moonsiff of Rusrah ...	86	122	417	625	26	651	96·
Total ...	799	837	2,909	4,555	781	5,336	85

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntarily.						*Involuntarily and permanent under Section 248.*					
		By order of Court under Section 243		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Ghazeepore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	8	...	21
	Offg. Additional P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	11	...	...	...	11	...	9	...	6	...	15
	Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	...	8		...	...	8	...	8	...	3	...	11
	Ditto of Bulleeah ...	..	5	...	...	...	5	...	9	...	4	...	13
	Ditto of Mahomdabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	4	...	7
	Ditto of Syedpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	8	...	19
	Ditto of Rusrah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	5	...	11
	Total ..	...	24	...	...	...	24	...	59	...	38	...	97

Principal Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Rae Kanjee Sahae ...	9	49	43	47	2	27	2	27	Original cases ...77 Appeals ...80	Actual number of appeals decided by this officer is 90 on merits, sitting 23 days only after the deduction of authorized holidays and Sundays.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee,	...	79	...	...	2	12	0	14	Original cases ... Appeals ...92	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases and appeals in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff } Syed Kazim Ally Khan,	76	...	42	33	3	19	2	16	69·	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Kazeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge	11,197 0 0	...	108 0 0	11,089 0 0	36,373 5 0	...	25,284 5 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	20,006 0 0	5 4 0	330 0 0	19,681 4 0	6,600 0 0	1,381 4 0	...	
Officiating Additional Principal Sudder Ameen	119 0 0	...	32 0 0	87 0 0	934 2 8	...	847 2 8	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore	19,852 0 0	177 12 0	700 8 0	19,329 4 0	3,900 0 0	15,369 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Bulleeah	6,799 8 0	240 15 0	16 0 0	7,024 7 0	3,360 0 0	3,664 7 0	...	
Ditto of Mahomdabad ...	5,613 0 0	47 5 0	9 0 0	5,651 5 0	2,640 0 0	3,011 5 0	...	
Ditto of Syedpore	4,691 14 0	40 2 0	27 0 0	4,705 0 0	2,640 0 0	2,065 0 0	...	
Ditto of Rusrah	4,801 12 0	90 10 0	32 0 0	4,860 6 0	2,640 0 0	2,220 6 0	...	
Total ...	73,080 2 0	602 0 0	1,254 8 0	72,427 10 0	59,147 7 8	39,411 6 0	26,131 7 8	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsif	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. (Days.)	Average duration of suits. (Days.)	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total No. finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
Bulleah ...	Ram Kali Chowdry ...	54	21	35	24	22	91·	
Mahomdabad ...	Zein-ool-abdeen ...	48	18	19	28	22	98·	
Syedpore ...	Kasi Nath Biswas ...	45	21	26	24	20	95·	
Rusrah ...	Lalla Salig Ram ...	38	27	40	14	17	96·	

ZILLAH MORADABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	599	741	136	149	112	124	...	2	199	385
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	200	217	567	603	448	465	...	1	63	252
Sudder Ameen ...	71	60	66	77	57	60	...	...	4	4
Moonsiffs ...	5,369	5 127	4,154	5,273	4,153	5,161	1	1	496	456
Total ...	6,239	6,145	4,923	6,102	4,770	5,810	1	4	762	1,097
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	335
Decrease ...	...	94	...	...	153	292	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges	Mr. J. Power ...	...	...	9	6	2	34	51	84	
	Mr. C. R. Lindsay,	...	...	...	...	4	69	73	94	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Mahomed Hussun,	17	13	6	...	17	412	465	89	
Sudder Ameen of Bijnour ...	Mahomed Hussun,	8	11	...	...	3	38	60	63	
Moonsiff of Bijnour ...	Mahomed Hussun,	93	266	25	17	138	317	856	37	
Moonsiff of Nugeena ...	Jevun Kishen ...	117	75	81	93	151	588	1,105	53	
Moonsiff of Umroha ...	Shunker Doss ...	101	270	18	5	129	229	752	30	
Moonsiffs of Sumbhul ...	Abid Ali ...	31	241	12	4	76	159	523	30	
Moonsiffs of Environs ...	Sotee Beharee ...	20	23	6	2	21	62	134	46	
	Pundit Hursahai,	57	67	9	9	39	261	442	59	
Moonsiff of City,	Sheo Naraiu ...	102	210	8	23	117	159	624	25	
Moonsiffs of Bilaree ...	Cheda Lall ...	21	66	17	7	51	78	240	33	
	Nawab Roy ...	33	173	3	31	90	155	485	32	
	Total ...	600	1,415	194	202	638	2,561	5,810	44	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.				Remarks.
			1864.		1865.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*		
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1865.	1866.	
Judge ...	103	123	106	151	73	78	...	...	11	32	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	706	664	308	794	255	658	...	...	93	93	
Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	446	331	315	411	237	363	...	...	68	37	
Moonsiffs ...	12,274	11,623	7,945	11,268	8,810	12,781	...	7	2,799	1,531	
Total ...	13,527	12,741	8,674	12,614	9,375	13,880	...	7	2,981	1,693	
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	701	1,266	...	7	...	...	
Decrease ...	...	786	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,288	

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,961	1,479	3,231	6,671	29·
1865 ...	1,754	1,405	4,166	7,325	24·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Total number of suits relating to rent instituted and disposed of by each of the Revenue Officers.		Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Percentage of cases appealed to the number decided by each Revenue Officer.
	Instituted.	Decided.				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Mr R Manderson, Collector ...	132	120	2	24	26	1	1	3	5	21	20	20
„ A. Boulderson, Deputy Collector,	20	12	4	1	5	5	...	...	5	...	...	8
„ H. F. Tyrrell, Ditto ditto ...	104	90	5	31	36	5	2	3	10	26	20	34
„ G. Butt, Assistant Collector ...	85	32	...	8	8	...	...	...	...	8	...	25
„ W B. Joyce, Deputy Collector...	85	48	...	25	25	3	...	...	3	22	...	52
Meer Imdad Ali, Ditto ditto ...	30	8	9	2	11	9	...	...	9	2	...	25
Mirza Ehsan Ali Beg, Ditto ditto...	23	11	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	9
Emam-ood-deen, Tehseeldar, Moradabad ...	59	22	1	4	5	1	1	...	2	3	50	18
Mahomed Ali, Ditto, Bareilly ...	64	35	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2
Keoul Kishun, Ditto, Hussunpore,	8	8	2	3	5	2	2	...	4	1	50	37
Sookhbasee Lall, Ditto, Thakoordwara,	7	2	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	50
Abdool Hadee, Ditto, Umroha ...	3	1	4	5	9	6	2	...	8	1	25	...
Total ...	593	389	27	106	133	32	8	6	46	87	17	27
Mr. M. Sandys, Deputy Collector ...	29	8	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	12
„ Carpenter, Ditto ditto ...	82	48	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2
Koor Luchmun Singh, Ditto ditto ...	253	137	4	29	33	6	11	...	17	16	64	21
Nanuk Chund, Ditto ditto ...	68	36	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2
Anoop Singh, Tehseeldar, Bijnour ...	6	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Koorsheid Ali Khan, Do., Chandpore,	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Radha Kishen, Do., Dhampore ...	5	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kadir Ali, Do., Nugeena ...	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	449	237	4	32	36	6	11	...	17	19	64	14
GRAND TOTAL ...	1,042	626	31	138	169	38	19	6	63	106	30	22

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Mahomed Hussun, P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Hussun, S. Ameen, Bijnour,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Hussun, Moonsiff, Bijnour ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Jeevun Kishen, Ditto, Nugeena,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Shunker Doss, Ditto, Umroha ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...
Abid Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	6	...	6
Sotee Beharee Lall, Ditto, Environs,	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	....	...	...
Hursahai, Ditto, Environs ...	...	...	...	...	3	5	3	1	...	...	...	...
Sheo Narain, Ditto, City ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Choda Lall, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nawab Roy, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	1	1	...	1	5	7	3	3	1	6	...	6

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	Previous year.			Year under review.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Of Civil Suits.						
Mr. J. Power, Judge of Moradabad ...	...	3	20	...	7	27
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge of Moradabad ...	...	...	...	1	8	3
Of suits under Act X. of 1859.						
Mr. J. Power, Judge of Moradabad ...	...	...	...	1	1	9
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge of Moradabad ...	...	...	...	...	8	17
Mahomed Hussun, Principal Sudder Ameen, Moradabad ...	...	2	...	...	8	28
Mahomed Hussun, Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	...	1	8	...	1	2
Mahomed Hussun, Moonsiff, Bijnour ...	...	...	21	...	...	20
Shunker Doss, Ditto, Umroha ...	...	...	29	...	1	...
Jeevun Kishen, Ditto, Nugeena ...	...	...	30	...	1	...
Sotee Beharee Lall, Ditto, Environs ...	...	...	29	...	...	28
Hursahai, Ditto, Environs ...	...	...	...	...	...	29
Sheo Narain. Ditto, City ...	...	...	28	...	1	24
Abid Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul ...	...	...	23	...	...	28
Choda Lall, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	1	2	...	...	29
Nawab Roy, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	27

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Original Cases.							
Mr. John Power, } *Judges,*	...	...	...	...	2	2	...
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, } *Judges,*	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Appeal Cases.							
Mr. J. Power, } *Judges* ...	...	...	...	...	49	49	...
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, } *Judges* ...	10	8	12	30	43	73	41
Mahomed Hussun, } *Original cases,*	15	49	11	73	83	156	47
Principal Sudder Ameen, } *Appeal cases,*	5	6	3	14	295	309	5
Mahomed Hussun, Sudder Ameen, Bijnour,	8	10	34	52	8	60	87
Mahomed Hussun, Moonsiff, Do.,	97	126	574	797	59	856	93
Shunker Dass, Do., Umroha ...	97	112	477	686	66	752	91
Jeevun Kishen, Do., Nugeena ...	146	173	606	925	180	1,105	84
Sotee Beharee Lall, Do., } Environs ...	22	11	103	136	12	148	91
Hursahai, Do., } Environs ...	55	30	310	395	33	428	92
Sheo Narain, Do., City ...	40	67	490	597	27	624	96
Abid Ali, Do., Sumbhul ...	40	192	128	360	163	523	69
Cheda Lall, Do., } Billaree ...	34	83	86	203	37	240	85
Nawab Roy Do., } Billaree ...	85	90	249	424	61	485	87
Total ...	654	955	3,083	4,692	1,118	5,810	810

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of mouzahs and shares of mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total of columns 3 and 5.	Total of columns 4 and 6.	Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.			Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15
Moradabad,	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	34	1	1	7	35	
	S. Ameen, Bijnour,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	1	...	11	
	Moonsiff, Bijnour ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	...	...	20	
	Do., Umroha ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	15	...	1	...	16	
	Do., Nugeena ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15	...	1	...	16	
	Do., Environs ...	...	10	...	4	...	14	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Do., City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Do., Sumbhul ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	...	3	...	4	...	7	
	Do., Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	1	...	6	
	Total ...	...	12	...	7	...	19	6	106	1	9	7	115	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and* ex-parte *per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.		7.		8.	9.
Mahomed Hussun Khan,	11	25	43	55	10	14	3	28	19	
On merits ...	9	...	...	...	...		...		...	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M.	D	M.	D.		
Mahomed Hussun Khan,	41	21	13	1	12	1	2	93	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	8,180 8 0	73 4 0	289 0 0	7,964 12 0	36,432 0 0	...	48,467 4 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	16,573 0 0	421 5 0	322 0 0	16,672 0 0	6,600 0 0	10,072 5 0	...	
Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	2,361 0 0	10 8 0	16 0 0	2,355 8 0	3,960 0 0	...	1,604 8 0	
Moonsiff of Bijnour ...	6,218 8 0	26 7 0	135 8 0	6,109 7 0	...	6,109 7 0	...	
Ditto of Umroha ...	5,920 0 0	23 3 0	167 8 0	5,775 11 0	3,360 0 0	2,415 11 0	...	
Ditto of Nugeena ...	7,321 8 0	32 7 0	54 0 0	7,299 15 0	3,360 0 0	3,939 15 0	...	
Ditto of Environs ...	3,649 8 0	104 1 0	29 8 0	3,724 1 0	2,640 0 0	1,084 1 0	...	
Ditto of City ...	4,206 10 0	42 8 0	173 8 0	4,075 0 0	2,640 0 0	1,435 10 0	...	
Ditto of Sumbhul ...	3,690 0 0	15 12 0	57 0 0	3,648 12 0	2,640 0 0	1,008 12 0	...	
Ditto of Billaree ...	4,478 14 0	25 11 0	122 8 0	4,382 1 0	2,640 0 0	1,742 1 0	...	
Total ...	62,599 8 0	775 2 0	1,366 8 0	62,008 2 0	64,272 0 0	27,807 14 0	30,071 12 0	Rs. 30,071 12 0 Net loss to Government. 27,807 14 0 Net gain to Government. Rs. 2,263 14 0 Loss to Government.

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
Umroha, ...	Shunker Dass, On merits,	29	37	30	0 15	1 0	91	
	Mukhun Lall,	21	...	...	...	...	...	
Nugeena ...	Jevun Kishen	65	10	12	0 28	1 0	84	
	On merits	56	...	...	...	...	...	
Environs, ...	Sofee Beharee Lall,	35	29	28	0 15	0 27	92	
	Pundit Hursuhai,	28	...	...	...	...	...	
	On merits	22	47	48	1 24	1 23	96	
City ...	Sheo Narain	14	...	...	...	...	...	
	On merits	17	31	8	3 10	0 28	69	
Sumbhul ...	Abid Ali	14	...	...	...	...	...	
	On merits	26	34	9	0 9	0 27	87	
Billaree, ...	Cheda Lall,	21	...	...	...	...	...	
	Nawab Roy							
	On merits							

ZILLAH MEERUT.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January, 1866.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	523	548	471	501	215	225	2	1	72	198
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	393	620	373	406	518	561	...	...	61	116
Moonsiffs ...	3,601	2,904	2,735	3,550	2,298	2,936	1	...	282	242
Total ...	4,517	4,072	3,579	4,457	3,031	3,722	3	1	415	556
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	141
Decrease ...	...	445	...	...	548	782	...	2	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on their merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. Turnbull ...	...	...	...	4	6	215	225	96·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Paighumbur Buksh,	52	34	21	8	35	411	561	73·	
Moonsiff, Meerut,	Mirza Ali ...	12	243	3	14	109	399	780	51·	
Do., Boolundshuhur ...	Mukhun Lall ...	40	432	60	53	166	302	1,058	29·	
Moonsiff, Ghuzeeabad ...	Ain-ood-deen ...	4	149	...	64	232	654	1,103	59·	
	Total ...	108	858	84	143	548	1,981	3,722	53·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1864.	1865.	1864.	1865.
Judge ...	284	178	180	247	185	202	...	...	93	69
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,222	1,007	700	1,127	731	1,119	...	...	356	294
Moonsiffs ...	7,441	5,360	2,872	6,786	2,737	6,208	...	...	1,493	675
Total ...	8,947	6,625	3,752	8,160	3,653	7,529	...	...	1,942	1,038
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	2,322	...	...	99	631	...	...	...	904

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864	1,888	1,003	3,100	5,999	33·
1865	1,817	2,117	2,021	5,955	31·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Presiding Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to Civil Court decided by Revenue Officer in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. W Forbes, Collector ...	1	5	6	3	1	...	4	1	25·	8	63·
„ G Billings, Deputy Collector ...	...	11	11	9	2	...	11	...	18·	28	39·
„ S Ridsdale, Asst. Do. ...	6	14	20	8	...	...	8	1	...	20	70·
Wuzeer Ali Khan, Dy- Do. ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	5	3	40·	47	11·
Kurm Ali Khan, Do. Do. ...	...	4	4	...	...	4	4	..	...	15	27·
Mr. W. Neale, Assistant Do. ...	2	3	5	3	1	...	4	3	25·	81	4·
Bishumbur Suhai, Deputy Do. ...	1	2	3	1	...	...	1	...	...	40	5·
Mr. Porter, Assistant Do. ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	19	5·
Nasir Ali Khan, Deputy Do. ...	1	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	9·
Fuzl Ahmud, Do. Do. ...	...	2	2	.	1	...	1	...	100·	1	...
Total ...	11	48	59	27	8	4	39	8	20·	270	18·
Mr. R. Currie, Assistant Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ W. Baldwin, Do. Do. ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	5	...	40·	36	11·
„ R. Crosthwaite, Do. Do. ...	3	4	7	1	...	...	1	...	...	27	15·
Mungul Sou, Deputy Collector ...	6	11	17	5	2	...	7	2	29·	...	...
Moheeb Ali, Do. Do. ...	17	18	35	...	1	...	1	...	100·	92	20·
Koour Pershaud Do. Do. ...	...	1	1	1	1	...	2	1	50·	10	10·
Bishen Dyal, Do. Do. ...	..	...	...	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...
Imdad Hossein, Do. Do. ...	2	4	6	4	...	...	4	2	...	36	11·
Miseee Lall, Do. Do. ...	6	7	13	...	1	..	1	...	100·	56	13·
Syud Mahomed, Do. Do. ..	2	2	4	2	...	...	...	...	...	134	1·
	36	52	88	18	7	...	23	7	28·	391	13·
Total ...	47	100	147	15	15	4	62	15	22·	661	15

Operations of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. G. D. Turnbull, Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Paighumbur Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff, Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	1	1	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. G. D. Turnbull, Judge	...	...	3	...	4	24·
Ditto under Act X. 1859 ...	...	1	...	...	3	19·
Paighumbur Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen, (Original) ... ... Ditto, Ditto (Appeals),	...	1	28	...	1	17·
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff of Meerut	...	...	29	...	...	28·
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur ...	...	...	24	...	...	19·
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad ...	..	...	20	...	...	21·

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily, or by summons.				Number of cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. G. D. Turnbull, Judge	32	37	49	118	107	225	23·
Paighumbur Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	82	29	394	505	56	561	90·
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff of Meerut	70	240	470	780	...	780	100·
Mukhun Lall, Moonsiff of Boolundshuhur ...	57	220	688	965	88	1,053	92·
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad	21	35	728	784	319	1,103	71·
Total ...	262	561	2,329	3,152	570	3,722	85·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, or voluntary.						*Involuntary or permanent under Section* 248.					
		By order of Court, Section 243.		At instance of Collector, Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
Meerut.	Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1·
	Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	16·
	Moonsiff, Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	...	...	...	40·
	Ghazeeabad ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	4	...	16	...	20·
	Boolundshuhur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	...	32.
	Total ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	44	...	65	...	109·

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of cases decided on their merits and ex parte.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified of appeal decided.	Number of days' work left pending at the average rate of work performed.		*Average duration of suits.*		Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties were before the Court (original).		Remarks.
	Original.	Appeal.			M.	D.	Original and appeal.				
Paighumbur Buksh ...	23	15	62	26	1	17	59·	16	83·	34	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties were before the Court.	REMARKS.
					M. D	Days.		
Meerut ...	Mirza Ali ...	34	33	36	2 21	28	100·	
Boolundshuhur ...	Mukhun Lall ...	33	80	17	2 7	19	92·	
Ghazeeabad ...	Ain-ood-deen ...	5	14	20	1 23	21	71·	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
COURT.	*Average value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed ordinarily.	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.
Judge ...	11,071 8 0	...	240 0 0	10,831 8 0	36,899 1 0	...	26,067 9 0
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	24,320 8 0	510 0 0	493 0 0	24,337 8 0	6,600 0 0	17,737 0 0	...
Moonsiff, Meerut ...	5,218 1 0	103 8 0	20 0 0	5,301 9 0	3,597 12 0	1,703 12 0	...
Moonsiff. Boolundshuhur ...	7,435 0 0	121 4 0	225 0 0	7,331 4 0	2,792 0 0	4,539 4 0	...
Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad	7,520 0 0	42 0 0	4 0 0	7,558 0 0	2,964 0 0	4,594 0 0	...
Total ...	55,565 12 0	776 1 0	982 0 0	55,359 13 0	52,852 13 0	28,574 0 0	26,067 9 0

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

ZILLAH SEHARUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge's Court ...	551	507	143	168	204	220	...	...	188	148
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	218	181	441	492	471	515	...	...	56	74
Sudder Ameen's Court ...	48	22	45	51	21	27	...	...	5	1
Moonsiff's Court ...	3,451	2,843	2,492	3,685	1,901	2,822	...	1	197	206
Total ...	4,268	3,553	3,121	4,396	2,597	3,584	...	1	446	429
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
Decrease ...	...	715	...	...	524	812	...	...	...	17

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
			Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.		*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	
Judge ...	Mr. S. J. Becher,	Original,	1	...	...	...	...	5	6	83	
		Appeal,	12	1	1	10	6	184	214	86	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore ...	Sookbasee Lall,	Original,	10	11	15	2	36	92	166	55	
		Appeal,	...	...	...	...	3	322	325	99	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Dehra Dhoon ...	Mr. S. S. Melville ...		7	1	3	...	3	10	24	42	
Sudder Ameen ...	Mr. S. S. Melville ...		8	...	2	1	5	11	27	44	
Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur ...	Gunput Roy ...		56	175	36	19	175	159	620	26	
Do. of Seharunpore	Khadim Hossein ...		134	239	41	44	231	188	927	20	
Do. of Doobund ...	Meer Badsha ...		33	38	54	32	212	159	528	30	
Do. of Shamli ...	Gopal Roy ...		53	310	67	12	123	112	677	17	
Do. of Dehra Dhoon,	Mr. C. F. Hall ...		27	1	3	12	11	16	70	23	
	Total ...		341	776	222	132	855	1,258	3,584	35	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	134	142	120	187	101	122	...	...	9	39
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	922	835	589	956	463	905	...	...	209	145
Sudder Ameen ...	67	47	36	76	22	53	...	...	22	24
Moonsiffs ...	11,047	7,959	5,660	8,969	5,862	10,687	...	...	3,316	657
Total ...	12,170	8,983	6,405	10,188	6,448	11,767	...	..	3,556	865
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	43	1,579	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	3,187	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,691

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1864 ...	1,604	1,738	3,523	6,865	23·
1865 ...	1,703	1,732	5,195	8,630	20·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Seharunpore.											
Mr. H. LP. Wynne, Asst. Collector, ...	1	7	8	3	1	...	4	4	25	71	10
,, C. A. Daniell, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	24	4
,, W. J. Mulligan, Ditto ditto ...	...	8	8	1	...	...	1	7	...	8	100
,, G. Morgan, Deputy Collector ...	4	15	19	19	...	...	19	...	...	20	75
Nundkishore, Ditto ditto ...	19	13	32	8	18	...	26	6	69	77	17
Misree Lall, Ditto ditto ...	7	...	7	...	7	...	7	...	100	...	...
Munsub Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	100
Total ...	31	45	76	32	27	...	59	17	46	201	22
Mooozuffernuggur.											
Mr. S. N. Martin, Collector ...	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	3	...
,, J. R. Leeds, Assistant Collector ...	5	48	53	13	22	5	40	13	55	145	33
,, W. T. Baldwin, Ditto ditto ...	1	2	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...
Vikar Ali Beg, Deputy Collector ...	2	16	18	15	2	...	17	1	12	42	38
Nanukchund, Ditto ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Fuzul Hossein, Ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	100
Total ...	10	68	78	32	24	6	62	16	39	192	35
Grand Total ...	41	113	154	64	51	6	121	33	42	393	29

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur ...	1	4	...	4	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff of Deobund ...	...	...	...	...	3	7	6	1	1	2	2	...
Total ...	1	4	...	4	5	9	7	2	1	2	2	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. S. J. Becher ... Original ...	...	1	23	...	...	28
Mr. S. J. Becher ... Appeal from do.	...	3	3	...	*4	12
Mr. S. J. Becher ... Do. ...	...	...	...	...	*5	..
Sookhbassee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen Original ...	...	1	21	...	1	10
Sookhbassee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen Appeal ...	...	1	5	...	1	8
Mr. S. S. Melville, Ditto of Dehra Dhoon, Original...	...	2	27	...	1	26
Mr. S. S. Melville, Sudder Ameen of Ditto ...	...	3	13	...	1	10
Gunput Roy, Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur ...	...	1	9	...	...	24
Khadim Hossein, Moonsiff of Seharunpore ...	...	1	9	...	...	26
Ram Lall, Ditto of Deobund ...	...	...	15	...	...	...
Meer Badsha Ditto of Deobund ...	...	...	...	...	...	19
Gopal Roy Ditto of Shamli ...	...	...	29	...	...	20
Mr. C. F. Hall, Ditto of Dehra Dhoon ...	...	4	15	...	...	21

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.						
Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge ... Original,	...	1	4	5	1	6	83
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge ... Appeal,	9	2	7	18	196	214	8
Sookhbassee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Original,	20	22	116	158	8	166	95
Sookhbassee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Appeal,	88	75	93	256	69	325	79
Mr. S. S. Melville, Ditto of Dehra Dhoon ...	10	2	3	15	9	24	62
Mr. S. S. Melville, Sudder Ameen of ditto ditto ...	8	...	8	16	11	27	59
Gunput Roy, Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur ...	62	94	336	492	128	620	79
Khadim Hossein, Ditto of Seharunpore ...	184	281	460	889	38	927	96
Meer Badsha, Ditto of Deobund ...	37	9	457	503	25	528	95
Gopal Roy, Ditto of Shamli ...	90	45	524	659	18	677	97
Mr. C. F. Hall, Ditto of Dehra Dhoon ...	32	3	15	50	20	70	71
Total ...	504	534	2,023	3,061	523	3,584	85

* Instituted under the provisions of Acts X, and XIV, of 1859.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.	12	13.	14	15.
		Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year												
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntarily and permanent under Section* 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
District.	Civil Court.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
Seharunpore, Moozuffernuggur.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	P. S Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	57	...	1	...	58	
	Moonsiff ...	...	5	...	...	...	5	...	54	..	...	...	54	
	Do. of Deobund,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	...	...	27	
	Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	34	...	2	...	36	
	Do of Shamli ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	...	3	...	29	
	Total ...	...	5	...	...	...	5	...	199	...	6	...	205	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte *per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
Sookhbassee Lall ..	9	27	56	41	1	M. D 1 10	Original, 95 Appeals, 79	84
On merits ...	8	27	...	...	...	...		
Mr S S. Melville .	1	...	17	100	3	1 26	Original, 62	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court	
Mr. S S. Melville ...	1	61	37	...	M. D. 1 15	Days. 10	44
On merits ...	1	...	..	...	...	..	

Income of Stamps and cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							Remarks.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge ...	8,537 8 0	...	400 0 0	8,187 8 0	37,260 0 0	...	...	
Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore ...	979 0 0	...	294 0 0	9,185 0 0	6,780 0 0	2,405 0 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Dehra Dhoon	1,179 8 0	10 8 0	154 0 0	1,065 0 0				
Ditto of Ditto ...	628 8 0	...	54 0 0	574 8 0	420 0 0	3,134 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Ditto ...	508 8 0	...	33 0 0	7,274 8 0				
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Moozuffernuggur ...	7,461 0 0	10 8 0	197 0 0	7,274 8 0	4,140 0 0	3,134 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Seharunpore ...	8,173 8 0	15 10 0	467 8 0	7,721 10 0	3,610 0 0	4,111 10 0	...	
Ditto of Deobund ...	4,991 0 0	21 8 0	95 0 0	4,917 8 0	2,798 0 0	2,119 8 0	...	
Ditto of Shamli ...	7,190 8 0	...	149 0 0	7,041 8 0	2,798 0 0	4,243 8 0	...	
Total ...	48,199 0 0	52 2 0	1,819 8 0	46,437 10 0	57,806 0 0	...	11,368 6 0	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					Days.	Days.		
Moozuffernuggur ...	Gunput Roy ...	21	33	23	20	24	79	
Seharunpore ...	On merits ...	16	...	...	...	...	...	
Deobund ...	Khadim Hossein ...	30	50	28	45	26	96	
Shamli ...	On merits ...	19	...	...	...	...	...	
Dehra Dhoon ...	Meer Badsha ...	20	35	15	10	19	95	
	On merits ...	17	...	...	...	...	...	
	Gopal Roy ...	19	42	29	17	20	97	
	On merits ...	15	...	...	...	...	...	
	Mr. C. F. Hall ...	4	52	50	...	24	71	
	On merits ...	2	...	...	...	...	...	

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	857	938	359	363	332	350	3	4	313	502
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	139	103	393	398	406	420	7	5	216	306
S. Ameen & 1st Grade Moonsiff,	960	1,000	793	915	897	985	1	2	138	152
Moonsiffs ...	2,570	2,502	2,215	2,452	2,145	2,422	...	...	247	318
Total ...	4,526	4,543	3,760	4,128	3,780	4,177	11	11	914	1,278
Increase ...	...	17	...	...	20	49	...	...	...	364
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Rejected for informality or delay. Non-suited and dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. C R. Lindsay ...	...	...	1	2	10	246	259	95·	
Offg. Judge ...	„ Gore Ouseley ...	...	...	...	2	4	85	91	93	
P. S. Ameen ...	Mooftee Assud-oollah ...	4	23	10	3	11	669	420	87·	
S Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff,	Syud Kasim Ally ...	62	406	21	31	57	408	985	41·	
Moonsiff of Captain gunge ...	Mahomed Ruhoem-oollah ...	41	217	17	91	19	193	578	33·	
Moonsiff of Bansee,	Mahomed Menhdi Ali,	9	...	12	2	1	250	274	91	
Moonsiff of Ditto,	Mahomed Hafiz Ruhoem ...	32	151	5	...	9	107	304	35	
Moonsiff of Bansgaon ...	Mahomed Burkut Ali	131	136	13	36	31	210	557	37·	
Moonsiff of Doorooa ...	Moonshee Lukhput Rae ...	49	225	65	21	67	282	700	89·	
	Total ...	328	1,158	144	188	209	2,150	4,177	51	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	456	475	390	400	441	453	...	...	110	113
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	636	573	345	550	333	707	...	1	370	241
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff ...	8,632	7,224	6,545	8,099	5,748	8,025	...	...	959	332
Moonsiffs ...	5,978	4,801	3,233	4,954	3,401	5,758	...	...	1,679	791
Total ...	15,702	13,073	10,513	14,003	9,923	14,943	...	1	3,118	1,477
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	940	...	1	...	...
Decrease ...	...	2,629	...	...	590	...	...	...	...	1,641

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1865 ...	1,663	917	4,285	6,865	24·	
1864 ...	1,733	783	2,721	6,237	33·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed, modified, or remanded, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. Gore Ouseley, Collector of Goruckpore,	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
„ C. J. Danieli, Offg. Do. Ditto ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...
„ F. E. Elliot, Deputy Do. Ditto ...	3	...	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	50	...
„ W. R. Burkitt, Asst. Do. Ditto ...	6	1	7	2	3	2	7	...	43	...	...
„ E. S. Robertson, Dy. Do. Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
„ B. Alone, Dy. Do. Ditto ...	8	50	58	8	4	...	12	46	33	73	68·
Moulvie Nuzeer Ahmed, Do. Ditto ...	13	3	16	6	7	2	15	1	46	...	...
Moonshee Prag Dutt, Do. Ditto ...	21	91	112	36	29	7	72	40	40	199	46·
Moulvie Fyz-ood-deen Ahmed, Tehr. Circle I.,	9	6	15	11	4	...	15	...	26	4	...
Moonshee Sheosuhai Singh, Do. Ditto II.,	6	1	7	4	1	2	7	...	14	...	...
Syud Mahomed Tuqqi Do. Ditto IV.,	21	28	49	24	11	...	35	14	31	47	·59·
Mahomed Jawad Do. Ditto V.,	...	8	8	...	...	...	...	8	...	24	33·
Total of Goruckpore ...	89	192	281	95	61	13	169	112	36	398	48·
Mr. F. Wigram, Collector of Bustee ...	...	35	35	...	...	...	...	35	...	85	41·
„ W. R. Burkitt, Deputy Ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	14	14·
„ M. D. L. Sandford, Ditto Ditto ...	...	12	12	...	...	...	...	12	...	29	41·
Moulvie Fyz-ood-deen Ahmed, Tehr. Circle I.,	...	14	14	...	...	...	...	14	...	38	37·
Total of Bustee ...	...	63	63	...	...	...	...	63	...	166	38·
Grand Total ...	89	255	344	95	61	13	169	175	36	564	45.

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge of Goruckpore ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	26	...	26	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1	1	...	1	...	4	...	4	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Bansee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Banggaon ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	11	2	9	
Moonsiff of Deoreea ...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	3	3	1	1	...	4	...	4	2	37	2	35	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	Previous year.			Year under review.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge ... Acts X., 1859, and XIV., 1863, (119C.)	...	3	25	...	6	2	
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge ... Civil ...				...	8	23	
Mr. G. Ouseley, Offg. Ditto, Acts X., 1859, and XIV., 1863, (47C.)	...	...	...	...	5	11	
Mr. G. Ouseley, Offg. Ditto, Civil ...				...	7	2	
Mooftee Assud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	5	9	...	8	26	
Syud Kasim Ally, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff ...	...	1	15	...	1	6	
Mahomed Ruheem-oollah, Moonsiff of Captaingunge ...	...	...	29	...	...	28	
Mahomed Mehudi Ali, Moonsiff of Bansee ...	...	...	28	...	...	27	
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, Ditto Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	4	
Mahomed Burkut Ali, Moonsiff of Banggaon ...	...	1	1	...	1	7	
Moonshee Lukhput Rae, Moonsiff of Deoreea ...	...	1	1	...	...	29	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge ...	Original ...	2	...	...	2	1	3	66·
	Appeal ...	20	4	6	30	226	256	11·
Mr. Gore Ouseley, Offg. Judge ...	Original ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeal ...	5	4	8	17	74	91	18·
Mooftee Assud-oollah, P. S. Ameen ...	Original ...	11	21	31	63	53	116	54·
	Appeal ...	28	18	34	80	224	304	26·
Syud Kasim Ali, S. Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff,		260	282	353	895	90	935	90·
Mahomed Ruheem-oollah, Moonsiff of Captaingunge,		62	...	428	490	88	578	84·
Mahomed Mehudee Ali, Moonsiff of Bansee ...		12	9	233	254	20	274	92·
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, Ditto Ditto ...		29	5	270	304	...	304	100·
Mahomed Burkut Ali, Moonsiff of Bansgaon ...		186	48	228	462	95	557	83·
Moonshee Lukhput Rae, Moonsiff of Deoreea ...		38	283	259	580	129	709	81·
Total ...		653	674	1,850	3,177	1,000	4,177	76·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntarily and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Goruckpore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	10	51	...	20	10	71	...	26	1	3	1	29	
	Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	50	...	...	1	50	
	Moonsiff of Captaingunge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	2	...	13	
	Moonsiff of Bansee ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	5	...	1	...	6	
	Moonsiff of Bansgaon ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30	...	...	...	30	
	Moonsiff of Deoreea ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Total ...	10	51	...	24	10	75	1	132	1	6	2	138	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.		6.		7.		8.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and* ex-parte *per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of (original and appeal) cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.		REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.	Original.	Appeals.	
Mooftee Assud-oollah Khan ...	7	25	91	32	8	26	8	26	54	26	
On merits ...	7	25	...	...	...		...		...	...	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.		6.		7.	8.
Name of Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* for mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified, to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.	M.	D.		
Syud Kasim Ally ...	41	53	28	1	26	1	6	90	
On merits ...	36	...	...	...		...		...	

Income of Stamps and costs of Judiciary Establishment.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	10,507 8 0	...	161 0 0	10,346 8 0	36,612 5 10	...	26,265 13 10	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	13,402 0 0	279 2 0	125 0 0	13,556 2 0	6,600 0 0	6,956 2 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff	13,452 0 0	363 8 0	48 0 0	13,767 8 0	3,960 0 0	9,807 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge ...	4,267 11 0	1 8 0	...	4,269 3 0	3,360 0 0	909 3 0	...	
Ditto of Bansee ...	5,270 8 0	84 14 0	...	5,355 6 0	2,552 14 5	2,802 7 7	...	
Ditto of Bansgaon ...	3,751 6 0	85 2 0	8 0 0	3,828 8 0	2,640 0 0	1,188 8 0	...	
Ditto of Deoreea ...	5,410 12 0	10 5 0	...	5,421 1 0	2,640 0 0	2,781 1 0	...	
Total ...	56,061 13 0	824 7 0	342 0 0	56,544 4 0	58,365 4 3	24,444 13 7	26,265 13 10	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number disposed of.	REMARKS.
Captaingunge...	Mahd. Ruheem-oollah,	21	43	46	3 7	0 28	84·	
	On merits ...	16	...	...	...	...	...	
Bansee ...	Mahd. Menhdi Ali	45	17	9	...	0 27	92·	
	On merits ...	44	...	...	...	...	...	
	Mahd. Hafiz Ruheem,	24	26	...	0 25	1 4	100·	
	On merits ...	22	...	...	...	...	...	
Bansgaon ...	Mahd. Burkut Ali ...	29	51	27	1 2	1 7	83·	
	On merits ...	18	...	...	...	...	...	
Deoreea ...	Moonshee Lukhput Rae ...	33	33	37	1 5	0 29	81·	
	On merits ...	29	...	...	...	...	...	

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	455	481	385	413	427	446	7	2	69	65·
Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda,	921	930	680	857	827	974	...	...	138	116·
Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ...	701	850	642	730	632	743	1	...	47	152·
Moonsiffs ...	1,032	1,004	874	1,020	907	1,074	...	...	83	37·
Total ...	3,112	3,265	2,581	3,020	2,793	3,237	8	2	337	370·
Increase ...	...	153	...	...	212	217	...	...	...	33·
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year 1865.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on defaults	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge's Court ...	Mr. E. J. Boldero ...	...	...	...	7	4	115	126	91·	
Ditto ...	„ J. R. Best ...	1	...	...	1	...	28	30	93·	
Ditto ...	„ J. Power ...	...	7	...	7	...	276	290	95·	
Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	Rai Soonder Lall .	64	294	17	63	84	452	974	46·	
Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ..	Hamid Hussun ...	67	322	...	37	74	243	743	32·	
Moonsiff, Tirohan,	Mahomed Ali Khan .	32	200	44	18	75	195	564	35·	
Do., Mahoba,	Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem,	30	62	3	2	34	134	265	50·	
	Enayut Hossein ...	20	34	4	3	35	149	245	60·	
	Total ...	214	919	68	138	306	1,592	3,237	49·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.			
			1864.		1865.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1864.	1865.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge	323	318	211	307	228	280	1	...	55	60·
Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda,	1,263	937	547	1,022	434	1,080	...	...	386	112·
Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ...	1,308	1,100	722	1,299	529	1,127	...	1	306	299·
Moonsiff	1,530	1,672	1,084	1,508	1,018	1,897	5	5	182	102·
Total ...	4,424	4,027	2,564	4,136	2,209	4,384	6	6	929	568·
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	248	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	397	...	...	335	...	...	...	...	361·

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1864 ...	765	647	1,099	2,511	30·
1865 ...	607	560	1,578	2,745	22·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year. Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals decided.
Mr. J. W. Power, Collector, Futtehpore ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...
„ W. Blunt, Officiating Ditto ...	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...
„ C. Grant, Ditto Ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...
„ P. Whalley, Assistant Ditto ...	5	6	11	5	4	...	9	2	44
„ A. C. Tupp, Ditto Ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33
Thakoor Pershad, Depy. Collr. Zillah Futtehpore ...	1	3	4	1	3	...	4	...	75
Munsoor Ali Khan, Ditto Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...
Furhut Ali, Dy. Collr., of Pergunnah Futtehpore ...	1	22	23	12	9	2	23	...	39
Abdoollah Khan, Ditto Ditto, Kullianpore,	...	10	10	2	6	...	8	2	75
Sahib Ali Khan, Ditto Ditto, Kora ...	...	10	10	8	1	1	10	...	10
Abbas Ali Ditto Ditto, Hutgaon ...	...	3	3	...	2	1	3	...	66
Gya Deen, Ditto Ditto, Ekdulla ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50
	9	61	77	33	27	5	65	5	41
Mr. H. R. Clarke, Officiating Collector, Banda ...	...	4	4	4	...	...	4	...	...
„ J. Wilson, Deputy Ditto, Banda ...	1	1	2	...	2	...	2	...	100
„ C. W Moore, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	7	7	3	3	1	7	...	43
„ P. Niblett, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ R. T. Hobart, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	4	4	2	...	1	3	1	...
Ahmed Hossein Khan, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...
Zumeer-ood-deen Ahmed, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	5	5	1	4	...	5	...	80
Jankee Pershad, Dy. Collr. of Pergunnah Banda ...	1	2	3	2	1	...	3	...	33
Kashee Nath, Ditto Ditto, Augasee ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100
Kalee Churn Ditto Ditto, Dursendha...	4	3	7	1	5	1	7	...	71
Ahmed Hussun, Ditto Ditto, Seonda ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hafiz Ali Khan, Ditto Ditto, and Pylance...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...
Khoob Ali Ditto Ditto, Tirohan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	6	30	36	14	16	3	34	3	49
Mr. A. Cadell, Assistant Collector, Humeerpore ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...
Munsoor Ali, Deputy Ditto, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hait Ram, Ditto Ditto, Ditto ...	...	4	4	1	3	...	4	...	75
Oosman Khan, Ditto Ditto, Ruat ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Adh Nath, Deputy Collector, Pergunnah Punwaree,	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...
Mirza Ahmed Ali Beg, Ditto, Mawdaha ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Enayut Hossein, Ditto, Mahoba ...	...	7	7	...	5	...	5	2	100
	15	105	120	48	51	8	107	13	48

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff, Tirohan,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	
Mahomed Hafiz Ruheem, and Enayet Hossein, Moonsiff of Mahoba ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	3	2	1	1	2	1	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1864.			1865.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Judge:—						
Appeals under Act X. of 1859 ... ... } Civil Court appeals	...	1	10	...	2	7·
	...	...	...	...	2	10·
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	...	1	18	...	1	23·
Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ...	...	1	6	...	1	15·
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	...	1	...	...	...	27·
Hafiz Ruheem ... } Enayet Hossein ... } Moonsiffs of Mahoba ...	...	...	22	...	...	20·

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. E. J. Boldero, Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mr. J. R. Best ... / „ J. Power ... } Officiating Judges ...	20	19	19	58	388	446	13·
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	59	163	487	709	265	974	33·
Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore ...	45	190	207	442	301	743	59·
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	70	87	355	512	52	564	1·
Hafiz Ruheem ... / Enayut Hossein ... } Moonsiffs of Mahoba,	83	106	223	412	98	510	80·
Total ...	277	565	1,291	2,133	1,104	3,237	65·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year 1865.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Futtehpore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	1	8	1	24	
	Sudder Ameen, Futtehpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	8	
	Moonsiff, Tirohan ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Moonsiff, Mahoba ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	20	1	18	1	38	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of reversed or modified to appeal tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in last year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeal.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Rai Soonder Lall ...	41	3	26	32	1	16	1	23	73	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of reversed or modified to appeal tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in last year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M.	D.	M.	D		
Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	26	38	25	2	16	1	15	59	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage of reversed or modified to appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed during year.	Average duration of cases.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total disposed of.	REMARKS.
					Days.	Days.		
Tirohan ...	Mahomed Ali Khan,	23	28	36	15	27	91	
Mahoba ...	Hafiz Ruheem, Enayut Hossein ...	29	25	43	8	22¼	80	

Income of Stamps and cost of Judiciary Establishment.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Average value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed.	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamps or documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	9,625 12 0	4 0 0	25 0 0	9,604 12 0	36,456 0 0	...	26,851 4 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	8,508 8 0	398 5 0	...	8,806 13 0	6,600 0 0	2,206 13 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore	8,153 0 0	208 14 0	94 0 0	8,267 14 0	3,960 0 0	4,307 14 0	...	
Moonsiff of Tirohan	4,301 2 0	21 0 0	...	4,322 2 0	2,640 0 0	1,682 2 0	...	
Ditto of Mahoba	4,019 0 0	97 7 0	...	4,112 7 0	2,640 0 0	1,472 7 0	...	
Total ...	34,607 8 0	625 10 0	119 0 0	35,114 0 0	52,296 0 0	9,664 4 0	...	

JAMES SIMSON,
Register.

No. 178 OF 1866.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,
North-Western Provinces,

TO

J. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Register, Sudder Dewanny Adawlut,
North-Western Provinces.

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 9TH JUNE, 1866.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt, on the 23rd April, of your letter, No. 738A., dated the 22nd March last, submitting the Court's Report on the administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during the year 1865, and in reply to communicate the following remarks :—

2. There has been a slight increase in the number of regular suits, if those filed in the Courts of the Kumaon Division be excluded ; in that province, however, in consequence of the action of the Limitation Law and the extension of the Stamp Act, there was a large increase in 1864, to the usual amount of litigation, which has this year been followed by a corresponding decrease of nearly 10,000 cases. The number of cases disposed of has increased by nearly 2,500, and nearly the same number remained pending at the close of the year as in 1864.

3. It is observed that the arrears of pending cases are heaviest in the districts of Goruckpore and Ghazeepore.

4. As the civil judiciary establishments for the Goruckpore and Bustee districts have now been increased, it is hoped they will be sufficient to cope with the large accumulation of arrears. The Principal Sudder Ameen is however blameable for his dilatoriness, and should be warned of the necessity for increased exertion.

5. The temporary appointment of an additional Principal Sudder Ameen for Moradabad has lately been sanctioned. The late Judge, Mr. John Power, and the Principal Sudder Ameen, to a less extent, are responsible for the present unfavorable condition of the civil files, in regard to which I am to refer you to the recent correspondence of the Court with Government on this subject.

6. The arrears in the Ghazeepore Courts have now been partially reduced, and the Judge appears to have performed a considerable amount of work.

7. The increased number of pending cases in the Shahjehanpore district is partly accounted for by the larger number of cases instituted during the year ; but this was not met as it should have been by increased exertions on the part of the Judge and the Principal Sudder Ameen ; and an improvement will be looked for next year.

8. The reduction of cases pending for more than one year, from 113 to 35, is very satisfactory. Improvement in this respect is specially observable in the districts of Jounpore, Furruckabad, and Agra.

9. There has been also a corresponding reduction, from 31 to 19, in the miscellaneous cases, the Return being unfavorable only in the two districts of Moradabad and Futtehpore.

10. The general result in respect of cases finally disposed of is satisfactory.

11. The improvement in the proportion of cases decided on their merits is considered by the Lieutenant-Governor to be very gratifying.

12. The number of applications for execution of decrees which have been struck off in default is very large; but the explanation given in paragraph 40 of your Report sufficiently accounts for it, and the Lieutenant-Governor is glad to see that the Court have bestowed special attention upon this subject.

13. The Lieutenant-Governor regrets to perceive that inaccuracies have again been found in the Returns, prepared by Collectors, of cases under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, appealable to the Judge; and the Court are requested to inform the Board of Revenue who were the four Collectors who submitted incorrect Returns, with the view of measures being adopted for ensuring their more careful preparation in future.

14. The greatest number of cases was disposed of by Dhunput Rai, Deputy Collector of Agra, and the proportion of appeals from his decisions was creditably small.

15. I am to observe that the Return showing the number of appealable cases decided by Revenue Officers in which appeals were actually preferred to the Judge is so far creditable to the judgment of the officers named in your 50th paragraph; but it must be remembered that the first three of these are at stations where there is no resident Judge, and this doubtless contributed to diminish the number of appeals from their decisions.

16. With reference to the Court's remarks in paragraphs 50 to 53 on the appeals from decisions under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, the Lieutenant-Governor observes that the inferences to which the statements would naturally lead in respect of the value of the decisions of the Revenue Officers must not altogether be relied upon, for it is very noticeable that the results obtained by some of the best and most experienced Revenue Officers are by no means favorable. The fact is that great differences of opinion often exist as to the true scope and application of these Acts, and, where a Judge and the Revenue Officers in a district have adopted opposite views, the number of appeals will be large, and reversal or modification of decision by the superior Court may not by any means be a correct test of the soundness of the original judgment.

17. As regards Mr. Vansittart's remarks, contained in paragraph 54 of your letter, the Lieutenant-Governor was under the impression that it was permanence of existing rates during the currency of the present settlement, and not absolute perpetuity for which the Mouroosee ryuts in temporarily settled districts contended; the proceedings of the Zemindars in Bareilly and Budaon, in enhancing the rates of rent upon their ryuts, on the eve of a permanent settlement, are remarkable; and the attention of the Board of Revenue will be drawn to the circumstance.

18. Referring to paragraph 56 of the Report, I am to observe that the Lieutenant-Governor approves of the course adopted by the Court in circulating Mr. Spankie's remarks to Zillah Judges and commending to their attention keeping up a record of irregularities of the procedure observed by the Revenue Authorities in the decision of cases under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, with a view to their correction and future avoidance.

19. With advertence to the remarks in the separate Resolution recorded by the Court on the 10th March last on the Benares Returns, I am to state that the Board of Revenue have been requested to report the result of their enquiries into the matter therein referred to.

20. It is satisfactory to perceive that prosecutions under Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861, have been rather more favorable than

in 1864, and it is to be regretted that the result is different in regard to prosecutions under Section 170 of the latter Act.

21. The increase in the general average duration of cases under Act X. of 1859, in the Judges' Courts is to be regretted; it has been caused almost entirely by the procrastination of Mr. John Power, the late Judge of Moradabad, who has in consequence incurred the censure of Government. It is however satisfactory to the Lieutenant-Governor to observe that the Court are of opinion that Mr. Power had roused himself to exertion during the period of his incumbency at Futtehpore—comprised in the present Report. The Lieutenant-Governor hopes that the expectation of the Court of great amelioration generally in the duration of cases during the current year will be fulfilled.

22. As Mr. Bramley has been Judge of Allygurh since 1862, the responsibility for arrears of former years must rest with him alone, and his explanation of the unfavorable average in his Court cannot therefore be accepted as satisfactory.

23. The Lieutenant-Governor is glad to see the very marked improvement in the Agra Return, which reflects great credit upon Mr. Paterson.

24. The Return for the Court of Mooftee Assud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore, is very unsatisfactory, more especially as that officer did not perform a large amount of work during the year, and great improvement will be looked for in the current year. His Honor however notes that the Court have expressed themselves satisfied with the care and intelligence usually displayed by the Principal Sudder Ameen in his decisions.

25. The remaining averages are, on the whole, not excessive, and those noted in your 71st paragraph speak well for the exertions of the officers named therein.

26. The decrease of the averages in the Sudder Ameens' and Moonsiffs' Courts is satisfactory.

27. The Court are requested to forward, for the information of Government, the report received from the Judge of Moradabad, respecting supposed irregularities in calculating the duration of cases in that district.

28. Temporary alienations of landed property under Sections 243 and 244 of Act VIII. of 1859 have not been resorted to so freely as during the previous year; but the sales of land by order of the Civil Courts in execution of decrees have decreased, a circumstance which is considered satisfactory.

29. The number of persons imprisoned in execution of decrees has increased from 473 to 586, and the value of the decrees in these cases has nearly trebled; but this result is owing chiefly to the Return for the Benares district, which alone amounts to upwards of 2¾ lakhs.

30. Referring to the Statement of work performed by each Judge during the year, the Lieutenant-Governor considers that especial credit is due to Mr. Paterson, who stands at the head of the list both in the Civil and the Criminal Returns, and whose successful exertions have so greatly reduced the arrears which previously encumbered the civil files of his Court.

31. His Honor notices with satisfaction the favorable opinion expressed by the Court of Messrs. Spankie and Turnbull, at present Officiating Judges of the Court, as also of Messrs. M. Thornhill, Lindsay, and Fane. It is hoped that the Judges the quality of whose work has been unfavorably commented on by the Court in their separate Resolutions will exert themselves with success during the present year to remove all grounds for such remarks.

32. The Lieutenant-Governor concurs in the opinion of the Court that the result of the appeals from the decisions of the District Judges are not always conclusive tests of the judicial merits of these officers.

33. The Principal Sudder Ameens mentioned in paragraph 94 of the Report, and Syud Ahmed Khan, who is especially noticed in paragraph 98, are deserving of commendation for their exertions during the past year.

34. It is observed that Abdool Qyoom, the Moonsiff who performed the greatest amount of civil work in 1864, again heads the list. He is deserving of great credit for his laborious industry. The exertions of Syud Kazim Ali Khan, Jewun Kishen, and Mankee Dass, are also deserving of approbation.

35. The Lieutenant-Governor notices that two-thirds of the original suits instituted during the year were for debts on bond.

36. The increase in the value of Stamps filed in the Civil Courts from Rs. 8,65,724 in 1864 to Rs. 9,79,747 in the past year is satisfactory.

37. A careful enquiry has been instituted by the Court during the present year into the general character of the subordinate judicial agency for integrity, the result of which the Lieutenant-Governor is happy to find has been generally favorable, and he relies with confidence upon no exertion being spared by the Judges of all grades to maintain the purity and efficiency of the judicial administration.

38. The number of cases pending on the files of the Court at the close of the year has slightly increased, as compared with 1864, through unavoidable circumstances; but His Honor has no doubt that this increase will prove to have been but temporary.

39. In conclusion, I am desired to express the regret of the Lieutenant-Governor that the valuable services of Mr. Ross have been lost to the Government, it is hoped but for a season; and I am to convey to the Court the thanks of Government for their careful and efficient supervision of the Civil Courts in these Provinces during the past year.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. SIMSON,

Secretary to Government of the North-Western Provinces.

GOVERNMENT 1867 N.W.P.

REPORT

OF THE

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE

FOR THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

During the Year 1866:

INCLUDING

THE COURT'S REPORT

ON THE

OPERATION OF THE SMALL CAUSE COURTS

IN THE SAME YEAR:

WITH

THE ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT IN REPLY:

AN APPENDIX OF NUMERICAL RETURNS,

ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE IN THE SEVERAL DISTRICTS OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES DURING THE YEAR 1866:

AND A

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Allahabad:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

1867.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

ERRATA.

Para. 23.—For "Moradabad, Bareilly, Ghazeepore, Furruckabad and Agra (including Small Causes). These rve," *read* "Moradabad, Bareilly, Ghazeepore, Furruckabad and Agra (including Small Causes)—these five," &c.

" 26.—For "preportion," *read* "proportion."

" 31.—For "Districts accordingly as," *read* "Districts according as."

" 33.—For "In only those districts," *read* "In only three districts."

" 39.—For "Re. 1 and 8 annas," *read* "Re. 1 or 8 annas."

" 43.—For "litigations," *read* "litigation."

" 44.—For "number was greater," *read* "number was greatest."

" 46.—For "since the past years," *read* "since the first years."

Page 14.—For "Mr. A. M. Markham, Collector," *read* "Mr. A. M. Markham, Deputy Collector."

Statement appended to para. 20.	The entry opposite to "Saharunpore" should be 4,501, and not 4,507.
" " " 32.	Total of last column (under 1867), for 46,412 enter 16,412. In the 7th column (under "Finally disposed of") in the last line opposite to "Decrease," the entry should be 13,389, and not 3,289.
" " " 35.	4th column ("Struck off"), the entry opposite to "Moradabad" should be 3,222, and not 322.
First statement appended to para. 52 (page 14).	The entry in the third column opposite to "Khyrood-deen Ahmed, Deputy Collector," the entry should be 187, and not 787.
Second " " (page 15).	The entry in the 5th column, opposite to "Mr. E. A. Loch, Assistant Collector," should be 2, and not 12.
Statement appended to para. 73 (page 24).	The total of column 7 should be 3,251, and not 3,257. The entry in column 14, opposite to "Saharunpore," should be VII., and not VIII. The entry in column 14, opposite to "Mynpoory," should be VIII., and not VII.
" " para. 102 (page 32).	The total of column 9 ("Diet of Witnesses"), should be 1,02,886, and not 1,92,886.

PARAGRAPH 19.—Time necessary to clear files.

PARAGRAPHS 20 TO 25.—Arrangement of districts according to amount of litigation.

PARAGRAPHS 26 TO 30.—Cases how decided.

PARAGRAPHS 31 AND 32.—Nature of litigation.

PARAGRAPHS 33 AND 34.—Miscellaneous business.

PARAGRAPHS 35 TO 43.—Execution of decrees.

PARAGRAPHS 44 TO 54.—Appeals from the decisions of Revenue Officers.

PARAGRAPHS 55 TO 57.—Village papers.

PARAGRAPH 58.—Prosecutions for false evidence or forgery.

PARAGRAPHS 59 TO 65.—Average duration of suits.

PARAGRAPHS 66 TO 71.—Personal attendance.

PARAGRAPH 72.—Debtors imprisoned.

PARAGRAPHS 73 TO 81.—Work done by Judges.

PARAGRAPHS 82 TO 90.—Work done by the Principal Sudder Ameens.

PARAGRAPHS 91 TO 96.—Work of Moonsiffs.

PARAGRAPH 97.—Financial results.

PARAGRAPHS 98 TO 101.—Ameens' fees.

PARAGRAPH 102.—Value and costs of suits.

PARAGRAPHS 103 TO 113.—Working of the Sudder and High Court.

ABSTRACT of the Court's Report on the working of the Small Cause Courts.

PARAGRAPH 1.—Submits Report on Small Cause Courts for 1866.

PARAGRAPHS 2 AND 3.—Amount of litigation.

PARAGRAPHS 4 TO 7.—Nature of litigation.

PARAGRAPH 8.—State of files.

PARAGRAPHS 9 TO 11.—Mode of decision.

PARAGRAPHS 12 AND 13.—Execution of decrees.

PARAGRAPH 14.—Duration of suits.

PARAGRAPH 15.—Financial results.

PARAGRAPHS 16 AND 17.—Application for a new trial.

PARAGRAPHS 18 AND 19.—Working of the Courts.

PARAGRAPHS 20 AND 21.—Past history of the Courts.

PARAGRAPHS 22 TO 24.—Proposed extension of the system.

PARAGRAPH 25.—Extension of pecuniary jurisdiction.

PARAGRAPHS 26 TO 28.—Extension of territorial jurisdiction.

PARAGRAPHS 29 TO 31.—Utilization of the Small Cause Court Judges as Principal Sudder Ameens.

PARAGRAPHS 32 AND 33.—Suits for debts on bond, where landed property is hypothecated.

PARAGRAPH 34.—Cantonment Courts.

No. 881 OF 1867.

FROM

J. D. SANDFORD, ESQUIRE,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature,

North-Western Provinces, Agra,

To

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces, Allahabad.

DATED AGRA, THE 25TH APRIL, 1867.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward the Annual Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during the year 1866, with the usual Statements.

2. The amount of litigation, and the state of the files in the ordinary Civil Courts, as compared with the results for 1865, will be seen from the following table :—

ZILLAH.	INSTITUTED.		DISPOSED OF				PENDING ON 1ST JANUARY.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Seharunpore ...	3,553	4,386	2,597	3,584	3,0 4	4,501	1	1	429	312
Meerut ...	4,072	5,082	3,031	3,722	3,986	4,910	1	1	556	325
Allygurh ...	4,226	4,639	3,560	4,137	3,891	4,523	1	1	610	727
Moradabad ...	6 145	6,649	4,770	5,810	5,876	7,177	4	...	1,097	572
Bareilly ...	5,799	6,932	5,121	5,878	5,625	6,562	...	...	534	908
Shahjehanpore ...	4,068	5,214	3,275	3,815	4,163	5,177	8	9	643	680
Agra ...	3,709	4,501	3,515	4,093	3,794	4,452	...	3	268	317
Furruckabad ...	5,152	5,730	4,558	5,282	4,046	5,698	...	...	290	323
Mynpoory ...	3,992	5,385	3,611	4,071	4,438	5,362	2	2	255	228
Cawupore ...	2,669	2,903	2,365	2,680	2,545	2,911	...	...	173	164
Futtehpore ...	3,265	3,708	2,793	3,237	3,253	3,802	2	2	370	277
Allahabad ...	2,743	3,083	2,358	2,627	2,661	3,072	...	...	320	326
Goruckpore ...	4,543	4,421	3,780	4,177	4,200	4,779	11	13	1,278	920
Azimgurh ...	3,227	3,011	2,775	3,430	3,249	4,057	1	...	432	286
Jounpore ...	2,559	2,672	2,360	2,634	2,437	2.749	3	3	520	443
Mirzapore ...	2,359	2,423	2,010	2,359	2,012	2,491	...	1	465	398
Benares ...	962	987	848	951	849	966	...	1	87	108
Ghazeepore ...	5,182	6,097	4,796	5,336	4,102	6,162	1	12	951	882
Total ...	68,155	78,678	58,123	67,828	64,151	79,351	35	49	9,278	8,196
Increase ...	...	10,518	...	...	6,028	11,528	...	14	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,082

District.	Original suit	Appeals.	Total.	Remarks.
Scharunpore	3,773	613	4,386	
Meerut	3,986	1,096	5,082	
Allygurh	3,806	833	4,639	
Moradabad	5,745	904	6,649	
Bareilly	5,638	1,294	6,932	
Shahjehanpore	4,456	758	5,214	
Agra	3,688	813	4,501	
Furruckabad	5,128	602	5,730	
Mynpoorie	4,635	700	5,335	
Cawnpore	2,480	423	2,903	
Futtehpore...	3,163	545	3,708	
Allahabad	2,693	390	3,083	
Goruckpore	3,549	872	4,421	
Azimgurh	2,902	1,009	3,911	
Cawnpore	1,909	763	2,673	
Mirzapore	2,112	311	2,423	
Benares	827	160	987	
Ghazeepore	4,950	1,147	6 097	
Total ...	65,440	13,233	78,673	

3. It will be observed that there was an increase of 10,518 in the number of cases instituted. This was due chiefly to an increase in the number of original suits, for the increase in the number of appeals was but 2,450.

4. The increase took place mainly in the districts of Meerut, Bareilly, Shahjehanpore, Mynpoorie, and Ghazeepore ;—in one district only, that of Goruckpore, was there an actual decrease.

5. One cause for increase may be the settlement of the Land Revenue approaching, or pending, which produces a wish for the settlement of disputes concerning land, and also possibly the institution of fictitious suits ;—another reason may be the changes in the cotton market, and recent failures in commercial firms. In one district, the cause assigned is, that in consequence of large profits from the cultivation of cotton, owners of land have been placed in a position to pay off mortgages, and sue for redemption. In another, where the increase was chiefly in the number of suits instituted for debt on bond, it was said that the sugar crop not being good, cultivators had difficulties in meeting the advances made to them.

6. It may be noticed that, putting aside the year 1861, which was quite exceptional, owing to the Limitation law, the amount of litigation has been greater than in any year since the mutiny; and although occasionally an increase in a particular class of suits may arise from local distress, bad crops, or a like cause, yet the Court are disposed to attribute the general and steady increase that has taken place to the increased wealth and material prosperity of the country, and possibly to an increased popularity of the Courts, arising from an improved procedure.

7. It is satisfactory to note that the Courts were able to meet the increased litigation ; and that the increase in the number of cases disposed of was larger than in the number of institutions.

8. Out of 59,458 original suits decided by the Moonsiffs during the year, no less than 31,650 were suits relating to movable property of value below Rs. 100. The Court have, in a separate reference, proposed that Moonsiffs be empowered to dispose of such cases as Judges of Small Cause Courts. Very great would be the relief thus afforded to the Judicial machinery. In over 25,000 of these cases appeal was not preferred, and yet, for the purposes of possible appeal, a full record, and a minute procedure, is under the present system required.

9. The Court were gratified to learn that this proposal was approved by Government. The leisure thus gained by the Moonsiffs would be devoted to the more care-

ful investigation of the important cases, which, under the increased jurisdiction soon, the Court hope, to be enjoyed by Moonsiffs, will come before them. The Court observe that, unless this increased jurisdiction be speedily given, the mode of valuation prescribed by Note (A.), Article II., Schedule B. of the new Stamp Act will have the effect of withdrawing from the Moonsiffs' Courts the majority probably of the suits for landed property now heard by them, and of perhaps throwing on the higher Courts a larger amount of work than can well be disposed of by them. Whatever may be the differences of opinion (if any exists) respecting the fitness of the Moonsiffs of these Provinces to exercise a jurisdiction more enlarged than that hitherto confided to them, or more summary in its nature, there is at least no occasion for difference as to the competency of the Moonsiffs to fulfil the duties hitherto discharged by them. If their jurisdiction is not to be increased, it may be urged that no cause has been shown for diminishing it.

10. The amount of arrears,—that is, of cases pending for more than one year,—has risen from 35 in 1865 to 49 in 1866. But the number cannot be considered large, especially when it is noticed that out of the 49, 34 were due to the three districts of Ghazeepore, Shahjehanpore, and Goruckpore; that there were six districts where no cases had been pending more than a year, and five where only one case had been so pending. In the Returns of the Lower Provinces for the year 1865, no less than 642 cases are shown as having been pending above a year.

11. The Shahjehanpore arrears were all remanded cases; so were those in Ghazeepore; and all the latter had been decided when the Judge sent up his report. Of the 13 cases pending in Goruckpore, ten had been decided in January last, two were awaiting the receipt of records from this Court; and the last was a boundary dispute, which could only be decided in the dry weather, when the waters subside.

12. Generally, the state of the files at the close of the year was more satisfactory than at the close of 1865. In the following six districts only was the number of the cases in the files in excess of 500:—

Allygurh	727
Moradabad	572
Bareilly	908
Shahjehanpore	680
Goruckpore	920
Ghazeepore	882

13. The Court were compelled to note with displeasure the state of the Allygurh files, especially as more than 200 of the cases undecided were appeals from the decisions of Revenue Officers under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863.

14. The Moradabad files show a large decrease from the results of 1865, and the arrears which have for some time been conspicuous in this district will now, it is hoped, disappear. The additional Principal Sudder Ameen, whose services were temporarily given, has left the district. It should however be mentioned that Mr. Lindsay was strongly of opinion that two Principal Sudder Ameens should be permanently attached to this Judgeship, one being stationed at Bijnour. He considered that the appellate work of the district could not be satisfactorily kept down by two tribunals, and that any leisure gained for the Judge would be most beneficially employed in the supervision of the Subordinate Courts.

15. The state of the Bareilly files may be attributed to the failing health of the Principal Sudder Ameen, Kasim Al Khan, who has now, after an honorable service, retired on pension. The Judge got through a large amount of work.

16. In Shahjehanpore, the Judge should have exerted himself to show a clearer file; and the Principal Sudder Ameen, Momin Ali Khan, who has lately died, did not help to mend matters.

17. In Goruckpore, the arrears have for some years been heavy, owing to the imperfect arrangement under which one officer attempted to perform the duties of Civil Judge and Commissioner. The Returns of 1866 show a reduction. Assud-oollah Khan, who was Principal Sudder Ameen there, though a good officer, is a slow worker.

18. The nature and extent of the litigation in Ghazeepore is known to the Government, who have, at the Court's recommendation, deputed there an additional Principal Sudder Ameen. It is doubtful whether the work of the district can be done without rendering this deputation permanent. During 1866, a considerable increase took place in the amount of litigation, and that in suits for landed property. Vigorous work must be demanded from the Judicial Officers of this district. The Court noticed that the Sudder Ameen was almost entirely employed in trying Moonsiff's cases, while his proper work as Sudder Ameen was taken up by the additional Principal Sudder Ameen. The plan proposed by the Court, under which the regular Civil Courts would be enabled to dispose of the less important litigation as Courts of Small Causes, would afford considerable relief here.

19. In the next table is shown the time required to clear the files of the different grades of Court, calculated at the rate of working which prevailed during the year :—

No	Court.	Time necessary to clear the files of cases pending at the close of			
		1865.		1866.	
		Months.	Days.	Months.	Days.
1	Judges ...	2	9	2	6
2	Principal Sudder Ameens	3	14	2	3
3	Sudder Ameens ...	0	26	1	17
4	Moonsiffs	0	28	0	29

The improvement as regards Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts is satisfactory. The seemingly unfavorable result as regards Sudder Ameens is due altogether to the return of Mr. C. A. Daniell, Superintendent of Dehra Doon, in his capacity of Sudder Ameen. Sixteen cases only were left pending on his file ; but as he decided but 25 suits in the year, the number pending is taken in the calculation of the statement to represent more than half a year's work. Putting aside his return, the result as regards Sudder Ameens appears as one month and two days.

20. In the next statement will be found the districts arranged according to the number of cases finally disposed of in the ordinary Civil Courts during the year :—

No.	Zillah.	Total number of cases finally disposed of during the year 1866, exclusive of transfer cases.
1	Moradabad	7,177
2	Bareilly	6,562
3	Ghazeepore	6,162
4	Furruckabad	5,698
5	Mynpoory	5,362
6	Shahjehanpore	5,177
7	Meerut	4,910
8	Goruckpore	4,779
9	Allygurh	4,523
10	Seharunpore	4,507
11	Agra	4,452 + 2,205 in Small Cause Court.
12	Azimgurh	4,057
13	Futtehpore	3,802
14	Allahabad	3,072 + 1,746 in Small Cause Court.
15	Cawnpore	2,911
16	Jounpore	2,749
17	Mirzapore	2,491
18	Benares	966 + 2,455 in Small Cause Court.
	Total ...	79,351.
	General average ...	4,408.

The very small amount of work for the Benares Courts will no doubt attract notice. The Court have recently proposed a reduction of judicial strength in this district, to be carried out by utilizing the Small Cause Court Judge in his capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen. The Court hope, by this means, to be able to dispense with the services of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares, who will then be available for employment in a district needing an accession of strength, such as Ghazeepore.

21. If to the figures of Benares be added the number 2,547 of suits disposed of in the Small Cause Courts, it will rise from the bottom of the list, and we shall have this somewhat curious result, that, for the last three years, the three districts of Cawnpore, Jounpore, Mirzapore, have stood lowest in this statement.

22. Mynpoory, which was 9th in last year's statement, has risen to 5th; and Shahjehanpore, which was 11th, has risen to 6th. Meerut also has risen considerably, from 12th to 7th.

23. Moradabad, Bareilly, Ghazeepore, Furruckabad, and Agra (including Small Causes). These five districts were, as they were also in 1865, those in which most work was done; and this, whether regard be had to the number of cases disposed of in every way, or only to those decided on their merits and *ex-parte*, as shown in the next statement:—

No.	ZILLAH.	Number of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte*.
1	Bareilly	4,261
2	Moradabad	4,261
3	Ghazeepore	3,640
4	Furruckabad	3,034
5	Meerut	2,995
6	Agra	2,992 + 906 in Small Cause Court.
7	Goruckpore	2,980
8	Azimgurh	2,872
9	Allygurh	2,851
10	Mynpoory	2,735
11	Shahjehanpore	2,486
12	Futtehpore	2,393
13	Jounpore	2,223
14	Seharunpore	2,193
15	Cawnpore	2,132
16	Allahabad	1,857 + 883 in Small Cause Court.
17	Mirzapore	1,334
18	Benares	610 + 1325 in Small Cause Court.
	Total ..	47,849
	Average of 18 Zillahs ...	2,658

24. It may be noticed that Shahjehanpore and Mynpoory stand much lower down on this list. The same change took place in regard to Shahjehanpore in last year's statements. The number of cases decided on confession of judgment in Shahjehanpore attracted the notice of this Court when reviewing the Judge's report, and his attention has been drawn to the subject.

25. The following statement shows the manner in which cases were decided :—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Total number of cases disposed of during 1866.									
ZILLAH.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the Total No. decided.	Average of Moonsiff's Court.	REMARKS.
Seharunpore ...	455	831	353	299	825	1,738	4,501	39	25	
Meerut ...	247	916	100	215	684	2,748	4,910	56	47	
Allygurh ...	644	946	94	105	527	2,207	4,523	49	40	
Moradabad ...	641	1,617	205	186	908	3,620	7,177	50	40	
Bareilly ...	882	1,364	73	276	588	3,379	6,562	51	43	
Shahjehanpore ...	317	1,677	147	233	634	2,169	5,177	42	34	
Agra ...	444	726	76	271	387	2,548	4,452	57	45	
Furruckabad ...	812	1,012	252	235	1,165	2,222	5,698	39	30	
Mynpoory ...	584	1,703	249	242	433	2,151	5,362	40	31	
Cawnpore ...	279	413	24	119	223	1,853	2,911	60	59	
Futtehpore ...	261	860	79	145	325	2,132	3,802	56	53	
Allahabad ...	655	804	63	79	269	1,202	3,072	40	28	
Goruckpore ...	293	1,220	120	173	286	2,687	4,779	56	45	
Azimgurh ...	242	377	32	198	578	2,630	4,057	64	56	
Jounpore ..	185	214	22	119	171	2 038	2,749	74	69	
Mirzapore ...	305	678	100	180	199	1,029	2,491	37	31	
Benares ...	171	223	16	31	86	439	966	45	0	
Ghazeepore ...	434	462	146	108	1,806	3,206	6,162	52	41	
Total ...	7,851	16,043	2,151	3,214	10,094	39,998	79,351	50	42	

Per cent.	
Ex-parte	10·
Confession	20
Arbitration	3·
Default	4·
Adjustment	13·
Merits	50·
Total ...	100·

26. The proportion of *ex-parte* decisions remains at 10 per cent., as for 1865. The proportion of confessions has slightly diminished from 21 to 20 per cent. The percentage of arbitrations is a fixed quantity, having remained at 3 per cent. for 6 years. For 3 years, too, the percentage of cases dismissed on default has remained at 4. The proportion of cases adjusted or withdrawn has risen from 10 to 13 ; while decisions on merits have fallen from 52 to 50.

27. The two last changes were to be anticipated ; for the Court, having found that in one district compromised cases were entered as decided on their merits, found it necessary to issue Circular instructions on the subject. There is reason to think that there is a tendency on the part of the subordinate officers to take credit for more cases as decided on merits than fairly can be so classified. In another district, it was found that a Moonsiff entered under this head cases, however decided, in which argument even on an incidental point had arisen.

28. Cases of course may be, and no doubt often are, compromised, after long argument, and patient and attentive hearing on the Judge's part ; and it is reasonable that the labor spent in such hearing should be represented in the returns. If it be desirable to add to the detail of the present statements, a column might be inserted, showing at what stage adjustment had taken place,—whether before or after the fixing of issues,—before or after the examination of witnesses.

29. The next table is of districts arranged according to the proportion of cases decided on their merits to total decisions :—

No.	Zillah.	Percentage of cases decided on merits to total disposed of.
1	Jounpore	74
2	Azimgurh	64·
3	Cawnpore	60·
4	Agra	57·
5	Meerut	56·
6	Goruckpore	56·
7	Futtehpore	56·
8	Ghazeepore	52·
9	Bareilly	51
10	Benares	50·
11	Moradabad	50·
12	Allygurh	49·
13	Shahjehanpore	42.·
14	Mynpoory	40
15	Allahabad	40·
16	Furruckabad	39
17	Seharunpore	39·
18	Mirzapore	37·
	Total ...	...
	General Average ...	50·

30. Jounpore, as it did in the returns for 1865, heads the list. It is probable that the classification is not equally faithful in all districts. Generally, the percentage should be highest where suits connected with immovable property (which are more likely to be contested) are most numerous, and lowest where suits for debts on bond (which are for the most part decided on confession of judgment or *ex-parte*) are most numerous.

31. In order to illustrate this, reference should be made to the next table :—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7	8.	9.
		Number of suits instituted for						
Division.	Zillah.	Real property, columns 2 to 14.	Debts on Bond.	Other suits, columns 16 to 23.	Total.	Proportion of suits for real property to total suits.	Proportion of suits for bond debt to total suits.	Remarks.
Meerut ...	Seharunpore	883	2,510	300	A 3,693	24	68	
	Meerut	688	2 998	300	3,986	17	75	
	Allygurh	474	2,582	750	3,806	13	68	
Rohilkund,	Moradabad	1,096	3.881	682	B 5 609	19	68	
	Bareilly	835	4.127	487	C 5,449	15	76	
	Shahjehanpore	610	3.334	5 2	4,456	14	75	
Agra ...	Agra	998	2,483	207	3,688	27	67	
	Furruckabad	756	3,411	961	5,128	15	67	
	Mynpoory	705	3,327	564	D 4,596	15	72	
Allahabad,	Cawnpore	451	1,540	487	E 2,478	18	62	
	Futtehpore	727	1,811	625	3,163	23	57	
	Allahabad	423	2,024	189	F 2 636	16	77	
Benares,	Goruckpore	1,584	1 432	526	G 3 542	45	40	
	Azimgurh	1,083	1,055	764	2,902	37	36	
	Jounpore	446	924	538	H 1,908	23	48	
	Mirzapore	234	1,329	549	2,112	11	63	
	Benares	226	560	41	827	27	68	
	Ghazeepore	1 384	2,459	1,032	I 4,875	28	50	
	Total ...	13,603	41,737	9,514	64,854*	21	64	

Exclusive of 80 cases re-instituted, &c.
Ditto of 136 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 169 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 39 ditto ditto
Ditto of 2 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 57 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 7 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 1 ditto ditto.
Ditto of 75 ditto ditto.
Total* ... 586 ditto ditto excluded from account.

which shows where the suits of the classes just mentioned most prevailed. Below are

arranged the

Districts accordingly

As suits connected with land prevailed.	*As suits for debts on bond prevailed.*
Goruckpore	Allahabad.
Azimgurh	Bareilly.
Ghazeepore	Meerut.
Benares	Shahjehanpore.
Agra	Mynpoory.
Seharunpore	Seharunpore.
Futtehpore	Allygurh.
Jounpore	Moradabad.
Moradabad	Benares.
Cawnpore	Agra.
Meerut	Furruckabad.
Allahabad	Mirzapore.
Bareilly	Cawnpore.
Furruckabad	Futtehpore.
Mynpoory	Ghazeepore.
Shahjehanpore	Jounpore.
Allygurh	Goruckpore.
Mirzapore	Azimgurh.

It is reasonable therefore to find that, in Mirzapore, cases were least contested, for there suits connected with land were fewest, and to find that in Azimgurh cases were generally contested, for there suits for debts on bond were fewest, and suits connected with land were very numerous. And so in other districts. Goruckpore however, and Ghazeepore, should have shown a larger proportion of decisions on merits ; and the pre-eminence of Jounpore is not fully explained.

32. The Allahabad Moonsiff's Court was, as may be gathered from the statement, a good deal employed in hearing suits for debts on bond, where landed property is hypothecated, which have been removed from the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Court under a ruling of the Sudder Court. The preponderance of bond suits in Bareilly is attributed by the Judge to a bad sugar harvest.

Zillah.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Seharunpore ...	8,983	9,981	6,448	11,767	6,991	9,991	...	...	865	867
Meerut ...	6,625	7,829	3,653	7,529	3,970	7,956	...	...	1,038	915
Allygurh ...	8,821	9,595	5,201	12,077	5,081	9,608	...	...	1,103	1,176
Moradabad ...	12,741	13,149	9,375	13,907	9,775	13,237	7	...	1,693	1,583
Bareilly ...	9,736	9,532	6,657	10,886	6,462	9,741	...	...	999	843
Shahjehanpore ...	6,879	7,528	5,006	8,235	4,457	7,575	...	1	745	677
Agra	7,407	8,726	3,892	9,517	4,359	8,394	...	...	1,631	1,955
Furruckabad ...	9,011	9,063	5,711	10,524	5,875	8,851	...	...	575	685
Mynpoory ...	5,706	7,231	4,215	7,508	4 589	6,908	2	...	674	1,030
Cawnpore ...	4,777	5,229	3,693	5,312	3,781	5,284	...	...	356	394
Futtehpore ...	4,027	4,796	2,209	4,383	3,228	4,916	6	3	568	552
Allahabad ...	3,541	3,734	1,717	4,131	1,766	3,729	1	...	831	843
Goruckpore ...	13,073	12,816	9,923	14,943	10,108	12,946	1	...	1,477	1,475
Azimgurh ...	5,938	5,913	4,263	6,827	3,866	5,874	...	...	989	1,025
Jounpore ...	4,197	4,456	2,500	4,568	3,140	4,583	1	3	579	451
Mirzapore ...	2,871	3,07[illegible]	1,635	3,255	1,834	3,071	1	...	493	493
Benares ...	2,054	1,716	1,647	2,289	1,574	2,100	...	...	660	276
Ghazeepore ...	7,982	9,192	6,051	9,802	6,885	9,307	...	...	1,348	1,222
Total ...	1,25,269	1,33,557	83,796	1,47,460	87,761	1,34,071	19	7	16,624	46,412
Increase ...	...	8,288	...	...	3,965	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	3,289	...	12	...	212

33. The statement of miscellaneous business has two satisfactory features,—the increase in the number of cases decided on their merits, and the decrease in the number of cases pending for more than a year. In only those districts, it will be noticed, were there any cases of such long standing. The Jounpore cases were, it is reported, kept on the file in consequence of the non-payment of some money due to a decree-holder, and were decided before the Judge sent in his report. The delay in the Shahjehanpore and Futtehpore suits is attributed to the protracted proceedings of the Revenue Authorities in some partition cases,—not, the Court believe, an unfrequent cause of hindrance to the prompt execution of decrees.

34. The number of cases left undecided at the close of the year appears large in some districts, but they consist almost entirely of applications for execution of decree; and the amount is no doubt larger in consequence of the issue of a Circular by the Sudder Court, cautioning the Lower Courts against too hastily striking off these applications on default.

35. Thus the next statement shows a decrease of 6,425 in the number of applications for execution of decree struck off the files; and the Court are glad to add an increase of 1,926 in the number completely executed: —

Zillah.	Number of Applications for execution of Decrees.					Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of column 2 to column 5.	
Seharunpore	1,623	1,771	2,886	6,280	26	
Meerut	2,213	946	3,029	6 188	35	
Allygurh	1,396	1,743	2,987	6,126	23	
Moradabad	1,997	1,414	3,2:2	6,633	30	
Bareilly	1,401	1,578	2,421	5,400	26	
Shahjehanpore	1,107	953	2,081	4,141	27	
Agra	1,749	935	3,123	5,807	30	
Furruckabad	1,516	1,186	2,956	5,658	27	
Mynpoorie	1,088	1,367	2,297	4,752	23	
Cawnpore	805	498	1,257	2,560	31	
Futtehpore	757	623	1,411	2,791	27	
Allahabad	1,072	513	1,411	2,996	36	
Goruckpore	1,595	699	2,805	5,099	31	
Azimgurh	1,310	391	1,630	3,331	40	
Jounpore	754	499	1,327	2,580	29	
Mirzapore	464	684	1,158	2,306	20	
Benares	551	569	520	1,640	34	
Ghazeepore	1,888	944	2,372	5,204	36	
Total ...	23,286	17,313	38,893	79,492	29	

Number of applications admitted ... 78,693.

36. The proportion of applications for execution fully executed has risen from 23 to 29 per cent. It is to be hoped that the proportion will further improve. Delay in effecting execution of decree, and incompleteness of execution, may be due either to the *laches* or designed want of action on the part of the decree-holder; or it may be due to the inefficiency of the machinery employed by the Court, to neglect on its part in supplying inexpensive and rapid assistance to the applicant for execution.

37. Designed want of action on the part of the decree-holder will probably be less frequent, in consequence of a Circular issued by this Court in September last, in which the Civil Courts were advised that they should not, in awarding interest subsequent to date of decree, ordinarily award a rate of interest approaching in amount that which may be obtainable in common dealings by persons who have not the security of a decree of Court to enforce payment. The decree-holder, who, in addition to the security, also enjoys ordinary rate of interest, finds in that award a positive inducement to allow the decree to remain unexecuted.

38. It will also tend to diminish the inactivity of the decree-holder if the Courts of first instance act on the principle that mere application on the part of the decree-holder, without any further steps taken to prosecute his application, is not a proceeding to enforce the decree or to keep the same in force within the meaning of the Limitation law. Many applications are no doubt now preferred with the sole intention to save the period of limitation, and not with any idea of prosecuting to execution. Such petitions, under the Stamp Law, Act X. of 1862, are presented on plain paper where the value of suit is less than Rs. 50; and under the new Act, No. XXVI. of 1867, only require a stamp of one anna.

39. But it may be also that the decree-holder, though anxious to execute, does not obtain ready or efficient assistance from the Court whose duty it is to execute, and specially from the officers employed in execution. Thus complaints have reached the Court that the deposit of Rs. 2 per diem, required on account of the expenses of Ameens, is excessive, and deters the decree-holder from applying for execution where the sum decreed is small. To meet this complaint, the Court have recently reduced the rate of deposit to Rs. 1 and 8 annas where the sum decreed does not exceed Rs. 100 or 50. They have urged upon the Courts the necessity of closely watching the proceedings of Ameens; of requiring from them a sufficient rate of travel,—for their expenses are estimated according to the number of days that the work is expected to occupy; and especially of supervising their action between the date of attachment and sale of property, when there is opportunity for abuse. Their attention has also been called to a provision in the Code of Civil Procedure, for the simultaneous issue of the processes of attachment and sale of personal property, the object of which is to prevent the deterioration or waste, or expenditure on account of protection, likely to be the consequence from keeping property under attachment longer than necessary.

40. The Ameen, who is paid by fixed salary, has nothing to gain from speedy or effectual execution; and it has therefore been thought right to allow the employment of Nazirs in the attachment and sale of property situated in the neighborhood of the Court, to be remunerated by a small percentage on the proceeds of sale.

41. Where the property is sold through the agency of the Collector, as is the case with all land paying revenue to Government, delay not unfrequently occurs in the Revenue Department; and the Court have under their consideration revised rules to ensure greater despatch. The Court have noticed the general omission to issue the written order of attachment required by Sections 235 and 239 of the Code, prohibiting the defendant from alienating the property; and this omission is of course most injurious to the decree-holder.

42. By these measures, and by examining the proceedings of the Courts in regard to execution in those cases that come before them in appeal, the High Court

has hopes of rendering less expensive, and more effectual, the assistance to be given by the Courts to the decree-holder.

43. In the statement below, the districts have been arranged according to the degree in which the Courts have fully executed decrees:—

No.	Zillah.	Ratio per cent.
1	Azimgurh	40·
2	Allahabad	36·
3	Ghazeepore	36·
4	Meerut	35·
5	Benares	34·
6	Cawnpore	31·
7	Goruckpore	31·
8	Moradabad	30·
9	Agra	30·
10	Jounpore	29·
11	Furruckabad	27·
12	Futtehpore	27·
13	Shahjehanpore	27·
14	Bareilly	26·
15	Seharunpore	26·
16	Allygurh	23·
17	Mynpoory	23·
18	Mirzapore	20·
	General average ...	29·

Mirzapore, which showed the lowest percentage of decisions on merits, stands the lowest here also; and Azimgurh, which showed the highest but one percentage of decisions on merits, shows the best percentage of complete executions. The nature of the prevalent litigations may have its effect here also. It is noticeable that the same three districts,—Allygurh, Mynpoory, and Mirzapore,—were at the bottom of this list for 1865.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
No.	Zillah.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts, decided in 1865 by the Revenue Authorities.	Number of cases appealed to the Judge.	Number of cases decided by the Judge.	Number of cases reversed or modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue Officers.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified or remanded, to total number decided.
1	Seharunpore	477	87	105	71	18	68
2	Meerut	1,278	144	176	63	11	36
3	Allygurh	704	305	149	64	43	43
4	Moradabad	625	193	270	125	30	46
5	Bareilly	2,429	402	428	268	17	63
6	Shahjehanpore	1,316	237	264	85	18	32
7	Agra	854	153	141	58	18	41
8	Furruckabad	756	128	121	38	17	31
9	Mynpoory	1,624	202	188	97	12	51
10	Cawnpore	450	68	50	24	15	48
11	Futtehpore	805	92	78	21	10	27
12	Allahabad	1,004	150	167	38	15	23
13	Goruckpore	567	193	211	59	34	28
14	Azimgurh	459	273	283	100	59	35
15	Jounpore	910	281	290	119	31	41
16	Mirzapore	167	61	58	31	37	53
17	Benares	75	23	23	11	31	43
18	Ghazeepore	715	185	156	107	26	68
	Total ...	15,215	3,177	3,158	1,279	21	40

Confirmed ... 1,800.

44. There is an increase of 2,685 in the number of cases decided by the Revenue Authorities under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, appealable to the Civil Courts, during 1866, as compared with 1865. But the number differs but slightly from that for 1864. The districts in which the number was greater were Bareilly, Mynpoory, Shahjehanpore, Meerut, and Allahabad,—all districts in which settlement operations are pending or expected shortly to commence. In Bareilly, these suits were most numerous during 1865 also; the difference between the number there, 2,429, and the number in Benares, 75, is very great. The districts of Benares and Mirzapore were at the bottom of the list last year also.

45. In Meerut, the number of decisions has nearly doubled since 1865; in Agra, it has decreased from 1,411 to 854; in Allahabad, it has nearly doubled; and it has largely increased in Shahjehanpore. The decrease in Agra is singular.

46. The proportion of appeals preferred to appealable cases decided was 21 per cent., as compared with 22 per cent. in 1865. The Court notice the very large increase that has taken place in this proportion since the past years, when the Act was in force. Thus in 1862 the proportion was but 9 per cent.; in 1863 and in 1864 but 13. It is matter for regret if, as these figures would seem to show, the people are learning to place less confidence in the decisions of the Courts of first instance.

47. The Court have remarked in some districts that the majority of these cases are now left with the Tehseeldars invested with Deputy Collector's powers. Thus in Futtehpore, out of 530 cases, 440 were decided by Tehseeldars; in Cawnpore, out of 450 cases, all but 18 were decided by Tehseeldars. The Court presume that proper measures are taken by the Revenue Authorities to keep themselves acquainted with the state of these officers' files, the duration of suits in their Courts, and generally the mode of their procedure and decisions: otherwise it might happen that the Tehseeldars, who it is believed are much occupied with miscellaneous duties, would not bestow the proper amount of time and attention to the discharge of their judicial functions, and the agricultural class would lose the ready remedy which it is the object of the Act to provide for them. The Tehseeldar's Court in the interior is removed from the Judge's Court, and the correction of appeals cannot be so readily applied.

48. The Judge of Mirzapore has noticed that the Tehseeldars too frequently depute their subordinates to make local enquiries, and are apt to decide, as a matter of course, in accordance with the report furnished. The Court think that such local enquiries should be conducted only by superior officials, who would test the evidence brought before them, and whose integrity could be trusted. The Board of Revenue might perhaps be able to define the class of officers to be so employed.

49. The proportion, 40 per cent., of judgments reversed or modified to appeals preferred, is not favorable,—much less favorable than is usual in the case of appeals from Moonsiffs. On the other hand, the proportion of appeals to appealable cases decided is more favorable to the Revenue than the ordinary Civil Courts; and it may be that there is less of groundless appeal from the decisions of the former. This being the case, it would follow that the proportion of reversals would be higher. If we regard simply the proportion of cases reversed or modified to appealable cases decided, the result is slightly more favorable to the Revenue than the Moonsiffs' Courts, the percentage in the case of the former being 8, as compared with 9 in the latter.

50. The following table shows the districts arranged according to the number of appeals, and their proportion to total decisions :—

Number of appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859.			Percentage of appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859 to total Revenue decisions.		
1	Bareilly	402	1	Azimgurh	59·
2	Allygurh	305	2	Allygurh	43·
3	Jounpore	281	3	Mirzapore	37·
4	Azimgurh	278	4	Goruckpore	34·
5	Shahjehanpore	237	5	Benares	31·
6	Mynpoory	202	6	Jounpore	31·
7	Goruckpore	193	7	Moradabad	30·
8	Moradabad	193	8	Ghazeepore	26·
9	Ghazeepore	185	9	Agra	18·
10	Agra	153	10	Seharunpore	18·
11	Allahabad	150	11	Shahjehanpore	18·
12	Meerut	144	12	Furruckabad	17·
13	Furruckabad	128	13	Bareilly	17·
14	Futtehpore	92	14	Cawnpore	15·
15	Seharunpore	87	15	Allahabad	15·
16	Cawnpore	68	16	Mynpoory	12·
17	Mirzapore	61	17	Meerut	11·
18	Benares	23	18	Futtehpore	10·

As during 1865, the number of appeals was largest in Bareilly, smallest in Benares and Mirzapore. In Azimgurh, the proportion of appeals to decisions is singularly high,—higher than in any district in any previous report.

51. The very large proportion, over 60 per cent., of judgments reversed or modified to appeals decided in the districts of Seharunpore, Ghazeepore, and Bareilly, will attract notice.

The Judge of Ghazeepore has recorded his opinion that Tehseeldars are utterly unfit to decide cases under the Act.

The Judge of Bareilly notes that the pleas in appeal have generally been for an abatement of rent decreed by the lower Court, and that on this point only have many of the decisions been modified. The Judge of Seharunpore thinks that officers engaged in Settlement have a tendency not to regard sufficiently the protective clauses of the Act in favor of cultivators.

52. The two next statements deal with the work of individual officers in the Revenue Department :—

District.	Name of Officer	Number of appealable cases decided by Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed.
Furruckabad	Mr. Wilson, Settlemet Officer	110	26
	„ Robertson, Ditto	254	17
Azimgurh	No officers decided 100 cases; all less.		
Allahabad	Syud Nasir Ali Khan, Deputy Collector	134	24
	Mahomed Ghazee-ood deen Khan, Ditto	184	13
	Syud Mudad Ali	120	6
Benares	None of the officers decided more than 100 cases.		
Allygurh	Rajah Jeykishen Doss	116	49
	Goosaurrun Doss, Tehseeldar of Cool	117	64
	Didar Ali, Deputy Collector, Etah	114	6
Jounpore	Ali Buksh Khan, Deputy Collector	275	18
	Nusseer-ood-deen Khan, Tehseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector	197	43
Mirzapore	None of the officers decided above 100 cases.		

District	Name of Officer.	Number of appealable cases decided by Revenue Authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed.
Mynpoory ...	Mr. M. A. McConaghy, Deputy Collector, Mynpoory ...	156	11
	Mahomed Hussun, Deputy Collector ...	113	17
	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Deputy Collector, Etawah ...	161	11
	Mehdee Ali, Tehseeldar, Do. ...	109	12
	Narain Doss, Etawah ...	153	11
	Gobind Pershad, Do. ...	110	9
	Gunga Pershad, Do. ...	109	15
Cawnpore ...	Mahomed Naseer, Deputy Collector ...	110	44
Agra ...	Mr. A. Sells, Assistant Collector ...	130	15
	Chowbey Dhunput Roy, Deputy Collector ...	232	11
Meerut ...	Mr. Porter, Settlement Officer ...	140	15
	„ R. J. Crosthwaite, Assistant Collector ...	162	13
	Misree Lall ...	256	...
	Syud Mahomed ...	326	4
Futtehpore ...	Sahib Ali Khan, Deputy Collector of Kora ...	152	9
Ghaseepore ...	Mr. J. G. Bachman, Deputy Collector ...	130	45
	Mahomed Shuffee, Tehseeldar ...	105	13
	Talehmuud Pershad, Do. ...	111	57
	Oudh Beharee Lall, Do. ...	175	2
Goruckpore ...	Mr. F. E. Elliott, Deputy Collector ...	143	16
Shahjehanpore ...	Kasim Hussun, Tehseeldar of Tilhur ...	246	7
	Toorab Ali, Do. ...	192	13
	Mahomed Kurreem Do. ...	300	21
Seharunpore ...	Mr. R. J. Leeds, Assistant Magistrate ...	111	14
Bareilly ...	Mr. A. M Markham, Collector, Bareilly ...	105	11
	Khyr-ood-deen Ahmed, Deputy Collector ...	787	20
	Ameer Ali, Deputy Collector, Peelibheet ...	125	6
	Sala-ood-deen, Do. Do. ...	117	45
	Gunga Pershad, Do. Korais ...	280	25
	Bukhtawur Singh, Do. Buharee ...	195	6
	Mr. H. R. Wilson, Deputy Collector, Budaon ...	345	16
	„ T. G. Hanson, Do. Do. ...	126	7
	Mahomed Kurreem, Do. Do. ...	490	14
	Moostajah-ood-deen, Do. Do. ...	190	7
Moradabad ...	Mr. Butt, Deputy Collector ...	115	28
	Mirza Ehsan Ali Beg ...	100	17
	Kour Luchmun Singh ...	100	22

Zillah.	Name of Officer.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of cases decided by Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
Furruckabad,	Mr. Wilson, Settlement Officer ...	29	29	3	10
	„ Robertson, Do. ...	41	41	15	37
	„ Adams, Assistant Collector ...	19	14	4	29
	Heth Ram, Tehseeldar and Deputy Collector of Mohumdabad ...	14	13	7	54
Asimgurh ...	Mr. C. J. H. Richardes, Collector ...	19	19	6	32
	„ E. S. Robertson, Do. ...	67	67	18	27
	„ J. C. Leupolt, Assistant Do. ...	51	54	25	46
	„ J. W. Concannon, Deputy Do. ...	18	22	11	50
	„ N. Parsick Do. ...	15	15	...	...
	Ali Hussun, Deputy Collector, Pergunnah Nizamabad ...	25	25	5	20
	Shunker Dial Pandey, Deputy Collector, Pergunnah Mahoul ...	26	29	7	28
	Ghôlam Russool Khan, Deputy Collector, Pergunnah Sagree ...	48	47	25	53

Zillah	Name of Officer.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of cases decided by Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
Allahabad ...	Syud Nasir Ali Khan, Deputy Collector,	32	53	15	28
	Sheikh Seraj ood deen Ahmud, Deputy Collector ..	4	10	3	30
	Mahomed Ghazee-ood-deen Khan, Deputy Collector ...	24	29	11	38
	Mr. G H M Ricketts, C B, Collector...	9	11	3	27
	„ G Dale, Deputy Collector ...	16	21	1	5
	„ S Sladen, Do ...	15	12	2	17
Benares ...	From none of the officers from whose decisions 10 appeals were disposed of.				
Allygurh ...	Mr. C Mellor, Deputy Collector ...	20	14	2	14
	„ Tidy, Assistant Collector ..	12	24	8	33
	Rajah Jey Kishen Dass, Deputy Collector,	57	32	10	31
	Goorsurrun Dass, Tehseeldar of Coel ..	75	23	10	43
	Syud Mahomed, Do. Secundra Rao,	44	16	11	69
	Umjud Ali, Deputy Collector, Etah ..	20	17	14	82
Jounpore ...	Mr. E T Atkinson, Assistant Collector,	36	47	22	47
	„ T. W Rawlins, Do. Do ...	21	27	4	14
	Ali Buksh Khan, Deputy Collector ...	50	61	23	37
	Nasser-ood-deen Khan, Tehseeldar, with powers of Deputy Collector ...	86	86	35	41
	Sheikh Sahib Ali ..	17	14	7	50
	Pundit Kishen Narain, Deputy Collector,	30	23	14	61
	Baboo Jugmohun Singh, Deputy Collector ...	21	11	9	82
Mirzapore ...	Moonshee Noor Ali ...	20	18	8	44
Mynpoory ...	Mr. M A McConaghy, Officiating Deputy Collector, Mynpoorie ..	17	14	6	43
	Mahomed Hussun, Officiating Deputy Collector ...	19	18	12	67
	Rughobuns Suhay, Officiating Deputy Collector ...	25	25	11	44
	Mr. R Wall, Deputy Collector ...	19	19	11	58
	Baboo Kunnoo Lall, Deputy Collector...	19	20	7	35
	Mehdee Ali, Tehseeldar ...	13	13	5	38
	Narain Dass, Do. ...	17	18	10	55
	Gobind Pershad, Tehseeldar ...	10	10	6	60
	Gunga Pershad, Do., Etah ...	17	17	12	70
Cawnpore ..	None of the officers from whose decisions 10 appeals were decided.				
Agra ...	Mr A Sells, Assistant Collector ...	20	19	8	42
	Chowbey Dhunput, Rae Deputy Collector,	25	24	9	37
	Ikram Ali, Ditto ...	27	25	17	68
	Mr J. A Loch, Assistant Collector ...	13	10	12	20
Meerut ...	Mr W, Foster, Collector ...	13	13	...	...
	„ S Ridadale, Assistant Collector ...	8	12	12	17
	„ W. Neale, Assistant Collector ...	21	28	7	30
	„ Porter, Settlement Officer ...	21	17	1	6
	„ R J. Crosthwaite, Assistant Collector ...	21	17	6	35
	Mungul Sein, Deputy Collector ...	7	11	8	73
	Imdad Hossein, Do ...	9	11	9	82
	Mohib Ali, Do ...	6	24	6	25
	Syud Mahomed, Do. ...	13	14	11	78
Futtehpore ...	Sahib Ali Khan, Deputy Collector of Kora,	14	12	3	25
Ghazeepore ...	Mr J G Bachman, Deputy Collector ..	59	39	22	56
	Rae Buldeo Bux, Do ...	14	14	13	93
	Tulehmund Pershaud, Tehseeldar ..	63	64	50	78
	Mahomed Shuffee	14	15	12	80
Goruckpore ...	Mr F E Elliott, Deputy Collector ...	23	11	1	9
	„ B. Alone, Ditto	20	46	6	13
	Moonshee Pragdutt, Ditto	28	46	14	30
	Mahomed Tukkee, Tehseeldar of Bansgaon	17	22	7	32
	Mr. F S Wigram, Collector of Bustee	17	34	18	53
	„ D L Sandford, Deputy Collector	2	13	1	7
	Fyz-ood deen Ahmed, Tehseeldar of Captaingunj	...	12	5	41

Zillah.	Name of Officer.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of cases decided by Judge.	Number of cases reversed, modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number decided.
Shahjehanpore,	Mr. M. S. Stowell, Collector	26	25	8	32
	„ H M. Rogers, Ditto	1	14	5	36
	Munowur Zuman, Ditto	22	14	6	43
	Kunhya Lall, Tehseeldar of Shahjehanpore	24	21	9	43
	Kassim Hossein, Tehseeldar of Tilhur ...	17	22	11	50
	Mr. T. G. Henson, Ditto	11	11	4	36
	Toorab Ali, Ditto	24	34	9	26
	Mahomed Kurreem, Ditto	63	52	15	29
	Nitta Nund, Tehseeldar of Budaon ..	18	15	2	13
	Umjud Ali Khan, Ditto of Dataganj ...	8	15	5	33
Seharunpore ...	Mr. H L. P Wynne, Deputy Collector,	10	12	9	75
	„ J. Morgan, Ditto ...	13	13	8	62
	Moonshee Nund Kishore, Ditto ...	10	16	9	56
	Mr. J Leeds, Assistant Collector ...	15	21	20	.95
	„ W. Irvine, Deputy Collector ...	16	13	8	62
Bareilly ...	Mr. S. M. Moens, Deputy Collector, Bareilly	10	19	12	63
	„ A. M Markham, Ditto	12	10	8	80
	„ J. H. Walker, Ditto	14	11	8	72
	Khyr-ood-deen Ahmud, Ditto ...	37	48	27	56
	Ameer Ali, Ditto, Peelibheet ...	7	18	9	50
	Sala-ood-deen, Ditto	53	58	44	76
	Gunga Pershaud, Ditto ditto, Krore ...	69	70	45	64
	Bukhtawar Singh, Ditto, Buharee ...	12	17	4	23
	Mr H R Wilson, Deputy Collector, Budaon	55	44	29	66
	Mahomed Kurreem, Ditto ditto ...	70	81	53	65
	Moostajah-ood-deen, Ditto ditto ...	13	12	3	25
Moradabad ...	Mr. R. Manderson, Collector ...	12	32	5	16
	„ A. Boulderson, Deputy Collector ...	18	16	10	62
	„ Tyrrell, Ditto ...	20	46	25	54
	„ Butt, Ditto ...	32	31	12	39
	„ Joyce, Ditto ...	50	62	27	44
	Mirza Ehsan Ali Beg, Ditto ...	18	18	15	83
	Kour Luchmun Singh, Ditto ...	22	38	17	45

It may be noticed that by far the largest number of cases (490) was decided by Mahomed Kurreem, a Deputy Collector in Budaon. The proportion of appeals to decisions is most favorable in the cases of Syud Mahomed, a Tehseeldar in Boolundshuhur; and of Oudh Beharee Lall, a Tehseeldar in Ghazeepore. It was least favorable in the case of Goorsurrun Doss, Tehseeldar of Allygurh, and Talehmund Pershaud, a Tehseeldar in Ghazeepore. The name of the last is similarly conspicuous in the Report for 1865.

53. The results of appeals were most favorable in the cases of the following officers :—

Mr. Wilson, late Settlement Officer in Furruckabad.
„ Dale, Deputy Collector, Allahabad.
„ Porter, Settlement Officer in Meerut.
„ F. E. Elliot, Deputy Collector in Goruckpore.
„ D. L. Sandford, Deputy Collector in Bustee.

It was the least favorable in the cases of the following :—

Umjud Ali, Deputy Collector, Etah.
Jugmohun Singh, Tehseeldar, Jounpore.
Imdad Hossein, Ditto, Boolundshuhur.
Mahomed Shuffee, Ditto, Ghazeepore.
Mr. Leeds, Assistant Collector, Seharunpore.
Mr. Markham, Deputy Collector, Bareilly.
Ehsan Ali Beg, Ditto, Mirzapore.

54. The Court have no wish to lay much stress upon these results; for it may happen that one reversal governs many others, or that the reversal may hang upon a doubtful point of law; that among numerous decisions of an officer, generally sound, a few, in which manifest error existed, were appealed.

55. The Court have not received satisfactory reports as to the trustworthiness of the village papers, and regret to add that this remark includes the newly-settled district of Goruckpore.

56. The Judge of Ghazeepore writes in regard to these papers :—" The Jummabundee papers filed in the Collector's Office are most dangerous documents,—full of errors and chicanery. It requires, in my opinion, the greatest circumspection and care on the part of the Judge before he accepts them even as *primâ facie* evidence. I believe that in this district the Jummabundee papers have not been filed for the last three years. I have never found any inconvenience arise from want of them; in fact I think it has been the means of stopping a great deal of mischief and intrigue. The general opinion of the Native community is, that the Jummabundee papers are most untrustworthy and dangerous documents. The idea of expecting the Native community to file correct papers is an impracticable idea."

57. The Judge of Jounpore, on the other hand, holds that the value of the Jummabundee as an authority is much under-rated, and that the only hope of forcing the people to secure for themselves a trustworthy village record is to receive the rent-roll as conclusive evidence, unless its authority has been previously impeached.

58. The next statement shows, as is customary, the result of prosecutions for false verifications of plaints, for false evidence, and for forgery :—

Zillah.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.												Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.												Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.												Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			
	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	
Seharunpore ...	2	...	3	2	...	3	2	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	2	..	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allygurh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	4	...	7	8	...	5	...	...	2	7	...	4	3	...	20	10	...	1	...	...	19	10	
Moradabad ...	5	...	3	5	...	3	3	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Bareilly ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	1	...	2	...	...	1	1	...	...	
Shahjehanpore ...	...	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	
Agra ...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	6	1	...	3	...	...	3	...	
Farruckabad ...	3	2	4	4	2	8	4	2	7	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	1	4	...	1	2	...	...	2	
Mynpoorie ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Cawnpore ...	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Futtehpore ...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad ...	2	...	1	2	...	1	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Goruckpore ...	1	...	1	2	...	1	2	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	...	
Azimgurh ...	...	...	1	...	...	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
Jounpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirzapore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ghazeepore ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	13	6	16	15	8	23	13	3	17	1	3	5	1	6	8	1	7	14	1	5	2	...	2	10	4	8	10	3	28	23	...	5	9	1	23	12	

Thirty-three (33) persons were convicted of the first, but eight of offences relating to false evidence, and but 15 of the last. The number of persons convicted of false evidence is much smaller than usual; that of convictions of false verification of plaint is larger.

59. The average duration of suits in the several Courts is shown in the following returns :—

Zillahs.	Judges.			Principal Sudder Ameens.			Sudder Ameens.			Moonsiffs.			Average duration of cases under Act X. of 1859.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Seharunpore ...	...	3	28	...	1	29	...	2	...	...	...	25	...	2	18
Meerut ...	...	3	7	...	1	21	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	2	10
Allygurh ...	...	6	15	...	3	12	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	3	27
Moradabad ...	...	5	17	...	5	3	...	1	5	...	1	2	...	2	24
Bareilly ...	...	2	16	...	3	12	...	1	1	...	...	23	...	3	17
Shahjehanpore...	...	6	1	...	3	5	...	1	7	...	...	21	...	5	10
Agra ...	...	2	9	...	5	28	...	2	9	...	...	29	...	1	23
Furruckabad ...	...	1	...	...	1	6	...	...	...	...	...	22	...	1	1
Mynpoory ...	...	1	21	...	2	2	...	...	29	...	...	14	...	...	27
Cawnpore ...	...	6	28	...	1	20	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	4	27
Futtehpore ...	...	2	15	...	1	20	...	2	4	...	...	26	...	2	6
Allahabad ...	...	2	27	...	1	5	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	4	2
Goruckpore ...	...	8	26	...	7	23	...	1	8	...	1	22	...	7	28
Azimgurh ...	...	2	...	...	2	8	...	1	2	...	...	26	...	1	15
Jounpore ...	...	2	12	...	1	29	...	...	...	...	1	11	...	5	22
Mirzapore ...	...	4	13	...	2	8	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	3	7
Benares ...	...	1	21	...	1	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	9
Ghazeepore ...	...	3	19	...	1	23	...	2	22	...	...	19	...	2	20
General average,	...	2	23	...	2	23	...	1	17	...	...	27	...	3	6

The average duration in Judges' Courts,—3 months and 23 days,—is shorter than in any year since 1859. That it was not shorter still, is due to the exceptional character of the return from the Judge of Goruckpore, the average duration of suits in whose Court is 2 months longer than in any other Judge's. This result is caused probably by the number of remanded cases or cases of long standing bequeathed to the present Judge, who, the Court believe, is doing his best to overtake the work. In the Courts, too, of the Judges of Cawnpore, Allygurh, Shahjehanpore, and Moradabad, the average duration was too long. It is probable that different modes of calculation have been adopted in different districts with respect to remanded cases. The Court have recently determined that these should be reckoned as fresh cases, so far as average duration is concerned. In the Moradabad return, the Court believe that the time during which a remanded case had been before the Appellate Court counted against the average duration in the Lower Court.

60. In some of the Judges' Courts, those of Mynpoory, Benares, and Furruckabad, the average duration is as short as it is desirable that it should be, regard being had to the interruptions caused by Criminal Sessions, and to the extent of jurisdiction.

61. The duration in the Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts is slightly in excess of the duration during 1865; and, as in that year, is injuriously affected by the return of the late Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore, Assud-oollah Khan. The unfavorable return for Agra is due to the proceedings of the Small Cause Court Judge in his capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen.

62. The average duration in the Principal Sudder Ameens' Courts is lengthened unduly by the practice that prevails in some districts of allowing appeals from Moonsiffs' decisions to lie in the Judges' Offices before transfer to the Principal Sudder Ameens' files; and that without issue of the necessary notices. The Court have given instructions that the day for hearing should be fixed and the necessary notices to the respondent and the Lower Court issued immediately on registration of appeal in the Judge's Court. Subsequently, if the state of the files requires it, the sanction of the Court can be obtained without delay to the transfer of the appeals. And this sanction, when required, should be asked for at short intervals.

63. The duration in the Sudder Ameens' Courts has increased since 1865. It is too long in the Courts of the Sudder Ameens of Seharunpore, Muttra, Futtehpore, and Ghazeepore. The Superintendent of Dehra is the Seharunpore Sudder Ameen, and no doubt has plenty of other business. Abdool Rahman Khan, of Muttra, appears to be too slow.

The Ghazeepore Sudder Ameen had his time too much occupied with Moonsiffee cases. Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore, is believed to have bad health.

64. The average duration in Moonsiffs' Courts seems as short as need be. If a shorter duration were required, patient and careful decision might be sacrified in the desire of showing a good return. It may be that too much stress is laid upon the reduction of this average, and that a tendency to hasty procedure and judgment is thereby created.

65. It is to be regretted that the Judges do not, as a rule, dispose of appeals under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 with sufficient promptitude. The Court has signified its dissatisfaction to those Judges who have failed in this point, and who, by their failure, may have brought discredit upon the Civil as compared with the Revenue Court, and have, in the individual cases delayed, defeated one of the main objects of the Act.

66. The next statement shows, as is usual, the degree in which parties to suits personally attended the Court:—

No.	Zillah.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court ordinarily, or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.			Remarks.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			Superior Courts.	Moonsiffs'.	General.	
1	Seharunpore ...	620	505	2,517	3,642	859	4,501	46·	91·	81·	
2	Meerut ...	427	765	2,588	3,780	1,130	4,910	69·	80·	77·	
3	Allygurh ...	697	989	1,912	3,548	975	4,523	61·	83·	78·	
4	Moradabad ...	925	1,388	3,207	5,520	1,657	7,177	38·	87·	77·	
5	Bareilly ...	1,182	1,508	2,771	5,461	1,101	6,562	76·	85	83·	
6	Shahjehanpore ...	594	460	3,507	4,561	616	5,177	59	94·	88·	
7	Agra ...	477	745	2,118	3,340	1,112	4,452	56	82·	75·	
8	Furruckabad ...	1,017	748	3,412	5,177	521	5,698	75·	94·	91·	
9	Mynpoory ...	615	1,025	2,541	4,181	1,181	5,362	25·	88·	78	
10	Cawnpore ...	389	179	1,961	2,479	432	2 911	62	92·	85·	
11	Futtehpore ...	428	678	765	2,871	931	3,802	61·	87·	76·	
12	Allahabad ...	749	844	967	2,560	512	3,072	62·	91·	83·	
13	Goruckpore ...	1,111	811	1,774	3,696	1,083	4,779	40·	90·	77·	
14	Azimgurh ...	547	294	2,827	3,668	389	4,057	90·	90·	90·	
15	Jounpore ...	364	441	1,093	1,898	851	2,749	42·	82	69·	
16	Mirzapore ...	324	469	1,267	2,060	431	2,491	87·	79	83·	
17	Benares ...	119	150	229	498	498	966	52·	...	52·	
18	Ghazeepore ...	715	918	3,635	5,268	894	6,162	86·	85·	85·	
	Total ...	11,250	12,917	39,091	64,208	15,173	79,351	60·	86·	81·	

The percentage of personal attendance in the superior Courts is the same as it was for 1865; in the Moonsiffs' Courts it has risen from 81 to 86.

67. It may be well to notice that, during 1862, the first year in which the statement appears in the Annual Reports, the percentage of cases in which personal attendance was secured in the Moonsiffs' Courts was only 70. The Court have remarked that in some districts this personal attendance is for the most part, or altogether, involuntary in the Moonsiffs' Courts; and there is reason to think that the practice of requiring personal attendance has been somewhat strained. As a rule, the truth can be best elicited in the presence of both parties; and if they voluntarily attend the Court, their attendance is a gain. But to insist upon personal attendance as a matter of routine, where the parties are sufficiently represented by agents or pleaders, is a mistake, and the practice may occasion great personal inconvenience, and render our Courts, not without reason, unpopular.

68. The following table shows the alienations of landed property under the action of the Civil Courts, and the extent to which permanent alienation has been avoided by the proceedings of the Courts themselves, or of the Revenue Authorities, in relief of the judgment-debtors.

Number.	Zillah.	NUMBER OF MOUZAHS, AND SHARES OF MOUZAHS, ALIENATED IN EXECUTION OF DECREES OF CIVIL COURTS DURING 1866.										Remarks.
		Temporary, Conditional, Partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and Permanent under Section 248.				
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1	Seharunpore	...	...	...	5	...	5	6	215	...	27	6	242	
2	Meerut	...	...	...	18	...	18	...	62	...	35	...	97	
3	Allygurh	...	5	...	1	...	6	...	123	...	19	...	142	
4	Moradabad	...	2	...	1	...	3	2	123	...	8	2	130	
5	Bareilly	...	28	...	89	...	117	5	114	...	13	5	127	
6	Shahjehanpore	...	...	...	20	...	20	...	131	...	12	...	143	
7	Agra	...	...	...	17	...	17	2	76	...	2	2	78	
8	Furruckabad	20	1	...	8	20	9	...	101	...	3	...	104	
9	Mynpoory	...	6	...	24	...	30	...	82	...	16	...	98	
10	Cawnpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	...	14	
11	Futtehpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	18	...	28	
12	Allahabad	1	51	...	...	1	51	6	252	1	19	7	271	
13	Goruckpore	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	184	...	5	...	189	
14	Azimgurh	...	152	...	20	...	172	...	44	...	16	...	60	
15	Jounpore	...	...	2	3	2	3	...	61	1	15	1	76	
16	Mirzapore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	2	3	2	11	
17	Benares	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	14	...	...	1	14	
18	Ghazeepore	1	8	...	...	1	8	...	66	...	100	...	166	
	Total ...	22	253	2	208	24	461	22	1,679	4	311	26	1,990	

The use of the Sections of the Code enacted in this behalf has been more frequent in the Civil Courts than during 1865. By the Revenue Authorities Section 244 was used to about the same extent in both years. The Revenue Authorities of the Bareilly district, including Budaon, are, as they were in 1865, conspicuous in this statement. So long as the decree-holder is not injured, the activity of the Collector, or of the Court itself, in preventing permanent alienation of land, is not objectionable.

69. The Court notice that the number of hereditary landed properties permanently alienated under decrees of Court has considerably decreased since 1861, when 2,375 hereditary properties were sold. On the other hand, the number is larger than in the preceding year, when only 1,475 were sold. It is remarkable that both for 1865 and 1866 the three districts where these sales were most frequent were Seharunpore, Allahabad, and Goruckpore. And it is further remarkable that every former statement since 1861, when the information was first shown in the report, shows that sales of hereditary landed property were most frequent in the Seharunpore district.

70. The frequency of sales in the Allahabad district was noticed by the Revenue Board in their Report for 1864-65, and was attributed by the Collector to extravagant outlay in marriages, and consequent indebtedness. The sales that took place in 1866 appear to have been of estates belonging to persons hopelessly involved.

71. The frequency of sales in Goruckpore may perhaps be attributed to successive bad seasons, and increase of capital in the hands of the trading class.

72. The number of persons imprisoned for debt has increased, although the pecuniary amount of decrees on account of which they were imprisoned has largely diminished :—

Number.	Zillah.	Number.	Amount of Debt.	Remarks.
1	Seharunpore	9	2,658	
2	Meerut	46	36,977	
3	Allygurh	47	8,516	
4	Moradabad	75	14,744	
5	Bareilly	3	930	
6	Shahjehanpore	1	931	
7	Agra	66	18,784	
8	Furruckabad	73	8,853	
9	Mynpoory	75	13,263	
10	Cawnpore	30	4,115	
11	Futtehpore	36	5,500	
12	Allahabad	22	1,154	
13	Goruckpore	...	...	
14	Azimgurh	13	5 585	
15	Jounpore	31	3,764	
16	Mirzapore	64	16,300	
17	Benares	4	411	
18	Ghazeepore	15	23,241	
	Total ...	610	1,65,816	
	Total for 1865 ...	586	4,17,672	
	Increase ...	76	...	
	Decrease ...	...	2,51,856	

For the last two years Moradabad has been conspicuous as the district in which resort is most had to this mode of enforcing decree.

73. The next statement shows the amount of work performed by each District Judge :—

Number in order of Civil work performed.	Zillah.	Original suits.	Work actually performed. Appeals: From Principal Sudder Ameen.	Appeals: From Collectors under Act X. of 1859.	Appeals: From Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.	Miscellaneous Statement, No. 2, headings 1 to 20.	Total.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days on which the Judge actually sat on the Civil side.	Criminal: Calculated value of work performed in days.	Criminal: Number of days given to Criminal sittings.	Total value of Civil and Criminal work performed in days.	Order of Zillah according to the last column.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
1	Bareilly	33	43	417	163	149	805	309	146	130	86	439	I.	
2	Futtehpore	34	124	69	262	232	721	294	177	120	99	414	II.	
3	Azimgurh	4	34	270	284	304	896	259	192	57	59	316	V.	
4	Meerut	18	168	165	31	167	549	237	170	91	73	328	IV.	
5	Agra	25	138	117	47	185	512	223	141	127	76	350	III.	
6	Moradabad	5	93	233	126	155	612	214	202	100	51	314	VI.	
7	Furruckabad	11	94	112	176	153	546	203	171	79	56	282	IX.	
8	Seharunpore	7	115	105	175	120	522	201	152	110	74	311	VIII.	
9	Ghazeepore	4	51	155	171	328	609	198	130	77	108	275	X.	
10	Mynpoory	1	83	182	96	180	542	176	133	128	110	304	VII.	
11	Jounpore	7	51	260	43	119	480	166	172	40	33	206	XVI.	
12	Allahabad	19	69	155	19	127	389	160	137	94	90	254	XII.	
13	Goruckpore	3	69	189	31	230	522	158	172	86	80	244	XIII.	
14	Cawnpore	5	65	49	80	362	561	148	154	124	83	272	XI.	
15	Shahjehanpore	1	69	236	12	109	427	146	159	98	71	244	XIV.	
16	Benares	14	112	18	...	163	307	132	155	72	74	204	XVII.	
17	Allygurh	7	76	143	6	92	324	128	185	83	52	211	XV.	
18	Mirzapore	5	31	56	143	76	311	109	160	95	74	204	XVIII.	
	Total ...	203	1,485	2,931	1,865	3,257	9,635	3,461	2,908	1,711	1,349	5,172		
	Total of 1865 ...	158	1,472	2,610	1,674	3,564	9,478	3,227	2,623	1,920	1,581	5,147		
	Increase ...	45	13	321	191	...	157	234	285	...	...	25		
	Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	313	...	...	...	209	132	...		

It must be noticed that the Judges of Allygurh and Shahjehanpore did not perform that amount of work which the state of their files should have provoked them to get through.

74. The Judges of Bareilly and Futtehpore decided a larger number of original suits than other Judges, and almost all of the suits decided by them were of value below Rs. 5,000. The reason of this at Futtehpore is, that there is no Principal Sudder Ameen at the head-quarters station. The Bareilly suits were principally suits brought against the Local Agency at Peelibheet.

75. The strong objections that were formerly made to the hearing of these suits below Rs. 5,000 in value by the District Judges,—*viz.*, that it changed the course of appeal and encumbered the files of the Sudder Court,—do not now exist. The High Court, with its present number of Judges, is fully able to dispose of the appeals that may come before them from the decisions of Judges in original suits; and it appears to the Court desirable that the District Judges should hear original suits as the state of their files in the appellate jurisdiction permits them.

75½. The number of appeals heard from Moonsiffs' decisions is small in the Courts of the Judges of Meerut, Agra, Jounpore, Allahabad, Goruckpore, Shahjehanpore, and Allygurh. The District Judge should keep as many of these appeals on his file as he can without inconvenience and without hindrance to their speedy disposal. So he will best acquire a practical knowledge of the official character of the subordinate Judges, and of their mode of conducting business. The Court have elsewhere proposed, as a reform in existing procedure, that all appeals from Moonsiffs should be heard by a Bench consisting of two District Judges, or of a Judge and Principal Sudder Ameen; and the Government has supported the proposal.

76. In reviewing the annual reports, the Court found reason to commend the industry with which the Judge of Mynpoorie, Mr. Horne, had given himself to his work. His decisions have also perhaps improved in quality.

77. The file of Mr. Andrew Ross, Judge of Azimgurh, showed but 17 cases pending at the end of the year; and the number of appeals from Moonsiffs heard by him was larger than by any other Judge. The Court would be glad to see some improvement in the quality of his work.

78. The state of their files, and the amount of work performed by Mr. Sapte, Judge of Meerut, and Mr. Paterson, Judge of Agra, is commendable; while Mr. Lindsay labored hard and successfully to reduce the arrears at Moradabad.

79. As shown in the following statement, the general percentage of appeals to appealable cases decided by the District Judges was 30. This is not unfavorable.

Name of Judge.	Zillah.	Number of original suits and appeals finally decided during 12 months, commencing from the last Quarter of 1865, and ending with the 3rd Quarter of 1866.	Number of appeals from those decisions to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, regular and special, preferred in the 12 months of 1866.	Percentage of appeals to cases and appeals decided.	Number of appeals decided by the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, during the year 1866.	Number affirmed.	Number modified or reversed.	Dismissed on default, adjusted or withdrawn, and otherwise disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number decided by the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces.
Mr. J. W. Sherer ...	Allahabad ... Jounpore ... Mirzapore ...	360	107	30	80	52	23	5	29·
„ H. S. Reid ...	Allahabad ...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·
„ G. P. Money ...	Ditto ...	106	36	34	31	19	6	6	19·

Name of Judge.	Zillah.	Number of original suits and appeals finally decided during 12 months, commencing from the last Quarter of 1865, and ending with the 3rd Quarter of 1866.	Number of appeals from those decisions to Sudder Dewanny Adawlut, regular and special, preferred in the 12 months of 1866.	Percentage of appeals to cases and appeals decided.	Number of appeals decided by the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, during the year 1866.	Number affirmed.	Number modified or reversed.	Dismissed on default, adjusted or withdrawn, and otherwise disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number decided by the High Court of Judicature, North Western Provinces.
Mr G. H. M. Ricketts,	Allahabad ...	136	42	31	27	17	10	...	37·
„ A. Ross ...	Azimgurh ...	592	166	28	144	85	55	4	38·
„ M. B. Thornhill,	Seharunpore ...	472	119	25	95	56	36	3	38·
	Furruckabad ...								
„ H. G. Keene	Ditto ...	301	106	35	67	37	28	2	42·
	Cawnpore ...								
	Allygurh ...								
„ H B Henderson,	Benares ...	179	83	46	79	42	35	2	44·
„ J H Bax ...	Ditto ...	...	8	...	1	1	...	...	...
„ B. Sapte ...	Cawnpore ...	236	114	48	96	63	33	...	34·
	Meerut ...								
„ G. D. Turnbull ...	Ditto ...	65	42	64	38	19	18	1	47·
„ A.L.M. Phillipps,	Ditto ...	201	20	10	6	4	2	...	33
	Moradabad ...								
„ W. J Bramley ...	Allygurh ...	137	113	82	113	75	33	5	29·
„ J. H Prinsep ...	Ditto ...	117	27	23	13	7	5	1	38·
„ R Spankie ...	Jounpore ...	63	28	44	28	17	9	2	32·
„ H. P. Fane ...	Mirzapore ...	249	52	21	45	30	13	2	29·
„ C. Horne ...	Benares ...	401	134	38	112	65	36	11	32·
	Mynpoory ...								
„ W. S Paterson ...	Agra ...	402	154	38	147	86	47	14	32·
„ W. J R. Best ...	Futtehpore ...	...	2	...	2	1	1	...	50
„ W. J. Power ...	Cawnpore ...	462	98	21	81	46	19	16	23·
	Futtehpore ...								
	Moradabad ...								
„ E. J. Boldero ...	Futtehpore ...	287	102	35	75	48	19	8	25·
„ H Lushington ...	Ghazeepore ...	409	99	24	89	44	42	3	47·
„ T. C. Plowden ...	Ditto ...	...	1	...	1	1	...	...	...
„ G. Ouseley ...	Goruckpore ...	102	21	21	18	12	6	..	33·
„ W. G. Probyn ...	Ditto ...	226	23	10	3	3	...	...	...
„ F. Thompson ...	Shahjehanpore...	320	71	22	54	28	24	2	44·
„ Hon'ble R. Drummond ...	Ditto ...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·
„ S. J. Becher ...	Seharunpore ...	103	33	32	33	10	22	1	67·
„ H. Vansittart ...	Bareilly ...	642	120	19	110	67	38	5	34·
„ C. R. Lindsay ...	Goruckpore ...	369	133	36	115	81	25	9	22
	Moradabad ...								
	Total ...	6,937	2,056	30	1,705	1,016	587	102	34

79½. The general percentage of cases appealed in which the judgment of the District Judge was modified or reversed is 34, which is an improvement upon the percentage (38) for 1865.

80. The Court were gratified to find the attentive interest given to all branches of his duties by Mr. Lindsay, as set forth in his report. They observed with high approval the practice of Mr. Horne in occasionally sitting on the bench with his subordinate Judges and noting their procedure; and they were glad to notice also that Mr. Paterson, Judge of Agra, and Mr. Keene, Officiating Judge of Furruckabad, had been careful to visit the Moonsiffees in the interior of their districts. Mr. Sapte's report, too, showed that he was watchful in supervising the proceedings of the subordinate Courts.

81. Generally, the Court have reason to be satisfied with the zeal and ability shown by the majority of the District Judges in the discharge of their important duties.

82. The next table shows the work of the Principal Sudder Ameens:—

Number	District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of original cases and appeals (reckoning 3 to 1 original suit) decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Average number of original cases and appeals decided on merits per mensem
1	Benares	Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan	53	53
2	Futtehpore	Rai Soondur Lall	51	43
3	Agra	Mahomed Buksh	42	39
4	Mirzapore	Hubeeb-oollah Khan	39	28
5	Meerut	Pygumber Buksh	39	34
6	Furruckabad	Mahomed Hossein Khan	38	34
7	Benares	Mr R H Smith	35	35
8	Allahabad	Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan ...	30	22
9	Allyguth	Syud Ahmud Khan	30	27
10	Ghazeepore	Ali Buksh Khan	30	30
11	Moradabad	Rai Hoth Lall	30	28
12	Furruckabad	Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan... ...	26	22
13	Moradabad	Mahomed Hussun Khan	26	23
14	Ghazeepore	Baboo Shama Churn	25	25
15	Cawnpore	Abd-oollah Khan	23	19
16	Ghazeepore	Rai Kaujee Sehoy	23	22
17	Seharunpore	Sookhbasee Lall	23	21
18	Goruckpore	Rai Dabee Dyal	20	20
19	Azimgarh	Abdool Azeez Khan	19	19
20	Mynpoorie	Mahomed Wajib-oollah Khan ...	19	18
21	Shahjehanpore	Momin Ali Khan	18	17
22	Jounpore	Syud Hussun Ruza	17	16
23	Bareilly	Kasim Ali Khan	14	14
24	Goruckpore	Mooftee Ussud oollah Khan	13	13
25	Allahabad	Mr. A. W. Wollaston (one month) ...	11	11

Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Benares, appears to have decided the greatest number of cases; but it must be remembered that the Benares Principal Sudder Ameen is chiefly employed in trying Sudder Ameens' and Moonsiffs cases, and that these can no doubt be decided with greater rapidity than the more complicated and important cases which form a Principal Sudder Ameen's proper work. The Court regret that they have received anything but a favorable report of Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan's mode of conducting business. The number of cases decided is not always a reliable test of the amount of work. Thus the Court have recently had before them 47 cases decided by Kazee Inayet Hossein by one simple judgment, the composition of which could have cost him little or no trouble.

83. In the same way, the bulk of the work of Rai Soondur Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda, who is second on the list, consists of Moonsiffs' cases, and these principally of the kind disposable by a Court of Small Causes. It would be useful to empower him to dispose of such cases as a Small Cause Court Judge.

84. Still it cannot be denied that both Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan and Rai Soondur Lall got through a great deal of work, for the largest average number of cases decided monthly on their merits by any Moonsiff was 53.

85. Passing by the Principal Sudder Ameens of Benares and Banda, the Court notice that Mahomed Buksh of Agra, for six successive years, has been conspicuous among Principal Sudder Ameens for his industry. The Court have recognized this good service by recommending him to Government as worthy of exceptional promotion, which has been awarded to him.

86. The Court cannot but remark on the very small number of cases decided by Ussud-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly. They however believe that he is painstaking, and sound in his judgments.

87. Below is shown the proportionate result of appeals from the judgments of the Principal Sudder Ameens :—

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Ratio per cent. Of appeals to appealable cases decided.	Ratio per cent. Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appeals tried.	Ratio per cent. Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appealable cases decided.	Remarks.
Benares	Mr. R. H. Smith	45	42	16	
	Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan ...				
Cawnpore	Abd-oollah Khan	41	24	7	
Mirzapore	Hubeeb-oollah Khan	41	36	13	
Furruckabad	Kazee Inayet Hossein Khan ...	40	31	14	
	Mahomed Hussun Khan		49		
Seharunpore	Sookhbasee Lall	38	39	15	
Allahabad	Khoorsheid Ali Khan	34	32	11	
Agra	Mahomed Buksh	33	32	8	
Meerut	Pygumber Buksh	32	25	9	
Goruckpore	Mooftee Ussud-oollah Khan ...	32	26	9	
Allygurh	Syud Ahmud Khan	31	37	7	
Azimgurh	Abdool Azeez Khan	31	31	9	
Moradabad	Mahomed Hossein	30	37	12	
Mynpoory	Mahomed Wajib-oollah Khan ...	28	38	9	
Futtehpore	Rai Soondur Lall	28	18	5	
Jounpore	Syud Hussun Ruzza Khan	28	38	8	
Goruckpore	Rai Dabee Dyal	28	22	4	
Shahjehanpore	Momin Ali Khan	28	30	7	
Ghazeepore	Rai Kanjee Sahoy	26	35	8	
Bareilly	Kasim Ali Khan	22	35	8	
Seharunpore	Mr. S. S. Melville	18	...	...	
Ghazeepore	Ali Buksh Khan (additional) ...	17	...	...	
Ditto	Baboo Shama Churn, (additional) ...	10	36	4	
Moradabad	Rai Heth Lall	6	62	2	
	Total ...	31	33	9	

These figures, it must be remembered, must not be regarded as affording an infallible test of excellence ; for, in the first place, the proportions themselves may be affected by many circumstances,—such as the proximity of the Court of appeal, the popularity of the Judge constituting it, the litigious character of the people, or the number of the pleaders. Again, it is possible that the Principal Sudder Ameens' decisions, reversed by the Judge, are upheld by the High Court ; and, again, that appeals have been preferred and judgments reversed after the close of the year.

88. The general percentage of appeals to appealable cases—31 per cent.—is the same as that for 1865. The proportion of cases in which the judgment of the Lower Court was reversed or modified—33 per cent.—is more favorable than the proportion for 1865.

89. The figures give the best character to Rai Heth Lall, Baboo Shama Churn, Rai Dabee Dyal, and Rai Soondur Lall.

90. The integrity, diligence, and talent of Syud Ahmud Khan have been highly commended by his Judge ; and the retirement of Kasim Ali Khan, after an honorable service, has been the occasion of a well-deserved panegyric.

91. The work performed by the Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs is shown in the next table :—

Number.	Zillah.	Average No. of cases decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem per Moonsiff.	Average No. of cases decided on merits only.
1	Allahabad	99	53
2	Azimgurh	55	49
3	Mirzapore	48	34
4	Meerut	48	33
5	Cawnpore	42	36
6	Mynpoorie	40	28
7	Jounpore	39	26
8	Agra	38	30
9	Futtehpore	38	32
10	Furruckabad	37	24
11	Bareilly	36	25
12	Allygurh	35	25
13	Moradabad	34	27
14	Ghazeepore	32	28
15	Saharunpore	27	19
16	Goruckpore	26	22
17	Shahjehanpore	24	20

For the third year, Abdool Kyoom, Moonsiff of Allahabad, stands out conspicuous for the amount of his work, and the Court have been glad to reward his industry by recommendation for promotion.

92. There is no doubt but that this Moonsiffee should be relieved. Abdool Kyoom has just been appointed Sudder Ameen, but, with this mass of petty litigation swamping his Court, he will not be able to give much assistance in suits of higher value. The most obvious remedy is to remove some part of his jurisdiction to the Small Cause Court; but to this objections are raised that it is not for agriculturists or for villagers that the Small Cause Court procedure is most suited.

93. Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff of Ghazeeabad, disposed of a large amount of business; and the proportion and result of appeals from his judgment are remarkably favorable.

94. Ram Kallee Chowdry is very favorably mentioned by the Judge of Ghazeepore; and Kashee Nath Biswas has gained the good opinion of this Court.

95. The Court attach much importance to personal visitations of the Principal Sudder Ameens' and Moonsiffs' Courts by the Judges or higher authority. The knowledge that interest is taken in their work and individual conduct stimulates exertion; and much is learnt by the inspecting authority which the study of returns and reports will never teach. The Court had hoped that a general visitation of all the Courts might have been accomplished, as was done by Sir H. B. Harington in 1855; and as regards Courts within reach of Agra, they have carried out this idea. All the Moonsiffees within the Agra Judgeship, and some of those in the Allygurh, Mynpoorie, Furruckabad, and Meerut Judgeships, have thus been visited.

Court.	Number of appealable cases decided.	Number of cases appealed.	Appeals modified and reversed.	Appeals confirmed.	Percentage: Of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appeals decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified: To number of appealable cases decided.
Sudder Ameens ...	3,414	1,827	606	1,077	53	34	18
Moonsiffs ...	21,679	5,828	2,054	3,859	27	34	9

96. The proportion of appeals to appealable decisions is the same as for 1865—27 per cent.—in the Moonsiffs' Courts. The great difference between this percentage and the similar proportion in the Sudder Ameens' Courts is remarkable. Naturally the latter would be higher, because the suits are more important and the suitors more wealthy. The percentage of cases in which the judgment of the lower Court was modified is not excessive.

97. The following statement shows the income from stamps filed in the different Courts, and the cost of their establishments :—

Zillah.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	
High Court	1,16,393 10 0	...	10,137 0 0	1,06,256 10 0	3,64,076 6 11	...	2,57,819 12 11	
Saharunpore	63,694 4 0	264 11 0	3,407 8 0	60,551 7 0	58,212 0 0	2,339 7 0	...	
Meerut	58,792 0 0	508 11 0	1,094 8 0	58,206 3 0	51,902 0 0	6,304 3 0	...	
Allygurh	56,748 4 0	987 4 0	1,503 0 0	56,232 8 0	37,428 4 7	18,804 3 5	...	
Moradabad	72,671 4 0	1,218 8 0	1,986 8 0	71,903 4 0	68,736 0 0	3,167 4 0	...	
Bareilly	69,124 9 0	2,209 1 0	1,692 0 0	69,641 10 0	64,478 5 0	5,163 5 0	...	
Shahjehanpore	48,339 5 0	81 14 0	1,219 8 0	47,201 11 0	56,180 15 1	...	8,979 4 1	
Agra	79,752 7 0	1,042 4 0	1,462 0 0	79,332 11 0	56,370 0 0	22,962 11 0	...	
Furruckabad	57,523 12 0	365 11 0	1,981 0 0	55,908 7 0	51,093 1 7	4,815 5 5	...	
Mynpoorie	54,689 14 0	339 15 0	953 8 0	54,076 5 0	56,128 2 0	...	2,051 13 0	
Cawnpore	50,508 6 0	180 8 0	655 0 0	50,033 14 0	48,898 8 4	1,135 5 8	...	
Futtehpore	41,671 11 0	468 14 0	159 0 0	41,981 9 0	52,356 0 0	...	10,374 7 0	
Allahabad	71,220 10 6	782 2 0	791 0 0	71,211 12 6	49,297 12 11	21,913 15 7	...	
Goruckpore	55,060 4 0	359 9 0	411 0 0	55,008 13 0	68,242 15 9	...	13,234 2 9	
Azimgurh	46,932 0 0	13 0 0	616 0 0	46,329 0 0	54,708 0 0	...	8,379 0 0	
Jounpore	31,963 0 0	90 7 0	394 0 0	31,659 7 0	51,924 8 0	...	20,265 1 0	
Mirzapore	40,297 0 0	203 12 0	1,660 0 0	39,450 12 0	50,012 13 7	...	10,562 1 7	
Benares	42,431 12 0	51 3 0	610 8 0	41,872 7 0	42,201 12 6	...	329 5 6	
Ghazeepore	72,663 8 0	717 0 0	1,267 8 0	72,113 0 0	60,975 9 0	11,137 7 0	...	
Total, including High Court ...	11,31,087 8 6	9,884 6 0	32,000 8 0	11,08,971 6 0	13,43,223 3 3	...	...	Net Loss, Rs. 2,34,251-12-9
Total of Zillah Judges' and Subodinate Courts ...	10,14,693 14 6	9,884 6 0	21,863 8 0	10,02,714 12 6	9,79,146 12 4	97,743 3 1	74,175 2 11	Net Gain, „ 23,568-0-2
Average ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

In order to show correctly the financial result to Government, the accounts of the Tulubana and Ameens' Funds, which both show a large surplus, should be included; but even without them, an actual gain accrued to Government from the district and subordinate Courts,—so that, as far as these Provinces are concerned, the recent increases sanctioned in the salaries of the Subordinate Judges and contemplated in their establishments, are but just, and do not need the heavier scale of stamp duties introduced by the new Act.

98. The state of the Ameens' Fund, as exhibited in the annexed statement, demands, and will receive, the Court's attention:—

Zillah.	Amount of fees realized.	Total amount of salary.	Net gain to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Seharunpore	4,202 12 6	3,240 0 0	962 12 6	
Meerut	4,363 4 4	1,680 0 0	2,683 4 4	
Allygurh	6,128 5 8	2,160 0 0	3,968 5 8	
Moradabad	10,109 3 10	5,280 0 0	4,829 3 10	
Bareilly	5,268 10 11	3,360 0 0	1,908 10 11	
Shahjehanpore	5,910 15 11	3,360 0 0	2,550 15 11	
Agra	6,809 1 7	4,200 0 0	2,609 1 7	
Furruckabad	6,819 5 2	3,360 0 0	3,459 5 2	
Mynpoorie	5,101 13 0	2,400 0 0	2,701 13 0	
Cawnpore	9,615 4 8	1,680 0 0	7,935 4 8	
Futtehpore	4,356 11 11	2,160 0 0	2,196 11 11	
Allahabad	5,393 13 5	1,920 0 0	3,473 13 5	
Goruckpore	4,916 3 3	4,133 3 7	782 15 8	
Azimgurh	5,122 4 6	1,680 0 0	3,442 4 6	
Jounpore	2,944 5 7	1,680 0 0	1,264 5 7	
Mirzapore	8,576 14 4	1,200 0 0	7,376 14 4	
Benares	6,304 8 6	2,400 0 0	3,904 8 6	
Ghazeepore	5,769 7 10	2,759 7 6	3,010 0 4	
Total ...	1,07,713 2 11	48,652 11 1	59,060 7 10	

It was not the object of the Act to secure revenue to Government, nor to charge suitors at an exorbitant rate for service rendered. The Court have already reduced the amount of deposit required when an Ameen is employed in sales for execution of decree, where the amount decreed is of small value, and they will consider the propriety of still further reduction, or possibly of increase in the number or salary of Ameens, so that the service required of them may be better and more promptly performed.

99. There is some reason to think that the amount of deposit required before sale takes place, which should be returned if sale takes place, is not returned.

100. The rate of deduction from proceeds of sale—one anna in the rupee—is probably too high, but, as this is fixed by law, it cannot readily be altered.

101. It should be noted that the surplus from these fees is not, as the law contemplates in the case of Tulubana, applicable to improvements in the administration of Civil Justice, and therefore there is no such plea as is urged in support of the other for the maintenance of this fund.

102. The results of the next statement are that the average value of each suit instituted in the different Courts was Rs. 271; the average amount of costs, Rs. 30; the percentage of costs to value, Rs. 11. This percentage will no doubt be much increased by the new Stamp Act.

Zillah.	Total number of suits disposed of, original and appeal.	Total value of suits, omitting fractions.	Costs.									Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average costs for suit.	Percentage of Column 7 to column 12.	Percentage of all other costs to col. 12.
			Value of Stamps required.													
			For plaint and appeal memo., &c.	For appointment of Pleaders.	Total Stamp charges.	Pleaders' fees.	Tulubanah.	Diet of witnesses.	Amoens' fees.	Miscellaneous.	Total.					
1.	2	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Saharunpore	4,501	9,44,114	48,811	3,494	52,305	58,823	7,427	5,882	485	183	1,25,105	210	14	28	47	53
Meerut	4,910	15,40,579	48,426	3,929	52,354	78,818	9,756	6,458	607	771	1,48,764	312	10	30	53	47
Allygurh	4,523	8,29,087	43,516	3,771	47,287	72,028	7,272	5,336	887	290	1,33,100	183	16	29	54	46
Moradabad	7,177	22,51,421	76,393	7,548	83,941	1,01,811	15,889	14,855	2,010	5,663	2,24,169	324	10	31	45	55
Bareilly	6,562	9,96,813	46,990	5,002	51,992	60,199	10,958	6,654	308	2,446	1,32,557	152	13	20	45	55
Shahjehanpore	5,177	8,78,153	41,787	4,815	46,602	54,652	6,900	4,038	257	1,320	1,13,769	169	13	22	48	52
Agra	4,452	20,97,231	67,248	7,777	75,025	16,632	10,211	6,610	674	856	1,10,008	471	10	47	56	44
Furruckabad	5,698	13,09,419	47,683	4,039	51,722	62,686	8,498	6,733	778	1,662	1,32,079	230	10	23	47	53
Mynpoorie	5,362	7,28,099	43,456	3,968	47,424	56,434	10,250	4,176	674	582	1,19,540	136	16	22	47	53
Cawnpore	2,911	10,14,019	44,943	2,304	47,247	58,664	5,364	4,629	828	596	1,17 328	348	11	40	50	50
Futtehpore	3,802	5,70,489	28,682	3,938	32,620	41,885	9,095	8,777	326	3,464	96,167	150	17	25	43	57
Allahabad	3,072	11,49,633	52,635	2,245	54,880	67,973	6,014	5,942	262	476	1,35 547	374	11	44	50	50
Goruckpore	4,779	8,53,444	41,698	5,032	46,730	70,276	12,205	7,902	2,014	9,511	1,48,638	178	17	31	47	53
Azimgurh	4,057	6,57,048	31,161	4,006	35,167	46,722	5,678	3,063	740	5,868	97,238	162	15	24	48	52
Jounpore	2,749	4,93,541	25,987	2,625	28,612	37,981	3,616	1,748	557	440	72,954	179	15	26	52	48
Mirzapore	2,491	10,36,666	39,228	1,628	40,856	68,413	3,634	1,765	1,014	1,032	1,16,714	416	11	47	59	41
Benares	966	13,98,014	32,961	1,436	34,397	57,448	2,585	2,485	418	1,148	98,481	1,447	7	102	58	42
Ghazeepore	6,162	27,49,776	67,086	5,784	72,870	1,34,752	8,247	5,833	953	7,454	2,30,109	446	9	37	59	41
Total ...	79,351	2,14,97,546	8,23,690	73,341	9,02,031	11,46,197	1,43,599	1,92,886	13,792	43,762	23,52,273	271	11	30	49	51

103. The High Court of Judicature for the North-Western Provinces commenced its sittings on the 16th of June, 1866.

104. It has not been possible to adopt the statements of work prescribed in a recent despatch from the Secretary of State, which was not received till some time after the close of the year. In future they will be adopted.

	Pending on the 1st of January.		Admitted.		Disposed of.		Pending on the 31st of December.	
	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Regular ...	49	60	129	220	118	176	60	* 104
Increase ...	...	11	...	91	...	58	...	44
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Special ...	474	686	1,684	2,146	1,472	2,361	686	† 471
Increase ...	...	212	...	462	...	889	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	215
Total Increase ...	...	223	...	553	...	947	...	...
Total Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	171

* Of these, 10 ready for hearing, and 94 not ready.

† Of these, 111 ready for hearing, and 360 not ready.

105. One hundred and seventy-six regular and 2,361 special appeals were decided during the year. 104 regular and 471 special appeals are shown as pending at the close of the year. But of the regular only 10 were ready for hearing, and of the special only 111. Of the total number shown as pending, all but 29 regular appeals have since been disposed of.

106. It will be observed that there is a considerable increase in the number of special appeals decided, as compared with the year 1865. Although 462 more of these were admitted, there was a decrease of 215 in the number left pending at the close of the year.

107. The regular and special appeals left pending were all instituted in the year 1866.

108. In addition to the cases shown in the statement above, 156 miscellaneous appeals were decided, and orders were passed on 549 miscellaneous petitions, exclusive of 174 applications for the admission of special appeal.

109. No cases came before the Court during the year 1866 in the exercise of its extraordinary original Civil jurisdiction, nor of its testamentary, intestate, or matrimonial jurisdiction. One case was decided under the "Indian Companies' Act."

110. It is not unsatisfactory to note that the increase in the number of special appeals decided took place mainly, as shown by the next statement, in the number of cases in which the decisions of the lower Appellate Court have been confirmed. So also as to regular appeals.

Appeals.	Dismissed on default.		Adjusted or withdrawn.		Confirmed		Amended		Reversed.		Remanded.	
	1865.	1866	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Regular ...	2	...	2	1	66	108	29	21	15	40	4	6
Special ...	117	181	16	13	843	1,419	39	54	152	230	305	464

111. The Court will take the opportunity offered by your letter, No. 730, dated 28th December, 1866, to revise the forms of statements at present in use. These, although they doubtless are valuable for purposes of statistics and supervision, appear to the Court to be too cumbrous and too much in detail, and to contain a good deal that is of little practical use. Their preparation occupies too much of the time of the submitting officer, and their examination too much of the time of the superior authority. Their accuracy cannot be implicitly trusted, and dependence on them may lead to very false conclusions as to the merits of respective officers.

112. The Court cannot conclude this Report without recording their gratification at the recent increase in the salaries of the subordinate Judges, to be supplemented soon by an increase in the salaries of the ministerial establishments. The improved position and character of the Native Judges, their greater independence and self-respect, their deeper sense of loyalty to the Government, which will, the Court hope, be the consequence of this measure, cannot but be productive of immense benefit to the people and the Civil Administration. The way, too, is made clearer to important reforms, such as the increased pecuniary jurisdiction of the Moonsiffs, which will naturally follow from the increased confidence to be placed in their integrity; and possibly, too, the limitation of the power of universal and repeated appeal, by which it is now necessary to patch up or amend imperfect or incorrect judgments passed by imperfectly-instructed or partial Judges.

113. There are other matters which are hardly of less importance if it be desired to improve the character of the Civil Courts of this country: for instance, the provision of more convenient and seemly Court-houses, the fitting them up with well-devised and well-arranged furniture, and the introduction of order and dignity in the conduct of business. The Court will use their best efforts to accomplish such improvements; nor will they fail to watch the proceedings of the lower Courts in cases which come before them in appeal, and, by admonition or commendation of individuals, to endeavour to excite in all that zeal for the performance of duty, that carefulness to maintain a reputation beyond reproach, which should be the characteristics of a judicial body.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. D. SANDFORD,
Registrar.

No. 1.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT *showing the number of regular and special appeals admitted and disposed of in the Court of the late Sudder Dewanny and at present High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, during the years* 1862, 1863, 1864, 1865, *and* 1866.

	1862.		1863.		1864.		1865.		1866.	
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.
Pending on 1st January ...	148	762	296	1,427	360	2,069	49	474	60	686
Admitted during the year ...	239	1,445	223	1,740	141	1,541	129	1,684	220	2,146
Total ...	387	2,207	519	3,160	501	3,610	178	2,158	280	2,832
Dismissed on default ...	2	88	3	42	6	173	2	117	...	181
Adjusted or withdrawn ...	5	17	2	9	7	16	2	16	1	13
Confirmed	54	484	83	666	247	2,059	66	843	108	1,419
Amended	14	19	13	25	60	64	29	39	21	54
Reversed	10	49	48	141	123	355	15	152	40	230
Remanded	6	110	10	208	9	469	4	305	6	464
Total ...	91	787	159	1,091	452	3,136	118	1,472	176	2,361
Pending on 31st December ...	296	1,420	360	2,069	49	474	60	686	104	471

No. 2.

ABSTRACT STATEMENT *showing the total value or amount of regular and special appeals instituted, decided, and pending in the late Sudder and at present High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, Agra, at the close of the years* 1862, 1863, 1864, 1865, *and* 1866.

	1862.	1863.			1864.			1865.			1866.		
		Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.
Before the late Sudder and at present High Court ...	45,65,492	58,17,535	34,10,750	77,72,169	1,26,13,010	1,09,90,550	16,22,455	48,70,285	34,53,413	14,16,872	1,18,22,109	33,48,609	74,78,500

Average duration of Suits.

	1866.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Late Sudder Dewanny and at present High Court, North-Western Provinces,	...	8	28

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,
NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES:
Dated Agra, the April, 1867.

J. D. SANDFORD,
Registrar.

No. 670 OF 1867.

FROM

J. D. SANDFORD, ESQUIRE,

Offg. Registrar of the High Court of Judicature for the North-Western Provinces,

To

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to the Government of the N.-W. P., Allahabad.

Dated Agra, the 1st April, 1867.

SIR,

I AM directed to submit the usual report and statements showing the working of the Small Cause Courts in the North-Western Provinces during 1866.

2. The number of cases instituted in the four Courts at Agra, Allahabad, Benares, and in Dehra Dhoon was 6,752, as compared with 6,210 in 1865. These figures, though showing an increase over those for 1865, vary but slightly from those for 1864. The variation is not sufficient to call for any special explanation. It may be noticed that considerably the largest increase occurred in the Agra Court.

3. The actual number of suits instituted in each Court during the two past years was as follows :—

		Benares.	*Allahabad.*	*Agra.*	*Dehra Dhoon.*
1865	...	2,299	1,797	1,787	327
1866	...	2,459	1,744	2,162	387

Thus in the Allahabad Court alone was there any decrease. The Judge of that Court looks upon a gradual decrease in the amount of litigation as a proof of the beneficial working of the Court.

4. Out of 6,752 cases instituted, 2,802 were for debts on bond, *i. e.*—

In Benares	1,226
In Allahabad	505
In Agra	1,001
In Dehra Dhoon	70
	2,802

The small proportion of these suits to the total institutions in the Allahabad Court, as compared with the proportions in the Benares and Agra Court, is remarkable. Possibly it may be more the custom at Allahabad to hypothecate landed property in bonds, in which case the suits would, under the Sudder Court's ruling, be practically withdrawn from the jurisdiction. In the Benares and Agra Courts about one-half the total institutions were for debts on bond.

6. The various descriptions of suits instituted in the several Courts will be learnt by reference to the detailed statements. It may be noted here that 300 suits were instituted in the Allahabad Court for money due on written contract, compared with 15 at Benares; that only 10 suits were instituted for moveable property, or its value, at Allahabad, compared with 291 and 202 in the Benares and Agra Courts.

7. Of 6,885 cases for disposal during the year, 6,792 were disposed of,—thus leaving but 93 pending, as compared with 133 left at the close of 1865. The improvement in this respect is satisfactory.

8. The details of the pending cases were—

In Benares	24
In Allahabad	34
In Agra	34
In Dehra Dhoon	1

The Court are glad to perceive that the Agra Court is not conspicuous in this statement, as it was in 1865. Of the 24 pending cases in the Benares Court, 19 were filed on the last working day of the year; and in the Allahabad Court but 3 had been pending for a longer period than 7 days when the Court closed for the Christmas holidays.

9. The percentage of cases decided *ex-parte* was—

Benares	23
Allahabad	19
Agra	10
Dehra Dhoon	14

and a general percentage of 17 per cent., which is the usual proportion for Courts of Small Causes, though much larger than the proportion for the ordinary Courts. Mr. Kaye remarks that care is taken to ascertain that the summons has been duly served, and that since he has held charge of the Court no case has come under his notice in which, when execution of the decree has been taken out, service of the original summons has been disputed.

10. The percentage of cases decided on confession of judgment was—

In Benares	30
In Allahabad	32
In Agra	37
In Dehra Dhoon	22

or a total percentage of 32. It may be expected that, as the people become better acquainted with the provisions of the Registration Law, under which obligations for the payment of money registered with such agreement may be enforced without suit, the number of these suits, which needlessly occupy the time of the Court, will largely diminish.

11. Cases were decided on their merits in number and proportion to the total number disposed of, as follows:—

		No. decided on merits.	*Percentage to total disposed of.*
Benares	...	770	31
Allahabad	...	551	31
Agra	...	679	31
Dehra Dhoon	...	149	39

This is an improvement upon the results for 1865. The uniformity of the results in the three first Courts is singular.

12. The proportion of applications for execution of decree fully executed, as compared with the total number of applications, stands thus per cent.:—

Benares	31
Allahabad	34
Agra	21
Dehra Dhoon	47

giving a general percentage of 29 per cent. fully executed,—a slight improvement upon the return for 1865. As before, the Dehra Dhoon Court has been most, the Agra Court least, successful in this respect, and the attention of the Judge of the

latter Court has been drawn to the matter. It may be noticed that the Allahabad Court realized the amount of decree by attachment and sale of personal property in 184 cases, compared with 6 cases in which the amount was similarly realized in the Benares Court; while in the latter Court the amount was realized by arrest of party in 140 cases, compared with 99 in Allahabad.

13. Seven hundred and fifty-six (756) cases, involving execution against immoveable property, were transferred for execution to the ordinary Civil Courts.

14. The average duration of suits in the Benares and Allahabad Courts was 7 days, and in the Dehra Dhoon Court but 3. In the Agra Court the average duration was 19 days, but this may be owing to the inclusion of Muttra within its jurisdiction. Mr. Sells attributes the short duration in his own Court to the simple character of the suits, and the ease with which the parties summoned, being generally residents of either Dehra or Mussoorie, can attend.

15. The income of the Courts—consisting of (1) the value of stamps filed, less the amount ordered to be refunded on compromise; of (2) penalties imposed on account of insufficient stamps; of (3) fines; and of (4) Surplus Tulubana—amounted to Rs. 45,346-7-4, showing a slight excess (Rs. 244) over the income for 1865, and, as in that year, there was a slight loss to Government from the maintenance of the Courts, amounting to Rs. 673-9-8. The loss is attributable altogether to the Allahabad Court, as the other three are more than self-supporting. The deficit of the Allahabad Court is no doubt in some measure due to the higher salary of the Judge, who receives Rs. 400 more per mensem than the two Judges of Benares and Agra. But there must be other causes at work to account for the different results. If the jurisdiction of the Court were raised to Rs. 1,000, the higher value of stamps filed might change the deficit into a surplus.

16. Out of 202 applications for a new trial presented in the four Courts, 160 were presented in the Agra Court; and out of 47 in which the former judgment was reversed or modified, 37 were in the Agra Court. Possibly this may be due to the proximity and abundance of Pleaders. The Agra Court also alone had any such applications pending at the close of the year. The number so pending was 23, as compared with 66 pending in the same Court at the close of 1865.

17. Eleven references were made to this Court on points of law during the year,—2 from Allahabad, 7 from Agra, 2 from Dehra Dhoon.

18. The Court have no reason to doubt the judicial capacity of the presiding Judges, and they believe that the Small Cause tribunals work well and to the satisfaction of the public. In this expression of opinion, Mr. Justice Turner, having had no opportunity for becoming acquainted with the working of the Courts, does not wish to join.

19. It is the desire of the Court to see the time of the Small Cause Court Judges more fully occupied. Reference to the statement in the 11th paragraph of this report will show how very small is the real amount of work got through, especially at Allahabad, which is the most expensive Court.

20. I am to point out that the reports of the Sudder Court since the establishment of these Courts have been, so far as an opinion has been expressed, uniformly favorable. For 1862, the Court wrote,—"There is, however, nothing before the Court "at present which shows the working of the three Small Cause Courts in the North-"Western Provinces to be unsatisfactory, while the reports of the Judges who preside in "them encourage the hope that it is in some important respects beneficial. The Court "refrain from expressing a more decided opinion on the subject until the Small Cause "Courts shall have been in operation for a longer time, and until they have before "them data (which they do not now possess) respecting the number and description

"and results of applications for re-trial preferred under Section 12, Act XLII. of 1860, "and the quality and nature of property sold in execution of decrees." Again, for 1863, —"Looking, however, to the short average duration of suits and the absence of appeal, "the ends of speedy determination of cases and diminished expense of litigation have "undoubtedly been attained, while the small proportion of cases in which new trials "have been granted affords grounds for believing that the decisions of the Courts are "in the great majority of cases correct and satisfactory." To this the Government replied,—"The statistics given are sufficient to show that the working of these Courts "has been satisfactory, and that they are both popular and useful." So for 1864 the returns of the working of the Small Cause Courts were considered by Government to be on the whole favorable, and for 1865, as for the preceding years, the exertions of the presiding officers were judged worthy of commendation.

21. The time seems to have now come for pronouncing a more decided opinion. The Courts have been at work for nearly six years, and each year have been pronounced successful. So far as statistics can furnish ground for opinion, ample data have been set out in each year's report. The following statement may be useful, as showing that suitors freely resort to the Courts at Benares, Agra, and Allahabad, and that the decisions give satisfaction :—

	No. of institutions.	*Applications for new trial.*	*Former judgment modified.*
1864	6,331	114	8
1865	5,883	145	37
1866	6,365	202	47

And that justice is speedily obtained and business discharged with punctuality is plain from the following table :—

	Average duration of suits.	*Cases left pending at close of year.*
1864	10 days	166
1865	9 ,,	133
1866	11 ,,	93

22. The experience of these years may, the Court think, be taken to warrant a further extension of the system, while motives of economy and good government point out the propriety of utilizing as far as possible the existing machinery.

23. Three means of accomplishing these objects are open under the existing law, Act XI. of 1865,—of which Act, indeed, scant advantage has been taken in these Provinces: 1*st*, increasing the pecuniary jurisdiction of the Courts; 2*nd*, increasing their territorial jurisdiction; 3*rd*, utilizing the services of the Judges in their capacity of Principal Sudder Ameens.

24. As a preliminary step, however, to a general extension of the system, if such be thought advisable, and as a measure in itself desirable, whether an extension be made or not, the Court recommend an alteration in the terms of Section 22, Act XI. of 1865, so as to make the reference to this Court, on the application of either party to the suit, obligatory, whatever be the amount of suit. Such a safeguard would weaken the objections now made to the system on the ground of the irresponsibility of the Court, while the distinctive feature of the system—namely, the finality of the decision—would not be affected.

25. In regard to Allahabad, the Court think that the pecuniary jurisdiction might be at once raised, without risk of injustice and with benefit to the public, to Rs. 1,000. This step is strongly recommended by the District Judge and by the Small Cause Court Judge himself. On grounds of economy, it is very undesirable that the time of a Judge, who is paid much higher than the highest of the ordinary Principal Sudder Ameens, should be so lost to the State. As pointed out before, too, the increased

jurisdiction would probably prevent the Allahabad Court from being a source of expense to the Government. The present Judge of the Court has, the Court understands, been in charge of a district as Magistrate and Collector, and, as such, has been entrusted with far higher responsibility than would be involved in the decision of cases valued at Rs. 1,000; and it has been customary to appoint as Judge of the Allahabad Court a Covenanted Civilian of some standing, who, it may be presumed, is selected for his judicial ability and habits of business. It has been calculated that the proposed increase of jurisdiction would not have brought more than 111 additional cases into the Allahabad Small Cause Court during the past year. Mr. Justice Turner dissents from the recommendation contained in this paragraph, and has recorded his grounds for so dissenting in a separate minute.

26. As to increased territorial jurisdiction, the Court are not at present prepared with any matured recommendations as to the mode and extent in which this should be carried out; but they are agreed in considering that where increased territorial jurisdiction be given, it must be arranged so as to secure a better state of things than prevails in regard to the visits of the Agra Small Cause Court Judge to Muttra, who in the past two years has held his Court there twice for periods of one month. By such rare visits, the suitors lose more than they gain, for their cases would be decided sooner in the resident Sudder Ameen's Court, and during the month debtors and others who have reason to avoid appearance in Court find no difficulty in disappearing into foreign territory. For the future, the Court would recommend that if the visits to Muttra be continued, the Small Cause Court Judge be required to hold Court at Muttra once in every two months, the period of his stay depending upon the amount of business.

27. On the same conditions, the Court think that the inclusion of Mirzapore in the Allahabad Small Cause Court jurisdiction would be a useful measure. It is in busy cities like Mirzapore that the advantages of a Small Cause Court are most felt. The Judge could, without any inconvenience and without waste of time, proceed to Mirzapore by railway. The experiment is at any rate, the Court think, worth a trial.

28. In all such cases where the Judge of the Small Cause Court holds his Court in more than one place, I am to recommend that use be made of the provisions of Sections 35-43 of Act XI. of 1865. Under these Sections the Government may appoint a Registrar, who can receive plaints, issue notices and processes, and fix days for trial. When the Judge is absent, he may reject defective plaints, receive and enter judgment by confessions, and execute decrees. He may further be invested by the Government with power to decide cases up to Rs. 20. Such an officer should certainly be appointed to all Courts the Judges of which are migratory. It may deserve consideration whether the Moonsiff might not be appointed Registrar under this Act.

29. The Court have recently made a proposal in regard to the Judicial Agency of the Benares district, which, if sanctioned, will have the effect of utilizing the services of the Small Cause Court Judge there, by entrusting to him the hearing of those suits which now go before the Principal Sudder Ameen. Small advantage appears to have been taken of the orders of Government, No. 179A., dated 6th June, 1866, under which the Judges of the Small Cause Courts at Agra, Allahabad, and Benares were invested with the powers of a Principal Sudder Ameen under Section 51, Act XI. of 1865. The cause of this is, perhaps, that under those orders the instructions of this Court have been necessary before the Small Cause Court Judge, in his capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, can receive plaints, whether in original suits or in appeal, and before the Judge can transfer any particular suit or appeal from the Principal Sudder Ameen of the district to the Small Cause Court Judge in that capacity.

30. The Court desire me to suggest a modification of those orders, so far as not to limit the power of the Small Cause Court Judge, in his capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, to receive original suits, nor to subject the power of the District Judge to ransfer any case, whether an original suit or an appeal, to any further check than

that prescribed by the law as laid down in Clause 2, Section 16, Regulation V. of 1831, Section 18 of the same Regulation, and Section 6, Act VIII. of 1859.

The District Judge should be guided as to the distribution of business among the Principal Sudder Ameens of his district by Act IX. of 1844. All that is necessary is to withdraw the limitation imposed by the latter part of the notification No. 176A., dated 6th June, 1866, and in the endorsement No. 177A. of the same date. It should be understood that the Small Cause Court Judge, in his capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen, is in the same relation to the District Judge as any other Principal Sudder Ameen.

31. The Court look upon this Section 51 of the Act, and the power under it given to the Local Government to invest the Small Cause Court Judge with the jurisdiction of Principal Sudder Ameen, as containing the germ of the more simple and uniform system which they hope one day to see introduced. The distinct Court of Small Causes must be regarded as a make-shift, a temporary expedient, made necessary only because the regular tribunals do not perform their duty. Either they work too slow, or they cannot deal out justice of the proper quality without the safeguard of successive appeals.

Already the improved procedure of the Civil Code is removing the first defect; and as the character and capacity of the Native Judges is raised, which the Court hope will be the result of the recent revision of salaries and the increased watchfulness of the higher judicial officers, the second may be expected to vanish. The institution of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, with powers of the Small Cause Court up to a certain limit, points to the end in view. Here will be found a Court the quality of whose work is good enough to dispense with appeal in cases of less importance, and whose procedure is sufficiently rapid; but in order to realize this idea, it is necessary to remove the existing restrictions above pointed out.

Suits for debts on bond where landed property is hypothecated.

32. I am also to bring to notice, as contracting very considerably the jurisdiction of the Small Cause Courts, the construction of law contained in the decision of the late Sudder Court, No. 1553 of 1863, and circulated with their orders No. 8, dated 2nd June, 1865, under which a mortgagee who sues his debtor in the Small Cause Court for a debt due on a bond in which immoveable property is hypothecated is held *ipso facto* to waive his lien on the property mortgaged. The consequence of this ruling has been that parties decline to bring suits on such bonds in the Small Cause Courts, and that the work of these Courts is materially lightened, while the ordinary Civil Courts are engaged in hearing cases to which the machinery of the Small Cause Court is perhaps more adapted. This has been the case notably at Allahabad, where it has been found necessary to entertain an additional establishment for the Moonsiff's Court, while for the Court of Small Causes there is not sufficient employment.

33. The Court therefore recommend that a suitable opportunity be taken to declare distinctly by legal enactment a right of suit in the Court of Small Causes for the money due on bonds in which immoveable property may be hypothecated, without prejudice to any remedy which the suitor may possess against such immoveable property in another tribunal.

Cantonment Courts.

34. Detailed statements are also forwarded showing the work of the Small Cause Courts in Military Cantonments. It has been thought better to keep them distinct, for the sake of comparison. There is nothing particularly noticeable in the statements.

The Meerut and Nuseerabad Courts alone transact any considerable amount of business. In most of the Courts, half the suits instituted are on account of articles purchased at shops. The officers presiding over the Courts appear to dispose of the work that comes before them with sufficient promptness.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient Servant,
J. D. SANDFORD,
Officiating Registrar.

MONTHLY RETURN No. I.

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year*

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of	Instituted in the month of	Total under trial.	Disposed of in: *Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted, withdrawn.	Total.	Pending at the close of	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Captain C. R. Orman, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Roorkee.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	16	16	2	9	...	1	...	4	16	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	6	6	1	5	...	...	...	...	6	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	3	155	158	26	49	...	20	22	39	156	2	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	39	39	3	5	...	7	4	20	39	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	49	49	5	3	...	17	11	13	49	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	14	14	2	3	...	2	2	5	14	...	
	VIII —For personal property or its value ...	...	12	12	1	3	...	3	1	4	12	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	8	8	...	1	...	3	3	1	8	...	
	Total ...	3	300	303	40	79	...	53	43	86	301	2	
Lieut. Cookson, Major Baugh, and Major Fortescue, Judges, Contt. Small Cause Court, Meerut.	I —For debts on bonds ...	3	116	119	44	38	1	21	2	13	119	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	127	127	47	16	2	34	5	23	127	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	6	6	1	...	...	2	2	1	6	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	5	660	665	264	88	17	127	35	128	659	6	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	3	91	94	10	14	5	43	6	15	93	1	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	109	110	12	12	...	32	30	12	98	12	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	62	62	16	10	3	16	2	14	61	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	2	70	72	12	8	6	23	1	21	71	1	
	IX.—For damages ...	4	6	10	1	1	...	5	...	2	9	1	
	Total ...	18	1,247	1,265	407	187	34	303	83	229	1,243	22	
Lieut.-Col. R. Unwin, Contt. Small Cause Court Judge, Bareilly.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	11	11	...	8	...	2	...	1	11	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	87	88	3	55	1	12	2	15	88	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	21	21	...	6	...	14	1	...	21	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	18	19	...	4	...	15	...	...	19	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	17	17	1	4	...	12	...	...	17	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	11	11	4	4	...	2	1	...	11	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	2	168	170	8	83	1	58	4	16	170	...	

MONTHLY RETURN No. I.—(*Continued.*)

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year*

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of	Instituted in the month of	Total under trial.	Disposed of in							Pending at the close of	Remarks.
					Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted, withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Major J. J. Gibbs, Judge, Cantt. Small Cause Court, Shahjehanpore.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	14	14	1	8	1	2	...	2	14	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	4	4	...	1	...	1	1	...	3	1	
	IV.—For shops debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	61	62	10	39	4	5	...	4	62	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	35	35	3	12	2	12	...	6	35	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	10	10	2	1	...	5	1	1	10	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	6	6	...	1	...	4	...	1	6	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	4	24	28	2	11	1	7	2	5	28	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	5	154	159	18	73	8	36	4	19	158	1	
Major C. A. Showers, Judge, Cantt. Small Cause Court, Agra.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	35	35	9	15	...	5	4	1	34	1	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	138	139	63	24	...	34	13	5	139	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	40	40	8	8	...	7	8	7	38	2	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	52	53	11	5	...	24	10	1	51	2	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	18	18	10	8	...	2	2	1	18	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	18	18	4	1	...	4	4	5	18	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	
	Total ...	2	303	305	105	56	...	76	41	22	300	5	
Major G. F. Carnegie, Judge, Cantt. Small Cause Court, Futtehgarh.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	1	13	14	2	3	1	3	2	3	14	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	4	4	...	...	1	1	2	...	4	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	20	20	2	6	1	3	5	3	20	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	9	9	...	...	...	3	1	5	9	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	13	13	1	6	1	1	2	2	13	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	32	32	4	10	1	3	7	7	32	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	..	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	2	...	
	Total ...	1	93	94	9	25	7	14	19	20	94	...	

MONTHLY RETURN No. I.—(*Continued.*)

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year*

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of	Instituted in the month of	Total under trial.	Disposed of in: *Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted, withdrawn.	Total.	Pending at the close of	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Lieut. H. B. Sanderson, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Cawnpore.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	9	9	1	4	...	3	...	1	9	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	34	35	4	8	...	15	2	5	34	1	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	18	18	...	1	...	12	...	5	18	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	39	40	6	1	...	22	2	9	40	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	5	5	...	...	...	3	...	1	4	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	8	8	1	...	...	4	1	2	8	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	
	Total ...	2	116	118	12	15	...	61	5	23	116	2	
Major F. H. Hausner, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Allahabad.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	4	4	...	3	...	1	...	...	4	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	7	7	2	1	...	2	1	1	7	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	2	84	86	12	22	...	36	1	15	86	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	5	158	163	74	23	...	23	9	34	163	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	
	Total ...	7	256	263	88	49	...	65	11	50	263	...	
Major F. B. N. Forteseue, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Benares.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	7	7	...	7	...	...	...	...	7	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	42	42	10	17	...	13	1	1	42	...	
	V.—For money claims unsunported by any written documents...	2	14	16	2	3	1	10	...	...	16	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	1	6	7	...	1	1	5	...	...	7	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	5	5	1	1	...	3	...	...	5	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	10	10	1	1	...	6	1	1	10	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	5	5	1	...	...	3	1	...	5	...	
	Total ...	3	89	92	15	30	2	40	3	2	92	...	

MONTHLY RETURN No. I.—(*Concluded.*)

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year*

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of	Instituted in the month of	Total under trial.	Disposed of in: *Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted, withdrawn.	Total.	Pending at the close of	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Captain G. Wheeler, Judge, Cantt. Small Cause Court, Jhansie.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	11	11	1	5	...	4	...	1	11	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	1	18	19	...	10	2	3	...	4	19	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	82	82	6	33	2	14	4	21	80	2	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	24	24	2	2	1	7	6	6	24	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	8	8	1	4	...	...	2	1	8	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	14	14	...	...	2	...	3	8	13	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	14	14	...	3	...	5	1	4	13	1	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	...	...	1	2	...	
	Total ...	1	174	175	10	58	7	33	16	47	171	4	
Lieut. C. Shuttleworth, Judge, Cantt. Small Cause Court, Nusseerabad.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	...	304	304	23	138	17	62	18	34	292	12	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	...	583	583	59	199	37	128	45	61	529	54	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	...	...	1	2	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	180	180	19	58	16	41	19	25	178	2	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents...	...	250	250	24	52	16	80	26	40	238	12	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	31	31	2	2	4	16	1	6	31	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	34	34	4	2	5	13	3	3	30	4	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	5	5	...	...	3	1	1	...	5	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	...	10	10	...	...	2	3	...	5	10	...	
	Total ...	...	1,399	1,399	131	452	100	344	113	175	1,315	84	
	Grand Total ...	44	4,299	4,343	843	1,107	159	1,083	342	689	4,223	120	

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, N. W. P.:
Dated Agra, the , 1867.

MONTHLY RETURN No. II.

RETURN *showing the number of decrees executed and unexecuted in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year* 1866.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
		Application received for execution.				DISPOSED OF.									*Balance cases pending executed.*		
						Application executed in full.				*Application executed in part.*							
Name and designation of Officer.	Number of cases pending at the close of the past year.	Cases pending during the current month.	Cases decided previously.	Cases involving immovable property transferred for execution to other Courts under Certificate granted to decree-holder under Section 2, Act XLII. of 1860.	Total for disposal after deduction of cases transferred in column 5.	Amount of decree realized in full by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in full by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in full after the passing of the decree.	Total number of applications executed in full.	Amount of decree realized partly by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized partly by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after the passing of the decree.	Total No. of applications executed in part.	Percentage of col. 10 to col. 6.	Cases decided during the current month.	Cases decided previously.	REMARKS.
Captain E. C. Orman, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Roorkee	2	128	...	...	130	48	2	27	77	24	1	11	36	59·	16	1	
Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Meerut,	49	11	522	32	550	63	176	78	317	15	3	12	30	57·	1	202	
Ditto ditto, Bareilly ...	1	77	37	1	114	7	16	66	89	2	...	23	25	78·	...	...	
Ditto ditto, Shahjehanpore ...	5	32	37	9	65	16	3	13	32	28	2	1	31	49·	...	2	
Ditto ditto, Agra ...	1	160	18	4	179	28	39	46	113	14	44	7	65	63·	1	...	
Ditto ditto, Futtehgurh ...	...	17	...	...	17	6	...	6	12	5	...	...	5	70·	...	...	
Ditto ditto, Cawnpore ...	...	22	17	2	39	1	2	29	32	...	...	7	7	90·	...	...	
Ditto ditto, Allahabad ...	24	42	44	3	107	45	23	9	77	6	22	2	30	72·	...	...	
Ditto ditto, Benares ...	2	44	19	...	65	5	21	15	41	1	4	2	7	62·	...	...	17 cases dismissed.
Ditto ditto, Jhansie ...	2	16	59	1	76	...	2	20	22	3	7	42	52	29·	...	1	
Ditto ditto, Nusseerabad ...	...	583	...	7	576	46	79	143	268	39	79	129	247	47·	61	...	
Total ...	86	1,132	753	59	1,908	265	363	452	1,080	137	162	236	535	51·	79	206	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Offg. Registrar, High Court, N. W. P.

MONTHLY RETURN No. III.

RETURN *showing the average duration of suits in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year* 1866.

Name and designation of Officer.	1866.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Captain C. E. Orman, Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Roorkee	...	...	4
Judge, Cantonment Small Cause Court, Meerut	...	...	8
Ditto ditto, Bareilly	...	...	2
Ditto ditto, Shahjehanpore	...	...	6
Ditto ditto, Agra	...	...	6
Ditto ditto, Futtehgurh	...	...	3
Ditto ditto, Cawnpore	...	...	7
Ditto ditto, Allahabad	...	...	1
Ditto ditto, Benares	...	...	5
Ditto ditto, Jhansie	...	...	7
Ditto ditto, Nusseerabad	..	...	11
Average ...	...	...	5 Days.

MONTHLY RETURN No. IV.

RETURN *showing the value of stamps sold, penalties imposed, fines realized, and Surplus Tulubana accruing, together with costs of Courts and Establishment and balance and profit or loss accruing in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces, during the year* 1866.

Name of District.	PRECEPTS.						Costs of Small Cause Court, including salary of Judge and Establishment.	Net Profit.	Net Loss.	REMARKS.
	Ordinary Stamp Receipts.			Amount of Stamps penalties realized, *vide* Register No. 4.	Amount of fines realized, Register No. 10.	Amount of Surplus Tulubana, Register No. 6.				
	Aggregate value of Stamps sold.	Amount ordered to be refunded, Razeenamah.	Net Total.							
Roorkee	466 2 0	20 0 0	446 2 0	10 8 0	26 4 0	253 5 0	80 1 0	656 3 0	...	
Meerut	1,126 2 0	56 0 0	1,070 2 0	8 14 0	...	903 13 6	819 5 6	1,163 8 0	...	
Bareilly	244 0 0	...	244 0 0	35 0 0	...	154 6 0	154 6 0	279 0 0	...	
Shahjehanpore	73 6 0	...	73 6 0	...	...	5 7 0	140 4 0	...	61 7 0	
Agra	600 8 0	11 0 0	589 8 0	8 0 0	...	...	337 12 10	209 11 2	...	
Futtehgurh	73 12 0	...	73 12 0	...	...	...	...	73 12 0	...	
Cawnpore	183 0 0	...	183 0 0	...	...	232 6 0	273 0 0	142 6 0	...	
Allahabad	265 12 0	3 8 0	262 4 0	...	...	278 3 0	278 3 0	262 4 0	...	
Benares	127 8 0	...	127 8 0	...	...	67 4 0	67 4 0	127 8 0	...	
Jhansie	131 10 0	10 4 0	121 6 0	...	...	124 5 0	300 0 0	...	54 5 0	
Nusseerabad	912 10 0	...	912 10 0	...	...	1,011 4 0	781 15 10	1,141 14 2	...	
Total ...	4,204 6 0	100 12 0	4,103 10 0	62 6 0	26 4 0	3,030 5 6	3,282 4 2	4,056 1 4	115 12 0	Net gain ... Rs. As. P. 3,940 5 4

MONTHLY RETURN No. V.

RETURN *showing the number and result of the applications preferred for a new trial under Section 12, Act XLII. of 1860, in the Cantonment Small Cause Courts of the North-Western Provinces during the year 1866.*

Name of District.	MANNER IN WHICH DISPOSED OF.														Remarks.
	Pending trial at the close of last month.		Presented during the current month.		Total for disposal.		*Application struck off or rejected, being entered in Register No. 1 for trial.*		Application admitted for trial.						
									Cases in which former judgment was reversed or modified.		*Cases in which former judgment was modified.*		*Cases pending trial at the close of the month*		
	By Plaintiff.	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	*By Plaintiff.*	*By Defendant.*	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Roorkee	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	
Meerut	...	1	...	5	...	6	...	...	...	1	...	5	...	...	
Bareilly	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Shahjehanpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Agra	...	...	3	2	3	2	...	...	1	3	1	...	...	...	
Futtehgurh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...	
Cawnpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Benares	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Jhansie	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nusseerabad	...	...	3	1	3	1	1	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	
Total ...	...	1	7	11	7	12	2	...	2	6	1	7	1	...	

ANNUAL RETURN No. I.

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts, Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1866.

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of previous year.	Instituted in the year 1866.	Total under trial.	Disposed of in the year 1866.							Pending at the close of 1866.	Remarks.
					Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Babgo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge, Small Causes Court, Benares.	I.—For debts on bonds	9	1,226	1,235	323	491	8	277	37	85	1,221	14	
	II.—For debts on book accounts	2	182	184	37	47	2	66	10	20	182	2	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	15	15	1	4	...	9	...	1	15	...	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	5	336	341	89	70	7	96	16	60	338	3	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by written documents ...	1	140	141	15	25	3	70	11	14	138	3	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	83	83	14	5	2	48	1	13	83	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	1	122	123	29	29	...	37	7	20	122	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	1	291	292	41	68	5	121	17	40	292	...	
	IX.—For damages ...	1	64	65	6	4	...	46	1	7	64	1	
	Total ...	20	2,459	2,479	555	743	27	770	100	260	2,455	24	
W. Kaye, Esquire, Judge, Small Causes Court, Allahabad.	I.—For debts on bonds ...	19	505	524	101	282	..	70	10	47	510	14	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts ...	3	204	207	37	70	5	53	5	34	204	3	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	9	302	311	82	88	...	83	7	46	306	5	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	1	181	182	46	48	1	46	3	33	177	5	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents ...	...	248	248	23	35	5	133	11	38	245	3	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	2	146	148	26	7	1	95	6	13	148	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	89	89	9	22	...	32	2	24	89	...	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	10	10	...	...	1	6	...	...	7	3	
	IX.—For damages ...	2	59	61	8	9	1	33	2	7	60	1	
	Total ...	36	1,744	1,780	332	561	14	551	46	242	1,746	34	

ANNUAL RETURN No. I.—(*Continued.*)

STATEMENT *of all cases pending, instituted, decided, and remaining for trial in the Small Cause Courts, Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1866.

Name of presiding Judge.	Description of suits.	Pending at the close of previous year.	Instituted in the year 1866.	Total under trial.	Disposed of in the year 1866.							Pending at the close of 1866.	Remarks.
					Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	By decision on on merits.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	Total.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Judge, Small Cause Court Agra.	I.—For debts on bonds	24	1,001	1,025	136	513	7	197	26	131	1,010	15	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts	5	199	204	23	71	2	42	3	62	202	2	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	21	138	159	22	45	...	65	2	21	155	4	
	IV.—For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	14	143	157	14	42	1	59	5	33	154	3	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents ...	3	264	267	14	68	2	94	19	67	264	3	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	8	99	107	4	2	1	72	3	22	104	3	
	VII —For rent ...	1	90	91	34	34	...	32	5	15	90	1	
	VIII.—For personal property or its value ...	...	202	202	11	39	1	101	10	37	199	3	
	IX.—For damages ...	1	26	27	...	3	...	17	3	4	27	...	
	Total ...	77	2,162	2,239	227	817	14	679	76	392	2,205	34	
A. Sells, Esquire, Judge, Small Cause Court, Dehra Doon.	I.—For debts on bonds	...	70	70	6	31	...	20	2	11	70	...	
	II.—For debts on book-accounts	...	96	96	13	32	3	25	6	17	96	...	
	III.—For money due on written contract ...	...	36	36	13	3	1	12	1	5	35	1	
	VI —For shop debts, *i. e.*, on account of articles purchased at shops or stores ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	...	...	...	5	...	
	V.—For money claims unsupported by any written documents ...	...	41	41	3	4	...	21	1	12	41	...	
	VI.—Suits for wages ...	...	59	59	8	3	...	34	5	9	59	...	
	VII.—For rent ...	...	15	15	1	1	...	4	2	7	15	...	
	VIII —For personal property or its value ...	...	55	55	7	9	1	24	3	11	55	...	
	IX —For damages ...	...	10	10	...	...	...	9	...	1	10	...	
	Total ...	...	387	387	54	85	5	149	20	73	386	1	
	GRAND TOTAL ...	133	6,752	6,885	1,168	2,206	60	2,149	242	967	6,792	93	

ANNUAL RETURN No. II.

RETURN *showing the number of decrees executed and unexecuted in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year* 1866.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
		Application received for execution.				DISPOSED OF.									*Balance, cases pending execution.*		
						Application executed in full.				*Application executed in part.*							
Name and designation of Officer.	Number of cases pending at the close of the past year.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	Cases involving immoveable property or transferred for execution to other Courts under certificate granted to decree-holder under Section 20, Act XI. of 1865.	Total for disposal after deduction of cases transferred in Column 5.	Amount of decree realized in full by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in full by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in full after the passing of the decree.	Total No. of applications executed in full.	Amount of decree realized in part by attachment and sale of personal property.	Amount of decree realized in part by arrest of party.	Paid voluntarily in part after the passing of the decree.	Total No. of applications executed in part.	Percentage of Column 10 to Column 6.	Cases decided during the current year.	Cases decided previously.	REMARKS.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge, Small Cause Court, Benares	166	823	1,454	371	2,072	6	140	487	633	68	57	218	343	31	26	169	901 struck off.
Mr. W. Kaye, Ditto Allahabad ...	109	543	1,082	177	1,557	184	99	249	532	163	34	181	378	34	13	74	560 struck off; 34 money paid before judgment.
Mr. V. Berkeley, Ditto Agra ...	199	635	973	197	1,610	63	122	156	341	83	76	78	237	21	78	83	366 struck off.
Mr. A. Sells, Ditto Dehra Dhoon ...	2	101	65	11	157	8	53	14	75	17	18	2	37	47	13	1	31 dismissed.
Total ...	476	2,102	3,574	756	5,396	261	414	906	1,581	331	185	479	995	29	130	332	2,358 struck off.

ANNUAL RETURN No. III.

RETURN *showing the average duration of suits in the Small Cause Courts, Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Doon, during the year* 1866.

Name and designation of Officer.	1866.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.
Baboo Sham Cahurn Banerjee, Small Cause Court, Benares	...	...	7
W. Kaye, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Allahabad	...	...	7
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Agra	...	...	19
A. Sells, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Dehra Dhoon	...	...	3
Average ...	...	...	9

ANNUAL RETURN No. IV.

RETURN *showing the value of stamps sold, penalties imposed, fines realized, and Surplus Tulubana accruing, together with costs of Courts and Establishment and balance profit or loss accruing in the Small Cause Courts of Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Doon, for the year* 1866.

Name and designation of Officer.	PRECEPT.						Cost of Small Cause Court, including salary of Judge and Establishment.	Net profit.	Net loss.	REMARKS.
	Ordinary Stamp Receipts.			Amount of stamps penalties realized, *vide* Register No. 4.	Amount of fines realized, Register No. 10.	Amount of Surplus Tulubana, Register No. 6.				
	Aggregate value of stamps filed.	Amount ordered to be refunded, Rasseenamah.	Net total.							
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge, Small Cause Court, Benares	14,527 8 0	98 8 0	14,429 0 0	147 3 0	18 6 0	2,782 9 0	14,088 12 8	3,288 5 4	...	
Mr. W. Kaye, Ditto, Allahabad ...	10,083 0 0	383 8 0	9 699 8 0	71 6 0	17 0 0	1,775 11 10	18,167 7 6	...	6,603 13 8	
„ P. Berkeley, Ditto, Agra	13,339 13 0	388 8 0	12,951 5 0	79 10 0	34 11 0	1,326 9 8	12,803 12 10	1,488 6 10	...	
„ A. Sells, Ditto, Dehra Dhoon ...	1,955 8 0	127 0 0	1,828 8 0	33 9 0	31 11 0	219 11 10	960 0 0	1,153 7 10	...	
Total ...	39,905 13 0	997 8 0	38,908 5 0	331 12 0	101 12 0	6,004 10 4	46,020 1 0	5,930 4 0	6,603 13 8	Net loss, Rs. 673-9-8

ANNUAL RETURN NO. V.

RETURN *showing the number and result of applications preferred for a new trial under Section 12, Act XLII. of 1860, in Small Cause Courts, Benares, Allahabad, Agra, and Dehra Dhoon, during the year 1866.*

Name and designation of Officer.	Application and cases for disposal.						Manner in which disposed of.								Remarks.
							Application struck off or rejected, being entered in Register No. 1 for trial.		Application admitted for trial.						
									Cases in which former judgment was maintained.		Cases in which former judgment was reversed or modified.		Cases pending trial at the close of the year.		
	Pending trial at the close of last year.		Presented during the current year.		Total for disposal.										
	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	By Plaintiff.	By Defendant.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.
Baboo Shama Churn Banerjee, Judge, Small Cause Court, Benares	2	...	22	8	24	8	22	3	2	2	...	3	...	...	
W. Kaye, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Allahabad ...	...	...	11	12	11	12	10	7	1	...	...	5	...	...	
V. Berkeley, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Agra ...	64	20	89	47	153	67	99	49	9	3	28	9	17	6	
A. Sell, Esquire, Ditto ditto, Dehra Dhoon ...	...	...	6	7	6	7	4	6	1	...	1	1	...	...	
Total ...	66	20	128	74	194	94	135	65	13	5	29	18	17	6	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Off. Registrar High Court, N. W. P.

No. 145A.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces,

To

J. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Registrar, High Court.

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 22ND MAY, 1867.

SIR,

I HAVE received and laid before His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor your letter, No. 670, dated the 1st ultimo, with annexures, being the Report on the working of the Small Cause Courts in these Provinces for the year 1866.

2. It is observed that of the total increase (542) in the number of cases instituted in the four Courts at Agra, Allahabad, Benares, and Dehra Doon, the largest amount (375) has been in the Agra Court, in which there was a diminution of 250 cases in the previous year.

3. His Honor considers that the small proportion of the suits for debts on bond to the total number instituted in the Allahabad Court, as compared with the proportions in the Benares and Agra Courts, results a good deal from the fact that the parties to suits at Allahabad are, as a rule, of a different class from those at Benares and Agra. For a similar reason, suits for money due on written contract bear always a higher proportion in the Allahabad Court than in the others.

4. It is probable that the long duration of suits in the Agra Court is also partly owing to the large number of cases (77) left undecided in that Court at the end of the previous year, some of which had been long pending.

5. With reference to paragraphs 18 to 32 of the Report, and the several proposals therein contained, I am desired to state that, while the Lieutenant-Governor is disposed to concur in the opinion of the majority of the Court that the Small Cause Courts have proved very useful, and that justice has been speedily and efficiently administered, as also in the propriety of still further extending their usefulness, the subject requires careful and separate consideration; and the High Court are therefore requested to report specially on it, submitting distinct proposals, and forwarding at the same time the Minute by Mr. Justice Turner referred to in paragraph 25, and any other papers connected with this question that may be on record.

6. The returns on the whole show favorably for all the Courts, though less so for Agra than for the others; and His Honor is of opinion that the several Judges are deserving of credit for their exertions during the past year.

7. The statements showing the work of the Small Cause Courts in Military Cantonments call for no remark.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. SIMSON,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces.

APPENDIX.

NUMERICAL RETURNS ILLUSTRATIVE OF THE RESULTS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE IN THE SEVERAL ZILLAHS OF THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES DURING THE YEAR 1866.

ZILLAH ALLAHABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total Pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Messrs. G. P. Money and G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B., Judges ...	389	389	215	229	262	287	...	...	115	118
Mr. W. Kaye, Judge, Small Cause Court, with powers of a Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	13	...	...	9	13	...	...	...	...
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan and Mr. A. W. Wollaston, Principal Sudder Ameens ...	487	462	543	586	505	550	...	...	87	109
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of city Allahabad ...	1,867	2,232	1,600	1,812	1,885	2,222	...	...	118	99
Total ...	2,743	3,096	2,358	2,627	2,661	3,072	...	...	320	326
Increase ...	...	353	...	...	303	445	...	...	...	6
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year 1866.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges ...	Messrs. G. P. Money and G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B. ...	7	...	2	12	11	255	287	90	
Judge, Small Cause Court, with powers of a Principal Sudder Ameen.	Mr. W. Kaye ...	2	2	...	2	2	5	13	38	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan and Mr. A. W. Wollaston ...	89	106	7	6	32	310	550	56	
Moonsiff of city Allahabad.	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom ...	557	696	54	59	224	632	2,222	28	
	Total ...	655	804	63	79	269	1,202	3,072	40	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Messrs. G. P. Money and G. H M. Ricketts, C. B., Judges ...	195	133	155	164	127	131	...	...	29	21
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan and Mr. A. W. Wollaston, Principal Sudder Ameens ...	992	988	478	1,252	448	978	1	...	237	260
Mr. W. Kaye, Judge, Small Cause Court ...	38	44	12	122	6	41	...	...	7	10
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of city Allahabad ...	2,316	2,569	1,072	2,593	1,185	2,579	...	...	558	552
Total ...	3,541	3,734	1,717	4,131	1,766	3,729	1	...	831	843
Increase ...	...	193	...	...	49	...	...	...	...	12
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	402	1	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

	Number of applications for execution of decrees.				Per-centage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	949	433	1,924	3,306	29·
1866 ...	1,072	513	1,411	2,996	36·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Syud Nasir Ali Khan, Deputy Collector ...	22	33	54	31	15	7	53	1	28·	134	24·
Moulvie Wajid Ali, Ditto ...	3	3	6	3	...	1	4	2	...	20	15.
Sheikh Seraj-ood-deen Ahmud, Ditto ...	6	4	10	6	3	1	10	...	30·	53	7·
Mahomed Ghazee-ood-deen Khan, Ditto ...	13	24	37	11	11	7	29	8	38·	184	12·
Mirza Kurreem Beg, Ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	62	...
Mr G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B., Collector ...	2	9	11	8	3	...	11	...	27	17	53·
Syud Mudud Ali, Deputy Collector ...	4	7	11	3	2	1	6	5	33·	120	6·
Mr. E. B. Thornhill, Ditto	...	3	3	...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...
Mr. G. Dale, Ditto	8	16	24	12	1	8	21	3	5·	68	24·
Mr. E. Macnaghten, Officiating Collector ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Mr. G. Pasley, Deputy Collector ...	...	1	1	0	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
Mr. J. Sladen, Ditto ...	...	15	15	9	2	1	12	3	17·	27	55·
Syud Abdool Hossein, Ditto ...	...	13	13	7	...	7	8	5	...	27	48·
Mr. J. Bickers, Ditto ...	...	12	12	3	1	2	6	6	17·	38	31·
Moonshee Umbica Pershad, Ditto ...	...	3	3	1	...	...	1	2	...	14	21·
Mahomed Zumeer-ood-deen Ahmed, Ditto ...	...	4	4	1	...	...	1	3	...	81	5
Moonshee Koonj Beharee Lall, Ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	59	4·
Syud Koorban Ali, Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...
Mr. T. A. Brown, Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100.
Allabd Hossein, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	...	...	70	...
Sheikh Abdoollah, Ditto ...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	29	...
Total ...	60	150	210	97	38	32	167	43	23·	1,004	16·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court, and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Court of the Judge of Allahabad...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Allahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Court of the Moonsiff of city Allahabad ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	3	3	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.		1865.			1866.			Remarks.
		Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
Messrs. G. P. Money and G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B., Judges	Civil appeals ...	...	4	23	...	3	27	
	Revenue Appeals...	...	5	3	...	4	2	
	Original suits ...	...	2	10	...	1	28	
Mr. W. Kaye, Judge, Small Cause Court, with powers of a Principal Sudder Ameen ...		...	...	...	...	...	21	
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali and Mr. A. W. Wollaston, Principal Sudder Ameens.	Appeals ...	...	1	6	...	1	4	
	Original suits ...	...	1	8	...	1	5½	
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of City Allahabad ...		...	...	25	...	...	25	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance (column 5) to total cases decided (column 7).
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Messrs. G. P. Money and G. H. M. Ricketts, C. B., Judges	20	19	41	80	207	287	28·
Mr. W. Kaye, Judge, Small Cause Court, with powers of a Principal Sudder Ameen	4	...	7	11	2	13	85·
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan and Mr. A. W. Wollaston, Principal Sudder Ameens ...	132	97	208	437	113	550	79·
Mahomed Abdool Qyoom, Moonsiff of city Allahabad,	593	728	711	2,032	190	2,222	91·
Total ...	749	844	967	2,560	512	3,072	83·

Operations of Sections 243, 244 *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs Alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year 1866.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total of columns 3 and 5.	Total of columns 4 and 6.	Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Allahabad.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	1	51	...	...	1	51	6	205	1	10	7	215	
	Moonsiff of City Allahabad's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47	...	9	...	56	
	Total ...	1	51	...	...	1	51	6	252	1	19	7	271	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Names of the Principal Sudder Ameens.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in the past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original suits in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
Moulvie Khoorsheid Ali Khan ...	26	13	44·	29	59	1·5½	79·	
Mr. A. W. Wollaston ...	10	3	...	...	55	...		

Moonsiff's work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
City Allahabad ...	Mahomed Abdool Qyoom ...	99	14·	28·	16	25	91·	

Income of Stamps, and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	*Average value of stamps filed.* Value of stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Subordinate Civil Judges' Salaries and Establishments, including Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	12,779 8 0	...	944 0 0	11,835 8 0	30,196 14 6	...	18,361 6 6	
Principal Sudder Ameen	14,522 15 0	54 0 0	520 0 0	14,056 15 0	6,600 0 0	7,456 15 0	...	
Moonsiff of Southern Division	5,716 10 0	19 14 0	144 0 0	5,592 8 0	3,016 3 1	2,576 4 11	...	
Ditto of Northern Division	6,578 8 0	134 5 0	133 8 0	6,579 5 0	2,640 0 0	3,939 5 0	...	
Ditto of Kaimganj	6,502 3 0	57 12 0	54 0 0	6,505 15 0	2,640 0 0	3,865 15 0	...	
Ditto of Chubramow	6,027 8 0	55 4 0	127 8 0	5,955 4 0	2,640 0 0	3,315 4 0	...	
Ditto of Kunouj	5,396 8 0	44 8 0	58 0 0	5,383 0 0	3,360 0 0	2,023 0 0	...	
Total	57,523 12 0	365 11 0	1,981 0 0	55,908 7 0	51,093 1 7	23,176 11 11	18,361 6 6	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Officiating Registrar.

ZILLAH AGRA.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1867.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	672	811	571	641	327	374	...	2	84	90
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	5	8	8	11	...	1	7	16
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	449	399	738	789	785	809	...	...	81	88
Sudder Ameen ...	...	95	...	...	90	101	...	...	...	7
Moonsiffs ...	2,588	3,196	2,201	2,655	2,584	3,157	...	...	96	116
Total ...	3,709	4,501	3,515	4,093	3,794	4,452	...	3	268	317
Increase ...	...	792	...	...	279	359	...	3	...	49
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

No. 2.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge	Mr. W. S. Paterson ...	4	1	1	34	13	321	374	86	
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	" V. Berkeley ... " A. W. Wollaston ...	...	...	...	3	...	8	11	73	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Mahomed Buksh ...	38	4	...	10	14	743	809	92	
Sudder Ameen ...	Abdool Ruhman Khan ...	13	13	4	1	10	60	101	60	
Moonsiff of Muttra ...	Ditto ...	62	153	16	48	71	191	541	35	
Do. of Futtehabad,	Nussur-oollah Khan ...	86	152	31	88	56	488	901	54	
Do. of Julleysur ...	Gopal Sahaee ...	82	161	2	55	99	334	733	45	
Do. of Keraollee ...	Ram Lall ...	159	242	22	32	124	403	982	41	
	Total ...	444	726	76	271	387	2,548	4,452	57	

Miscellaneous Files.

No. 3.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st Jan., 1867.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	290	387	261	293	185	205	...	...	27	72
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	73	123	31	113	68	172	...	...	74	108
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	961	992	471	1,052	484	936	...	...	217	272
Sudder Ameen	...	221	...	...	117	190	...	...	...	80
Moonsiffs	6,083	7,003	3,129	8,059	3,505	6,891	...	...	1,313	1,423
Total ...	7,407	8,726	3,892	9,517	4,359	8,394	...	...	1,631	1,955
Increase ...	...	1,319	...	...	467	...	...	...	...	324
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	1,123	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

No. 4.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of decree.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every year.	
1865	1,338	781	4,803	6,922	19
1866	1,749	935	3,123	5,807	30

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

No. 5.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Agra.											
Mr. C. W. Moore, Officiating Collector ...	...	6	6	4	2	...	6	...	33	6	100
„ A. Sells, Assistant Collector ...	1	20	21	8	8	3	19	2	42	130	15
„ W. Kaye, ditto ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	4	50
„ H. C. Barstow, ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
„ T. A. Brown, Deputy Collector ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	25	4
Chowbey Dhunput Rai, ditto ...	3	25	28	14	9	1	24	4	37	232	11
Mahomed Hussun, ditto ...	...	4	4	2	1	1	4	...	25	6	67
Ikram Ali, ditto ...	...	27	27	5	17	3	25	2	68	89	30
Irshad Ali, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100	55	4
Nujm-ood-deen Hyder, ditto ...	...	9	9	1	2	6	9	...	22	50	18
Total ...	4	97	101	35	42	15	92	9	46	597	16
Mutra.											
Mr. B. Harding, Collector ...	1	6	7	3	2	2	7	...	28	8	75
„ J. A. Loch, Assistant Collector ...	...	13	13	4	2	4	10	3	20	41	32
„ J. W. Twigg, ditto ...	1	4	5	2	2	1	5	...	40	60	7
„ J. H. Fisher, ditto ...	...	8	8	3	3	1	7	1	43	11	73
„ J. Clarke, ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	6	17
Sullamut Rai, ditto ...	...	8	8	2	1	...	3	5	33	34	23
Mahomed Hossein, ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Gholam Kadir Khan, ditto ...	...	9	9	6	1	1	8	1	12	44	20
Pundit Mootee Lall, ditto ...	...	5	5	3	2	...	5	...	40	10	50
Bhujjun Lall, ditto ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100	43	5
Total ...	3	56	59	24	16	9	49	10	33	257	22
GRAND TOTAL ...	7	153	160	59	58	24	141	19	41	854	18

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

No. 6.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	6	3	3	Pending trial before the Magte. of Agra.
Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Muttra	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Futtehabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Julloysur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Keraollee	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	Result not yet known.
Total ...	1	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	7	3	3	

Average duration of Suits.

No. 7.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.			Remarks.
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	
							M. D.
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge ...	...	3	14	...	2	2	Act X., 1 23
„ V. Berkeley, Judge of the Small Cause Court, in capacity of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	5	28	...	5	28	R. appeals, 2 9
Mahomed Bukeh, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	19	...	1	17	
Abdool Ruhman Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	1	7	
Ditto, Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	...	25	...	...	24	
Nussur-oollah Khan, ditto of Futtehabad,	...	...	24	...	...	25	
Gopal Sahaee, Moonsiff of Julloysur ...	...	1	4	...	1	6	
Ram Lall, Moonsiff of Keraollee ...	...	...	24	...	...	26	

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

No. 8.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. W. S. Paterson, Judge	8	3	2	13	361	374	4
„ V. Berkeley, „ A. Wellaston, Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen ...	3	...	4	7	4	11	64
Mahomed Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen,	140	122	376	638	171	809	79
Abdool Rahman Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	13	21	62	96	5	101	95
Ditto Moonsiff of Muttra	62	203	209	474	67	541	88
Nussur-oollah Khan, ditto of Futtehabad,	28	167	491	686	215	901	76
Gopal Suhaee, ditto of Julleysur,	87	90	408	585	148	733	80
Ram Lall, ditto of Keraollee,	136	139	566	841	141	982	86
Total ...	477	745	2,118	3,340	1,112	4,452	75

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

No. 9.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By Order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Agra.	Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Judge of Small Court as Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	...	...	12	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	11	...	11	2	18	...	...	2	18	
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Moonsiff of Muttra ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	13	...	...	...	13	
	Do. of Futtehabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Do. of Julleysur ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Do. of Keraollee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...	2	...	22	
	Total ..	...	...	...	17	...	17	2	76	...	2	2	78	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

No. 10.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					Y. M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Buksh ...	30	35	54	38	0 1 10	1 17	79	Original suits, 88; Appeals, 70.
Judge of Small Cause Court as Principal Sudder Ameen,	1	...	43	50	1 4 0	5 28	64	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

No. 11.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of cases decided per mensem on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Abdool Ruhman Khan ...	28	44	36	1 29	1 0	89	

Moonsiffs' Work.

No. 12.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
						M. D.		
Futtehabad,	Nussur-oollah Khan,	50	28	36	17	0 25	76	
Julleysur ...	Gopal Sahaee ...	35	16	22	11	1 6	80	
Keraollee...	Ram Lall ...	49	38	36	23	0 26	86	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

No. 13.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	14,202 0 0	63 15 0	470 0 0	13,795 15 0	36,966 0 0	...	23,170 1 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen	33,152 0 0	286 4 0	525 0 0	32,913 4 0	6,600 0 0	26,313 4 0	...	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Muttra ...	9,361 5 0	108 15 0	175 0 0	9,295 4 0	4,200 0 0	5,095 4 0	...	
Moonsiff of Futtehabad	7,177 0 0	336 0 0	89 8 0	7,424 0 0	2,868 0 0	4,556 0 0	...	
Ditto of Julleysur	7,041 8 0	36 12 0	8 0 0	7,070 4 0	2,868 0 0	4,202 4 0	...	
Ditto of Kerseollee	8,818 10 0	210 6 0	195 0 0	8,834 0 0	2,868 0 0	5,966 0 0	...	
Total ...	79,752 7 0	1,042 4 0	1,462 0 0	79,332 11 0	56,370 0 0	46,132 12 0	23,170 1 0	Gain, 22,962 11 0

ZILLAH ALLYGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted		Disposed of				Pending on 1st January			
			1865.		1866.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	594	821	304	333	232	243	1	1	154	344
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	340	315	555	607	634	694	...	...	133	153
Moonsiffs ...	3,292	3,503	2,701	3,197	3,025	3,586	...	...	323	230
Total ...	4,226	4,639	3,560	4,137	3,891	4,523	1	1	610	727
Increase ...	...	413	...	...	331	386	...	...	...	117
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judges ...	W. J. Bramly, Esq.	...	...	...	4	1	87	92	95	
	J. H. Prinsep, Esq.	...	...	...	3	1	95	99	96	
	A. L. M. Phillipps, Esq.	...	...	...	1	1	50	52	96	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	48	36	11	10	50	539	694	78	
Moonsiff of Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmud ...	145	270	12	56	190	285	958	30	
Ditto of Hatrass,	Zakur Hossein ...	73	202	13	16	80	223	607	37	
Ditto of Akrabad.	Rughnath Sahoy ...	206	204	17	2	74	283	786	36	
Ditto of Khyr,	Abid Ali Beg ...	30	77	10	6	22	84	229	37	
Ditto of Kasgunge.	Nizam Ali Khan ...	142	157	31	7	108	561	1,006	56	
	Total ...	644	946	94	105	527	2,207	4,523	49	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st Jany.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	86	104	96	111	92	98	...	...	26	48
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	896	893	613	1,111	545	930	...	...	190	171
Moonsiffs ...	7,839	8,598	4,492	10,855	4,444	8,580	...	...	887	957
Total ...	8,821	9,595	5,201	12,077	5,081	9,608	...	...	1,103	1,176
Increase ...	...	774	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	73
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	120	2,469	...	...	...	...

Execution o Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,411	2,562	4,548	8,521	17·
1866 ...	1,396	1,743	2,987	6,126	23·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

	Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at close of last year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Decided.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Courts to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
					Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Allygurh.	Mr. C. Mellor, Deputy Collector ...	6	20	26	12	2	...	14	12	14·	18	..
	„ Patterson, Assistant Collector ...	1	16	17	2	2	1	5	12	40	58	28·
	„ Tidy, Ditto ditto ...	12	12	24	15	8	1	24	...	33	16	75·
	„ E. A. Cline, Deputy Collector ...	2	15	17	4	2	1	7	10	29	31	48·
	„ C. W. Kinloch, Ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...
	„ E. Macnaghten, Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	Rajah Jykishen Dass, Ditto ...	12	57	69	20	10	2	32	17	31	116	49·
	Mungul Sein, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
	Goorsurrun Dass, Tehseeldar, Coel ...	4	75	79	11	10	2	23	56	43	117	64·
	Syud Mahomed, Ditto Secundra Rao,	5	44	49	4	11	1	16	33	69	52	85·
	Ram Narain, Ditto Khyr ...	...	5	5	1	...	...	1	4	...	10	50·
	Ajoodhia Pershad, Ditto Hattrass, ...	...	14	14	...	1	...	1	13	100	67	21·
	Pirbhoo Lall, Ditto Atrowlee ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	8	12·
	Total ...	42	262	304	71	46	8	125	179	37	496	53·
Etah.	Mr. C. H. T. Crosthwaite, Dy. Collector, ...	...	6	6	...	...	...	...	6	...	13	46·
	„ Twigg, Assistant ditto ...	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	...	...
	„ W. M. Tidy, Ditto ditto ...	...	9	9	...	...	...	...	9	...	33	27·
	Dawar Ali, Deputy Collector ...	3	7	10	1	3	...	4	6	75	114	6·
	Umjud Ali Ditto ...	...	20	20	3	14	...	17	3	82	45	44·
	Gunga Pershad, Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	2	50·
	Balmokund, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
	Total ...	5	43	48	6	18	...	24	24	75	208	21·
	Grand Total ...	47	305	352	77	64	8	149	203	43	704	43·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Syud Ahmud Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	6	7	5	2	4	20	1	19	
Zakur Hossein, Moonsiff of Hatrass...	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	2	...	...	...	...	
Rughnath Sahoy, Ditto of Akrabad,	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Abid Ali Beg, Ditto of Khyr ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	8	...	8	
Nizam Ali Khan, Ditto of Kasgunge	...	...	...	...	1	4	...	4	1	2	...	2	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	10	15	5	9	7	30	1	29	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1865.						1866.					
		Civil cases.			*Cases under Act X. of* 1859.			*Civil cases.*			*Cases under Act X. of* 1859.		
		Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Judges ...	W. J. Bramly, Esquire ..	...	8	3	...	5	4	...	5	9	...	2	25
	J. H. Prinsep, Esquire ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	3	...	2	15
	A L. M. Phillipps, Esquire,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	4	...	6	13
	Total ...	...	8	3	...	5	4	...	6	15	...	3	27
Prin. Sud. Ameen ...	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	...	3	24	...	...	...	...	3	12	...	...	...
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmud,	...	1	18	...	...	...	...	1	12	...	...	...
Ditto of Hattrass	Zakur Hossein ...	...	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	...
Ditto of Akrabad	Rughnath Sahoy ...	...	...	21	...	...	...	...	1	7	...	...	...
Ditto of Khyr ...	Abid Ali Beg ...	...	...	29	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	...	...
Ditto of Kasgunge	Nizam Ali Khan ...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	11	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	2	13	...	5	4	...	4	4	...	3	2

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		In which defendant was personally before the Court.		In which both parties were personally before the Court.		Total cases of personal attendance.				
		Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judges ...	W. J. Bramly, Esquire,	1	...	6	...	6	...	13	...	79	92	14·
	J. H. Prinsep, Esquire,	11	...	3	1	5	...	19	1	79	99	20·
	A. L. M. Phillipps, Esq.,	2	...	2	...	...	...	4	...	48	52	8·
P. S. Ameen ...	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	94	34	75	32	193	111	362	177	155	694	78·
Moonsiff, Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmud,	22	106	106	41	318	181	446	328	184	958	81·
Ditto, Hatrass,	Zakur Hossein ...	9	79	15	43	107	281	131	403	73	607	88·
Ditto, Akrabad,	Rughnath Sahoy ...	1	168	195	199	31	61	227	428	131	786	83·
Ditto, Khyr ...	Abid Ali Beg ...	1	18	...	28	...	103	1	149	79	229	65·
Ditto, Kasgunge,	Nizam Ali Khan ...	101	...	223	20	515	...	839	20	147	1,006	85·
	Total ...	292	405	625	364	1,175	737	2,042	1,506	975	4,523	78·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs. Columns 3 and 5.	Shares of mouzahs. Columns 4 and 6.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Allygurh and Etah ...	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	P. S. Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...	13	...	63	
Allygurh ...	Moonsiff, Coel ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	8	...	...	...	8	
Ditto ...	Ditto, Hatrass ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	...	8	
Ditto ...	Ditto, Akrabad ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	...	9	...	...	...	9	
Ditto ...	Ditto, Khyr ...	...	2	...	...	...	2	...	24	...	6	...	30	
Etah ...	Ditto, Kasgunge,	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	24	...	...	...	24	
	Total ...	...	5	...	1	...	6	...	123	...	19	...	142	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total number of appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of appeals as well as of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeals.						
Syud Ahmud Khan,	20	29	61·	39·	M. 3	M. D. 3 12	86 Original 70 Appeals.	cases.

Income of Stamps and Cost of Subordinate Courts.

1. Court.	2. Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	3. Aggregate value of Stamps filed. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	4. Amount ordered to be refunded for Ruzeenamah.	5. Net Total.	6. Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	7. Net gain to Government.	8. Loss to Government.	9. Remarks.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	11,701 14 0	...	315 0 0	11,386 14 0	16,931 6 7	...	5,544 8 7	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	19,161 8 0	847 3 0	618 0 0	19,390 11 0	6,709 10 9	12,681 0 8	...	
Moonsiff of Coel ...	6,676 0 0	40 15 0	304 8 0	6,412 7 0	3,360 0 0	3,052 7 0	...	
Ditto of Hatrass ...	4,688 2 0	...	70 0 0	4,618 2 0	2,507 3 3	2,110 14 9	...	
Ditto of Akrabad ...	5,878 0 0	15 12 0	34 0 0	5,859 12 0	2,640 0 0	3,219 12 0	...	
Ditto of Khyr ...	2,636 8 0	23 10 0	49 0 0	2,611 2 0	2,640 0 0	...	28 14 0	
Ditto of Kasgunge ...	6 006 4 0	59 12 0	112 8 0	5,953 8 0	2,640 0 0	3,313 8 0	...	
Total ...	56,748 4 0	987 4 0	1,503 0 0	56,232 8 0	37,428 4 7	24,377 10 0	5,573 6 7	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Officiating Registrar.

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year at average rate of work performed in past year. M. D.	Average duration of suits. M. D.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
Coel ...	Hafiz-ood-deen Ahmud,	37	50·	43·	0 25	1 12	81·	
Hatrass ...	Zakur Hossein	26	26·	43·	0 4	0 13	88·	
Akrabad ...	Rughnath Sahoy	42	26·	35·	1 0	1 7	83·	
Khyr ...	Abid Ali Beg	10	47·	33·	0 15	0 23	65·	
Kasgunge ...	Nizam Ali Khan	61	12·	49·	3 26	1 11	85·	

ZILLAH AZIMGURH.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	772	997	570	627	592	654	...	...	66	17
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	77	89	490	517	461	542	1	...	50	87
Sudder Ameen	842	1,004	408	772	635	1,025	...	...	200	86
Moonsiffs	1,536	1,821	1,307	1,514	1,561	1,836	...	...	116	96
Total ...	3,227	3,911	2,775	3,430	3,249	4,057	1	...	432	286
Increase ...	...	684	...	...	474	627	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	146

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge's Court ...	A. Ross, Esquire...	...	1	3	12	47	591	654	90	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court.	Abdool Uzeez Khan ...	2	7	7	26	48	452	542	83	
Sudder Ameen's Court.	Mahomed Hossein	89	134	1	87	302	412	1,025	40	
Mahomdabad Moonsiffee.	Ahmud-ool-lah ...	85	143	13	16	102	672	1,031	65	
Nugra Moonsiffee,	Bhugwan Pershad,	66	92	8	57	79	503	805	62	
	Total ...	242	377	32	198	578	2,630	4,057	64	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1866.	1867.
Judge	398	391	325	393	304	378	...	...	110	116
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	413	495	262	472	249	461	...	...	160	200
Sudder Ameen	1,669	1,904	822	2,019	932	1,892	...	...	428	440
Moonsiffs	3,458	3,123	2,854	3,943	2,401	3,143	...	...	291	269
Total ...	5,938	5,913	4,263	6,827	3,886	5,874	...	...	989	1,025
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	36
Decrease ...	...	25	...	...	377	953	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,176	463	2,141	3,780	31·
1866 ...	1,310	391	1,630	3,331	40·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. C. J. H. Richardes, Collector ...	...	19	19	10	6	3	19	...	32·	20	95·
Mr. T. B. Tracy, Deputy Collector ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	...	...
Mr. E. S. Robertson, ditto ditto ...	...	67	67	43	18	6	67	..	27·	73	92·
Mr. J. C. Leupolt, Assistant ditto ...	3	51	54	24	25	5	54	...	46·	85	60·
Mr. J. W. Concanon, Deputy ditto ...	4	18	22	11	11	...	22	...	50·	64	28·
Mr. N. Parsick, ditto ...	...	15	15	15	...	...	15	...	...	55	28·
Ally Hossein, ditto, Pergunnah Nizamabad	...	25	25	19	5	1	25	...	20·	63	40·
Shunker Dyal Pandey, ditto, Pergunnah Mahoul	3	26	29	20	7	2	29	...	28·	28	93·
Mehdid-ool-zumma, ditto, Pergunnah Mahomdabad ...	1	2	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	23	9·
Gholam Russool Khan, ditto, Pergunnah Sugree	2	48	50	22	25	...	47	3	53·	48	100·
Total ...	13	273	286	166	100	17	283	3	35·	945	59·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court, and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Abdool Uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.			REMARKS.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.	
A. Ross, Esquire, Judge	...	1	29	...	1	15	Of appeals under Act X. of 1859 and Act XIV. of 1863.
A. Ross, Esquire, Judge	...	3	7	...	2	...	
Abdool Uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	3	7	...	2	8	
Mahomed Hossein Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	4	...	1	2	
Ahmud-ool-lah, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	...	1	5	...	...	25	
Bhugwan Pershad, Moonsiff of Nugra ...	...	1	4	...	...	27	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
A. Ross, Esquire, Judge	192	113	256	561	93	654	86·
Abdool Uzeez Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	15	7	499	521	21	542	96·
Mahomed Hossein Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	114	27	778	919	106	1,025	89·
Ahmud-oollah, Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	135	123	679	937	94	1,031	91·
Bugwan Pershad, Moonsiff of Nugra	91	24	615	730	75	805	91.
Total ...	547	294	2,827	3,668	389	4,057	90·

Operations of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.											
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*					
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
Azimgurh.	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	6
	Principal Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	7	...	2	...	9
	Sudder Ameen's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	12	...	20
	Mahomdabad Moonsiffee,	...	43	...	20	...	63	...	10	...	2	...	12
	Nugra Moonsiffee ...	...	108	...	...	...	108	...	13	...	...	...	13
	Total ...	...	152	...	20	...	172	...	44	...	16	...	60

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
					Yrs. M. D.	Yrs. M. D.		
Abdool Azeez Khan ...	10	28	81	32	0 1 27	0 2 8	96·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average No. of Regular cases decided on their merits per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	No. of days work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					Yrs. M. D.	Yrs. M. D.		
Mahomed Hossein Khan ...	34	...	63	36	0 1 0	0 1 2	89·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealable cases.	Number of days work left pending at close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.		
Mahomdabad ...	Ahmud-oollah ...	56	38	28	0 0 19	0 0 25	91·	
Nugra ...	Bhugwan Pershad ...	42	12	15	0 0 18	0 0 27	91·	

Financial results of Muzkooree and Tulubana Returns.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Names and grades of Ameens, with monthly salary.	Jurisdiction.	Amount of fees realized.	Total amount of salary.	Net gain to Government.	Amount refunded.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Doorga Churn, 1st Grade Ameen, Rs. 60. Kasheenath, 2nd Grade Ameen, Rs. 40. Shere Ally, 2nd Grade Ameen, Rs. 40.	Pergunnahs Nizamabad, Atrowlee, Telhun, Deoguon, Mahoul, and Cowreea. Pergunnahs Cheryakote, Mahomdabad, Keeretmitto, Belhabans, Sugroo, and Gopalpore. Pergunnahs Secunderpore, Nuthoopore, Bhudaon, and Ghosee.	5,122 4 6	1,680 0 0	3,442 4 6	

Income of Stamps, and costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Court.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.*		Amount ordered to be refunded for Hazeenumah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Value of stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	13,575 0 0	...	310 0 0	13,265 0 0	35,468 0 0	...	22,203 0 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	7,397 0 0	...	72 0 0	7,325 0 0	9,000 0 0	...	1,675 0 0	
Sudder Ameen ...	12,282 0 0	...	167 0 0	12,115 0 0	3,960 0 0	8,155 0 0	...	
Moonsiff of Mahomdabad ...	6,975 0 0	8 0 0	38 0 0	6,950 0 0	2,640 0 0	4,310 0 0	...	
Ditto of Nogra ...	6,703 0 0	5 0 0	34 0 0	6,674 0 0	2,640 0 0	4,034 0 0	...	
Total ...	46,932 0 0	13 0 0	616 0 0	46,329 0 0	54,708 0 0	...	8,379 0 0	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Officiating Registrar.

ZILLAH BAREILLY.

Regular Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	769	1,286	445	453	656	691	...	...	186	432
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	103	108	409	436	413	440	...	...	249	270
Sudder Ameen ...	199	221	176	195	218	231	...	...	39	29
Moonsiffs ...	4,728	5,317	4,091	4,794	4,338	5,200	...	...	60	177
Total ...	5,799	6,932	5,121	5,818	5,625	6,562	...	...	534	908
Increase ...	...	1,133	...	...	504	744	...	...	...	374
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during 1866.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	H. Vansittart, Esq. ...	4	...	1	25	9	652	691	94·	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Mohummud Kasim Ally Khan ...	16	22	4	19	4	375	440	185·	
Sudder Ameen ...	Rai Halt Lall ...	117	221	4	70	53	159	624	25·	
Ditto ...	Nasir Ally Khan ...	105	178	6	25	91	278	683	41·	
Ditto on deputation ...	Abdool Basut Khan ...	21	33	...	11	2	92	159	58·	
		243	432	10	106	146	529	1,466	36·	
Moonsiff of Suburbs of Bareilly ...	Abdool Basut Khan ...	98	233	9	4	113	331	778	41·	
Ditto ditto ...	Cheda Lall ...	6	11	...	...	6	10	33	30·	
		104	244	9	4	119	331	811	41·	
Moonsiff of Pooleebheet,	Muksood Ally Khan ...	73	228	14	56	77	395	843	47·	
Do. of Beesulpore,	Jugdumba Pershad ...	84	204	8	c. 2	81	231	610	38·	
Do. of Saheswan ...	Amanut Hossein ...	250	62	9	29	89	506	945	54·	
Do. of Bosoullo ...	Zuhoor Hossein ...	62	102	6	10	30	54	234	23·	
Ditto ditto ...	Abd-ool-lah Khan ...	53	53	12	6	11	229	364	63·	
Ditto ditto ...	Munohur Lall ...	23	17	...	19	22	77	158	49·	
		108	172	18	35	63	360	750	48·	
	Total ...	882	1,364	73	276	588	3,379	6,562	51·	

Miscellaneous Files.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
Court.	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	110	179	85	119	149	167	...	...	82	96
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	276	251	187	311	172	283	...	...	81	69
Sudder Ameen	3,081	2,443	2,280	3,102	1,799	2,609	...	...	340	183
Moonsiffs	6,269	6,659	4,105	7,354	4,342	6,682	...	...	496	495
Total ...	9,736	9,532	6,657	10,886	6,462	9,741	...	...	999	843
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	204	...	...	195	1,145	...	...	...	156

Execution of Decrees.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				
Year.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
1865	1,451	963	3,914	6,328	23.
1866	1,401	1,578	2,421	5,400	26.

Number of appeals preferred under Act X. of 1859.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of 1865.	Instituted during 1866.	Total.	*Disposed of during 1866.* Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at close of 1866.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealed to the Civil Court decided by Revenue authorities.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Courts to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
R. M. Edwards, Esq., Collector of Bareilly,	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...
E. Colvin, Esq., Dy. Collector of Peeleebheet,	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	5	40·
S. M. Moens, Esq., ditto Bareilly ...	10	10	20	7	12	...	19	1	63·	56	18·
J. C. Graves, Esq., ditto ditto ...	...	6	6	...	3	...	3	3	100·	12	50·
A. M. Markham, Esq., ditto Bareilly ...	2	12	14	2	8	...	10	4	80·	105	11·
H. M. Rogers, Esq., ditto Peeleebheet,	...	5	5	...	5	...	5	...	100·	5	100·
J. H. Walker, Esq., ditto Bareilly ...	...	14	14	3	8	...	11	3	72·	49	29·
Khyr-ood-deen Ahmud, ditto ditto ...	18	37	55	17	27	4	48	7	56·	187	20·
Ameer Ali, ditto Peeleebheet,	13	7	20	9	9	...	18	2	50·	125	6·
Sala-ood-deen, ditto ditto ...	8	53	61	14	44	...	58	3	76·	117	45·
Vikar Ali Beg, ditto Bareilly ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	44	5·
Gunga Pershad, ditto (Karore) ...	11	69	80	22	45	3	70	10	64·	280	25·
Buktawur Singh, ditto Beharee ...	6	12	18	10	4	3	17	1	23·	195	6·
Bhoop Singh, ditto Beesulpoor,	...	8	8	1	6	...	7	1	86·	8	100·
Budr-ood-deen, ditto Nawabgunge,	3	...	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	...	...
Ajoodhya Pershad, ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	22	4·
Oomrao Singh, ditto Aoulah ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
Total ...	72	239	311	88	177	10	275	36	64·	1,211	20·
G. H. Lawrence, Esq., Offg. Collector of Budaon	3	...	3	1	1	1	3	...	33·	...	...
C. P. Carmichael, Esq., ditto ditto ...	...	12	12	1	1	...	2	10	50·	30	40·
H. R. Wilson, Esq., Dy. Collector of ditto,	4	55	59	12	29	3	44	15	66·	345	16·
T. G. Hanson, Esq., ditto ditto,	...	9	9	4	...	2	6	3	...	126	7·
T. H. Tyrrell, Esq., ditto ditto,	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	10	20·
Mahomed Kareem, ditto ditto,	16	70	86	26	53	2	81	5	65·	490	14·
Torab Ali, ditto ditto,	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	21	...
Pearay Lall, ditto ditto,	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	6	16·
Moostijab-ood-deen, ditto ditto,	...	13	13	7	3	2	12	1	25·	190	7·
Jwala Sahoy, ditto ditto,	1	1	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	...	...
Total ...	26	163	189	52	91	10	153	36	59·	1,218	13·
GRAND TOTAL ...	98	402	500	140	268	20	428	72	63·	2,429	17·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
H. Vansittart, Esq., Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	
Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Hait Lall, Suddor Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff, Peeleebheet ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abdool Basut Khan, Moonsiff, Suburbs, Bareilly ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff, Beesulpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Amanut Hossein, Moonsiff, Saheswan ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	
Munmohun Lall, Moonsiff, Besoulee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	3	3	1	1	

Average duration of Suits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
	1865.			1866.		
Name and designation of Officer.	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
H. Vansittart, Esq., Judge	...	2	28	...	2	16
Kasim Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	30	...	3	12
Nasir Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen	...	...	23	...	1	1
Muksood Ali Khan, Moonsiff, Peeleebheet	...	...	29	...	1	1
Abdool Basut Khan, Moonsiff, Suburbs, Bareilly ...	...	...	27	...	...	26
Jugdumba Pershad, Moonsiff, Beesulpore	...	...	16	...	...	24
Amanut Hossein, Moonsiff, Saheswan	...	...	19	...	...	21
Munmohun Lall, Moonsiff, Besoulee	...	1	2	...	...	22

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

	Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
		Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1	H. Vansittart, Esquire, Judge ...	118	2	191	7	303	2	612	11	68	691	90·
2	Kasim Ally Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	84	2	84	...	72	...	240	2	198	440	55·
3	Nasir Ally Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	...	352	...	543	...	399	...	1,294	172	1,466	88·
4	Muksood Ally Khan, Moonsiff, Peeleebheet	14	75	25	195	83	343	122	613	108	843	87·
5	Abdool Basut Khan, Moonsiff, Suburbs, Bareilly	97	22	5	39	98	398	200	459	152	811	81·
6	Jugdumba Pershaud, Moonsiff, Beesulpore	55	3	57	5	149	39	261	47	302	610	50·
7	Amanut Hossein, Moonsiff, Saheswan,	33	217	18	167	38	445	89	829	27	945	97·
8	Munmohun Lall, Moonsiff, Besoulee	25	83	11	161	37	365	73	609	74	756	90·
	Total ...	426	756	391	1,117	780	1,991	1,597	3,864	1,101	6,562	83·

Operation of Sections 243 and 244, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year 1866.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By Order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Bareilly.	Judge	...	...	...	2	...	2	5	1	...	...	5	1	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	18		2	...	20	
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	21	...	29	...	50	...	25	...	4	...	29	
	Moonsiff of Peeleebheet,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	2	
	Do. Suburbs, Bareilly,	...	7	...	34	...	41	...	17	...	1	...	18	
	Do. Beesulpore ...	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	5	...	...	...	5	
	Do. Saheswan ...	...	...	...	11	...	11	...	35	...	...	...	35	
	Do. Besoulee ...	...	...	...	6	...	6	...	12	...	5	...	17	
	Total ...	...	28	...	89	...	117	5	114	...	13	5	127	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Mahomed Kasim Ali Khan...	4	29	...	52	7 11	3 2	55·	

Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealable cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of year, at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
				M. D.			
Rae Hait Lall	46	...	42	1 7	M. D. 1 1	81·	
Nasir Ali Khan ...	69	32	...	... 24		*	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.						
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of petitions realized for insufficiently-stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Rascanamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.
Judge	15,007 15 0	...	789 0 0	14,218 15 0	38,123 5 0	...	23,904 6 0
Principal Sudder Ameen,	11,123 12 0	2,100 0 0	217 0 0	13,006 12 0	9,000 0 0	4,006 12 0	...
Sudder Ameen ...	15,450 0 0	58 8 0	378 0 0	15,130 8 0	3,960 0 0	11,170 8 0	...
Moonsiff, Peeleebheet ...	5,975 4 0	20 8 0	58 0 0	5,937 12 0	3,360 0 0	2,577 12 0	...
Ditto, Suburbs, Bareilly,	5,652 11 0	13 2 0	98 8 0	5,567 5 0	2,415 0 0	3,152 5 0	...
Ditto, Saheswan ...	6,122 0 0	6 9 0	57 8 0	6,071 1 0	2,640 0 0	3,431 1 0	...
Ditto, Besoulee ...	5,688 7 0	2 8 0	51 8 0	5,639 7 0	2,640 0 0	2,999 7 0	...
Ditto, Beesulpore ...	4,104 8 0	7 14 0	42 8 0	4,069 14 0	2,340 0 0	1,729 14 0	...
Total ...	69,124 9 0	2,209 1 0	1,692 0 0	69,641 10 0	64,478 5 0	29,067 11 0	23,904 6 0

Moonsiffs' Work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealable cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Peeleebheet,	Mukood Ali Khan,	39	30·	46·	0 6	1 1	86·	
Suburbs, Bareilly ...	Ubdool Basut Khan,	48	52·	27·	0 5	0 26	80·	
	Cheda Lall ...	24	3·	...	0 10	...	...	
Beesulpore ...	Jugdumba Pershad,	38	6·	31·	1 28	0 24	50·	
Saheswan ...	Amanut Hoossein ...	68	11·	34·	...	0 21	97·	
Besoulee ...	Zuhoor Hoossein ...	20	...	31·	1 4	0 22	90·	
	Abd-ool-lah Khan ...	56	23·	25·	0 12			
	Munuohun Lall ...	36	...	...	0 19			

ZILLAH BENARES.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1867.			
			1865, *previous year.*		1866, *year under review.*		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865, previous year.	1866, year under review.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866, previous year.	1867, year under review.	1866, previous year.	1867, year under review.
Judge	197	160	188	200	144	170	...	1	9	28
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	765	827	660	751	705	796	1	...	78	80
Total ...	962	987	848	951	849	966	1	1	87	108
Increase ...	...	25	...	...	1	15	...	...	...	21
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on their merits.	Total.	Percentage of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Benares ...	Judge ...	4	2	...	1	25	138	170	81·	
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	167	221	16	30	61	301	796	38·	
	Total ...	171	223	16	31	86	439	936	45·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865, *previous year.*		1866, *year under review.*		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865, previous year.	1866, year under review.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865, previous year.	1866, year under review.	1865, previous year.	1866, year under review.
Judge ...	296	206	214	306	163	204	...	...	23	14
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,733	1,504	1,422	1,930	1,405	1,882	...	...	629	262
Judges Small Cause Court, power, of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	25	6	11	53	6	14	...	...	8	...
Total ...	2,054	1,716	1,647	2,289	1,574	2,100	...	...	660	276
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...
Decrease ...	...	338	..	...	73	189	...	...	...	384

Execution of Decrees.

	Number of Applications for execution of decrees.				Percentage of columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865, previous year ...	691	468	627	1,786	39
1866, year under review,	551	569	520	1,640	34

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name of Court and Designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Number of suits relating to rent instituted and disposed of by each of the Revenue authorities.	Percentage of the cases appealed to the number decided by each.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. J. H. B. Ironside, Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	...	...
„ W. C. Moore, Deputy Collector ...	...	2	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...
„ D. C. Halkett, ditto ditto ...	...	...	0	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
„ J. Quinn, Assistant ditto ...	...	2	2	...	1	1	2	...	50	8	25
„ J. Baney, ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	4	25
„ P. Niblett, Deputy ditto ...	1	8	9	3	4	2	9	...	44	20	40
Ally Hussun, powers of Deputy Collector ...	...	7	7	2	3	...	5	2	40	29	24
Ramportab, ditto ditto ...	2	2	4	2	2	...	4	...	50	11	18
Total ...	3	23	26	7	11	5	23	3	43	75	31

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Benares. Judge ...													
Principal Sudder Ameen ...					*Nil.*								
Judge, Small Cause Court, powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...													
Total ...													

Average duration of Suits.

	1865, *previous year.*			1866, *year under review.*		
	Year.	Month.	Days.	Year.	Month.	Days.
Judge—Appeals from Collector, Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 ...	...	1	...	...	1	9
Ditto ditto, Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	1	9	...	1	21
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	1	9	...	1	7

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which Defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judge ...	12	...	23	...	35	...	70	...	101	170	41
Principal Sudder Ameen,	23	84	5	122	2	192	30	398	397	796	54
Total ...	35	84	28	122	37	192	100	398	498	966	52

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Court.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		By order of Collector under Section 243.		*At instance of Collector under Section* 244.		*Total.*								
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	
Benares.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	13	...	...	1	13	
	Judge, Small Cause Court,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	14	...	...	1	14	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to cases appealable to the Judge.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases to which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeals.			M. D.	M. D.		
Mr. R. H. Smith ...	35	...	35	38	...	1 9	54	
Cazee Inyut Hossein Khan ...	53	...	51	35	1 5	1 6		

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of amps filed.							
Designation of Officers.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary.)	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped Statements.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamas.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	7,875 14 0	...	188 0 0	7,687 14 0	35,176 0 0	...	27,488 2 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	34,551 6 0	51 3 0	422 8 0	34,180 1 0	7,025 12 6	27,154 4 6	...	
Judge, Small Cause Court, powers of Principal Sudder Ameen ...	4 8 0	...	...	4 8 0	...	4 8 0	...	
Total ...	42,431 12 0	51 3 0	610 8 0	41,872 7 0	42,201 12 6	...	329 5 6	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Offg. Registrar.

ZILLAH CAWNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	421	423	311	331	199	217	...	...	58	99
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	289	288	348	404	446	479	...	...	43	28
Moonsiffs ...	1,959	2,192	1,706	1,945	1,900	2,215	...	...	72	37
Total ...	2,669	2,908	2,365	2,680	2,545	2,911	...	...	173	164
Increase ...	...	234	...	...	180	769	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	B. Sapte, Esquires,	...	...	...	8 } 12	0 } 6	51 } 199	54 } 217	94·	
	J. Power,	...	...	...	7	5	104	116	90·	
	G. E. Lance,	...	...	...	2	1	44	47	94·	
Prin. Sud. Ameen,	Abd-oollah Khan,	46	50	6	6	21	350	479	73·	
City Moonsiff ...	Mahmood Bukah,	116	275	5	50	154	541	1,141	47·	
Sheorajpore Moonsiff,	Mehdee Ali ...	34 } 79	...	...	2 } 7	3 } 13	214 } 433	253 } 552	84·	
	Mobaruck-oollah,	45	15	5	5	10	219	299	73·	
Akberpore Moonsiff,	Abdool Mujeed ...	9 } 38	29 } 73	3 } 8	10 } 44	7 } 29	72 } 330	130 } 522	55·	
	Chowdree Juggunnath ...	29	44	5	34	22	258	392	66·	
	Total ...	279	413	24	119	223	1,853	2,911	60·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		Above 1 year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	367	399	349	373	362	399	...	...	36	36
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	644	569	695	703	373	595	...	...	80	95
Moonsiffs ...	3,766	4,261	2,949	4,236	3,046	4,290	...	...	241	263
Total ...	4,777	5,229	3,693	5,312	3,781	5 284	...	...	357	394
Increase ...	...	452	...	...	88	...	...	...	...	37
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	28	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Columns 2 to 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	748	466	1,595	2,809	27·
1866 ...	805	498	1,257	2,560	31·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to Civil Courts decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Courts to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
W. S. Halsey, Esquire, Collector ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
H. C. Barstow, Esquire, Deputy Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
R. D. Spedding, Esquire, Assistant ditto ...	3	...	3	...	3	...	3	...	100·	...	...
E. C. Buck, Esquire, Asstt. Collector ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	100·
S. Thornton, Esquire, Deputy ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
E. A. Cline, Esquire, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Imdad Ali Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	9	11·
Ehsan Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
Mahomed Mohsim Ditto ditto ...	3	24	27	5	4	...	9	18	22·	110	44·
Zamin Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	9	9	2	7	...	9	...	78·	25	36·
Hussun Ruza, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	31	3·
Heth Ram, Ditto ditto ...	2	2	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	74	3·
Madho Pershad, Ditto ditto ...	...	12	12	3	3	...	9	3	33·	54	22·
Gholam Hossein, Ditto ditto ...	2	4	6	5	1	...	6	...	17·	18	22·
Baluck Ram Ditto ditto ...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
Koonj Behares Lall, Ditto ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	22	9·
Jeorakhun Lall, Ditto ditto ...	1	6	7	5	1	...	6	1	17·	54	11·
Sheraj-ood-deen, Ditto ditto ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	26	12·
Total ...	11	68	79	26	24	...	50	29	48·	450	15·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court, and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Abd-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	2	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
City Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sheorajpore Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	
Akberpore Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	2	3	...	3	1	3	...	3	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.			Remarks.
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years	Months.	Days.	
R. Sapte, Esquire ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
H. G Keene, Esquire ...	...	1	21	...	4	10	Original.
J. Power, Esquire ...	...	1	23	...	2	18	Appeals.
G. C. Lance, Esquire ...	...	1	7	...	1	8	Original.
Abd-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	21	...	...	12	Appeals.
Mahmood Buksh, City Moonsiff ...	...	...	23	...	...	22	
Mehdee Ali, Moharuck-oollah, } Sheorajpore ...	...	...	18	...	...	16	
Abdool Majeed, Juggunnath, } Akberpore ...	...	...	20	...	...	21	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		In which defendant was personally before the Court.		In which both parties were before the Court.		Total cases of personal attendance.				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judge ... Original ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	4	5	20·
Judge ... Appeal ...	22	...	3	30	18	...	43	30	139	212	34·
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	58	...	58	1	127	...	243	1	68	312	78· Original.
	24	...	23	...	65	...	112	...	55	167	67· Appeals.
City Moonsiff ...	...	116	...	...	...	975	...	1,091	50	1,141	95·
Mehdee Ali, Moharuck-oollah, } Moonsiff, Sheorajpore ...	...	68	...	42	...	376	...	486	66	552	88·
Abdool Majeed, Juggunnath Pershad, } Moonsiff, Akberpore,	...	50	4	18	3	397	7	465	50	522	90·
Total ...	105	234	88	91	213	1,748	406	2,073	432	2,911	85·

Operation of Sections 243, 244 *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.										Total of Columns 3 and 5.	Total of Columns 4 and 6.	Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.				*Involuntary or permanent under Section 248.*								
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of Collector under Section 244.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.				
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Cawnpore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	City Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sheorajpore Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Akberpore Ditto ..	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases tried.	Number of days work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended the Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
Mahomed Abd-oollah Khan ...	19	13	58	25	21 days.	Yr. Ma. Ds 1 8 12	78·	Original. 78 Appeals.

Moonsiff's Work.

Name of Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appeals tried.	Number of day's work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
					Days.	Days.		
City ...	Mahmood Buksh, Merits ...	45 } 55	23	25	5	22	95·	
	Ex-parte ...	10						
Sheornjpore ...	Mehdee Ali Khan, Merits ...	36 } 42	13	28	...	16	88·	
	Ex-parte ...	6						
	Mobaruck-oollah Merits ...	36 } 43	14	23	5			
	Ex-parte ...	7						
Akberpore ...	Abdool Majeed, Merits ...	36 } 40	18	46	...	21	76·	
	Ex-parte ...	4						
	Juggunnath Pershad, Merits ...	26 } 29	17	18	9			
	Ex-parte ...	3						

Income of Stamps, and costs of Subordinate Judges.

COURT.	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
		Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razoonamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judge's salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...		9,620 0 0	...	116 0 0	9,504 0 0	35,061 8 11	...	25,557 8 11	Net gain to Government,... Rs. 1,185-5-8.
Principal Sudder Ameen,		22,831 9 0	...	479 0 0	21,652 9 0	6,600 0 0	15,052 9 0	...	
City Moonsiff ...		10,435 0 0	149 0 0	60 0 0	10,524 0 0	2,640 0 0	7,884 0 0	...	
Sheorajpore Moonsiff ...		4,544 13 0	31 8 0	...	4,576 5 0	2,640 0 0	1,936 5 0	...	
Akberpore Ditto ...		3,777 0 0	...	...	3,777 0 0	1,956 15 5	1,820 0 7	...	
Total ...		50,508 6 0	180 8 0	655 0 0	50,033 14 0	48,898 8 4	26,692 14 7	25,557 8 11	

J. D. SANDFORD,
Officiating Registrar.

ZILLAH FURRUCKABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	506	593	399	419	393	409	...	...	32	42
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	123	276	295	313	462	510	...	...	14	
Sudder Ameen ...	206	...	163	188	...	...	...	...	32	...
Moonsiffs ...	4,317	4,861	3,701	4,362	3,191	4,779	...	...	212	259
Total ...	5,152	5,730	4,558	5,282	4,046	5,698	...	...	290	323
Increase ...	...	578	...	...	...	416	...	...	...	33
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	512	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year* 1866.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9	10.	11
Judge ...	Mr. M. B. Thornhill,	...	...	...	2	1	62	65	95.	
	„ H. G. Keene ...	...	...	...	10	3	331	344	96.	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan ...	13	5	6	1	12	66	103	65.	
	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	34	34	5	7	17	310	407	76.	
Moonsiff of Southern Division.	Kunhya Lall ...	47	105	10	13	35	138	348	40.	
	Mahomed Saeed Khan,	36	58	20	8	15	38	175	22.	
	Ram Narain ...	67	104	12	11	52	93	339	27.	
Moonsiff of Northern Division ...	Sotee Beharee Lall...	182	263	62	30	110	342	989	35.	
Moonsiff of Kaimganj ...	Manki Dass ...	199	233	69	30	97	452	1,080	42.	
Moonsiff of Chubramow ...	Kishen Dyal ...	128	176	49	88	423	203	1,067	19.	
Moonsiff of Kunouj,	Kishoree Lall ...	106	34	19	35	400	187	781	24.	
	Total ...	812	1,012	252	235	1,165	2,222	5,698	·39	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above one year.*		*Total.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	214	213	233	280	153	191	...	...	4	25
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	316	758	202	328	438	688	...	...	45	160
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs,	9,381	8,092	5,276	9,916	5,284	7,972	...	...	526	500
Total ...	9,911	9,063	5,711	10,524	5,875	8,851	...	...	575	685
Increase ...	...	...	...	164	...	...	...	...	...	110
Decrease ...	...	848	...	...	...	1,673	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,367	1,449	4,776	7,592	18·
1866 ...	1,516	1,186	2,956	5,658	27·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Nam and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total of appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Mr. Wilson, Settlement Officer ...	...	29	29	22	3	4	29	...	10.	110	26.
„ Robertson, Ditto ...	2	41	43	23	15	3	41	2	37.	254	17.
„ Currie, Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	50.
„ Fisher, Assistant Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100.	2	50.
„ Adams, Ditto ...	...	19	19	9	4	1	14	5	29.	30	32.
„ Anthony, Deputy Collector ...	...	3	3	1	2	...	3	...	67.	13	23.
Mahomed Mobeen, Ditto ...	...	5	5	4	1	...	5	...	20.	72	7.
Heth Ram, Tehseeldar and Deputy Collector of Mahomdabad ...	...	14	14	5	7	1	13	1	54.	93	15.
Ram Narain, Ditto of Kunouj ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	19	26.
Kishen Lall, Ditto of Chubramow ...	...	4	4	2	2	...	4	...	50.	30	13.
Nujm-ood-deen, Ditto of Tirwa ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100.	62	3.
Fuzl Ahmud, Ditto of Kaimgunj ...	...	6	6	5	1	...	6	...	17.	39	15.
Total ...	2	128	130	74	38	9	121	9	31.	756	17.

Operations of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court, and Designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
Mr. H. G. Keene, Judge ...	3	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Hussun, Principal Sudder Ameen,	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...
Kunheya Lall, Moonsiff of Southern Division,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Saeed Khan, Ditto ...	1	4	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sootee Beharee Lall, Ditto of Northern Division ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	1	2
Manki Dass, Ditto of Kaimgunj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...
Kishoree Lall, Ditto of Kunouj ...	3	4	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
TOTAL ...	9	14	13	1	...	...	...	...	3	5	3	2

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Judge	...	1	15	...	1	...
„ H. G. Keene, Officiating Judge	...	...	...	...	1	...
Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	1	18	...	1	...
Mahomed Hossein Khan, Officiating ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	6
Moulvie Ali Bux Khan, Sudder Ameen of Southern Division,	...	...	25	...	...	...
Moulvie Ali Bux Khan, Moonsiff of ditto	...	...	21	...	...	...
Kunhya Lall, Ditto of ditto	...	...	...	...	...	22
Mahomed Saeed Khan, Ditto of ditto	...	...	...	...	...	15
Ram Narain, Ditto of ditto	...	...	...	...	...	14
Pundit Hursahie, Ditto of Northern Division	...	...	15	...	...	...
Sotee Beharee Lall, Ditto of ditto	...	...	22	...	...	29
Manki Dass, Ditto of Kaimgunj	...	...	19	...	...	20
Kishen Dyal, Ditto of Chubramow	...	...	25	...	...	23
Kishoree Lall, Ditto of Kunouj...	...	...	23	...	...	20

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties, or one of them, personally attended before the Court, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
1.		2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Mr. M. B. Thornhill, Judge ...	Original ...	2	...	...	2	1	3	67.
	Appeal ...	14	11	6	31	31	62	50.
„ G. H. Keene, Judge ...	Original ...	4	2	5	11	1	12	92.
	Appeal ...	80	70	77	227	105	332	68.
Kazee Inayut Hossein, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Original ...	12	5	27	44	25	69	63.
	Appeal ...	3	10	15	28	6	34	85.
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Original ...	44	34	135	213	35	248	86.
	Appeal ...	21	18	92	131	28	159	82.
Kunhya Lall, Moonsiff of Southern Division ...		68	85	177	330	18	348	94.
Mahomed Saeed Khan, Ditto ...		26	24	118	168	7	175	99.
Ram Narain, Ditto		86	32	203	321	18	339	94.
Sotee Beharee Lall, Ditto of Northern Division ...		182	264	480	926	63	989	93.
Manki Dass, Ditto of Kaimgunj		225	75	720	1,020	60	1,080	94.
Kishen Dyal, Ditto of Chubramow		144	84	788	1,016	51	1,067	95.
Kishoree Lall, Ditto of Kunouj		106	34	569	709	72	781	91.
TOTAL ...		1,017	748	3,412	5,177	521	5,698	91.

Operations of Sections 243, 244 *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs, and Shares of Mouzahs, alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the Year.												
	Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent, under Section* 248.						
	By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.	Total.	Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzah	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13	14.
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	20	1	...	4	20	5	...	12	...	3	...	15	
Moonsiff of Southern Division,	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	22	...	...	...	22	
Ditto Northern Division,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	...	7	
Ditto Kaimgunj ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	33	...	...	...	33	
Ditto Chubramow ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...	...	...	17	
Ditto Kunouj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	9	
TOTAL ...	20	1	...	8	20	9	...	101	...	3	...	104	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
		Original.	Appeals.						
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
							M. D.		
Furruckabad.	Kazee Inayut Hossein Khan	15	11	52.	39.	...	1 0	69.	
	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	21	17	53.	48.	15	1 6	84.	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Moonsiff of Southern Division ...	Kunhya Lall ...	37	15.	39.	...	22	94.	
	Mahomed Saeed Khan ...	37	15.	54.	...	15	99.	
	Ram Narsin ...	35	10.	43.	21	14	94.	
Ditto of Northern Division ...	Sotee Beharee Lall ...	44	18.	41.	21	29	95.	
Ditto of Kaimgunj ...	Manki Dass ...	54	6.	21.	16	20	94.	
Ditto of Chubramow ...	Kishen Dyal ...	28	29.	47.	18	23	95.	
Ditto of Kunouj ...	Kishoree Lall ...	24	25.	49.	33	20	91.	

Income of Stamps, and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Courts.	Average value of Stamps filed. Value of stamps filed (ordinarily).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Subordinate and Civil Judges' Salaries and establishments, including Zillah Judge.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	12,779 8 0	...	944 0 0	11,835 8 0	30,196 14 0	...	18,361 6 6	
Principal Sudder Ameen	14,522 15 0	54 0 0	520 0 0	14,056 15 0	6,600 0 0	7,456 15 0	...	
Moonsiff of Southern Division	5,716 10 0	19 14 0	144 0 0	5,592 8 0	3,016 3 1	2,576 4 11	...	
Ditto of Northern Division	6,578 8 0	134 5 0	133 8 0	6,579 5 0	2,640 0 0	3,939 5 0	...	
Ditto of Kaimgunj	6,502 3 0	57 12 0	54 0 0	6,505 15 0	2,640 0 0	3,865 15 0	...	
Ditto of Chubramow	60,27 8 0	55 4 0	127 8 0	5,955 4 0	2,640 0 0	3,315 4 0	...	
Ditto of Kanouj	5,396 8 0	44 8 0	58 0 0	5,333 0 0	3,360 0 0	2,023 0 0	...	
TOTAL ...	57,523 12 0	365 11 0	1,981 0 0	55,908 7 0	51,093 1 7	23,176 11 11	18,361 6 6	Net gain, Rs. 4,815-5-5.

J. D. SANDFORD,
Registrar.

ZILLAH FUTTEHPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st Jan., 1867.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge	481	573	427	446	489	528	2	2	65	112
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	930	1,081	827	974	946	1,114	...	...	116	85
Sudder Ameen ...	850	740	632	743	689	838	...	...	152	55
Moonsiff ...	1,004	1,314	907	1,074	1,129	1,322	...	...	37	25
Total ...	3,265	3,708	2,793	3,237	3,253	3,802	2	2	370	277
Increase ...	...	443	...	...	460	565	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	93

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*							Percentage of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.		
Judge ...	Messr. J. Power, Offg. ...	...	3	...	1	1	2	7	28·	
	„ E. J. Boldero ...	...	6	1	20	16	478	521	92·	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	Rai Soonder Lall ...	99	330	17	64	87	517	1,114	46·	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	Nasir Ali, Officiating ...	34	171	2	32	47	117	403	29·	
	Hamid Hussein ...	49	153	2	20	46	165	435	38·	
Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	Mahomed Ali Khan ...	41	177	48	7	63	240	576	42·	
Do. of Mahoba	Enayut Hossein ...	2	6	...	...	11	18	37	49·	
	Nyaz Mahomud Khan ...	36	14	9	1	54	595	709	84·	
	Total ...	261	860	79	145	325	2,132	3,802	56·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge	318	281	228	313	232	276	...	...	60	44
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	937	930	434	1,217	541	968	...	...	112	80
Sudder Ameen	1,100	1,175	529	1,127	637	1,267	...	...	299	218
Moonsiffs	1,672	2,410	1,318	1,906	1,818	2,405	3	3	102	110
Total ...	4,027	4,796	2,509	4,563	3,228	4,916	3	3	573	552
Increase ...	...	769	...	...	719	353	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865	607	560	1,578	2,745	22·
1866	757	623	1,411	2,791	27·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr P. Whally, Asst. Collector, Futtehpore,	2	4	6	3	...	3	6	...	...	40	10·
„ C. A. Tupp, ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	19	12·
Munsoor Ali Khan, Dy. Collector, ditto,	1	7	8	7	...	...	7	1	...	31	23·
Furhut Allee, ditto, Pergunnah Futtehpore	...	13	13	3	3	1	7	6	43	82	26·
Abd-ool-lah Khan, ditto ditto, Kullyanpore,	2	6	8	5	1	...	6	2	16	95	6·
Saheb Ali Khan, ditto ditto, Kora ...	...	14	14	7	3	2	12	2	25	152	9·
Abbas Ali Khan, ditto ditto, Khaga ...	...	7	7	1	1	...	2	5	50	42	17·
Gyadeen, ditto ditto, Ekdulla ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	1	1	100	44	5·
Mahomed Hoossein, ditto, ditto, Kora ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	12·
Mr. H. R. Clarke, Depy. Collr. of Banda ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	4	25·
„ T. Hobart, Depy. ditto ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
„ R. D. Spedding, ditto, Kirwee, Zillah Banda	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	28	4·
„ W. R. Burkett, ditto of Banda ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	8	11·
„ W. Church, Asst. Collector, Banda ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	24	8·
„ P. Whally, Deputy Collector, ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...
„ W. Hay, ditto ditto, ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Zumeer-ood-deen Ahmud, Deputy Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Ahmed Hoossein Khan, Dy. Collr., ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	10	10·
Hafiz Ali Khan, Dy. Collr. of Pergunnah,	2	2	4	2	2	...	4	...	50	13	16·
Golam Hossein Khan, ditto, Banda ...	...	6	6	...	2	...	2	4	100	23	26·
Khoob Ali, Dy. Collr., Pergunnah Tirohan,	...	3	3	...	3	...	3	...	100	7	43·
Kallee Churn, ditto ditto ...	...	2	2	...	1	1	2	...	50	34	6·
Jankee Pershaud, ditto ditto of Banda...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...
Mr. A. Cadell, Asst. Collector of Humeerpore	1	8	9	3	1	2	6	3	16	19	42·
Kashee Nauth, ditto ditto, Ougasee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...
Thakoor Pershaud, Dy. Collr. of ditto ...	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	2	100·
Baboo Jay Gopal, ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...
Enayut Hoossein Khan, Deputy Collector of Pergunnah Mahoba	2	3	5	4	1	...	5	...	20	13	23·
Ado Nath, ditto ditto, Punwaree ...	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	9	...
Mahomed Oosman Khan, ditto ditto, Rat...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
Ahmud Ali, ditto ditto, Mandaha ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	100·
Golam Russool, ditto ditto, Dursenda ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
Fukhr-ood-deen, ditto ditto, Budawsa ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...
Total	13	92	105	48	21	9	78	27	27	805	10.

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	
Rae Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Nasir Ali Khan, Officiating, and Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Enayut Hossein and Nyaz Mahomed Khan, Moonsiffs of Mahoba. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Judge ... Civil Court Appeals	...	2	7	...	2	15
Judge ... Appeals under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV., 1863,	...	2	10	...	2	6
Ray Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen, Banda ...	...	1	23	...	1	20
Nasir Ali Khan, Officiating, Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore	...	1	15	...	2	4
Mahomud Allee Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan	...	...	27	...	1	2
Enayut Hossein, and Nyaz Mahomed Khan, Moonsiffs of Mahoba	...	...	20	...	...	20

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendent was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. J. Power ... } Judge of Futtehpore ... } „ E. J. Boldero ... }	25	47	49	121	407	528	23·
Rai Soonder Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	119	107	651	877	237	1,114	79·
Nasir Ali, Officiating, and Hamid Hussun, Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	119	307	231	657	181	838	78·
Mahomed Ali Khan, Moonsiff of Tirohan,	60	95	364	519	57	576	90·
Enayut Hossein, and Nyaz Mahomed Khan, Moonsiff. of Muhadeo ...	105	122	470	697	49	746	93·
Total ...	428	678	765	2,871	931	3,802	76·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By Order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section* 244.		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Futtehpore.	Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	5	
	Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	13	
	Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	9	
	Moonsiff of Tirohan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Do. of Mahoba ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	18	...	28	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original.	Appeal.						
						M. D.		
Rai Soonder Lall ...	51	...	27·	19·	27	1 20	79·	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed during the year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original.	Appeal.						
						M. D.		
Nasir Ali Khan, Officiating, Hamid Hussun ...	31	...	48·	29·	24	2 4	78·	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those finally disposed of.	Percentage reversed or modified to appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in the year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
						M. D.		
Tirohan ...	Mahomed Ali Khan ...	23	31·	28·	7½	1 2	90·	
Mahoba...	Enayut Hooseein ... / Nyaz Mahomed Khan,	54	17·	34·	6	0 20	93·	

Income of Stamps and cost of Subordinate Judges.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Average value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficient stamps.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	11,950 14 0	...	...	11,950 14 0	36,516 0 0	...	24,565 2 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Banda ...	10,261 0 0	140 10 0	...	10,401 10 0	6,600 0 0	3,801 10 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Futtehpore	7,400 0 0	92 6 0	159 0 0	7,333 6 0	3,960 0 0	3,373 6 0	...	
Moonsiff of Terohan	5,091 13 0	10 8 0	...	5,102 5 0	2,640 0 0	2,462 5 0	...	
Ditto of Mahoba	6,968 0 0	225 6 0	...	7,193 6 0	2,640 0 0	4,553 6 0	...	
Total ...	41,671 11 0	468 14 0	159 0 0	41,981 9 0	52,356 0 0	14,190 11 0	24,565 2 0	

ZILLAH GHAZEEPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending 1st January.			
			1865 (previous year).		1866 (year under review).		Above one year.		Total pending.	
	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).
Judge ...	953	1,123	416	433	381	398	...	6	80	168
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	129	128	694	722	650	700	1	6	264	61
Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	2	90	93	404	447	...	...	189	212
Sudder Ameen ...	270	261	232	273	143	214	...	...	62	17
Moonsiffs ...	3,830	4,583	3,364	3,815	2,524	4,403	...	...	356	424
Total ...	5,182	6,097	4,796	5,336	4,102	6,162	1	12	951	882
Increase ...	...	915	...	...	...	826	...	11	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	694	...	...	...	...	69

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of presiding Officer.	Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default and non-suited.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge	H. Lushington, Esq. ...	3	...	...	3	14	378	398	95	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Ray Kanjee Sahae ...	11	5	5	12	33	634	700	91	
Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Shamachurn Banerjee,	...	...	...	...	...	139	139	100	
Ditto ...	Ali Bux Khan ...	3	1	...	7	36	261	308	85	
Sudder Ameen ...	Mahomed Kazim Ali ...	39	21	3	6	62	83	214	39	
Moonsiff of Ghazeepore,	Ditto ...	166	107	14	58	429	413	1,187	35	
Do. of Bulleah ...	Ram Kali Chowdry ...	79	38	48	2	388	381	836	46	
Do. of Mohumdabad	Zein-ool-Abdeen ...	19	45	27	4	304	450	849	53	
Do. of Syudpore ...	Kasheonauth Biswas ...	47	96	21	2	347	245	758	32	
Do. of Kusrah ...	Lalla Saligram ...	67	149	28	14	193	322	773	42	
	Total ...	434	462	146	108	1,800	3,206	6,162	52	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	MISCELLANEOUS CASES.									
	INSTITUTED.		DECIDED.				PENDING.			
			1865 (previous year).		1866 (year under review).		Above one year.		Total pending on 1st January, 1867.	
	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).	1865 (previous year).	1866 (year under review).
Judge	363	436	304	329	328	349	...	...	38	84
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	677	648	536	778	529	714	...	...	298	142
Additional Principal Sudder Ameen	...	41	...	...	69	129	...	...	...	158
Sudder Ameen	932	1,039	726	1,103	795	1,051	...	...	166	96
Moonsiffs	6,010	7,028	4,485	7,592	5,164	7,064	...	...	846	742
Total ...	7,982	9,192	6,051	9,802	6,885	9,307	...	...	1,348	1,222
Increase ...	...	1,210	...	...	834	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	495	...	...	...	126

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 (previous year),	1,695	841	3,707	6,243	27
1866 (year under review) ...	1,888	944	2,372	5,204	36

Appeals under Act X. o 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the end of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities during the year.	Percentage of cases appealable to Civil Courts to cases decided by the Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
G. B. Pasley, Esquire, Collector ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	100
E. B. Thornhill, Esq., Dy. Collector,	...	6	6	1	2	...	3	3	67	34	18
W. Oldham, Esq., ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	54	2
J. Smith, Esq., Assistant Collector ...	...	4	4	3	1	...	4	...	25	11	36
W. Barrie, Esq., ditto ditto ...	1	4	5	2	3	...	5	...	60	9	44
W. A. Howe, Esq., ditto ditto ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	50	6
J. G. Bachman, Esq., Dy. ditto ...	2	59	61	17	22	...	39	22	56	130	45
Ray Buldeo Bux, ditto ditto ...	1	14	15	...	13	...	14	1	93	...	...
Joogool Beharee Lall, Tehseeldar ..	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	5	60
Talomund Pershad, ditto ...	7	63	70	14	50	...	64	6	78	111	57
Mahomed Shafee, ditto ...	2	14	16	3	12	...	15	1	80	105	13
Hathi Bahadoor, ditto ...	2	5	7	2	4	1	7	...	57	5	100
Pundit Dabee Pershad, Dy. Collr. ...	...	3	3	...	...	...	...	3	...	23	13
Audh Beharee Lall, Tehseeldar ..	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	175	2
Balmukoond, ditto ...	...	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	2	100
Total ...	15	185	200	48	107	1	156	44	68	715	26

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.		1865 (*previous year*).			1866 (*year under review*).		
		Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
J. W. Sherer, Esquire, Officiating Judge ...	Rent Suit ...	...	3	13	...	...	...
	Civil Suit ...	...	6	9	...	...	...
H. Lushington, Esquire, Judge,	Rent Suit ...	...	2	29	...	2	20
	Civil Suit ...	...	5	26	...	3	19
Rai Kanjee Suhaie, Principal Sudder Ameen ...		...	2	27	...	2	15
Baboo Shama Churn, Officiating Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...		...	...	14	...	1	23
Moulvie Ali Bux Khan, ditto ditto ...		...	...	...	...	1	1
Kazim Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...		...	2	8	...	2	22
Ramkali Chowdry, Moonsiff of Bullcah ...		...	...	22	...	...	19
Zeiu-ool-Abdeen, ditto of Mohumdabad ...		...	...	22	...	...	13
Kasheenath Biswas, ditto of Syudpore ...		...	...	20	...	...	21
Lalla Saligram, ditto of Rusrah ...		...	...	17	...	...	22
Total ...		...	1	20	...	1	19

Personal attendance o parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of presiding Officer.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
H. Lushington, Esquire, Judge ...	75	61	178	314	84	398	79
Ray Kanjee Sahaie, Principal Sudder Ameen,	54	68	487	609	91	700	87
Baboo Shama Churn, Officiating Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	9	10	106	125	14	139	90
Ali Bux Khan, ditto ditto ...	42	28	298	278	30	308	90
Kazim Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen ...	44	56	27	127	87	214	59
Ditto, Moonsiff of Ghazeepore,	220	283	332	835	352	1,187	70
Ramkali Chowdry, ditto of Bulleah ...	69	47	636	752	84	836	90
Zein-ool-Abdeen, ditto of Mohumdabad,	42	49	710	801	48	849	94
Kasheenauth Biswas, ditto of Syudpore, ...	65	162	482	709	49	758	94
Lalla Saligram, ditto of Rusrah ...	95	154	469	718	55	773	93
Total ...	715	918	3,635	5,268	894	6,162	85

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Courts.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mehals alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section* 244.		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Ghazeepore.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	1	1	...	...	1	1	...	8	...	13	...	21	
	Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	2	
	Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	21	...	29	...	50	
	Moonsiff of Bulleah ...	...	6	...	...	...	6	...	18	...	19	...	32	
	Do. of Mohumdabad,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	14	...	22	
	Do. of Syudpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	13	...	22	
	Do. of Rusrah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	11	...	17	
	Total ...	1	8	...	...	1	8	...	66	...	100	...	166	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Ray Kanjee Sahaie ...	7	47	98	40	1 4	2 15	Original cases, 82 Appeals, 88	
Baboo Shama Churn ...	...	75	...	...	...	1 23	Appeals, 90	
Ali Bux Khan ...	18	36	42	...	3 28	1 1	Original cases, 90 Appeals, 88	

Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days, work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Kazim Ali Khan ...	10	...	...	33	1 21	3 7	59	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	Remarks.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Ghazeepore ...	Mahomed Kazim Ali ...	48	53	38	2 14	2 18	72	
Bulleeah ...	Baboo Ram Kali Chowdry	30	49	32	2 16	0 19	90	
Mohumdabad ...	Zein-ool-Abdeen ...	39	31	23	1 27	0 13	94	
Syndpore ...	Kasheenath Biswas ...	26	33	43	3 25	0 21	93	
Rusrah ...	Lalla Saligram ...	32	64	47	1 19	0 22	93	

Income of Stamps and costs of Civil and Subordinate Judge's Court.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge	14,098 8 0	...	164 0 0	13,934 8 0	36,511 0 0	...	22,576 8 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen	13,994 0 0	21 4 0	311 0 0	13,704 4 0	6,600 0 0	7,104 4 0	...	
Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	883 8 0	...	195 0 0	688 8 0	2,624 9 0	...	1,936 1 0	
Sudder Ameen and Moonsiff of Ghazeepore ...	13,879 8 0	274 8 0	450 8 0	13,703 8 0	3,960 0 0	14,743 8 0	...	
Moonsiff of Bulleeah	7,054 0 0	209 10 0	2 0 0	7,261 10 0	3,360 0 0	3,901 10 0	...	
Do. of Mohumdabad	6,868 0 0	106 2 0	25 0 0	6,949 2 0	2,640 0 0	4,309 2 0	...	
Do. of Syudpore	4,698 0 0	29 0 0	55 0 0	4,672 0 0	2,640 0 0	2,032 0 0	...	
Do. of Rusrah	6,188 0 0	76 8 0	65 0 0	6,199 8 0	2,640 0 0	3,559 8 0	...	
Total ...	72,663 8 0	717 0 0	1,267 8 0	72,113 0 0	60,975 9 0	35,650 0 0	24,512 9 0	

ZILLAH GORUCKPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		Above one year.		Total pending.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	938	856	332	350	292	330	4	...	502	417
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	133	197	406	420	835	920	5	12	306	222
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff	1,000	965	897	985	865	989	2	...	152	129
Moonsiffs	2,502	2,403	2,145	2,422	2,208	2,541	...	1	318	152
Total ...	4,543	4,421	3,780	4,177	4,200	4,779	11	13	1,278	920
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	420	602	...	2	...	...
Decrease ...	...	122	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	358

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Rejected for informality or delay, non-suited, and dismissed on default.	Adjusted, withdrawn, or compromised.	By decision on their merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Offg. Judge ...	Mr. G. Ousely	...	...	...	...	5	42	47	89·	
Ditto ...	„ W. G. Probyn ...	...	...	1	26	6	250	283	88·	
P. S. Ameen of Goruckpore ...	Mooftee Assud-ool-lah ...	5	19	4	3	4	357	392	91·	
Ditto ditto ...	Rae Bukhtawur Singh ...	...	...	2	...	...	9	11	82·	
Ditto Bustee,	Rae Dabee Dyal ...	3	7	...	25	47	435	517	84·	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore ...	Syud Kasim Ali	43	390	22	29	72	432	988	44·	
Moonsiff of Captaingunge ...	Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah, ...	3	58	10	10	7	35	122	28·	
Ditto ditto,	Rao Jewun Kishen ...	1	10	6	1	...	4	22	18·	
Ditto ditto,	Mahomud Zahoor Hossein,	28	146	2	29	13	162	380	42·	
Moonsiff of Bansee,	Mahomed Haûz Raheem, ...	44	86	5	2	40	443	620	71·	
Do. of Kulleelabad,	Mahomud Abdool Soobhan	...	12	5	6	3	15	41	36·	
Ditto ditto,	Moonshee Cheeda Lall ...	7	40	4	1	5	48	105	45·	
Ditto ditto,	Mirza Ali	7	52	...	3	12	67	141	47·	
Moonsiff of Banegaon,	Mahomud Burkut Ali ...	126	130	...	17	34	171	478	35·	
Ditto of Deoreen,	Moonshee Lukhput Rae ...	18	176	44	14	31	166	449	37·	
Ditto ditto,	Mahomed Abd-ool-lah Khan,	8	94	15	7	7	51	182	28·	
	Total ...	293	1,220	120	178	286	2,687	4,779	56·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	475	366	441	453	230	233	...	...	113	236
Principal Sudder Ameens ...	573	645	333	707	392	700	1	...	241	236
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff	7,224	6,855	5,748	8,025	5,843	7,043	...	...	332	226
Moonsiffs	4,801	4,950	3,401	5,758	3,643	4,970	...	...	791	727
Total ...	13,073	12,816	9,923	14,943	10,108	12,946	1	...	1,477	1,475
Increase ...	...	...	...	...	185	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	257	...	...	...	1,997	...	...	...	2

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1866	1,595	699	2,805	5,099	31·
1865	1,663	917	4,285	6,865	24·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year. Confirmed.	Reversed, modified, or remanded.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at the close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed, modified, or remanded, to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
Mr. G. E. Watson, Collector of Goruckpore,	...	6	6	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	...
„ C. J. Daniell, Offg. Ditto ditto ...	3	...	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	...	...
„ F. E. Elliot, Deputy Ditto ditto ...	...	23	23	9	1	1	11	12	9·	143	16·
„ W. H. Hudson, Asst. Ditto ditto ...	...	9	9	...	...	...	...	9	...	34	26·
„ B. Alone, Deputy Ditto ditto ...	46	20	66	23	6	17	46	20	13·	42	48·
Moulvee Nuzeer Ahmud, Ditto ditto ...	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Moonshee Pragdutt, Ditto ditto ...	40	28	68	30	14	2	46	22	30·	37	76·
Mirza Ali Jan, Ditto ditto ...	...	12	12	3	6	...	9	3	66·	14	86·
Mahomud Tukki, Tehseeldar of Bansgaon,	14	17	31	13	7	2	22	9	32·	28	61·
Mohd. Jowad, } Ditto ditto, } Munsoorgunge ...	8	2	10	9	...	...	9	1	...	11	18·
Syud Wijahut Ali, Tehseeldar of Deoreea,	...	13	13	...	...	...	...	13	...	49	27·
Fyz-ood-deen Ahmed, Ditto, Captaingunj,	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	...	...
Total of Goruckpore ...	114	130	244	92	35	22	149	95	23·	358	36·
Mr. F. S. Wigram, Collector of Bustee ...	35	17	52	12	18	4	34	18	53·	23	74·
„ D. L. Sandford, Dy. Ditto ditto ...	12	2	14	12	1	...	13	1	7·	2	100·
„ R. T. Hobart, Ditto ditto ...	...	10	10	...	...	...	...	10	...	70	14·
„ W. R. Burkit, Ditto ditto ...	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	6	...
„ J. Clarke, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	31	3·
Mirza Ali Jan, Ditto ditto ...	...	31	31	1	...	...	1	30	...	60	51·
Fyz-ood-deen Ahmud, Tehseeldar of Captaingunj	12	...	12	6	5	1	12	...	41·	11	...
Moonshee Sheo Suhay Singh, Tehseeldar, Bansee	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	6	33·
Total of Bustee ...	61	63	124	33	24	5	62	62	39·	209	30·
GRAND TOTAL ...	175	193	368	125	59	27	211	157	28·	567	34·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859. Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	REMARKS.
Judge	1	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Bustee,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bunsee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Kulleelabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ditto of Bansgaon ...	1	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	5	...	
Ditto of Deoreea ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	2	3	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	5	5	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Presiding Officer.	1865. Year.	1865. Months.	1865. Days.	1866. Year.	1866. Months.	1866. Days.	REMARKS.
Mr. G. Ousely, Offg. Judge, Acts X., 1859, and XIV., 1863 (10 cases) ...	...	5	8	...	8	2	
Civil (37 cases) ...				...	10	3	
Mr. W. G. Probyn, Officiating Judge ... Acts X., 1859, and XIV., 1863 (206 cases) ...	...	...	...	...	7	25	
Civil (77 cases) ...	...	...	...	...	7	20	
Mooftee Assud-ool-lah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore ...	...	8	26	...	10	6	
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	9	3	
Rai Dabee Dyal, Ditto ditto of Bustee ...	...	...	...	...	6	14	
Syud Kasim Ali Khan, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore ...	...	1	6	...	1	8	
Mahomud Raheem-ool-lah, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Captaingunj ...	...	...	28	...	...	26	
Rai Jewun Kishen, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	20	
Mahomud Zahoor Hossein, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of ditto	...	...	...	...	2	3	
Mahomud Hafiz Raheem, Ditto of Bansee ...	...	1	4	...	1	3	
Mahomud Abdool Soobhan, Ditto of Kulleelabad,	...	...	...	...	...	24	
Moonshee Cheda Lall, Ditto ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	24	
Mirza Ali, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Kulleelabad ...	...	...	...	...	1	4	
Mahomud Burket Ali, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Bunsgaon,	...	1	7	...	1	1	
Moonshee Lukhput Rai, Ditto of Deoreea ...	...	...	29	...	1	2	
Mahomud Abd-ool-lah Khan, Ditto of ditto ...	...	..	...	...	1	3	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Name and Designation of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons. In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.	Cases of non attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total decided.
Mr. G. Ousely, Officiating Judge ...	Original ...	...	1	2	3	...	3	100·
	Appeal ...	5	6	6	17	27	44	39·
„ W. G. Probyn, Officiating Judge,	Original ...	...	1	2	3	...	3	100·
	Appeal ...	20	9	29	58	222	280	21·
Mooftee Assud-ool-lah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore ...	Original ...	4	18	17	39	33	72	54·
	Appeal ...	20	19	30	69	251	320	22·
Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore ...	Original ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeal ...	...	...	...	...	11	11	...
Rai Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bustee ...	Original ...	10	14	41	65	24	89	73·
	Appeal ...	58	68	124	250	178	428	58·
Syud Kasim Ali, Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore		305	162	416	883	105	988	89·
Mahomed Raheem-ool-lah, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Captaingunge		4	8	110	122	1	123	99·
Rai Jewun Kishen, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of Captaingunge		7	7	8	22	...	22	100·
Mahomud Zahoor Hossein, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Captaingunge		35	16	322	373	7	380	98·
Mahomud Hafiz Ruheem, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Bansee		240	206	148	594	26	620	96·
Mahomud Abdool Soobhan, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Kulleelabad		13	3	22	38	3	41	92·
Moonshee Cheda Lall, Ditto ditto ...		38	7	49	94	11	105	89·
Mirza Ali, 2nd Grade Moonsiff of ditto ...		59	31	43	133	8	141	94·
Mahomud Burkit Ali, 3rd Grade Moonsiff of Bansgaon ...		156	42	201	399	79	478	83·
Moonshee Lukhput Rai, Ditto of Deoreea,		117	170	72	359	90	449	80·
Mahomud Abd-ool-lah Khan, Ditto ditto ...		20	23	132	175	7	182	96·
	Total ...	1,111	811	1,774	3,696	1,083	4,779	77·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Goruckpore.	Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen, of Goruckpore ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	29	...	...	...	29	
	Ditto of Bustee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	...	2	...	27	
	Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50	...	3	...	53	
	Moonsiff of Captaingunj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	...	11	
	Ditto of Bansee ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	4	
	Ditto of Kulleolabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Ditto of Banegaon ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	...	...	13	
	Ditto of Deoreen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	...	...	...	42	
	Total ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	184	...	5	...	189	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.		6.		7.		8.
	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.								*Percentage of (original and appeal) cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.*		
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Original suits.	Appeals.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Original.	Appeal.	
					M.	D.	M.	D.			
Mooftee Assud-ool-lah Khan	4	27	...	33	3	17	10	6	54·	21·	Actual number of appealable cases was 39.

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.		8.
Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	*Percentage of (original and appeal) cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.*		REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.					Original.	Appeal.	
					M. D.	M. D.			
Rai Dabee Dyal ...	5	32	62	50	2 2	6 14	73·	58·	

Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff's work.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Name of Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Syud Kasim Ali ...	39	58	26	1 17	1 8	89·	

Moonsiff's' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.	M.	D.		
	Mahomed Raheem-ool-lah,	20	68·	41·			0	26	99·	
	Rai Jewun Kishen ...	13	50·	...	0	13	1	20	100·	
	Mahomed Zahoor Hossein,	28	35·	38·			2	3	98·	
	Do. Hafiz Raheem ...	40	14·	34·	0	25	1	3	95·	
	Do. Abdool Soobhan,	6	60·	25·			0	24	92·	
	Moonshee Cheda Lall ...	19	37·	12·	0	28	1	24	89·	
	Mirza Ali ...	17	43·	...			1	4	94·	
	Mahomed Burkit Ali ...	24	53·	26·	0	31	1	1	83·	
	Moonshee Lukput Rai ...	24	38·	29·	0	5	1	2	80·	
	Mahomed Abd-ool-lah Khan,	33	6·	...			1	3	96·	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
Court.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	12,429 8 0	...	296 0 0	12,133 8 0	38,708 4 1	...	26,574 12 1	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Goruckpore ...	8,399 8 0	130 8 0	25 0 0	8,505 0 0	6,303 3 7	2,201 12 5	...	
Ditto of Bustee ...	6,125 0 0	5 4 0	32 0 0	6,098 4 0	6,257 7 3	...	158 3 3	
Sudder Ameen and 1st Grade Moonsiff of Goruckpore	11,830 0 0	170 4 0	4 0 0	11,996 4 0	3,960 0 0	8,036 4 0	...	
Moonsiff of Captaingunj	2,563 11 0	16 12 0	4 0 0	2,576 7 0	2,802 5 1	...	225 14 1	
Do. of Bansee	3,942 0 0	11 13 0	50 0 0	3,903 13 0	2,640 0 0	1,263 13 0	...	
Do. of Kulleelabad	2,387 4 0	25 0 0	...	2,412 4 0	2,505 10 2	...	93 6 2	
Do. of Bansgaon	3,049 10 0	...	...	3,049 10 0	2,640 0 0	409 10 0	...	
Do. of Deoreea	4,333 11 0	...	...	4,333 11 0	2,427 1 7	1,906 9 5	...	
Total ...	55,060 4 0	359 9 0	411 0 0	55,008 13 0	68,222 15 9	13,818 0 1	27,052 3 7	

ZILLAH JOUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above one year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	706	753	392	459	361	414	3	2	236	185
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	159	156	421	440	454	491	...	1	90	153
Moonsiffs	1,694	1,763	1,547	1,735	1,622	1,844	...	...	194	105
Total ...	2,559	2,672	2,360	2,634	2,437	2,749	3	3	520	443
Increase ...	...	113	...	...	77	115	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	77

Number and proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge	Mr. R. Spankie ... / „ J. W. Sherer ...	7	3	...	39	14	351	414	84·	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Syud Hussun Ruzza ...	17	24	10	14	13	413	491	84·	
Moonsiff of City ...	Mahomed Kamil ... / Molvi Ruheem-ool-lah	66	177	3	34	36	486	802	60·	
Do. of Pergunnah,	Moulvi Neaz Mahomed / Enayut Hossein ...	49	9	1	5	24	480	568	84·	
Do. of Murriahoo ...	Shah Rahut Ali ...	46	1	8	27	84	308	474	.65·	
	Total ...	185	214	22	119	171	2,038	2,749	74·	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Name and designation of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which Plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which Defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge ...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	5	414	22·
„ J. W. Sherer, Officiating Judge ...	31	...	15	...	46	...	92	...	316		
Syud Hussun Ruzza, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	51	27	51	30	85	47	187	104	200	491	59·
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of City ...	2	8	1	50	7	20	10	78	27	802	82·
Do. Ruheem-oollah, ditto ...	25	89	16	151	46	244	87	484	116		
Neaz Mahomed, ditto of Pergunnah ...	2	...	2	...	12	...	16	...	10	563	86·
Enayut Hossein, ditto ...	78	7	4	65	288	33	370	105	67		
Shah Rahut Ally, ditto of Murriahoo ...	7	37	5	50	13	252	25	339	110	474	77·
Total ...	196	168	95	346	497	596	788	1,110	851	2,749	69·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Jounpore.	Judge ...	...	...	2	...	2	..	...	7	1	4	1	11	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	17	...	3	...	20	
	Moonsiff of the City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	...	9	
	Do. of Pergunnah ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	27	...	...	...	27	
	Do. of Murriahoo ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	8	...	9	
	Total ...	...	...	2	3	2	3	...	61	1	15	1	76	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of the Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified to total number decided.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original and appeal cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.		REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.				M.	D.	Original.	Appeal.	
Syud Hussun Ruzza ...	8	28	93	44	113	1	29	77	51	

Sudder Ameen's work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M	D.	M.	D.		
City ...	Mahomed Kamil ...	46	33	30	0	26	1	28	82·	
	,, Ruheem-ool-lah...									
Pergunnah ...	Neeaz Mahomed ...	44	30	27	0	2	0	23	86·	
	Enayut Hooseein ...									
Murriahoo ...	Shah Rahut Ali ...	28	29	40	0	32	1	13	77·	

Income of Stamps and Costs of Subordinate Judges' Courts.

1.		2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.	Value of Stamp filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Kazeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
		Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...		8,483 8 0	...	277	8,206 8 0	36,672 8 0	...	28,466 0 0	
P. S. Ameen, ...		10,530 8 0	9 3 0	105	10,434 11 0	6,840 0 0	3,594 11 0	...	
Moonsiff of City ...		6,041 8 0	2 10 0	4	6,040 2 0	3,240 0 0	2,800 2 0	...	
Do. of Pergunnah		3,924 8 0	...	8	3,916 8 0	2,640 0 0	1,276 8 0	...	
Do. of Murriahoo		2,983 0 0	78 10 0	...	3,061 10 0	2,532 0 0	529 10 0	...	
Total ...		31,963 0 0	90 7 0	394	31,659 7 0	51,924 8 0	8,200 15 0	20,265 1 0	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		Above one year.		Total pending.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	228	219	108	218	119	217	1	...	71	72
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	627	615	368	699	393	618	...	...	100	99
Moonsiffs	3,342	3,622	2,024	3,651	2,628	3,748	...	3	408	280
Total ...	4,197	4,456	2,500	4,568	3,140	4,583	1	3	579	451
Increase ...	...	259	...	...	640	15	...	2	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	128

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of column 2 to column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865	627	437	1,956	3,020	21·
1866	754	499	1,327	2,580	29·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. H. A. Harrison, Offg. Collector,	2	4	6	3	1	1	5	1	20·	19	21·
„ W. H. Smith, Dy. ditto ...	...	2	2	...	1	...	1	1	100·	4	50·
„ E. T. Atkinson, Asstt. ditto ...	23	36	59	20	22	5	47	12	47·	76	47·
„ T. W. Rawlins, Do. ditto ...	14	21	35	18	4	5	27	8	14·	59	35·
„ P. Niblett, Deputy ditto ...	2	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
„ N. Parsick, Do. ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Baboo Balmookund, Do. ditto ...	2	7	9	2	3	4	9	...	33·	9	77·
Mr. J. H. Concannon, Do. ditto ...	...	2	2	...	...	...	...	2	...	4	50·
Ali Buksh Khan, Do. ditto ...	26	50	76	35	23	3	61	15	37·	275	18·
Nusseer-ood-deen Khan, Tehseeldar, with powers of Dy. Collr. ...	37	86	123	40	35	11	86	37	41·	197	43·
Sheikh Sahib Ali, Ditto ...	...	17	17	7	7	...	14	3	50·	88	19·
Pundit Kishoon Narain, Ditto ...	1	30	31	8	14	...	23	8	61·	89	33·
Baboo Jugmohun Singh, Ditto ...	6	21	27	2	9	...	11	16	82·	75	28·
„ Sheoporshaud, Ditto ...	...	4	4	3	...	...	3	1	...	15	26·
Total ...	113	281	394	141	119	30	290	104	41·	910	31·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name and designation of Court.	*Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.*				*Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.*				*Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.*				Remarks.
	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which Criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
					None.								

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.						1866.					
	Civil Cases other than Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 Cases.			*Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 Cases.*			*Civil Cases other than Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 Cases.*			*Acts X. of 1859 and XIV of 1863 Cases.*		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Mr. R. Spankie, Judge ... ... / „ J. W. Sherer, Officiating Judge ...	...	5	13	...	5	19	...	2	12	...	5	22
Syud Hussun Ruzza, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	2	27	...	...	...	...	1	29	...	...	...
Mahomed Kamil, Moonsiff of the City ... / Mahomed Ruheem-ool-lah, do. do. ...	...	1	28	...	...	...	...	1	28	...	...	...
Nooz Mahomed, do. of Pergunnah... / Enayut Hossein, do. do. ...	...	2	16	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	...	...
Shah Rahut Ali, Moonsiff of Murriahoo ...	...	1	15	...	...	...	...	1	13	...	...	...

ZILLAH MEERUT.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on the 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	548	672	215	225	382	401	1	1	198	88
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	620	812	518	561	776	834	...	...	116	79
Moonsiffs ...	2,904	3,598	2,298	2,936	2,828	3,675	...	...	242	158
Total ...	4,072	5,082	3,031	3,722	3,986	4,910	1	1	556	325
Increase ...	...	565	...	...	955	1,188	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	231

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	By confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on their merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on merits to total decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. G. D. Turnbull,	...	...	...	...	...	9	9	100	
Ditto ...	Mr. B. Sapte ...	...	...	...	8	10	339	357	95	
Ditto ...	Mr. A. L. M. Phillipps	...	...	...	1	...	34	35	94	
Principal Sudder Ameen.	Paighumber Bux ...	62	41	18	32	26	655	834	79	
Moonsiff, Meerut,	Mirza Ali ...	23	208	3	12	96	338	680	50	
Ditto, ditto,	Cheda Lall ...	30	115	6	11	61	156	379	41	
Ditto, Boolundshuhur.	Mukhun Lall ...	7	91	7	7	32	34	178	19	
Ditto, ditto,	Abdool Mujeed ...	113	253	66	54	168	394	1,148	34	
Ditto, Ghazeeabad,	Ain-ood-deen ...	12	108	...	90	291	789	1,290	61	
	Total ...	247	916	100	215	684	2,748	4,910	56	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	178	169	185	202	167	183	...	...	69	60
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,067	1,148	731	1,119	836	1,200	...	...	294	226
Moonsiffs ...	5,360	6,512	2,737	6,208	2,967	6,573	...	...	675	629
Total ...	6,605	7,829	3,653	7,529	3,970	7,956	...	...	1,038	915
Increase ...	...	1,204	...	...	317	427	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	123

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	1,817	2,117	2,021	5,955	31·
1866 ...	2,213	946	3,029	6,188	35·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Presiding Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at the close of past year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Total number of cases decided by the Collector.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Mr. W. Forbes, Collector ...	2	13	15	13	...	...	13	2	...	68	19·
„ T. B. Tracey, Deputy Collector ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	16	6·
„ S. Ridsdale, Assistant Collector ...	7	8	15	10	2	...	12	3	17·	57	14·
„ W. Neale, Assistant Collector ...	2	21	23	10	7	6	23	...	30·	16	...
„ Porter, Settlement Officer ...	...	21	21	15	1	1	17	4	6·	140	15·
Kour Wuzeer Ali Khan, Deputy Collector,	3	5	8	2	6	...	8	...	75·	13	38·
Naseer Ali Khan Ditto ditto ...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	91	...
Bishumber Suhai Ditto ditto ...	1	4	5	2	...	1	3	2	...	27	15·
Kurm Ali Khan Ditto ditto ...	...	3	3	3	...	...	3	...	...	6	50·
Fuzl Ahmud Ditto ditto ...	1	4	5	4	1	...	5	...	25	4	100·
Tuffuzzool Hossein Ditto ditto ...	...	6	6	5	1	...	6	...	17·	6	100·
Total ...	17	86	103	64	19	8	91	13	21·	444	19·
				Rooiundshuhur.							
Mr. R. J. Crosthwaite, Assistant Collector,	3	21	24	10	6	1	17	7	35·	162	13·
Mr. Baldwin Ditto ditto ..	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	...	...
Mungul Sein Ditto ditto ...	6	7	13	3	8	...	11	2	73·	50	14·
Imdad Hossein Ditto ditto ...	2	9	11	2	9	...	11	...	82·	50	18·
Moheeb Ali Ditto ditto ...	18	6	24	18	6	...	24	...	25·	...	...
Miroo Lall Ditto ditto ...	6	...	6	3	3	...	6	...	50·	256	...
Syud Mahomed Ditto ditto ...	2	13	15	1	11	2	14	1	78·	326	4·
Total ...	37	58	95	38	44	3	85	10	52·	834	6·
Grand Total ...	54	144	198	102	63	11	176	22	36·	1,278	11·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Mr. G. Turnbull, Judge ...													
„ B. Sapte, Ditto ...													
„ A. Phillipps, Ditto ...													
Paighumber Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen.						BLANK.							
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff, Meerut ...													
Cheda Lall, Ditto ...													
Mukhun Lall, Ditto, Boolundshuhur,													
Abdool Mujeed, Ditto ...													
Ain-ood-deen, Ditto, Ghazeeabad ...													

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Mr. G. D. Turnbull, Judge	...	4	24	...	7	24
„ B. Sapte, Officiating Judge	...	...	...	...	3	7
„ A. L. M. Phillipps, Officiating Judge	...	...	...	...	1	17
Paighumber Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	1	17	...	1	21
Mirza Ali, Moonsiff of Meerut	...	...	28	...	...	20
Cheda Lall, Ditto ditto	...	...	...	...	...	27
Mukhun Lall, Ditto, Boolundshuhur	...	...	19	...	...	19
Abdool Majeed, Ditto, ditto	...	...	...	...	...	18
Ain-ood-deen, ditto, Ghazeeabad	...	...	21	...	...	22

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. B. Sapte, Officiating Judge	19	75	50	144	257	401	36·
Paighumber Buksh, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	113	34	555	702	132	834	84·
Cheda Lall, Moonsiff, Meerut	133	301	403	837	228	1,059	79·
Abdool Mujeed, Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur ...	138	323	725	1,186	140	1,326	89·
Ain-ood-deen, Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad	24	32	855	911	379	1,290	71·
Total ...	427	765	2,588	3,780	1,130	4,910	77·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs, and shares of Mouzahs, alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.									
		Temporary, conditional, or voluntary.				*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*					
		By order of Court, Section 243.		At instance of Collector, Section 244.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.	
		Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Number of Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.
Meerut.	Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	1	...	23	...	...	...	23
	Moonsiff, Meerut	...	...	...	14	...	22	...	...	...	22
	Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur ...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	35	...	35
	Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad ...	...	...	...	2	...	17	...	...	...	17
	Total ...	...	...	...	18	...	62	...	35	...	97

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of cases decided on their merits per mensem.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases decided.	Percentage reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases of personal attendance.	Remarks.
	Original.	Appeal.			M.	D.	M.	D.		
Paighumber Buksh	28	34	51·	24·	2	21	1	21	81	48

Sudder Ameens' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties were before the Court.	Remarks.
					M.	D.	M.	D		
Meerut	Mirza Ali	51	45	34	1	18	20		79	
Ditto	Cheda Lall	46	29	11	1	4	27			
Boolundshuhur, ...	Mukhun Lall	20	88	11	...		19		89	
Ditto	Abdool Mujeed ...	50	21	14	0	12	18			
Ghazeeabad	Ain-ood Deen	75	11	12	1	3	22		71	

INCOME *of Stamps and Cost of Subordinate Judges.*

COURTS.	*Average value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed ordinarily.	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	12,183 8 0	...	531 0 0	11,652 8 0	36,672 0 0	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen	20,576 8 0	216 13 0	235 0 0	20,558 5 0	6,600 0 0	13,958 0 0	...	
Moonsiff, Meerut	7,507 0 0	96 5 0	55 8 0	7,547 13 0	3,350 0 0	4,197 13 0	...	
Moonsiff, Boolundshuhur	12,249 0 0	125 15 0	271 0 0	10,103 15 0	2,640 0 0	7,463 15 0	...	
Moonsiff, Ghazeeabad	8,276 0 0	69 10 0	2 0 0	8,343 10 0	2,640 0 0	5,331 10 0	...	
Total ...	58,792 0 0	508 11 0	1,094 8 0	58,206 3 0	51,902 0 0	30,951 6 0	25,019 8 0	Net gain, Rs. 6,304-3-0.

ZILLAH MIRZAPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1867.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	308	310	248	258	235	244	...	...	77	95
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	808	811	725	860	757	920	...	1	237	180
Moonsiff	1,243	1,302	1,037	1,241	1,020	1,327	...	...	151	123
Total ...	2,359	2,423	2,010	2,359	2,012	2,491	...	1	465	398
Increase	...	64	...	...	2	132	...	1	...	..
Decrease	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	67

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Total number of cases disposed of during the year.*								Remarks.
		Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	
Judge ...	Mr. H. P. Fane ...	...	...	3	...	6	235	244	96·	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	Hubbeeb-oollah Khan ...	136	237	37	75	51	384	920	42·	
Moonsiff ...	Ajoodhia Pershaud,	169	441	60	105	142	410	1,327	31·	
	Total ...	305	678	100	180	199	1,029	2,491	37·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January, 1867.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	154	118	101	108	76	80	...	...	20	27
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	1,142	1,301	607	1,378	700	1,335	1	...	277	274
Moonsiff ...	1,575	1,052	927	1,869	1,058	1,656	...	...	196	192
Total ...	2,871	3,071	1,635	3,355	1,834	3,071	1	...	493	493
Increase ...	...	200	...	...	199	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	284	...	1	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1865 ...	422	404	1,642	2,428	17·
1866 ...	464	684	1,158	2,306	20·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.*				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to Civil Court to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1.—Mr. W. McChlery, Collector ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...	...
2.—„ R. F. Saunders, Officiating Collector,	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·
3.—„ J. H. Walker, Deputy Collector ...	1	1	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...
4.—„ W. W. G. Cornwall, Asst. Collector,	2	3	5	1	4	...	5	...	80·	7	43·
5.—„ W. C. Turner, Deputy Collector ...	...	3	3	...	2	...	2	1	100·	7	43·
6.—„ Wittinbaker, Ditto ...	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	15	20·
7.—„ F. Curwen, Ditto ...	4	1	5	...	5	...	5	...	100·	1	100·
8.—„ Alone Ditto ...	...	5	5	1	1	...	2	3	50·	27	19·
9.—„ J. Smith, Assistant Collector ...	...	4	4	2	1	...	3	1	33·	11	36·
10.—Rae Balmokund, Deputy Collector ...	...	4	4	2	...	...	2	2	...	13	31·
11.—Mahomed Takee, Ditto ...	1	8	9	6	3	...	9	...	33·	35	23·
12.—Moonshee Koour Ali, Ditto ...	1	20	21	10	8	...	18	3	44·	36	56·
13.—Bisheshur Dyal, Ditto ...	...	7	7	...	5	1	6	1	83	14	50·
Total ...	9	61	70	26	31	1	58	12	53·	167	37·

Operations of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen Hubbeeb-oollah Khan ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff Ajoodhia Pershaud ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. H. P. Fane, Judge	Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863	5	9	...	3	7
	...	4	3	...	4	13
Hubeeb-oollah, Khan Principal Sudder Ameen	...	2	5	...	2	8
Ajoodhia Pershaud, Moonsiff ...	...	1	4	...	1	2

22 4

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. H. P. Fano, Judge	39	55	85	179	65	244	73·
Hubbeeb-oollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	131	24	681	836	84	920	91·
Ajoodhia Pershaud, Moonsiff ...	154	390	501	1,045	282	1,327	79·
Total ...	324	469	1,267	2,060	431	2,491	83·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs, and shares of Mouzahs, alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	Entire mouzahs.	Shares of mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
Mirzapore,	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Prin. Sud. Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	2	2	2	7	
	Moonsiff ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	1	...	4	
	Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	2	3	2	11	

Principal Sudder Ameen's Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases per mensem decided on their merits and ex-parte.		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases and appeals in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.		Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.	Original cases.	Appeals.	
Hubbeeb-oollah Khan ...	37	6	49	36	2	17	2	8	90	98	
									91		

INCOME *of Stamps, and Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Court.*

1.	2.		3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.							
COURT.	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	10,869 8 0	...	234 0 0	10,635 8 0	36,976 0 0	...	26,340 8 0	Actual loss to Govt. Rs. 10,562-1-7.
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	22,568 8 0	102 12 0	1,386 0 0	21,285 4 0	9,000 0 0	12,285 4 0	...	
Moonsiff ...	7,469 0 0	101 0 0	40 0 0	7,530 0 0	4,036 13 7	3,493 2 5	...	
Total ...	40,907 0 0	203 12 0	1,660 0 0	39,451 12 0	50,012 13 7	15,778 6 5	26,340 8 0	

Moonsiff's Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases disposed of per mensem on merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
Mirzapore ...	Ajoodhia Pershaud ...	48.	26	31.	3 M. D. 0	1 M. D. 2	72.	

TABULAR STATEMENT *of Costs in Civil Suits for the Civil Courts of Zillah Mirzapore.*

Court.	Total number of suits disposed of, original and appeal.	Total value of suits, omitting fractions.	Costs. Value of Stamps required. For plaint and appeal, memorandum, &c. &c.	For appointment of Pleaders.	Total Stamp charges.	Pleaders' fees.	Tulubanah.	Diet of Witnesses.	Amens' fee.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average of costs per suit.	Percentage of Column 7 to Column 12.	Percentage of all other costs to Column 12.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
						Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge ...	244	1,15,815	5,211	266	5,477	11,327 0 0	271 0 0	23 0 0	10 0 0	82 0 0	17,190 0 0	474 15 5½	14 13 4	70 7 2½	65 14 3½	34 1 8½	
P. S. Ameen,	920	8,29,230	27,695	727	28,422	50,335 0 0	1,787 0 0	1,109 0 0	708 0 0	745 0 0	83,106 0 0	901 5 4¾	10 0 4¼	90 5 3¾	60 9 1	39 6 11	
Moonsiff ...	1,327	91,621	6,322	635	6,957	6,751 0 0	1,576 0 0	633 0 0	296 0 0	205 0 0	16,418 0 0	69 0 8¼	17 14 8½	12 5 11½	41 1 10¾	58 14 1¼	
Total ...	2,491	10,36,666	39,228	1,628	40,856	68,413 0 0	3,634 0 0	1,765 0 0	1,014 0 0	1,032 0 0	1,16,714 0 0	416 0 0	11 0 0	47 0 0	59 0 0	41 0 0	

ZILLAH MORADABAD.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January, 1867.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
Judge ...	741	890	112	124	457	515	2	...	385	248
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	217	231	448	465	562	599	1	...	252	...
Additional P. S. Ameen ...	...	3	...	...	374	389	...	...	...	20
Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	60	66	57	60	54	66	...	...	4	4
Moonsiffs ...	5,127	5,459	4,153	5,161	4,429	5,608	1	...	456	300
Total ...	6,145	6,649	4,770	5,810	5,876	7,177	4	...	1,097	572
Increase ...	...	504	...	...	1,106	1,367	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	525

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	*Instituted*, 1865.			*Instituted*, 1866.			Remarks.
	Appeals.	Original.	Total.	Appeals.	Original.	Total.	
Judge	738	...	738	878	...	878	
Principal Sudder Ameen	...	202	202	...	214	214	
Sudder Ameen	...	60	60	...	65	65	
Moonsiff of Bijnour	...	777	777	...	713	713	
Ditto of Nugeenah	...	1,021	1,021	...	1,074	1,074	
Ditto of Amroha	...	738	738	...	867	867	
Ditto of Sumbhul	...	644	644	...	822	822	
Ditto of Billaree	...	691	691	...	690	690	
Ditto of City	...	641	641	...	636	636	
Ditto of Environs	...	519	519	...	530	530	
Total	738	5,293	6,031	878	5,611	6,489	
Increase	...	...	...	140	318	458	
Decrease	...	...	...	...	...	...	

Court.	Appeals from Principal Sudder Ameens.			Appeals from Sudder Ameen and Moonsiffs.			Appeals from Revenue Officers.			Total decided.		
	On trial.	Otherwise.	Total.	On trial.	Otherwise.	Total.	On trial.	Otherwise.	Total.	On trial.	Otherwise.	Total.
Mr. Lindsay, Judge ...	82	4	86	117	12	129	192	15	207	391	31	422
Mr. Phillipps, Judge ...	10	1	11	10	4	14	41	22	63	61	27	88
Total ...	92	5	97	127	16	143	233	37	270	452	58	510
Mahomed Hussun, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	364	3	367	...	...	...	364	3	367
Rai Hait Lall, Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	288	3	291	...	...	...	288	3	291

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	Ex-parte.	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. Phillipps ...	...	...	20	1	6	61	88	69·	
	Mr. Lindsay ...	...	...	2	8	21	396	427	93·	
Prin. Sud. Ameen,	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	36	16	12	7	18	510	599	85·	
Addl. P. S. Ameen,	Rai Hait Lall ...	11	1	3	3	7	364	389	94·	
Sudder Ameen, Bijnour.	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	3	4	...	...	3	7	17	41·	
Moonsiff, Bijnour,	Ditto ...	11	74	1	2	60	72	220	33·	
Sud. Ameen, ditto,	Jewun Kishen ...	4	8	5	...	4	28	49	57·	
Moonsiff, ditto,	Ditto ...	31	155	11	14	104	175	490	36·	
Ditto, Nugeenah,	Ditto ...	14	10	13	8	17	71	133	53·	
Ditto, ditto ...	Mahomed Kamil...	70	114	50	43	85	620	982	63·	
Ditto, Amroha...	Shunker Dass ..	109	324	26	6	179	216	860	25·	
Ditto, Sumbhul,	Sabit Ali ...	17	349	16	6	146	305	839	36·	
Ditto, Environs,	Hursahoy ...	131	84	18	25	30	400	688	58·	
Ditto, City ...	Sheo Narain ...	133	214	11	13	103	189	663	29·	
Ditto, Bellaree...	Nawab Roy ...	71	264	17	50	125	206	733	28·	
	Total ...	641	1,617	205	186	908	3,620	7,177	50·	

	Judge.		Principal Sudder Ameen.		Additional Principal Sudder Ameen.		Sudder Ameen, Bijnour.		Moonsiff, Bijnour.		Moonsiff, Nugeenah.		Moonsiff, Amroha.		Moonsiff, Sumbhal.		Moonsiff, Environs.		Moonsiff, City.		Moonsiff, Bilsree.		Total.		Remarks.
	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.	1865	1866.	
Ex-parte	...	...	17	36	...	11	8	7	93	42	117	84	101	109	31	17	77	131	102	131	54	71	600	641	
On confession	...	...	13	16	...	1	11	12	266	239	75	124	270	324	241	349	90	84	210	214	239	264	1,415	1,617	
By arbitration	9	22	6	12	...	3	...	5	25	12	81	63	18	26	12	16	15	18	8	11	20	17	193	205	
Dismissed on default	6	9	...	7	...	3	...	...	17	16	93	51	5	6	4	6	11	25	28	13	38	50	202	186	
Adjusted or withdrawn	6	27	17	18	..	7	3	7	138	164	151	102	129	179	76	146	60	30	117	103	141	125	838	908	
On merits	103	457	412	510	...	364	38	35	317	247	588	691	229	216	159	305	323	400	159	189	233	206	2,561	3,320	
Total ...	124	515	465	599	...	389	60	66	856	710	1,105	1,115	752	860	523	839	576	688	624	663	725	733	5,810	7,177	
Percentage of cases decided on merits to total number disposed of ...	81	89	89	85	...	93	63	53	37	35	53	62	30	25	30	37	56	58	25	29	32	28	44	49	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		Above one year.		Total pending on 1st January.	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1865.	1866.	1865.	1866.
Judge ...	123	173	73	78	155	159	...	...	32	23
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	664	751	255	658	313	790	...	...	93	56
Additional P. S. Ameen ...	...	17	...	...	3	8	...	...	...	8
Sudder Ameen ...	331	309	237	363	255	311	...	...	37	36
Moonsiffs ...	11,623	11,899	8,810	12,781	9,049	11,969	7	...	1,531	1,410
Total ...	12,741	13,149	9,375	13,880	9,775	13,237	7	...	1,693	1,533
Increase ...	...	408	...	...	400	...	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	643	...	7	...	160

Applications for execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1865 ...	1,754	1,405	4,166	7,325	24·
1866 ...	1,997	1,414	3,222	6,633	30·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Total number of suits relating to rent instituted and disposed of by each of the Revenue Officers.		Appeals pending at close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total cases disposed of.	Percentage of cases appealed to the number decided by each Revenue Officer.
	Instituted.	Decided.				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.			
Mr. R. Manderson, Collector of Moradabad	...	7	21	12	33	23	5	4	32	1	16·	...
„ A. Boulderson, Deputy Collector of Moradabad	...	45	...	18	18	6	10	...	16	2	62·	40·
„ Tyrrell, Ditto of ditto	...	8	26	20	46	19	25	2	46	...	54·	...
„ Butt, Ditto of ditto	...	115	8	33	40	15	12	4	31	9	39·	28·
„ Joyce, Ditto of ditto	...	96	22	50	72	31	27	4	62	10	44·	52·
Mirza Ehsan Ali Beg, Ditto of ditto	...	100	1	17	18	3	15	...	18	...	83·	17·
Emam-ood-deen, Tehseeldar of ditto	...	3	3	1	4	3	1	...	4	...	25·	33·
Kefaet-ool-lah Khan, Ditto of Sumbhul	...	1	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	100·
Meer Imdad Ali, Deputy Collector of Moradabad	...	...	2	...	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	...
Abdool Hadee, Tehseeldar of Amroha	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...
Kewul Kishen, Ditto of Hussunpore	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...
Mahomed Ali, Ditto of Billaree	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	...
Sookhbasee Lall, Ditto of Thakoordwarah	...	...	1	1	2	1	...	1	2	...	...	...
Total ...	...	375	87	152	239	101	101	15	217	22	46·	41·
Bijnour.												
Mr. M. Sandys, Deputy Collector of Bijnour	40	35	1	11	12	5	4	...	9	3	44·	31·
„ Carpenter, Ditto of ditto	90	36	1	7	8	2	2	...	4	4	50·	19·
Kooer Luchmun Singh, Ditto of ditto	116	100	16	22	38	18	17	3	38	...	45·	22·
Nanick Chund, Ditto of ditto	48	22	1	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...
Kadur Ali, Ditto of ditto	115	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Anoop Singh, Ditto of ditto	42	14	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	7·
Khoorsheid Ali Khan, Ditto of ditto	11	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Radha Kishun, Ditto of ditto	44	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	506	250	19	41	60	26	24	3	53	7	45·	16·
GRAND TOTAL	506	625	106	193	299	127	125	18	270	29	46·	30·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.				REMARKS.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Mr. Lindsay, Judge	5	5	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rai Hait Lall, Additional Principal Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen, Bijnour	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Jewan Kishun, Sudder Ameen, Ditto,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Ditto, Ditto, Nugeenah,	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahomed Kamil, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Shunker Dass, Ditto, Amroha ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sabit Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Hursahoy Ditto, Environs ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Sheo Narain, Ditto, City ...	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Nawab Rae, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	8	8	4	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
CIVIL.						
Mr. A. L. M. Phillipps, Judge, Moradabad	...	...	...	...	7	24
„ C. R. Lindsay, Ditto, Ditto	...	7	27	...	5	17
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, Moradabad,	...	3	28	...	5	5
Rai Hait, Additional Ditto, Ditto ...	...	0	...	...	5	1
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	...	1	2	...	...	21
Ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto ...	...	...	20	...	...	15
Jeewun Kishun, Sudder Ameen, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	1	22
Ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	26
Ditto, Ditto, Nugeenah ...	...	...	30	...	1	11
Mahomed Kamul, Ditto, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	2	11
Pundit Hursahoy, Ditto, Environs ...	...	...	27	...	...	16
Sheo Narain, Ditto, City ...	...	1	24	...	1	...
Sabit Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul ...	...	...	28	...	1	15
Nawab Rae, Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	30	...	...	25
Shunker Dass, Ditto, Amroha ...	...	...	30	...	...	19
CASES OF ACT X. OF 1859.						
Mr. A. L. M. Phillipps, Judge, Moradabad	...	...	...	...	2	28
„ C. R. Lindsay, Ditto, Ditto	...	8	17	...	2	21

Personal attendance of parties to suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total No. of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Original Cases.							
Mr. Phillipps, Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
„ C. R. Lindsay, Judge	...	...	3	3	2	5	60·
Appeal Cases.							
Mr. Phillipps, Judge	...	...	...	...	88	88	...
„ Lindsay, Judge	40	35	45	120	302	422	28·
Original Cases.							
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	62	78	21	161	70	231	70·
Appeal Cases.							
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen ...	20	18	3	41	327	368	11·
Original Cases.							
Rai Hait Lall, Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	15	17	20	52	46	98	53·
Appeal Cases.							
Rai Hait Lall, Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	70	50	80	200	91	291	69·
Mahomed Hussun Khan, Sudder Ameen, Bijnour ...	3	1	11	15	2	17	88·
Ditto ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto ...	23	42	140	205	15	220	93·
Jewun Kishun, Sudder Ameen, Ditto ...	6	9	28	43	6	49	88·
Ditto, Moonsiff, Ditto ...	40	85	320	445	45	490	91·
Shunker Dass, Moonsiff Amroha	101	288	327	716	144	860	83·
Jewun Kishun, Ditto, Nugeenah	16	20	74	110	23	133	83·
Mahomed Kamil, Ditto, Ditto	140	149	530	819	163	982	83·
Pundit Hursahoy, Ditto, Environs	95	61	474	630	58	688	92·
Sheo Narain, Ditto, City	62	71	510	643	20	663	98·
Sabit Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul...	60	242	367	669	170	839	80·
Nawab Rao, Ditto, Billaree	172	222	254	648	85	733	88·
Total ...	925	1,388	3207	5,520	1,657	7,177	77.

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent, under Section* 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector, Section 244.		Total of Columns 3 and 5.	Total of Columns 4 and 6.	Hereditary.		Other.		Total number of decrees executed or put into execution during the year.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.			Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14	15.
Moradabad and Bijnour.	Judge ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	42	...	3	2	45	
	Additional Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Sudder Ameen, Bijnour...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	10	
	Moonsiff, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	...	...	21	
	Ditto, Amroha ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	...	1	...	24	
	Ditto, Nugeenah ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	...	1	...	13	
	Ditto, Environs ...	...	2	...	1	...	3	...	2	...	...	...	2	
	Ditto, City ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ditto, Sumbhul ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	2	...	9	
	Ditto, Billaree ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	1	...	6	
	Total ...	...	2	...	1	...	3	2	122	...	8	2	130	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	Remarks.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
						M. D.		
Mahomed Hussun Khan...	16	30	50	43	...	5 5	34	...

	Appeals decided on merits by the Judge.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of reversals to appeals decided.
Mr. Lindsay	75	37	38	50·
„ Phillipps ...	10	9	1	10·
Total ...	85	46	39	46·

Additional Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Additional Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original Suits.	Appeals.						
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
						M. D.		
Rai Hait Lall	14	48	24	62	8 days	5 1	65·	

	Appeals decided on merits by the Judge.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of reversals to appeals decided.
Mr. C. R. Lindsay, Judge,	8	3	5	62·

Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.		Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
					M.	D.		
Mahomed Hussun	5	50	56	...	0	21	88·	
Jewun Kishun	5	20	12	20 days.	1	22	88·	

	Appeals decided by the Judge.	Confirmed.	Modified or reversed.	Percentage of reversals to appeals decided.
Judge	19	8	11	58·

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided per mensem on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals tried.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.		Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					Days.	M.	D.		
Bijnour ...	Mahomed Hussun ...	28	19	87	...	0	15	93·	
Ditto ...	Jewun Kishun ...	27	7	17	14	0	26	91·	
Nugeenah ...	Ditto ...	49	34	67	...	1	11	83·	
Ditto ...	Mahomed Kamil ...	82	11	21	29	2	8	83·	
Amroha ...	Shunker Dass ...	28	29	37	18	0	19	95·	
Sumbhul ...	Sabit Ally ...	28	32	34	25	1	15	80·	
Environs ...	Pundit Hursahoy ...	45	26	37	...	0	16	91·	
City ...	Sheo Narain ...	27	53	34	27	1	0	97·	
Billaree ...	Nawab Rae ...	24	40	40	30	0	25	88·	

COURT.	Appeals decided.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of reversals to appeals decided.
Mahomed Hussun, Moonsiff, Bijnour	8	7	1	12·
Jewun Kishun, Ditto, Ditto	1	...	1	100·
Ditto, Ditto, Nugeenah	12	7	5	42·
Mahomed Kamil, Ditto, Ditto, ...	1	...	1	100·
Shunker Dass, Ditto, Amroha	12	7	5	42·
Sotee Beharee Lall, Ditto, Environs	5	...	5	100·
Pundit Hursahoy, Ditto, Ditto, ...	24	19	5	20·
Sheo Narain, Ditto, City	21	11	10	48·
Nawab Rae, Ditto, Billaree	10	2	8	80·
Abid Ali, Ditto, Sumbhul	10	7	3	30·
Sabit Ali, Ditto, Ditto	4	2	2	50·

Court.	Average value of Stamps filed. Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently-stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Costs of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P	Rs. As. P.	
Judge	12,876 8 0	232 4 0	658 0 0	12,450 12 0	37,776 0 0	...	25,325 4 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen	19,216 8 0	588 4 0	396 0 0	19,408 12 0	6,600 0 0	12,808 12 0	...	
Additional Principal Sudder Ameen	670 8 0	...	251 8 0	419 0 0	3,120 0 0	...	2,701 0 0	
Sudder Ameen of Bijnour	2,842 8 0	74 3 0	48 0 0	2,868 11 0	3,960 0 0	...	1,091 5 0	
Moonsiff of Ditto	5,641 8 0	95 8 0	149 8 0	5,587 8 0	...	5,587 8 0	...	
Ditto of Amroha	5,632 0 0	81 3 0	102 0 0	5,611 3 0	3,360 0 0	2,251 3 0	...	
Ditto of Nugeenah	7,388 0 0	40 14 0	32 0 0	7,396 14 0	3,360 0 0	4,036 14 0	...	
Ditto of Environs	4,197 8 0	18 6 0	31 8 0	4,184 6 0	2,640 0 0	1,544 6 0	...	
Ditto of City	4,750 8 0	62 7 0	153 8 0	4,659 7 0	2,640 0 0	2,019 7 0	...	
Ditto of Sumbhul	4,620 0 0	18 4 0	58 0 0	4,580 4 0	2,640 0 0	1,940 4 0	...	
Ditto of Bellaree	4,835 12 0	7 3 0	106 8 0	4,736 7 0	2,640 0 0	2,096 7 0	...	
Total ...	72,671 4 0	1,218 8 0	1,986 8 0	71,903 4 0	68,736 0 0	32,284 13 0	29,117 9 0	

ZILLAH MYNPOORY.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge's	565	698	325	352	362	398	...	1	33	41
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	176	193	523	547	446	470	2	...	68	85
Moonsiffs	3,181	4,444	2,763	3,172	3,630	4,494	...	1	154	102
Total ...	3,992	5,335	3,611	4,071	4,438	5,362	2	2	255	228
Increase ...	...	1,343	...	...	827	1,291	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27

Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	C. Horne, Esquire,	...	1	1	33	2	361	398	91·	
P. S. Ameen ...	Mahomed Vujjeeb ool-lah Khan ...	11	36	8	4	12	389	460	85·	
Ditto ...	Rai Dabee Dyal, from 1st to 15th January, 1866 ...	...	...	...	...	...	10	10	100·	
Etawah, Sudder Ameen.	Ali Bux Khan, from 1st January to 7th August ...	84	366	37	64	119	194	864	22·	
Ditto ...	Rai Mukhun Lall, 20th August to end of year ...	47	178	20	36	49	173	503	34·	
Etah, 2nd Grade Moonsiff.	Baboo Kunhya Lall, 1st to 21st January, and 24th August to 31st December ...	47	169	35	23	40	152	466	33·	
Ditto ...	Nasir Ali Khan, 22nd January to 22nd February ...	14	30	5	...	10	21	80	26·	
Ditto ...	Rai Mukhun Lall, 27th February to 17th August ...	100	232	24	33	41	161	591	27·	
Ditto ...	Mahomed Syud Khan, 20th to 23rd August ...	4	8	...	2	2	2	18	11·	
Mynpoory, 3rd Grade Moonsiff.	Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud ...	142	542	75	27	111	407	1,304	31·	
Shekoabad, 3rd Grade Moonsiff.	Q mr-ood-deen Hossein ...	135	141	44	20	47	281	663	42·	
	Total ...	584	1,703	249	242	438	2,151	5,362	40·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.				Remarks.
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year*		*Total pending.*		
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.	
Judges	209	186	231	258	180	182	...	...	4	8	
Principal Sudder Ameen	381	546	277	472	334	559	...	...	105	125	
Moonsiffs	5,116	6,499	3,707	6,778	4,075	6,167	2	...	566	897	
Total ...	5,706	7,231	4,215	7,508	4,589	6,908	2	...	674	1,030	
Increase ...	...	1,525	...	...	374	...	...	...	...	356	
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	600	...	...	...	...	

Applications for execution of Decrees.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
Year.	*Number of applications for execution.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1865...	856	1,305	3,263	5,424	16·	
1866 ...	1,088	1,367	2,297	4,752	23·	

District.	Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of 1865.	Instituted during 1866.	Total.	Decided. Confirmed.	Decided. Reversed or modified.	Decided. Otherwise disposed of.	Decided. Total.	Pending at close of 1866.	Percentage of cases reversed or Modified to total cases disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Officers in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Officers.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.
	Mr. B. W. Colvin, Collector ...	...	5	5	2	3	...	5	...	60·	20	25·
	„ M. A. McConaghey, Officiating Deputy Collector ...	...	17	17	8	6	...	14	3	43·	150	11·
	„ F. N. Wright, Asstt. Collector,	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	15	13·
	„ F. T. Growse, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...
	Dabee Pershaud, Deputy Collector,	...	3	3	2	1	...	3	...	33·	10	30·
	Mahomed Hussun, Ditto ...	...	19	19	6	12	...	18	1	67·	113	17·
	Mr. W. Kaye, Officiating Collector...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
Tehseeldars.	Raheem-ood-deen, Dy. Collector,	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	13	8·
	Hafeez-ood-deen, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...
	Ali Mahomed Khan, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...
	Rughobuns Sahae, Ditto ...	...	25	25	14	11	...	25	...	44·	83	30·
	Ajoodhia Pershad, Ditto ...	1	1	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	13	18·
	Mohun Lall, Ditto ...	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·	8	12·
	Buldeo Bux, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	...
	Total ...	1	75	76	35	37	...	72	4	51·	471	16·
	Mr. W. C. Moore, Deputy Collector,	...	2	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	40	5·
	„ R. Wall, Ditto ...	...	19	19	8	11	...	19	...	58·	75	25·
	„ Jardine, Ditto ...	...	6	6	3	3	...	6	...	50·	64	9·
	„ Kennedy, Assistant Collector ...	...	2	2	...	2	...	2	...	100·	30	7·
	„ Mon agu, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
	Baboo Kun oo Lall, Deputy Collector,	1	19	20	13	7	...	20	...	35·	161	11·
Tehseeldars.	Mehdi Ali Ditto ...	...	13	13	8	5	...	13	...	38·	109	12·
	Kamal-ood-deen Hossein, Ditto...	...	13	13	1	1	...	2	11	50·	79	16·
	Narain Dass, Ditto ...	1	17	18	8	10	...	18	...	55·	153	11·
	Gobind Pershad Ditto ...	...	10	10	4	6	..	10	...	60·	110	9·
	Dawur Ali, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...
	Girdharee Lall, Ditto ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	...
	Total ...	2	100	103	47	45	...	92	11	49·	847	12·
	Mr. C. H. Crosthwaite, Dy. Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	...
	„ C. Twigg, Asst. Dy. Collector,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	67	...
	Umjud Ali, Deputy Col lector ...	...	3	3	...	2	...	2	1	100·	50	6·
	Gunga Pershaud, Ditto ...	...	17	17	5	12	...	17	...	70·	109	15·
Tehseeldars.	Horee Lall, Ditto ...	...	3	3	1	...	1	2	1	...	44	7·
	Hurnarain, Ditto ...	...	2	2	1	1	...	2	...	50·	26	8·
	Dawur Ali, Ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	..	26	26	8	15	1	24	2	62·	306	8·
	Grand Total ...	3	202	205	90	97	1	188	17	51·	1,624	2·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court, and designation of Officer.	*Operation of Section* 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859.				*Operation of Section* 169, *Act XXV. of* 1861.				*Operation of Section* 170, *Act XXV. of* 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of cases committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Judge's Court, C. Horne, Esquire ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Principal Sudder Ameen Mahomud Vujjeeb-ool-lah Khan	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Rai Mukhun Lall, Sudder Ameen of Etawah	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Baboo Kunhya Lall, 2nd grade Moonsiff, Etah	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Kawal-ood-deen, Ahmud, 3rd grade Moonsiff, Mynpoorie	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, 3rd grade Moonsiff, Shekoabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Years.	Months.	Days.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Mr. C. Horne, Judge	...	5	17	0	...	27
	...	5	16	0	1	21
Rai Dabee Dyal, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st to 15th January, 1866	...	1	6	0	2	2
Mahomed Vujjeeb-oollah Khan, from 16th January to 31st December, 1866	...	...	...	...	2	10
Ali Buksh, Sudder Ameen of Etawah, from 1st January to 7th August, 1866	...	...	...	...	...	25
Rai Mukhun Lall, Officiating Ditto, from 20th August to end of year	...	...	...	...	...	29
Baboo Kunhya Lall, Moonsiff, Etah, from 1st to 21st January, and again from 24th August to 31st December, 1866	...	...	17	...	...	14
Rae Mukhun Lall, Ditto, from 27th February to 17th August, 1866	...	...	...	...	...	13
Nasir Ali Khan, Ditto, from 22nd January to 22nd February, 1866	...	...	...	...	...	15
Mahomed Syud Khan, Ditto, from 20th to 23rd August, 1866	...	...	...	...	...	8
Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud, Moonsiff of Mynpoorie ...	...	...	24	...	...	17
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Moonsiff of Shekoabad ...	...	...	18	...	...	17
Total ...	...	2	6	...	...	29½

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court, and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally present in Court.	In which defendant was personally present in Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
Mr. C. Horne, Judge	36	48	1	85	313	398	21·
Mahomed Vujjeeboollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen	50	38	44	132	338	470	28.
Rai Mukhun Lall, Officiating Sudder Ameen at Etawah	131	544	510	1,185	182	1,367	87·
Baboo Kunhya Lall, Officiating Moonsiff at Etah,	175	185	699	1,029	126	1,155	89·
Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud, Moonsiff, 3rd grade, of Mynpoorie	133	175	879	1,187	117	1,304	91·
Qumr-ood-deen Hossein, Ditto at Shekoabad ...	90	65	408	563	105	668	84·
Total ...	615	1,025	2,541	4,181	1,181	5,362	78·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248, *Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Civil Court, Section 243.		At instance of Collector, Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.
	Judge's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Principal Sudder Ameen's,	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	14	...	...	...	14	
	Sudder Ameen of Etawah,	...	2	...	8	...	10	...	6	...	2	...	8	
	Moonsiff of Etah ...	...	4	...	5	...	9	...	38	...	3	...	41	
	Moonsiff of Mynpoory ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	22	...	1	...	23	
	Moonsiff of Shekoabad,	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	2	...	10	...	12	
	Total ...	...	6	...	22	...	28	...	82	...	16	...	98	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed to appealable cases.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	*Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.*	
	Original Suits.	Appeals.					Original.	Appeals.
						M. D		
Rai Daboe Dyal, 1st to 15th January, 1866 ...	1	9	...	47·	...	2 2	100·	...
Mahomed Vujjeeb-oollah Khan, from 16th January to 31st December, 1866,	12	22	57·	42·	46 days.	2 10	34·	23·

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular suits decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed to those appealable.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.
					Days.	Days.	
Etawah, Sudder Ameen.	Abdool Rahman Khan, late Sudder Ameen	...	11·	33·	...	...	
	Ali Bukah Khan, from 1st January to 7th August, 1866 ...	38	42·	31·	...	25	87·
	Rai Mukhun Lall, from 27th February to end of year ...	48	27·	26·	21	29 13	
Etah Moonsiffee, 2nd grade.	Baboo Kunhya Lall, from 1st to 21st January, and 24th August to end of year ...	40	24·	29·	9	14	
	Nasir Ali, from 22nd January to 22nd February ...	35	43·	44·	...	15	89·
	Mahomed Syud Khan, 20th to 23rd August, 1866 ...	6	...	...	...	8	
Myupoory Moonsiffee.	Kamal-ood-deen Ahmud ...	46	34	38·	3	17	91·
Shokoabad Moonsiffee.	Qumr-ood-deen Hossein ...	35	16	26·	12	17	84·

INCOME *of Stamps, and Costs of Subordinate Judges.*

COURT.	*Aggregate value of Stamps filed.* Value of Stamps filed (ordinarily).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped document.	Amount ordered to be refunded on account of Razeonnamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judge's Salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Net loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judge's Court	11,219 7 0	...	339 0 0	10,880 7 0	36,628 8 0	...	25,748 0 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen's Court	12,104 14 0	111 9 0	211 0 0	12,005 7 0	6,600 0 0	5,405 7 0	...	
Etawah, Sudder Ameen's Ditto	9,173 8 0	106 8 0	122 8 0	9,157 8 0	4,250 0 0	4,907 8 0	...	
Etah, 2nd grade Moonsiff	8,381 4 0	12 6 0	111 8 0	8,282 2 0	3,360 0 0	4,922 2 0	...	
Mynpoorie, 3rd grade Ditto	8,523 10 0	60 12 0	131 8 0	8,452 14 0	2,649 10 0	5,803 4 0	...	
Shekoabad, 3rd grade Ditto	5,287 3 0	48 12 0	38 0 0	5,297 15 0	2,640 0 0	2,657 15 0	...	
Total ...	54,689 14 0	339 15 0	953 8 0	54,076 5 0	56,128 2 0	23,696 4 0	25,748 0 0	Net loss. 2,051 13 0

ZILLAH SEHARUNPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge's Court ...	507	595	204	220	402	416	...	...	148	75
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	181	302	471	515	521	605	...	1	74	36
Sudder Ameen ...	22	39	21	27	21	25	...	...	1	16
Moonsiff ...	2,843	3,450	1,901	2,822	2,080	3,455	1	...	206	185
Total ...	3,553	4,386	2,597	3,584	3,024	4,501	1	1	429	312
Increase ...	...	833	...	...	427	917	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	117

Number and proportion per cent. of cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total No. of cases disposed of								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge ...	Mr. S. J. Becher, Original ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Appeals ...	...	...	...	1	...	7	8	87	
Ditto ...	„ M. Thornhill, Original ...	...	...	...	1	...	7	8	87	
	Appeals ...	...	...	...	3	9	388	400	97	
P. S. Ameen of Seharunpore, ...	Sookhbasee Lall, Original ...	25	18	25	1	40	141	250	56	
	Appeals ...	...	...	1	1	5	316	323	98	
P. S. Ameen of Dehra Doon.	Mr. S. S. Melville ...	3	1	...	1	3	...	8	...	
	„ C. A. Daniell ...	4	2	3	1	3	11	24	46	
Sudder Ameen of Ditto,	„ S. S. Melville ...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	
	„ C. A. Daniell ...	14	1	...	1	3	5	24	21	
Moonsiff of Mozuffurnuggur.	Gunput Rae ...	65	182	80	44	179	207	757	27	
Ditto of Seharunpore.	Khadim Hossein ...	172	280	58	65	379	303	1,257	24	
Ditto of Deobund,	Meer Badshah ...	50	51	92	35	181	185	594	31	
Ditto of Shamlee,	Gopal Rae ...	43	293	92	138	8	157	731	21	
Ditto of Dehra Doon.	Mr. C. F. Hall ...	73	3	2	6	12	7	103	7	
Ditto of ditto,	„ A. Sells ...	5	...	...	1	3	4	13	31	
	Total ...	455	831	353	299	825	1,738	4,501	39	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Above one year.	On 1st January, 1866.	1866.	1867.
Judge ...	142	121	101	122	120	150	...	...	39	9
Principal Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore and Dehra Doon.	835	873	463	905	570	869	...	...	145	149
Ditto of Dehra Doon ...	47	43	22	53	11	40	...	...	24	27
Moonsiffs ...	7,959	8,944	5,862	10,687	6,290	8,932	...	...	657	683
Total ...	8,983	9,981	6,448	11,767	6,991	9,991	...	...	865	867
Increase ...	...	998	...	...	543	...	...	...	...	2
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	1,776	...	...	...	...

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	Number of applications for execution of Decrees.				Percentage on Columns 2 and 5.	Remarks.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1865 ...	1,703	1,732	5,195	8,630	20·	
1866 ...	1,623	1,771	2,886	6,280	26·	

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and Designation of Revenue Officers.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	Disposed of during the year.				Pending at close of year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals disposed of.	Cases appealable to the Civil Court decided by the Revenue Authorities in 1865.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue Authorities.
				Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.				
Seharunpore.											
Mr. H. LeP. Wynne, Deputy Collector.	4	10	14	3	9	...	12	2	75	64	16·
„ W. J. Mulligan Ditto ditto,	7	2	9	3	6	...	9	...	66	12	17·
„ W. A. Howe, Assistant Collector.	...	4	4	...	4	...	4	...	100	44	9·
„ J. Morgan, Deputy Collector,	...	13	13	5	8	...	13	...	62	38	34·
Moonshee Nund Kishore, Ditto ditto.	6	10	16	7	9	...	16	...	56	92	11·
Pundit Kedarnath, Ditto ditto,	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	17	6·
Beharee Lall, Ditto ditto,	...	1	1	...	1	...	1	...	100	1	100·
Total ...	17	41	58	18	37	...	55	3	67	268	15·
Mozuffernuggur.											
Mr. S. N. Martin, Collector ...	...	4	4	1	3	...	4	...	75	6	67·
„ R. J. Leeds, Assistant ditto,	13	15	28	1	20	...	21	7	95	111	14·
„ W. Irvine, Deputy Collector,	...	16	16	5	8	...	13	3	62	58	28·
Vikar Ali Beg, Ditto ditto ...	1	2	3	2	1	...	3	...	33	2	100·
Fuzzul Hossein, Ditto ditto ...	2	8	10	6	2	...	8	2	25	21	38·
Moheeb Ali, Ditto ditto ...	...	1	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	11	9·
Total ...	16	46	62	16	34	...	50	12	63	209	22·
GRAND TOTAL ...	33	87	120	34	71	...	105	15	63	477	18·

Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859, and Sections 169 and 170, Act XXV. of 1861.

Court.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.				Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.				Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.			
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.
Judges ...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Moonsiff of Deobund ...	1	1	1	...	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...
Ditto of Shamlee ...	2	2	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...	5	5	4	1	2	2	2	...	...	...	...	...

Average duration of Suits.

Name and Designation of Officers.		Year 1865.			Year 1866.			Remarks.
		Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	
Mr. S. J. Becher, Judge,	Original	...	...	28	...	...	...	
	Appeals under Act VIII. of 1859.	...	4	12	...	5	3	
	Ditto under Act X. of 1859, and XIV. of 1863.	...	5	...	...	...	...	
„ M. Thornhill, Ditto,	Original	...	...	...	...	2	4	
	Appeals under Act VIII. of 1859.	...	...	...	...	1	24	
	Ditto under Act X. of 1859, and XIV. of 1863.	...	...	...	...	2	18	
Sookhbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen,	Original	...	1	10	...	1	...	
	Appeals under Act VIII. of 1859.	...	1	8	...	...	29	
Mr. S. S. Melville, Ditto		...	1	26	...	1	27	
„ C. A. Daniell, Ditto		...	...	...	...	2	21	
„ S. S. Melville, Sudder Ameen		...	1	10	...	...	29	
„ C. A. Daniell, Ditto		...	...	...	...	2	...	
Gunput Rae, Moonsiff of Mozufferuuggur		...	...	24	...	1	1	
Khadim Hossein, Ditto of Seharunpore		...	...	26	...	...	29	
Meer Badshah, Ditto of Deobund		...	...	19	...	...	15	
Gopal Rae, Ditto of Shamlee		...	...	20	...	...	25	
Mr. C. F. Hall, Ditto of Dehra Doon		...	...	24	...	...	26	
„ A. Sells, Ditto of ditto		...	...	...	...	2	13	

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.		Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			
1.		2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
Judges ... Mr. S. J. Becher,	Original	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Appeal	2	1	1	4	4	8	50
Mr. M. Thornhill,	Original	...	...	7	7	1	8	87
	Appeal	...	...	...	...	400	400	...
Principal Sudder Ameen, Sookhbasee Lall.	Original	26	15	197	238	12	250	96
	Appeal	86	65	46	197	126	323	61
Ditto ditto	Mr. S. S. Melville,	5	1	2	8	...	8	100
	Mr. C. A. Daniell,	5	2	12	19	5	24	79
Sudder Ameen, ...	Mr. S. S. Melville,	1	...	...	1	...	1	100
	Mr. C. A. Daniell,	14	1	5	20	4	24	83
Moonsiff, ...	Mr. C. F. Hall ...	67	...	15	82	22	104	79
Ditto, ...	Mr. A. Solle ...	7	...	2	9	3	12	75
Ditto	Gunput Rae ...	72	104	409	585	172	757	77
Ditto	Kadim Hossein ...	215	273	706	1,194	63	1,257	95
Ditto	Meer Badshah ...	50	1	510	561	33	594	94
Ditto	Gopal Rae ...	70	42	605	717	14	731	98
	Total ...	620	505	2,517	3,642	859	4,501	81

Operation of Sections 243, 244, *and* 248 *of Act VIII. of* 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of decrees of Civil Courts during the year.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.*						
		By order of Courts under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12	13.	
Sharunpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen of Scharunpore	...	...	...	1	...	1	6	50	...	...	6	50	
	Sudder Ameen of Dehra Doon	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Moonsiff of Mozuffernuggur	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	40	...	11	...	51	
	Moonsiff of Scharunpore...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	...	...	...	39	
	Ditto of Deobund... ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	35	...	...	...	35	
	Ditto of Shamlee... ...	...	...	...	3	...	3	...	50	...	16	...	66	
	Total ...	...	...	...	5	...	5	6	215	...	27	6	242	

Principal Sudder Ameens' Work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per month.		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the rate of work performed in the past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
	Original suits.	Appeals.						
					M. D.	M. D.		
Sookhbasee Lall, Principal Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore	14	26	60·	41·	25 11	1 ... „ 29	Original 96 Appeals 61	
Mr. S. S. Melville, Ditto of Dehra Doon	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mr. C. A. Daniell, Ditto of Dehra Doon	2	...	18·	...	137	„ 81	Original 79	

Sudder Ameens' Work.

Names.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year at the average rate of work performed in the past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M. D.			
Mr. S. S. Melville	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mr. C. A. Daniell	2	20·	...	6 3	2	82·	

INCOME *of Stamps, and Costs of Subordinate Judges.*

COURTS.	Aggregate value of Stamps. Value of Stamps filed ordinarily	Aggregate value of Stamps. Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.	Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamahs.	Net Total.	Costs of the Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	REMARKS.
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Judges' Court	11,067 8 0	...	1,409 0 0	9,658 0 0	37,296 0 0	...	27,637 8 0	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore,	14,766 0 0	...	379 0 0	14,387 0 0	6,740 0 0	7,547 0 0		
Ditto of Dehra Doon	2,265 0 0	...	350 0 0	1,915 0 0				
Sudder Ameen of Ditto	1,429 8 0	...	48 0 0	1,381 8 0	420 0 0	4,101 0 0		
Moonsiff of Ditto	1,243 12 0	25 15 0	45 0 0	1,224 11 0				
Ditto of Mozuffernuggur	9,706 0 0	36 10 0	317 0 0	9,425 10 0	4,200 0 0	5,225 10 0		
Ditto of Seharunpore	9,973 0 0	39 14 0	755 8 0	9,257 6 0	3,720 0 0	5,537 6 0		
Ditto of Deobund	5,640 0 0	94 4 0	5 0 0	5,729 4 0	2,868 0 0	2,861 4 0		
Ditto of Shamlee	7,608 8 0	68 0 0	99 0 0	7,572 8 0	2,868 0 0	4,704 8 0		
Total	63,694 4 0	264 11 0	3,407 8 0	60,551 7 0	58,212 0 0	2,339 7 0	...	

Moonsiffs' Work.

Moonsiffees.	Name of Moonsiffs.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits per month.	Percentage of cases appealed to those decided on their merits and *ex-parte*.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work pending at close of the year at the average rate of work performed in the past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both parties personally attended to total number finally disposed of.
Mozuffernuggur ...	Gunput Rae	23	55	82	30	31	77
Seharunpore ...	Khadim Hossein... ...	40	56	34	23	29	95
Deobund ...	Meer Badahah	20	34	34	7	15	94
Shamlee ...	Gopal Rae	17	52	37	10	25	98
Dehra Doon ...	Mr. C. F. Hall	7	9	100	35	26	84
Ditto ...	Mr. A. Sole			...	...	72	76
		REMARKS.					

ANNUAL *Tabulated Statement of Costs in Civil Suits for the Civil Courts of Zillah Seharunpore, for the year* 1866.

Courts.	Total number of suits disposed of—original and appeal.	Total value of suits, omitting fractions of a Rupee.	Costs. Value of Stamps required. For plaint and appeal memorandum, &c.	For appointment of pleaders.	Total Stamp charges.	Pleaders' fees.	Tulubana.	Diet of Witnesses.	Ameens' fees.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average cost per sheet.	Percentage of Column 7 to Column 12.	Percentage of all other costs to Column 12.	Remarks.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Judges' Court	416	1,74,241	5,570	388	5,958	11,044	587	...	...	20	17,609	419	10	42	63	37	
Principal Sudder Ameen of Seharunpore	573	4,16,405	17,134	604	17,738	27,130	1,660	1,867	133	14	48,542	727	12	85	56	44	
Ditto of Dehra Doon	33	33,179	1,570	27	1,597	1,599	75	21	8	10	3,310	1,037	10	103	48	52	
Sudder Ameen of ditto	25	13,807	865	18	883	711	53	24	2	8	1,681	552	12	67	42	58	
Moonsiff of Mozuffernuggur	757	92,350	7,192	583	7,775	5,845	1,005	804	96	...	15,525	122	17	20	38	62	
Ditto of Seharunpore	1,257	78,910	5,623	787	6,410	4,659	1,577	975	97	79	13,797	63	17	11	34	66	
Ditto of Deobund	594	53,311	4,505	508	5,013	3,512	1,272	405	91	28	10,321	90	19	17	34	66	
Ditto of Shamlee	731	70,938	5,564	499	663	3,788	1,065	1,775	56	18	12,765	97	18	17	30	70	
Ditto of Dehra Doon	116	10,973	788	80	868	535	133	11	2	6	1,555	95	14	13	34	66	
Total	4,501	9,44,114	48,811	3,494	52,305	58,823	7,427	5,882	485	183	1,25,105	210	14	28	47	53	

ZILLAH SHAHJEHANPORE.

Regular Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending.*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on trial.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	547	758	240	288	318	354	4	...	202	202
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	171	191	302	328	472	522	3	6	197	273
Sudder Ameen	422	530	334	424	358	515	...	2	4	19
Moonsiffs	2,928	3,740	2,339	2,775	3,015	3,786	1	1	240	186
Total ...	4,068	5,214	3,275	3,815	4,163	5,177	8	9	643	680
Increase ...	...	1,146	...	...	888	1,362	...	1	...	37
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

Number and proportion per cent. of Cases decided upon their merits.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.
		Total number of cases disposed of during the year.								
Court.	Name of Presiding Officer.	*Ex-parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to total number decided.	Remarks.
Judge	Fendall Thompson, Esqr.,	...	...	1	26	9	318	354	90·	
Principal Sudder Ameen,	Momin Ali Khan ...	13	44	13	17	20	415	522	80·	
Sudder Ameen ...	Rae Bukhtawur Singh ...	60	158	24	10	121	140	513	27·	
	Baboo Kashee Nath Biswas,	...	...	1	...	1	...	2	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	Mahomed Kuramut ool-lah,	68	149	15	46	83	416	777	54·	
	Do. Wajid Ali ...	16	97	...	26	25	136	299	45·	
Do. of Tilhur ...	Do. Busheer-ood-deen,	34	351	19	39	139	198	780	25·	
Do. of Powaino ...	Do. Wuzeer-ood-deen,	43	202	6	11	44	153	459	33·	
Do. of East Budaun,	Do. Wajid Ali ...	18	175	1	14	30	117	355	33·	
	Rae Bukhtawur Singh ...	41	236	23	8	108	86	502	17·	
Do. of Dataganj ...	Mahomed Sooltan Hussun,	24	265	44	37	54	190	614	31·	
	Total ...	317	1,677	147	233	634	2,169	5,177	42·	

Miscellaneous Files.

Court.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending.			
			1865.		1866.		*Above 1 year.*		*Total pending on 1st January*	
	1865.	1866.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1866.	1867.	1866.	1867.
Judge	98	114	74	157	109	120	...	...	35	20
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	381	465	414	435	298	438	...	...	102	117
Sudder Ameen	1,071	1,037	612	1,152	615	988	...	...	60	122
Moonsiffs	5,329	5,912	3,876	5,311	4,435	6,029	...	...	548	418
Total ...	6,879	7,528	4,976	7,055	5,457	7,575	...	...	745	677
Increase ...	...	649	...	...	481	520	...	...	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	68

Execution of Decrees.

Year.	*Number of applications for execution of Decrees.*				Percentage of Column 2 to Column 5.
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	
1866	1,107	953	2,081	4,141	27·
1865	1,085	853	3,177	5,115	21·

Appeals under Act X. of 1859.

Name and designation of Revenue Officer.	Appeals pending at the close of past year.	Instituted during the year.	Total.	*Disposed of during the year.* Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Otherwise disposed of.	Total.	Pending at the close of the year.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total appeals decided.	Cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided by the Revenue authorities in 1866.	Percentage of cases appealed to cases decided by Revenue authorities.
Mr. W. G. Probyn, Collector ...	2	...	2	1	1	...	2	...	50	9	...
„ C. J. Daniell, Officiating Collector ...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	100·
Ditto, Deputy Collector ...	4	5	9	3	4	...	7	2	57	35	14·
„ M. S. Howell, ditto ...	12	26	38	15	8	2	25	13	32	90	29·
„ H. M. Rogers, ditto ...	13	1	14	8	5	1	14	...	36	11	9·
„ C. Twigg, ditto ...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	5	...	46	11·
Monowur Zuman, ditto ...	2	22	24	8	6	...	14	10	43	81	27·
Kunhia Lall, Tehseeldar of Shahjehanpore,	9	24	33	10	9	2	21	12	43	46	52·
Ahmud Hussun, ditto of Powaine ...	6	5	11	6	1	...	7	4	14	35	14·
Kassim Hussun, ditto of Tilhur ...	7	17	24	9	11	2	22	2	50	246	7·
Hurdhan Singh, ditto of Jullalabad ...	7	3	10	6	1	2	9	1	11	35	9·
Total ...	62	109	171	66	46	9	121	50	38	635	17·
Mr. G. H. Lawrence, Collector ...	2	...	2	...	1	1	2	...	50	...	...
„ C. P. Carmichael, ditto ...	...	3	3	...	1	...	1	2	100	14	21·
„ J. R. Reid, Deputy ditto ...	9	...	9	8	1	...	9	...	11	...	...
„ H. F. Tyrrell, ditto ...	...	9	9	2	1	1	4	5	25	51	18·
„ T. G. Houson, ditto ...	4	8	12	4	4	3	11	1	36	14	57·
Toorab Ali, ditto ...	19	24	43	17	9	8	34	9	26	192	13·
Mahomed Kurreem, ditto ...	8	63	71	36	15	1	52	19	29	300	21·
Nittanund, Tehseeldar, Budaon ...	...	18	18	9	2	4	15	3	13	86	21·
Umjud Ali Khan, ditto, Datagunj ...	12	3	15	9	5	1	15	...	33	24	13·
Total ...	54	128	182	86	39	19	143	39	27	681	19·
GRAND TOTAL ...	116	237	353	151	85	28	264	89	32	1,316	18·

Operation of Section 24, *Act VIII. of* 1859, *and Sections* 169 *and* 170 *of Act XXV. of* 1861.

Name of Court and Designation of Officer.	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861. Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.	Number of persons committed.	Number of persons convicted.	Number of persons acquitted.	Remarks.
Judge ...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Principal Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Sudder Ameen	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Tilhur ...	1	2	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	...	
Do. of Powaine ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of East Budaon ...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Do. of Datagunj ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	2	3	2	1	1	1	...	1	1	1	1	...	

Average duration of Suits.

Name and designation of Officer.	1865.			1866.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Hon'ble R. A. J. Drummond, Judge, from 1st January to 11th March, 1865	...	3	5	...	...	...
Fendall Thompson, Esquire, Judge, from 1st January to 31st December, 1866	...	7	17	...	6	1
Momin Ali Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen, from 1st January to 31st December, 1866	...	3	26	...	3	5
Rao Bukhtawur Singh, Sudder Ameen of Budaon, from 1st January to 12th December, 1866	...	...	14	...	...	13
Baboo Kashee Nath Biswas, Sudder Ameen of Budaon, from from 19th to 31st December, 1866	...	...	...	..	2	2
Moonsiff, Shahjehanpore ... — Kurramut-ool-lah, from 1st January to 31st December	...	1	6	...	...	23
Moonsiff, Shahjehanpore ... — Wajid Ali, from 28th May to 28th August, 1866	...	...	...	...	...	23
Moonsiff, Tilhur, — Busheer-ood-deen, from 1st January to 31st December	...	...	15	...	...	19
Do., Powaine, — Wuzeer-ood-deen, from 1st January to 31st December	...	1	2	...	...	31
Do. of East Budaon ... — Wajid Ali, from 1st January to 16th May, and from 10th Sept. to 31st Dec.,	...	...	24	...	...	16
Do. of East Budaon ... — Rao Bukhtawur Singh, from 17th May to 9th September	...	...	...	...	...	11
Moonsiff of Datagunj ... — Sooltan Hoossein, from 1st January to 31st December	...	1	19	...	...	17
Of appeals under Act X. of 1859 and Act XIV. of 1863.						
Fendall Thompson, Esquire	...	5	5	...	5	10

Personal attendance of parties to Suits.

Designation of Court and name of Presiding Officer.	Cases in which the parties, or one of them, were before the Court in person, voluntarily or by summons.								Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided, original and appeal.	Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.
	In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.		*In which defendant was personally before the Court.*		*In which both parties were personally before the Court.*		*Total cases of personal attendance.*				
	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.	Voluntarily.	By summons.			
Judge, Fendall Thompson, Esquire ...	113	4	102	3	99	6	314	13	27	354	93·
P. S. Ameen, Momin Ali Khan ...	19	21	56	24	13	56	88	101	333	522	36·
Sudder Ameen, Budaon, Rao Bukhtawur Singh ...	5	61	...	10	19	412	24	488	6	513	99·
Do., Baboo Kashee Nath Biswas ...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	2	100·
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ... — Mahomed Kurramut-ool-lah ...	...	82	...	45	...	609	...	736	41	777	95·
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore ... — Mahomed Wajid Ali,	...	39	...	29	...	196	...	264	35	299	88·
Do. of Tilhur, — Mahomed Busheer-ood-deen ...	...	67	...	68	...	602	...	737	43	780	94·
Do. of Powaine, — Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen ...	...	50	...	65	...	310	...	425	34	459	93·
Do. of East Budaon ... — Mahomed Wajid Ali ...	...	32	...	29	...	269	...	330	25	355	93·
Do. of East Budaon ... — Rao Bukhtawur Singh ...	...	51	...	2	...	439	...	492	10	502	98·
Do. of Datagunj ... — Mahomed Sooltan Hussun ...	...	50	...	27	...	475	...	552	62	614	90·
Total ...	137	457	158	302	131	3,378	426	4,135	616	5,177	88·

Operation of Sections 243, 244, and 248, Act VIII. of 1859.

District.	Civil Court.	Number of Mouzahs and shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during the year 1866.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						Involuntary and permanent under Section 248.						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		*At instance of the Collector under Section 244.*		*Total.*		*Hereditary.*		*Other.*		*Total.*		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
Shahjehanpore.	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	39	...	3	...	42	
	Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	...	...	...	16	
	Do. of Tilhur ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	6	...	...	...	6	
	Do. of Powaine ...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	3	...	...	...	3	
	Total ...	...	...	...	7	...	7	...	64	...	3	...	67	
Budaon.	Principal Sudder Ameen,	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	
	Sudder Ameen ...	...	...	...	4	...	4	...	27	...	6	...	33	
	Moonsiff of East Budaon	...	...	...	5	...	5	...	14	...	1	...	15	
	Do. of Datagunj ...	...	...	...	3	..	3	...	25	...	2	...	27	
	Total ...	...	...	...	13	...	13	...	67	...	9	...	76	
	Grand Total ...	...	...	...	20	...	20	...	131	...	12	...	143	

Principal Sudder Ameen's work.

Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	*Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex parte per mensem.*		Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.		Average duration of suits.		*Percentage of original cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended in Court.*		Remarks.
	Original suits.	Appeals.			M.	D.	M.	D.	Original.	Appeal.	
Momin Ali Khan ...	10	25	69·	1·	6	18	3	5	64·	19·	

Sudder Ameens' work.

Name of Sudder Ameen.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and ex-parte per mensem. Original suits.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of cases appealed.	Number of days' work left pending at close of year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of original cases in which one or both parties personally attended in Court.	REMARKS.
				M. D.	M. D.		
Rae Bukhtawur Singh ...	17	7	35.	...	0 13	99·	
Baboo Kashoe Nath Biswas,	...	...	...	0 13	2 0	100·	

Moonsiffs' work.

Moonsiffee.	Name of Moonsiff.	Average number of regular cases decided on their merits and *ex-parte* per mensem.	Percentage of cases appealed of appealable cases.	Percentage reversed or modified of appealed cases.	Number of days' work left pending at the close of the year, at the average rate of work performed in past year.	Average duration of suits.	Percentage of cases in which one or both of the parties personally attended, to total number finally disposed of.	REMARKS.
					M. D.	M. D.		
Shahjehanpore	Mahomed Kurramut-oollah	40	31·	33·	2 17	0 23	95·	
	Mahomed Wajid Ali ...	51	51·	50·	...	0 23	83·	
Tilhur ...	Mahomed Busheer-ood-deen	19	34·	27·	1 7	0 19	95·	
Powaine ...	Mahomed Wuzeer-ood-deen	16	28·	23·	1 6	0 31	93·	
East Budaon,	Mahomed Wajid Ali ...	15	24·	19·	0 28	0 16	93·	
	Rae Bukhtawur Singh ...	32	10·	...	...	0 11	98·	
Dátagunj ...	Mahomed Sooltan Hussun,	17	24·	22·	0 1	0 17	92·	

Income of Stamps and costs of Subordinate Judges.

Court.	Aggregate value of Stamps filed.		Amount ordered to be refunded for Razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' Salaries and Establishments.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Value of Stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently-stamped documents.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
Zillah Judge	8,735 2 0	...	731 8 0	8,003 10 0	29,376 10 8	...	21,373 0 8	
Principal Sudder Ameen	12,078 2 0	...	232 0 0	11,846 2 0	9,000 0 0	2,846 2 0	...	
Sudder Ameen of Budaon	5,503 0 0	3 15 0	39 0 0	5,467 15 0	3,895 7 7	1,572 7 5	...	
Moonsiff of Shahjehanpore	5,866 4 0	29 6 0	153 0 0	5,742 10 0	3,360 0 0	2,382 10 0	...	
Do. of Tilhur	4,794 11 0	15 12 0	2 0 0	4,808 7 0	2,628 12 10	2,179 10 2	...	
Do. of Powaine	2,751 14 0	...	...	2,751 14 0	2,640 0 0	111 14 0	...	
Do. of East Budaon	5,093 4 0	32 13 0	57 0 0	5,069 1 0	2,640 0 0	2,429 1 0	...	
Do. of Datagunj	3,517 0 0	...	5 0 0	3,512 0 0	2,640 0 0	872 0 0	...	
Total ...	48,339 5 0	81 14 0	1,219 8 0	47,201 11 0	56,180 15 1	12,393 12 7	21,373 0 8	

No. 236A. OF 1867.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,
Secretary to the Government of the North-Western Provinces,

TO

J. D. SANDFORD, ESQUIRE,
Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, Agra.

Dated Nynee Tal, the 15th July, 1867.

SIR,

I HAVE received and laid before the Hon'ble the Lieutenant-Governor your letter, No. 881, dated the 25th April last, being the Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during the year 1866, and in reply I am desired to communicate the following remarks :—

2. It is observed that there has been a considerable increase in the number of original suits and appeals instituted in the ordinary Civil Courts of these Provinces during the year. They amount to 78,673, and if the suits instituted in the Small Cause Courts, and in the Jhansie, Kumaon, and Ajmere Divisions, be added, the total number aggregates 101,497, as against 85,110 in 1865.

3. Notwithstanding the large increase of cases instituted, the number remaining pending at the end of the year was less by 1,082 than in 1865. The increase of cases pending for more than one year from 35 to 49 is an unfavorable feature, but it is observed that the increase is confined almost entirely to two districts, and that most of the cases had been remanded from the superior Courts.

4. The proposals to increase the jurisdiction of Moonsiffs, and to empower the local Government to confer upon them the powers of Small Cause Court Judges in certain cases, have been recommended to the Government of India, and a Bill in which these provisions have been embodied is now under the consideration of the Legislative Council, and will, it is hoped, soon become law.

5. The Government have observed with dissatisfaction the state of the Allygurh files, and the long average duration of Mr. Prinsep's cases.

6. The arrears of Goruckpore, though still very high, show considerable improvement compared with 1865. The average duration of cases, which is also too high, was no doubt owing to the large number of old standing cases decided during the year.

7. The improvement in the pending files of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Courts as exhibited in the statement given in your 19th paragraph is decided, while the return for the Sudder Ameens' Courts is shown to be exceptional.

8. The proposal that a Sudder Ameen with the powers of a Moonsiff shall in future be located at Benares, instead of a Principal Sudder Ameen, has received the approval of Government.

9. The decrease in the proportion of cases decided on their merits would appear to be but nominal. The number disposed of "*ex-parte*," by "arbitration," and in "default," bears the same proportion as in the previous year.

10. The point mooted in paragraph 28 of your Report can be taken up by the High Court when considering the propriety of adopting the amended judicial forms lately recommended by the Statistical Committee.

11. The number of miscellaneous cases instituted has, it is observed, increased by more than 8,000. The number pending at the end of the year has slightly decreased, while the number decided on the merits is larger by nearly 4,000. The cases pending for more than one year are but 7, as contrasted with 19 in 1865. These results are satisfactory.

12. The improvement observed in the statement of applications for the execution of decrees is doubtless owing greatly to the judicious measures adopted by the Court to assist the decree-holder in the legitimate prosecution of his claims, and at the same time to discourage him from the attempt to keep his decree hanging for an indefinite time over his debtor, without action.

13. With reference to paragraph 44 of the Report, I am to observe that the smallness of the number of cases decided under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863 in Benares and Mirzapore can probably be accounted for by the fact that, with the exception of the Terrai Pergunnahs, the former district has the smallest area of any district in these Provinces; and although the area of Mirzapore is very extended, yet a great part of it consists of hill and jungle, and it has (save the Doon) the fewest mehals, and, excepting Etah, the smallest land revenue, of any district in the five Regulation Divisions.

14. The Lieutenant-Governor is of opinion that it is advantageous to the people, as saving them long journeys and consequent expense, that Tehseeldars in the Mofussil should decide the bulk of cases instituted under Act X. of 1859.

15. Extract paragraphs 47 and 48 of the Report will, however, be forwarded to the Board of Revenue for information and such notice as they may consider necessary.

16. The Lieutenant-Governor is unable to attach much value to the opinion expressed by the Judge of Ghazeepore that Tehseeldars are unfit to decide cases under Acts X. and XIV. It is inconsistent with the experience of many able officers, and is not borne out by the returns of the district of the work of the Tehseeldars in which he has been most, for it is observed that one Tehseeldar (Oudh Behari Lall) decided 175 appealable cases, of which only 3 were appealed, and in every case the decision was confirmed.

17. Extract paragraphs 55 and 56 of the Report will be forwarded to the Board of Revenue, who will be requested to report as regards the trustworthiness of the village papers in the Goruckpore and Ghazeepore Districts.

18. The Lieutenant-Governor quite concurs in the remarks contained in paragraph 67 in regard to personal attendance of parties to suits in the lower Courts.

19. Adverting to paragraph 75, I am to state that there can now be no objection to the removal of the former prohibition in regard to the hearing by District Judges of original suits.

20. The Lieutenant-Governor has learnt with pleasure that the Court have had reason to be satisfied with the manner in which the majority of the District Judges have discharged their responsible duties.

21. It seems very desirable that some means should be found for relieving the Moonsiff of Allahabad of some of his work, and the Court will probably, after further consideration, submit a definite proposal.

22. It is hoped that a general visitation of all the Courts in these Provinces will be accomplished during the ensuing cold weather. The Government quite concur with the Court in attaching to it much importance.

23. I am to observe that it is very desirable either that the Ameens' fees should be reduced or the service rendered improved, and the Government is glad to find that the subject will receive the early attention of the Court.

24. The amount of work performed by the High Court during the year has exceeded the number of cases instituted, and the small number of cases pending, none of which were of an earlier date than 1866, is highly satisfactory.

25. The Lieutenant-Governor has perused the Report under reply with great interest, and has much pleasure in acknowledging the valuable aid rendered by the Court during the past year in the supervision and improvement of the department entrusted to their charge; and he feels confident, from the character of the general body of Judicial Officers in these Provinces, that their efforts in the path of progress, as well as their admonitions to individuals, will be accepted in the spirit which dictates them, and will conduce greatly to the improvement of this important branch of the Civil administration.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

R. SIMSON,

Secretary to the Government of the North-Western Provinces.

GOVERNMENT
1868
N.W.P.

ANNUAL REPORT

BY THE

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE. N.-W. P.,

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES

DURING THE YEAR 1867.

Allahabad:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES.

1868.

ANNUAL REPORT

BY THE

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, N. W. P.,

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES

DURING THE YEAR 1867.

No. 1345 OF 1868.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, N. W. P.

CIVIL SIDE.

FROM

J. D. SANDFORD, ESQUIRE,

Registrar to the High Court of Judicature,

for the North-Western Provinces, Agra,

To

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

North-Western Provinces.

DATED AGRA, THE 2ND JUNE, 1868.

SIR,

I AM directed to forward the Report on the administration of Civil Justice in the North-Western Provinces during 1867.

2. The number of suits and appeals instituted in the Civil Courts, exclusive of the Small Cause Courts, was 69.134—being less than the number instituted in 1866 by 9,539.

Zillah.	Instituted.		Disposed of.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1866.		1867.		Above 1 year.		Total Pending.	
	1866.	1867.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on Trial.	Finally disposed of.	1867.	1868.	1867.	1868.
Seharunpore ...	4,386	3,748	3,024	4,501	2,521	3,551	1	0	312	508
Meerut ...	5,082	4,326	3,986	4,910	1,781	4,095	1	4	325	236
Allygurh ...	4 639	4,071	3,891	4,523	3,576	4,137	1	0	727	656
Moradabad ...	6,649	6,307	5,876	7,177	5,285	6,383	0	3	572	422
Bareilly ...	6,932	6,238	5,625	6,562	5,331	6,228	0	0	908	909
Shahjehanpore ...	5,214	5,136	4,163	5,177	4,560	5,512	9	1	680	305
Agra ...	4,501	4,155	3,794	4,452	3,608	4,123	3	0	317	337
Furruckabad ...	5,730	5,215	4,046	5,698	3,892	5,224	0	1	323	314
Mynpoory ...	5,335	4,806	4,438	5,362	4,047	4,780	2	2	228	253
Cawnpore ...	2,903	2,497	2,545	2,911	2,204	2,550	0	0	164	110
Futtehpore ...	3,708	2,361	3,253	3,802	1,958	2,314	2	0	277	324
Allahabad ...	3,083	2,432	2,661	3,072	2,269	2,594	0	0	326	163
Goruckpore ...	4,421	3,729	4,200	4,779	3,773	4,296	13	4	920	349
Azimgurh ...	3,911	3,240	3,249	4,057	2,540	3,323	0	0	286	199
Jounpore ...	2,672	2,540	2,437	2,749	2,228	2,635	3	1	443	347
Mirzapore ...	2,423	2,191	2,012	2,491	1,794	2,244	1	3	398	345
Benares ...	987	947	849	966	884	1,004	1	0	108	52
Ghazeepore ...	6,097	5,195	4,102	6,162	3,735	5,552	12	3	882	523
Total, Rs. ...	78,673	69,134	64,151	79,351	55,986	70,545	49	22	8,196	6,342
Increase ...	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Decrease ...	0	9,539	0	0	8,165	8,794	0	27	0	1,854

3. The main if not the entire cause of this decrease, which is shown in the returns of every district, was the introduction from the 1st May of increased rates of stamp duty.

Decrease of litigation and results of the New Stamp Law.

4. The effect of the New Stamp Law, on litigation and revenue, is set forth more clearly in the following tables, in which is instituted a comparison between the number of suits and appeals, and value of stamp papers filed since the introduction of the Act, and the number and value filed during corresponding portion of 1866 :—

District.	Number of suits from 1st May to 31st December, 1866.	Number of suits from 1st May to 31st December, 1867.	Value of stamps filed during the months of May to December, 1866. Rs. As. P.	Value of stamps filed during the months of May to December, 1867. Rs. As. P.	Percentage of decrease in litigation.	Percentage of increase in Revenue.	Remarks.
Seharunpore ...	2,455	1,894	29,855 0 0	40,857 0 0	23·	37·	
Meerut ...	3,027	2,241	31,903 0 0	41,607 12 0	26·	30·	
Allygurh ...	3,359	2,913	28,347 0 0	29,267 0 0	13·	39·	
Moradabad ...	2,531	2,286	32,859 0 0	36,216 8 0	10·	10·	
Bareilly ...	3,640	3,136	24,404 8 0	43,560 8 0	14·	78·	
Shahjehanpore ...	3,193	2,860	22,183 0 0	28,567 0 0	10·	29·	
Agra ...	2,504	2,114	30,934 0 0	38,543 11 0	16·	25·	
Furruckabad ...	3,889	2,752	26,001 0 0	32,814 0 0	29·	26·	
Mynpoory ...	3,312	2,487	27,347 0 0	28,062 8 0	25·	3·	
Cawnpore ...	1,192	922	20,183 0 0	28,735 4 0	23·	42·	
Futtehpore ...	3,682	2,360	26,914 0 0	29,688 13 0	36·	10·	
Allahabad ...	1,706	1,291	29,201 0 0	30,709 0 0	24·	5·	
Goruckpore ...	2,845	2,018	28,716 0 0	39,235 0 0	29·	37·	
Azimgurh ...	2,202	1,428	18,267 0 0	21,648 0 0	35·	19·	
Jounpore ...	1,666	1,318	15,075 0 0	10,664 0 0	21·	9·	
Mirzapore ...	1,465	1,194	25,966 0 0	29,329 12 0	18·	13·	
Benares ...	540	424	19,371 8 0	18,418 14 0	21·	5	
Ghazeepore ...	3,840	2,844	34,272 8 0	37,094 7 0	26·	8·	
Total ...	47,048	36,482	4,71,799 8 0	5,68,019 1 0	22·	20·	
High Court of Judicature, N. W. Provinces ...	1,572	1,235	75,788 0 0	1,16,567 8 0	21·	54·	
GRAND TOTAL ...	48,620	37,717	5,47,587 8 0	6,84,586 9 0	22·	25·	

Statement showing the number of Suits instituted from 1st May to December of the years 1866 and 1867, classified according to value.

ORIGINAL SUITS AND APPEALS.

Total of the North-Western Provinces, and of the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces.	Number of suits and appeals from May to December, 1866.	Number of suits and appeals from May to December, 1867.	Percentage of decrease.
Total number of Suits and Appeals not exceeding Rs. 10	3,227	2,969	8·
Ditto ditto ditto above Rs. 10, and not exceeding Rs. 100...	29,284	22,449	23·
Ditto ditto ditto above Rs. 100, do. do. Rs. 1,000	14,333	10,881	24·
Ditto ditto ditto above Rs. 1,000, do. do. Rs. 20,000	1,674	1,352	19·
Ditto ditto ditto above Rs. 20,000, do. do. Rs. 1,00,000	97	62	36·
Ditto ditto ditto above Rs. 1,00,000	5	4	20·
Total	48,620	37,717	22·

Statement showing the number of Cases relating to Movable and immovable Property during the months of May to December of the years 1866 *and* 1867.

Total of the North-Western Provinces and of the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces.

	Number of cases from May to December, 1866.	Number of cases from May to December, 1867.	Percentage of Decrease.
Total number of original suits relating to movable property	29,528	23,353	21·
Ditto ditto ditto ditto immovable	9,337	6,410	31·
Ditto of appeal ditto ditto movable	4,472	3,850	14·
Ditto ditto ditto ditto immovable	5,283	4,104	22·
Total	48,620	37,717	22·
Total Original Suits	38,865	29,763	23·
Total Appeals	9,755	7,954	18·

5. The general result is shown to be an increase of revenue to the extent of 20 per cent., purchased by a decrease in litigation to the extent of 22 per cent. Although the decrease is universal, its extent varies greatly in different districts—being only 10 per cent. in Shahjehanpore and Moradabad, and reaching as high as 35 and 36 per. cent. in Azimgurh and Futtehpore. The comparatively small decrease in Shahjehanpore may be explained by the fact that three-fourths of the suits instituted in that district were for money debts. In Azimgurh, on the other hand, the litigation is to a large extent connected with landed property. In Futtehpore there were other causes at work in addition to the increased stamp charges, which contributed to the decrease. The Principal Sudder Ameen's Court was moved from Banda into Futtehpore; and the two Moonsiffs' Courts were temporarily vacant on account of the suspension of the Moonsiffs on suspicion of bribery; while the Pleaders and the Court officials were required to attend the investigation which followed.

6. The percentage of decrease appears greatest in suits and appeals of value between Rs. 20,000 and Rs. 1,00,000; but the number of suits of this valuation is small in either year—not amounting to 100 in the whole of these Provinces. The mass of the litigation consists of suits and appeals between Rs. 10 and Rs. 1,000 in value, and here the decrease is as large as 23 and 24 per cent. It is to be observed that it is on suits and appeals, under Rs. 1,000 in value, that the present stamp duties fall most heavily,—the duty amounting to 10 per cent. on the value.

7. Suits relating to immovable property have been affected in a much greater degree than suits relating to movable property. The percentage of decrease in original suits of the former class being 31 per cent., as compared with a decrease of 21 per cent. in the latter. This was to be expected, as the new law increases not only the rate of duty, but the valuation also in the former class of suits. Moreover, the greater number of suits for movable property are brought by persons who have money at their command to defray the duty; while the greater number of suits for immovable property are brought by the agricultural class who have not such a command of money.

8. It is noticeable that appeals have not diminished in a rateable proportion with original suits,—the percentage of decrease in the latter being 23, compared with a percentage of 18 in the former. It is possible that in some districts, when the original suit had been instituted under the old Stamp Law, the appellant was allowed to adopt the same valuation and rate of stamp duty for the purposes of his appeal: or it may be, that those, who have funds to meet the cost of original institution, have, or find funds, to carry on litigation so far as there is any prospect of success: or it may be that persons, who institute litigious suits, are not deterred by the high duty from continuing litigation by proceedings in appeal.

9. As an instance of the violent check to litigation, which has been the consequence of the new Stamp Act, it may be mentioned that during the month of May not a single suit was instituted in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court at Allahabad, and in the months of June and July only three or four.

10. In order to obtain a correct idea of the effect of the Act, it must further be borne in mind that there has been of late years a steady and annual increase in the amount of litigation. This is shown by the annexed table:—

Zillah.	Instituted in 1859.	Instituted in 1860.	Instituted in 1861.	Instituted in 1862.	Instituted in 1863.	Instituted in 1864.	Instituted in 1865.	Instituted in 1866.	Instituted in 1867.
Scharunpore	4,181	3,853	5,894	3,601	4,045	4,268	3,553	4,386	3 748
Meerut	3,866	3,306	6,366	2,693	3,711	4,517	4,072	5,082	4,326
Allygurh	5,243	4,013	7,826	3,093	3,802	4,298	4,226	4 639	4,071
Moradabad	7,384	6,328	12,079	4,714	5,589	6,239	6,145	6,649	6,307
Bareilly	5,097	4,642	10,149	4,614	5,203	5,548	5,799	6,932	6,233
Shahjehanpore	5,073	4,781	9,332	2,171	2,878	3,298	4,068	5,214	5,136
Agra	5,975	4,699	8,172	2,415	3,071	3,706	3,709	4,501	4,155
Furruckabad	5,679	5,751	10,868	3,620	4,469	4,885	5,152	5 730	5,2.5
Mynpoory	5,342	2,887	5,460	2,806	3,760	4,074	3,922	5,335	4,806
Cawnpore...	1,851	2,277	5,043	1,995	2,107	2,277	2,669	2,903	2,497
Futtehpore	761	640	4,042	1,812	2,336	3,112	3,265	3,708	2,361
Bundlecund	1,570	1,459	5,898	2,138					
Allahabad	2,995	3,107	8,235	3,672	1,647	2,247	2,743	3,083	2,432
Goruckpore	5,165	4 595	4,389	2,889	3,802	4,526	4,543	4,421	3,729
Azimgurh	2,937	2,572	4,060	2,323	2,943	3,473	3,227	3,911	3,240
Jounpore	2,121	2,074	3,727	1,493	2,356	2 774	2,559	2,672	2,540
Mirzapore	1,710	1,537	3,325	710	1,854	2,244	2,359	2,423	2,191
Benares	1,790	1 773	5,360	2,788	634	719	962	987	947
Ghazeepore	3,980	2,739	2,979	4,077	3,688	4,527	5,182	6,097	5,195
Total ...	71,220	63,038	1,23,204	53,624	57,925	66,732	63,155	78,673	69,134
Small Cause Court, Benares	...	...	...	3,847	2,079	2,399	2,299	2,459	1,675
Ditto ditto, Allahabad	...	...	...	3,359	1,773	1,895	1,797	1,744	1,571
Ditto ditto, Agra	...	...	...	2,738	1,829	2,037	1,787	2,162	2,047
Ditto ditto, Dehra Doon	...	...	...	...	...	...	327	387	598
Total ...	...	...	...	9,944	5,681	6,331	6,210	6,752	5,891
GRAND TOTAL ...	71,220	63,038	1,23,204	63,568	63,606	73,063	74,365	85,425	75,025

11. The years 1859 and 1861 must be regarded as exceptional, the one being the first year after the re-establishment of order and re-opening of the Courts after the Mutiny, the other being that immediately preceding the introduction of the present law of limitation. Excepting these, it will be seen that there has been in every year since the Mutiny an increase in the number of suits instituted until the past year, when occurred a decrease of nearly 10,000 suits and appeals.

12. The sudden and decided change which has been brought about by the increased institution fees is remarkable. The people of this country may be prone to litigation, and may sometimes resort to it as the means of gratifying private enmity. But this can be no argument for closing the Courts of Justice to the poor and the honest suitors, who are principally affected by the tax. The poor are of course affected, and the honest, rather than the dishonest or malicious suitor, because the wrong-doer has generally funds by which to support his wrong doing, and the malicious litigant finds in the additional law charges an additional means of injuring his adversary.

13. There is no doubt that the vast majority of suits brought into the Civil Courts are brought for the redress of a substantial wrong, or to determine a doubtful right, and that the suitors are entitled to have recourse to the Courts. In the Criminal Courts it may be otherwise. Petty charges of assault, trespass, mischief, and the like are brought where there are no grounds for a criminal prosecution. But in the Civil Courts this is not so; indeed, the very disputes in which criminal charges are improperly founded, are often such as should be properly settled in a Civil Court. Of suits decided in 1865 but 16 per cent. were given in favor of the defendant, and some of these claims may have failed on technical grounds. During the past year the proportion of decisions in favor of the defendant was 17 per cent. The difference in the proportions at any rate does not prove that the Act has operated to check frivolous or litigious suits. But no stress need be laid on this slight difference. Both proportions equally point to the fact that false claims are an exception to the rule, and that all obstacles to the institution of suits are favorable to the wrong-doer or the wrongful possessor.

14. Moreover, as shown above, the decrease has been most striking in original suits regarding immovable property, which are the least likely to be fictitious or frivolous, and for the decision of which, as involving the most highly-prized interests of the people, it is most necessary to provide an easily accessible tribunal.

15. There is the fear, that the oppressed, or disputants unable to find redress, or the settlement of their disputes in the Courts, will have recourse to less peaceful means, and on the other hand, the power of the landholder over the cultivator, of the rich over the poor, will, if the Act remains in force, be largely increased.

16. The frequency and facility of appeal allowed by the present procedure is often spoken against, and if the decrease in litigation had taken place altogether in appeals, the result, although the means employed had been objectionable, might be deemed not altogether unsatisfactory. For in appeals, if anywhere, the spirit of litigiousness displays itself. Of 1,963 Special Appeals decided by the High Court in the past year, 818 were cases in which the appellant had been cast in both the lower Courts; and in 544 of these cases, the decision of the Lower Court was again upheld in this Court. That is to say, in 28 per cent. of the special appeals decided by the Court during 1867, the special appellant lost his case in three Courts, and the majority of these appeals may, without impropriety, be said to have been groundless, if not merely litigious. But the litigiousness, which shows itself in appeals has not been checked by the New Act; on the contrary, the proportion of appeals to original suits has increased.

17. The Court is however persuaded, that these matters will receive the attention of Government. The information afforded by these and similar returns may perhaps induce the legislature to adopt a less prohibitory scale of duties.

18. The statement which follows shows the litigation of the different districts classified into original suits and appeals :—

District.	Original Suits.	Appeals.	Total.	Remarks.
Seharunpore	2,995	753	3,748	
Meerut	3,362	964	4,326	
Allygurh	3,386	685	4,071	
Moradabad	5,193	1,114	6,307	
Bareilly	5,221	1,017	6,238	
Shahjehanpore	4,428	708	5,136	
Agra	3,338	817	4,155	
Furruckabad	4,689	526	5,215	
Mynpoorie	4,111	695	4,806	
Cawnpore	2,184	313	2,497	
Futtehpore	1,916	445	2,361	
Allahabad	2,053	379	2.432	
Goruckpore	2,865	864	3,729	
Azimgurh	2,267	973	3,240	
Jounpore	1,796	744	2,540	
Mirzapore	1,830	361	2,191	
Benares	716	231	947	
Ghazeepore	3,894	1,301	5,195	
Total	56,244	12,890	69,134	
Total, 1866 ...	65,440	13,233	78,673	

19. In five districts—Benares, Mirzapore, Agra Moradabad, Seharunpore—the number of appeals is larger than the number filed in 1866. In the two latter only is the increase considerable. The increase is remarkable, inasmuch as in these districts as elsewhere the number of original suits has decreased. The proportion of appeals to original suits varies greatly in the different districts—being as high as 43 and 41 per cent. in Azimgurh and Jounpore, and as low as 11 per cent. in Furruckabad. The explanation will probably be found in the large number of suits for landed property in the two former districts, and of suits for debts on bond in the latter.

20. As was to be expected, the number of suits left pending on the files has largely decreased. The decrease is curiously enough in exact proportion to the decrease in litigation, or 22 per cent. In the districts of Bareilly, Allygurh, Ghazeepore, and Seharunpore alone did the number of suits left at the end of the year undecided exceed 500. And in the first-named district only does the state of the files appear to be such as to call for any action. The deputation of an additional Principal Sudder Ameen to Bareilly has already been sanctioned by the Government. State of the files.

21. The Court looks for great improvement in the despatch of business, and in the promptitude with which relief will be afforded to suitors in claims of small amount from the provision in the Act No. XVI. of 1868, which will enable the Government to invest selected Subordinate Judges with the powers of Small Cause Courts.

22. Twenty-two suits are shown as having been pending more than a year in the eighteen districts of these Provinces, as compared with forty-nine at the close of 1866. The decrease is satisfactory if the return can be depended upon: but there is reason to suppose that the practice is not unknown of showing a suit as pending only from the date, on which it may have been received by transfer in the particular Court, in which it is pending—no account being taken of the time in which it may have been previously pending in the Court from which it has been transferred. The Court is assured, that the mere notice of such misrepresentation is sufficient to ensure its correction.

Districts arranged according to amount of litigation.

23. The statement which is entered below shows the districts arranged according to the amount of litigation in each:—

Number.	Zillah.	Number of cases decided on merits and *ex parte*.	Total number of cases finally disposed of during the year 1867, exclusive of transferred cases.	Districts arranged in order according to the number of cases disposed of.	Districts arranged in order according to the number of cases decided on merits and *ex parte*.
1	Moradabad	3,919	6,383	I.	I.
2	Bareilly	3,911	6,228	II.	II.
3	Agra	3,654	6,191	III.	III.
4	Ghazeepore	3,447	5,552	IV.	IV.
5	Shahjehanpore	2,895	5,512	V.	VI.
6	Furruckabad	2,759	5,224	VI.	VII.
7	Mynpoory	2,757	4,780	VII.	VIII.
8	Goruckpore	3,106	4,296	VIII.	V.
9	Seharunpore	2,252	4,150	IX.	XI.
10	Allahabad	2,608	4,150	X.	IX.
11	Allygurh	2,559	4,137	XI.	X.
12	Meerut	2,047	4,095	XII.	XIV.
13	Azimgurh	2,106	3,323	XIII.	XII.
14	Benares	1,563	2,698	XIV.	XVI.
15	Jounpore	2,091	2,635	XV.	XIII.
16	Cawnpore	1,977	2,550	XVI.	XV.
17	Futtehpore	1,400	2,314	XVII.	XVII.
18	Mirzapore	1,304	2,256	XVIII.	XVIII.
	Total ...	46,355	76,462		
	General Average ...	2,575	3,748		

24. The districts of Moradabad, Bareilly, Agra, and Ghazeepore are those in which the greatest amount of civil business was transacted, as they were in 1865 and in 1866. Jounpore, Mirzapore, and Cawnpore are, as they have been for the past four years, among those in which there was the least amount of work.

25. The whole of the civil work of Benares has been performed without any difficulty by the Judge and the Principal Sudder Ameen,—the latter being invested with the powers of a Small Cause Court Judge: and yet there are four other districts—Jounpore, Cawnpore, Futtehpore, and Mirzapore—in which the total number of cases disposed of was less than at Benares. To all these districts nevertheless Moonsiffs stand attached in addition to the Judge and Principal Sudder Ameen. The plan which has been successful at Benares might be gradually extended to other districts.

By this means more competent legal assistance might, the Court is disposed to think, be obtained by suitors; and above all the great advantage will be secured of subjecting the subordinate courts, their officials, and pleaders, to the influence of public opinion, and to the careful supervision of the District Judge.

Cases how disposed of.

26. The following statement shows the manner in which cases have been decided or disposed of:—

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.
	Total number of cases disposed of during 1867.												
ZILLAH.	*Ex parte.*	On confession.	By arbitration.	Dismissed on Default.	Adjusted or withdrawn.	By decision on merits.	Total.	Proportion per cent. of cases decided on their merits to the total number decided.	Average of Moonsiff's Court.	Decided for Plaintiff.	Decided for Defendant.	Decided for Appellant.	Decided for Respondent.
Seharunpore	397	612	204	123	703	1,512	3,551	43·	30·	1,634	351	209	327
Meerut	266	500	125	166	1,257	1,781	4,095	43·	28·	1,534	440	167	406
Allygurh	531	965	52	125	436	2,028	4,137	49·	35·	2,346	374	360	444
Moradabad	594	1,366	159	211	728	3,325	6,383	52.	43·	3,594	731	335	625
Bareilly	766	1,420	80	222	595	3,145	6,228	51·	48·	3,620	774	414	523
Shahjehanpore	388	1,665	72	234	646	2,507	5,512	45·	33·	3,093	559	378	530
Agra	496	786	50	220	295	2,276	4,123	55·	40·	2,305	454	311	488
Furruckabad	864	1,133	187	150	995	1,895	5,224	36·	28·	2,968	423	202	299
Mynpoory	537	1,290	225	198	310	2,220	4,780	47·	41·	2,980	438	220	409
Cawnpore	254	227	22	106	218	1,723	2,550	68·	62·	1,522	319	106	257
Futtehpore	156	558	41	116	199	1,244	2,314	54·	52·	955	372	453	228
Allahabad	541	498	57	84	184	1,230	2,594	47·	34·	1,628	188	167	286
Goruckpore	270	677	86	99	338	2,836	4,296	66·	53·	2,115	452	392	814
Azimgurh	211	434	27	153	603	1,895	3,323	57·	39·	1,250	335	401	554
Jounpore	157	137	39	94	274	1,934	2,635	73·	66·	1,107	410	277	434
Mirzapore	266	490	50	209	191	1,038	2,244	46·	35·	1,283	227	111	173
Benares	168	198	19	42	59	518	1,001	52·	0·	530	115	117	122
Ghazeepore	355	288	177	123	1,517	3,092	5 552	56·	48·	1,746	692	492	805
Total ...	7,217	13,234	1,672	2,675	9,548	36,199	70,545	51·	42·	36,160	7,654	5,112	7,724

Per Cent.	1867.	1866.
Ex parte	10·	10·
Confession	19·	20·
Arbitration	2·	3·
Default	4·	4·
Adjustment	14·	13·
Merits	51·	50·
Total	100	100

27. The results are very much the same as for previous years. Thus, the proportion of cases decided *ex parte* is 10 per cent. as in the two preceding years. The proportion of cases disposed of on confession of judgment has fallen from 20 to 19 per cent., owing perhaps to a greater use of the provisions of the Registration Act for the enforcement of registered bonds. The proportion of cases dismissed on default has remained at 4 per cent. for the last four years. Decisions on merits have risen from 50 to 51 per cent.

28. It is probable that the classification as to merits is not very correctly made in all the Courts, the tendency being to exaggerate the number so decided. Cases decided on confession of judgment or by compromise, where a question may arise as to the interest to be awarded, or the period of instalments, are sometimes incorrectly entered under this head. And in some cases there may be a doubt as to the correct head under which a decision should be entered. In the Meerut District, and probably elsewhere, the custom of referring cases to the oath of one of the parties, or of a third person, and of abiding by his statement on oath, is very common. All cases so decided were till the past year entered as decisions on merits, and not incorrectly, supposing that the referee be examined and dealt with as a witness. But if his statement be accepted without question, and if it be regarded as in itself conclusively binding upon the parties, a case so settled, so far as the settlement can be recognized or admitted by the Court should be classed as one adjusted by mutual agreement, or it should be dealt with *ab initio* as are referred to arbitration.

Nature of litigation.

29. As in the two previous years the proportion of cases decided on merits is highest in Jounpore, and next to Jounpore in Cawnpore. In both these districts suits connected with immovable property are numerous, as will be seen from the next statement which shows the nature of the litigation in the different districts.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
		Number of suits instituted for						
Division.	Zillah.	Immovable property—Cols. 2 to 14.	Debts on Bond.	Other Suits—Colms. 16 to 22.	Total.	Proportion of suits for immovable property to total suits.	Proportion of suits for bond debt to total suits.	Remarks.
Meerut.	Seharunpore ...	740	1,754	404	2,898	26·	60·	
	Meerut ...	691	2,374	297	3,362	21·	71·	
	Allygurh ...	490	2,256	641	3,387	15·	67·	
Rohilcund.	Moradabad ...	980	3,398	610	4,988	20·	68·	
	Bareilly ...	750	3,916	482	5,148	15·	76·	
	Shahjehanpore ...	637	3,307	484	4,428	14·	75·	
Agra.	Agra ...	871	2,237	230	3,338	26·	67·	
	Furruckabad ...	631	3,226	832	4,689	13·	69·	
	Mynpoory ...	584	2,930	517	4,031	14·	73·	
Allahabad.	Cawnpore ...	363	1,391	430	2,184	17·	64·	
	Futtehpore ...	389	1,214	313	1,916	20·	63·	
	Allahabad ...	330	1,402	257	1,989	17·	73·	
Benares.	Goruckpore ...	1,117	1,311	437	2,865	39·	45·	
	Azimgurh ...	857	744	666	2,267	38·	33·	
	Jounpore ...	673	702	521	1,796	32·	39·	
	Mirzapore ...	235	1,088	519	1,842	12·	59·	
	Benares ...	182	470	65	717	25·	61·	
	Ghazeepore ...	1,199	1,734	832	3,765	32·	46·	
	Total ...	11,619	35,454	8,537	55,610	20·	64·	

The very large preponderance of suits for debts on bond in the districts of Bareilly and Shahjehanpore may be noticed.

Miscellaneous business.

30. The statement of miscellaneous cases shows an increase of 7,122.

Zillah.	Instituted.		Decided.				Pending on 1st January.			
			1866.		1867.		Above one year.		Total pending on 1st Jany.	
	1866.	1867.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	Decided on merits.	Finally disposed of.	1867	1868	1867	1868
Seharunpore ...	9,981	10,026	6,991	9,991	6,652	9,915	...	...	867	996
Meerut ...	7,829	9,070	3,970	7,956	4,831	9,316	...	...	915	658
Allygurh ...	9,595	10,269	5,081	9,608	5,315	10,125	...	...	1,176	1,385
Moradabad ...	13,149	14,368	9,775	13,237	10,505	14,804	...	...	1,533	1,151
Bareilly ...	9,532	9,887	6,462	9,741	6,381	9,702	...	...	843	1,071
Shahjehanpore ...	7,528	9,042	4,457	7,575	6,443	8,769	1	...	677	940
Agra ...	8,726	9,530	4,359	8,394	5,658	10,404	...	...	1,955	1,249
Furruckabad ...	9,063	10,141	5,875	8,851	6,771	9,953	...	...	685	805
Mynpoory ...	7,231	7,553	4,589	6,908	5,042	7,779	...	1	1,030	827
Cawnpore ...	5,229	4,972	3,781	5,284	3,324	4,965	...	...	394	440
Futtehpore ...	4,796	4,414	3,228	4,916	2,535	4,434	3	...	552	498
Allahabad ...	3,734	3,894	1,766	3,729	2,023	3,965	...	...	843	737
Goruckpore ...	12,816	12,241	10,108	12,946	9,436	12,683	...	...	1,475	1,108
Azimgurh ...	5,913	6,273	3,886	5,874	4,239	6,493	...	...	1,025	808
Jounpore ...	4,456	4,904	3,140	4,583	3,530	4,889	3	...	451	459
Mirzapore ...	3,071	3,034	1,834	3,071	1,856	3,164	...	...	493	364
Benares ...	1,716	1,927	1,574	2,100	1,634	1,945	...	...	276	255
Ghazeepore ...	9,192	9,134	6,885	9,307	6,441	9,182	...	...	1,222	1,171
Total ...	1,33,557	1,40,679	87,761	1,34,071	92,616	1,42,487	7	1	16,412	14,922
Increase ...	...	7,122	...	...	4,855	8,416	...	..	...	...
Decrease ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	1,490

This is remarkable considering the decrease in suits. It is due principally to a large and general increase in the number of applications for execution of decree. The cause of this increased activity on the part of decree-holders is to be found in the issue of the Court's Circular in September, 1866, recommending the Courts generally in awarding interest subsequent to date of decree, to award it ordinarily at a rate less than would be obtainable by persons who have not security of a decree to enforce payment. The decree-holder has not now the inducement which he formerly had to keep his decree unexecuted, bearing the market rate of interest, and secured by the machinery of the Court.

31. There has also been an increase of 131 in the number of applications to sue as pauper, and of 238 in the number of applications for review of judgment. Both these results may be attributed to the new Stamp Act.

Suitors, are or hope to be, more frequently able to show that they are not possessed of sufficient means to pay the stamps required for the institution of suit; and in applications for review of judgment they hope to obtain a re-consideration of judgment without incurring the expense necessary for the institution of appeal.

32. The decrease in the number of these cases left pending is satisfactory, and so is the fact that only one had been pending for more than a year. The number of cases on the files is large; but they are almost all applications for execution of decree, and it is not desirable that the files should be clear of these applications. The Courts are too apt, without notice to the parties, to cause such cases to be struck off, with a view perhaps to the exhibition in the returns of a favorable state of work. The practice will be prohibited. Only on actual default of appearance or prosecution on a fixed date, or within a fixed period, of which due notice shall have been given, should the application be struck off.

33. Applications for execution, if they do not contain the particulars required by law (Sections 212 and 213, Act VIII. of 1859), should not be admitted on the file. But the application once admitted should not ordinarily be struck off the files where any error or deficiency therein can be readily corrected by the Court itself, or by the décree-holder, such a proceeding involves needless delay and expense, which falls ultimately upon the judgment-debtor.

34. The next statement shows the manner in which applications for execution of decree have been dealt with. Execution of decree.

Zillah.	*Number of applications for execution of decrees.*						
	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Struck off.	Total disposed of in every way.	Percentage of column 2 to column 5.	Total number of applications.	Percentage of complete executions to total number of applications for disposal.
Seharunpore ...	1,506	1,608	3,150	6,264	24·	7,199	21·
Meerut	1,847	1,200	3,280	6,327	29·	7 034	26·
Allygurh	1,662	1,624	3,278	6,564	25·	7,875	21·
Moradabad ...	2,209	1,549	3,935	7,693	28·	9,232	24·
Bareilly	1,703	1,024	3,101	5,828	29·	6,834	25·
Shahjehanpore ...	1,352	984	2,287	4,623	29·	5,989	23·
Agra	2,477	1,449	3,249	7,175	35·	8,624	28·
Furruckabad ...	1,567	1,505	3,164	6,236	25·	7,122	22·
Mynpoory ...	1,402	1,252	2,715	5,369	26·	6,140	23·
Cawnpore ...	778	582	1,322	2,682	29·	3,453	23·
Futtehpore ...	611	491	1,347	2,449	25·	3,417	18·
Allahabad ...	1,090	1,079	820	2,989	36·	4,220	26·
Goruckpore ...	1,879	998	3,204	6,081	31·	7,194	25·
Azimgurh ...	1,398	411	1,927	3,736	37·	4,552	31·
Jounpore ...	807	468	1,226	2,501	32·	2,880	28·
Mirzapore ...	491	764	1,141	2,396	20·	2,733	18·
Benares ...	627	551	314	1,492	42·	1,769	35·
Ghazeepore ...	1,938	994	2,681	5,613	35·	7,022	28·
Total ...	25,344	18,533	42,141	86,018	29·	1,03,289	25·

The percentage of applications fully executed has not improved. There is reason to fear that the Lower Courts do not devote sufficient time or attention to the disposal of these applications. They often require as much time and attention as the decision of the suit itself; but the labor spent on them is not so prominently shown in the returns, and is therefore by some perhaps given less willingly.

35. The low proportion of decrees fully executed is no doubt partly due to the improper custom of striking off the applications as pointed out above, and to the dilatory proceedings of the Courts. The custom of setting apart certain days of the week for the disposal of this work is in itself a laudable one; but this should not be made a reason for hindering the progress of cases, which may thus lie over till the appointed day, for want of a few minutes' attention from the presiding officer.

36. It is also partly due to the inaction of the decree-holder, who sometimes purposely keeps his decree unexecuted in order to exact more than his due from the judgment-debtor; and in this oppression he is assisted by the provisions of Section 206, Act VIII. of 1859, which forbids the recognition of any adjustment of a decree except it be made through or certified to the Court. Ignorant of this provision of the law, or in order to save the trouble of appearance in Court, the debtor makes small presents in grain or cash, in part satisfaction of the decree, and in order to stave off execution, these presents are ignored afterwards by the creditor, and under the operation of the Section the debtor may, in this manner, be compelled to pay twice over the amount of his debt, principal and interest.

37. The machinery for the execution of decree would be improved by raising the position of the Ameen. The officer who attaches and sells property in execution has many opportunities of unlawful gain, while the neglectful or dishonest performance of his duties is most injurious to the parties concerned. The Court is enquiring into the possibility and propriety of increasing the salary of these officials.

38. Attached property is at present sold at or near the spot where it is situated; the official, who sells, is thus removed from supervision, and the power of fixing the place, and probably the exact time of sale, may be abused. It would be better as a rule to sell attached property on fixed days in each month at the Court-house, as is done at the Collectorate where the property attached consists of land paying revenue to Government.

39. The fact shown by this return, that in only 25 per cent. of the applications made for execution of decree is the decree fully executed, should not be forgotten, when the policy of the Stamp Act is discussed. It should by no means be assumed that the suitor, even when his right has been acknowledged by decree of Court, is re-imbursed even the fee which he has paid for the institution of the suit.

Sales of land in execution.

40. From the next statement will be seen the effects of decrees of Court upon landed property paying revenue to Government, and the extent to which arrangements have been made by the Courts themselves, or at the instance of the Collector to avert sale.

Number.	Zillah.	Number of Mouzahs and Shares of Mouzahs alienated in execution of Decrees of Civil Courts during 1867.												Remarks.
		Temporary, conditional, partial, or voluntary.						*Involuntary and permanent, under Section 248.*						
		By order of Court under Section 243.		At instance of the Collector under Section 244.		Total.		Hereditary.		Other.		Total.		
		Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	Entire Mouzahs.	Shares of Mouzahs.	
1	Seharunpore	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	232	...	21	...	253	
2	Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	94	...	...	2	94	
3	Allygurh ...	...	3	...	6	...	9	...	130	...	16	...	146	
4	Moradabad	...	2	...	2	...	4	1	135	2	4	3	139	
5	Bareilly ...	...	5	...	94	...	99	1	117	...	16	1	133	
6	Shajehanpore	...	...	1	11	1	11	...	127	...	5	...	132	
7	Agra ...	...	9	...	4	...	13	...	169	...	13	...	182	
8	Furruckabad	...	25	...	9	...	34	...	74	...	6	...	80	
9	Mynpoory ...	...	15	...	24	...	39	1	103	...	26	1	129	
10	Cawnpore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	7	7	7	
11	Futtehpore...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	19	...	33	...	52	
12	Allahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	323	...	49	1	372	
13	Goruckpore	1	186	3	8	4	194*	1	164	24	52	25	216	
14	Azimgurh ...	2	194	...	23	2	217	...	65	...	24	...	89	
15	Jounpore ...	...	...	...	1	...	1	2	53	...	3	2	56	
16	Mirzapore ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	13	...	2	...	15	
17	Benares ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	2	3	2	13	
18	Ghazeepore	2	5	...	5	2	10	...	53	...	39	...	92	
	Total ...	5	444	4	188	9	632	9	1,881	35	319	44	2,200	

* Note.—The returns show that 153 shares were permitted to be temporarily alienated by the Principal Sudder Ameen of Bustee. The Judge has, however, subsequently explained that there were in reality only 70 actual shares attached, but, as some of them were attached in two or three cases, the number appears as 153. There were altogether only five cases; in four of these there was but one judgment-debtor holding 59 shares, and in the remaining case one judgment-debtor holding 11 shares.

41. The number of sales is larger by 210 than the number which took place in 1866. The increase is principally in the districts of Agra and Allahabad.

Twenty-four entire villages were sold in the Goruckpore district belonging to the Rajah of Gopalpore, who had received them in reward for loyalty displayed in the disturbances of 1857-58. His estates had become irretrievably encumbered by his own extravagance.

42. The large number of proceedings taken by the Courts in Goruckpore, under Section 243, Act VIII., of 1859, for postponement of sale is noticeable. The Court has been informed of a lax practice prevailing in that district, where the Courts take it upon themselves to postpone sales without requiring the judgment-debtor to show that the amount of his debt can probably be raised by a temporary alienation of the land, or by sale of a portion thereof.

43. In the districts of Mirzapore and Furruckabad, the Judges have reported a misunderstanding of the provisions of Section 244 on the part of the Revenue authorities, who have conceived themselves entitled to recommend, in order to avert sale, payment of the decree by instalments, even in the Mirzapore district, against the wishes of the judgment-creditor. There is no authority under which the Collector can recommend, or the Civil Court sanction, without the consent of the judgment-creditor, payment of the decree by instalments, when that mode of payment has not been allowed in the original decree. All that can be authorized is a temporary alienation of the land to the judgment-debtor, or a stranger, which shall satisfy the decree within a reasonable period; and, ordinarily, the Courts should not interfere with the decree-holder's right to have full and immediate execution.

44. It has also been reported to the Court that some of the Revenue Officers in Rohilcund assume to themselves authority to postpone sales in opposition to the orders of the Civil Court, or to decline fixing a date for sale, or to fix it at a distant date. Such action is irregular, and, although it may be taken with the object of assisting the judgment-debtor to save his ancestral land, should be condemned. The Collector has no discretion but to carry out the orders of the Civil Courts in regard to sales.

45. Under the former rules for sales of land paying revenue to Government, certain proceedings preliminary to sale were entrusted to the Collector which belong properly to the Civil Court. Hence resulted delay by correspondence between the departments, and the assumption by the Collector of authority regarding the fixing of the date for sale, which was not vested in him by law. The duty of the Collector has now been confined to the actual conduct of the sale.

46. The next statement shows the number of cases appealable to the Judge's Court, instituted under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, and the number of appeals :— Appeals from the Revenue Courts.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Number.	Zillah.	Number of cases appealable to the Civil Courts decided in 1867 by the Revenue authorities.	Number of cases appealed to the Judge.	Number of cases decided by the Judge	Number of cases reversed or modified, or remanded.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided by Revenue officers.	Percentage of appeals reversed, modified, or remanded, to total number of appeals.
1	Seharunpore ...	626	205	125	66	33·	53·
2	Meerut ...	945	133	142	37	14·	26·
3	Allygurh ...	493	160	344	168	32·	44·
4	Moradabad ...	883	231	193	97	24·	44·
5	Bareilly ...	1,189	268	257	132	23·	51·
6	Shahjehanpore ...	642	143	195	68	22·	35·
7	Agra ...	527	119	127	58	23·	46·
8	Furruckabad ...	286	50	57	25	17·	44·
9	Mynpoory ...	1,336	208	200	79	16·	39·
10	Cawnpore ...	225	59	82	26	26·	32·
11	Futtehpore ...	381	90	96	34	30·	31·
12	Allahabad ...	398	148	140	45	37·	32·
13	Goruckpore ...	484	207	316	95	43·	30·
14	Azimgurh ...	361	268	263	131	74·	50·
15	Jounpore ...	610	191	224	92	31·	40·
16	Mirzapore ...	122	45	43	15	37·	35·
17	Benares ...	191	64	67	42	34·	63·
18	Ghazeepore ...	888	206	191	63	24·	33·
	Total ...	10,206	2,795	2,862	1,273	27·	40·

47. The number of cases appealable to the Judge decided by the Revenue Court has largely decreased from 15,215, in 1866, to 9,748 in 1867—a decrease of about 36 per cent. In two Districts, Seharunpore and Moradabad, there has been an increase. The decrease is most striking in the following districts :—

District.	*No. of Cases in* 1866.	*No. in* 1867.
Bareilly	2,429	1,189
Shahjehanpore	1,316	642
Furruckabad	756	286
Allahabad	1,004	398

It is due no doubt in part to the new Stamp Act, partly perhaps to good seasons, partly also, in the Allahabad District, to the issue of an order by the Collector prohibiting the entertainment of suits by Putteedars in undivided estates for fractional shares of a tenant's rents. The object of the order—*viz.*, to restore the influence and position of the lumberdar, and to protect the tenant from numerous suits—may be laudable, and has apparently met with approval; but it may be questioned, whether the Collector had legal authority to prohibit, by a general order, the entertainment of suits of a certain description by other Revenue Courts, the presiding officers in which should be left when acting judicially to the independent exercise of their own judgment as to the suits, which they are and are not competent by law to entertain. Error can be corrected in appeal, and advice can be given to individual officers; but the issue of a general prohibitory order of this nature is objectionable, and belongs to the province of the Legislature, and not to that of the Executive Officer.

48. Appeals have diminished, but not in at all an equal proportion with original suits. In 1866, 3,177 appeals were presented to the Judges from the decisions of the Revenue Courts; in 1867, 2,795. These figures show a decrease of only 12 per cent.

49. In some districts appeals have increased,—as for instance at Seharunpore, where 205 appeals were preferred as compared with 87 in 1866.

50. The proportion of appeals to decisions has increased from 21 per cent. in 1866 to 30 per cent. in 1867. The proportion of decisions reversed or modified to cases appealed remains at 40 per cent. These figures are not good, and do not, so far as the latter proportion is concerned, show favorably when compared with the similar proportion for the ordinary Civil Courts.

51. A very large, the Court thinks too large, proportion of these cases is now left with the Tehseeldars invested with Deputy Collectors' powers. The Collectors themselves rarely decide any cases, and this possibly cannot be avoided. But there cannot be more appropriate work than the trial of these suits for Assistant Collectors, especially as it gives them some experience in Civil Judicial work, of which, under the present system, they can have but little, before they are called upon to exercise the powers of a Zillah Judge.

52. Complaints also have been received by the Court of the mode, in which some Revenue Courts perform their judicial work. They have very little time to spare from the multifarious duties devolving upon them; and content themselves with referring the case for local investigation to a subordinate, whose enquiry forms the basis for judgment and decree. Local investigation becomes the rule, and the subordinate officer commissioned to hold it becomes the Judge. The Court suggested in last year's report that the class of officers, to whom these enquiries are committed should be defined. The Court has not been informed, whether any action was taken upon the suggestion.

53. Another point to be borne in mind when the question is considered, whether the Tehseeldar's Court shall become the principal Court for the adjudication of agricultural suits, is the influence and power which the Tehseeldar possesses as an Executive Officer. The fear of this may check appeal; and for many reasons the union of judicial functions and large executive duties has not generally been thought desirable.

54. The Court will have, in the revision of the quarterly statements, which in in their present form include statistics of the work of the Revenue Courts in as full detail as of the Civil, some means of forming an opinion as to the manner, in which the business of the Revenue Courts is conducted.

55. Certain figured results of the work of individual officers in the Revenue Department are given in the following table:—

District.	Name of Officer.	Number of appealable cases decided.	Number of appeals preferred.	Number of appeals in which judgment was reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals to cases decided.	Percentage of judgments reversed or modified to number of appeals decided.
Furruckabad	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			
Meerut	Mr. W. Forbes, Collector	112	11	...	10·	...
	Mr. Porter, Assistant Settlement Officer	232	12	3	5·	25·
	Misree Lall	107	4	2	4·	50·
Mirzapore	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			
Azimgurh	Ditto ditto	Ditto	Ditto			
Goruckpore	Mr. F. E. Elliott, Deputy Collector	108	55	10	51·	17·
Cawnpore	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			
Allahabad	Ditto ditto	Ditto	Ditto			
Futtehpere	Ditto ditto	Ditto	Ditto			
Mynpoorie	Mr. F. N. Wright, Assistant Collector	107	15	6	14·	43·
	Syud Mahomed Hussun, Deputy Collector ...	152	26	7	18·	28·
	Mr. J. Kennedy, Assistant Collector	145	12	6	8·	50·
	Dawar Ally, Deputy Collector	134	20	4	15·	26·
	Narain Dass, Deputy Collector	161	25	3	16·	21·
Moradabad	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			
Allygurh	Ditto ditto	Ditto	Ditto			
Bareilly	Khyr-ood-deen Ahmud	109	14	10	13·	71·
	Salaood-deen	139	5	2	4·	50·
	Gunga Pershad	148	16	9	11·	50·
	Mr. H. R. Wilson	117	50	30	43·	52·
Shahjehanpore	Mahomed Kurrum	152	42	19	28·	39
Agra	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			
Jounpore	Nusseer-ood-deen Khan, Tehseeldar	213	56	35	26·	41·
Ghazeepore	Mr. Oldham, Deputy Collector	125	64	10	51·	20·
	Mr. W. A. Howe, Assistant Collector	107	26	10	24·	77·
	Talehmund Pershad, Tehseeldar	118	52	16	44·	30·
Seharunpore	Wuzeer Ali, Tehseeldar	206	6	...	3·	0·
	Syud Mohib Ali, Deputy Collector	110	49	23	45·	60·
Benares	All the officers decided cases below	100 in	number.			

The figures appear to be most favorable in the case of Mr. Forbes and Mr. Porter, Settlement Officers in the Meerut District.

False verification of plaint and false evidence.

56. The next statement shows the number and result of prosecutions for false verification of plaint, for false evidence and forgery, instituted by the Civil Courts during the past year:—

	Operation of Section 24, Act VIII. of 1859.												Operation of Section 169, Act XXV. of 1861.												Operation of Section 170, Act XXV. of 1861.												Remarks.
	Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			Number of cases in which criminal commitments were made.			Number of persons committed.			Number of persons convicted.			Number of persons acquitted.			
	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	Judge.	Principal Sudder Ameen.	Moonsiff.	
Seharunpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	11	...	...	...	...	...	11	
Meerut ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	1	3	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Allygurh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	3	...	7	6	...	4	...	...	3	5	...	2	1	...	5	1	...	...	1	...	5	...	
Moradabad,	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	7	...	...	3	...	...	4	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	
Bareilly ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	*Awaiting trial.
Shajehanpore	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	24	...	...	...	...	...	24	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Agra ..	...	1	1	...	1	4	...	1	*3	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	†4	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	†1 absconded.
Furruckabad,	...	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mynpoory...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	‡Pending.
Cawnpore...	1	1	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	‡2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Futtehpore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	
Allahabad...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Goruckpore,	...	...	1	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	
Azimgurh ...	...	2	1	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	2	1	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	1	5	4	...	1	1	...	4	3	§Pending
Jounpore ...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	§1	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Mirzapore...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	
Benares ...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	3	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ghazeepore,	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	5	...	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...	1	4	8	1	4	14	1	2	8	...	3	3	2	9	11	2	41	28	1	8	11	1	31	14	1	7	7	1	19	22	...	7	4	...	12	16	

One hundred and thirty-two persons were committed, but only 41 convicted. In 1866 there were 122 persons committed and 56 convicted. Of the 41 persons convicted, 10 were convicted on charges of false verification, 20 for false evidence, 11 for forgery.

57. This return shows that the Courts generally do not recognize the duty, that is laid upon them, of exerting their best efforts to put down the crimes of false swearing and subornation of false evidence, which too frequently occur in our Courts. It cannot be believed, that in the various Courts in the Mynpoory, Futtehpore, and Mirzapore Districts no case occurred throughout the year in which it became the duty of the presiding Judge to endeavour to put in force the criminal law enacted to repress wilfully false evidence.

In justification for inaction is pleaded the difficulty of obtaining a conviction in the Criminal Courts on charges of this nature. But this difficulty does not make it the less incumbent on the Civil Court to do its part. Whenever there is sufficient ground for investigating any such charge, the Court should proceed as directed by law, and should not be deterred because the criminal may escape.

58. The Court has observed in some instances a neglect of the provisions of Section 27 of the Code of Civil Procedure, which require the plaint to be verified by the plaintiff himself, or on good grounds produced to the satisfaction of the Court for non-verification by the plaintiff, by some person competent to verify. The plaintiff himself should not be lightly excused from the obligation, which belongs to him, and where substitution is allowed—substitution must be required of a person competent from his knowledge of the circumstances, and from his relation to the plaintiff, to stand in the plaintiff's stead. The Code intends, that the plaintiff himself should be responsible for the averments in his plaint, and if the Courts are careless to enforce this responsibility, a door to malpractices is at once opened. If incorrect or false averments are made in the plaint, it becomes necessary to tutor witnesses, or to procure false evidence in support, and thus a claim, in itself perhaps true and honest, is made to rest in falsehood.

59. Ample powers are given to the Courts by the Pleaders' Act to deal with dishonorable practitioners, who foster these great evils; and this Court will not fail to support and recognize any efforts which may be made by the District and Subordinate Judges to punish the wrong-doers.

Average duration of suits.

60. The average duration of suits is shown in the following return:—

	Judges.			Principal Sudder Ameens.			Sudder Ameens.			Moonsiffs.			Average duration of cases under Act X. of 1859.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.	Year.	Months.	Days.
Seharunpore ...	...	1	28	...	2	19	...	3	10	...	...	26	...	2	12
Meerut ...	...	2	12	...	1	2	...	...	26	...	...	21	...	2	1
Allygurh ...	..	9	20	...	3	20	...	2	1	...	...	28	...	3	25
Moradabad ...	...	5	18	...	3	21	...	1	14	...	...	24	...	2	9
Bareilly ...	...	2	25	...	9	6	...	...	27	...	...	21	...	2	18
Shahjehanpore ...	...	5	26	...	3	9	...	...	18	...	...	16	...	4	12
Agra ...	...	2	1	...	1	13	...	1	13	...	...	29	...	1	9
Furruckabad ...	...	1	10	...	1	14	...	...	...	...	...	21	...	1	23
Mynpoory ...	...	2	4	...	2	25	...	...	16	...	...	15	...	1	1
Cawnpore ...	...	4	17	...	3	24	...	...	21	...	...	19	...	1	28
Futtehpore ...	...	4	21	...	1	4	...	1	24	...	1	3	...	3	22
Allahabad ...	...	2	18	...	2	3	...	...	21	...	...	20	...	2	25
Goruckpore ...	...	6	8	...	4	27	...	...	23	...	1	3	...	6	10
Azimgurh ...	...	1	15	...	1	21	...	...	27	...	...	23	...	1	6
Jounpore ...	...	4	5	...	1	7	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	7	3
Mirzapore ...	...	4	7	...	2	6	...	1	21	...	...	...	...	3	4
Benares ...	...	...	23	...	1	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28
Ghazeepore ...	...	4	10	...	1	24	...	1	18	...	1	11	...	2	19
General Average ...	...	3	21	...	2	22	...	1	2	...	...	22	...	2	23

In the Courts of all grades the average duration is shorter than in 1866. The results generally are satisfactory. The Court would not insist upon a shorter duration than that, which is shown for the Moonsiffs' Courts, though of course any improvement in the despatch of business will be regarded as satisfactory. It would be a great evil if, in order to show a good return, too strict advantage were taken of the non-attendance of parties at perhaps an early hour to strike off cases by default. Adjournments are, however, the Court thinks, too frequently and easily granted on such grounds; for instance, as a request for further time to obtain copies of documents, which could easily have been obtained by the day fixed. Whenever costs are occasioned by adjournments, the Courts should not forget that they are chargeable by law to the party applying for the adjournment.

61. In some of the Judges Courts however, as at Allygurh and Goruckpore, and in the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court at Bareilly, the average duration is too long. There is no ground for attributing blame on this account to either of the present incumbents of the Judgeships mentioned, who have had to fight against heavy arrears, and to decide cases, which have been long pending.

62. The Court has caused memoranda to be prepared and attached to cases coming up in appeal, showing the dates of each successive stage in the suit, and has thus ready means of noticing and correcting improper delays.

63. In the next statement is shown the personal attendance of parties to suits :— Personal attendance of parties.

Number.	Zillah.	*Cases in which the parties or one of them were before the Court ordinarily or by summons.*				Cases of non-attendance.	Total number of cases decided.	*Percentage of cases of personal attendance to total cases decided.*			Remarks.
		In which plaintiff was personally before the Court.	In which defendant was personally before the Court.	In which both parties were personally present before the Court.	Total cases of personal attendance.			Superior Courts.	Moonsiffs.	General.	
1	Scharunpore ...	518	310	2,229	3,057	494	3,551	70·	90·	86·	
2	Meerut ...	321	651	1,998	2,970	1,125	4,095	66·	74·	73·	
3	Allygurh ...	590	804	1,532	2,926	1,211	4,137	34·	83·	70·	
4	Moradabad ...	805	1,118	2,798	4,721	1,662	6,383	19·	87·	74·	
5	Bareilly ...	1,170	1,469	2,427	5,066	1,162	6,228	78·	82·	81·	
6	Shahjehanpore ..	624	513	3,608	4,745	767	5,512	61·	92·	86·	
7	Agra ...	512	683	1,759	2,954	1,169	4,123	37·	84·	72·	
8	Furruckabad ...	1,027	908	2,864	4,799	425	5,224	74·	95·	92·	
9	Mynpoory ...	573	1,348	1,868	3,789	991	4,780	27·	90·	79·	
10	Cawnpore ...	361	367	1,448	2,176	374	2,550	81·	87·	85·	
11	Futtehpore ...	298	483	811	1,592	722	2,314	55·	80·	69·	
12	Allahabad ...	611	508	932	2,051	543	2,594	100·	94·	79·	
13	Goruckpore ..	859	595	1,806	3,260	1,036	4 296	47·	92·	76·	
14	Azimgurh ...	625	514	1,820	2,959	364	3,323	89·	89·	89·	
15	Jounpore ...	480	376	1,086	1,942	693	2,635	47·	88·	74·	
16	Mirzapore ...	248	484	1,019	1,751	493	2,244	86·	70·	78·	
17	Benares ...	124	141	226	491	513	1,004	49·	...	49·	
18	Ghazeepore ..	650	847	3,314	4,811	741	5,552	84·	88·	87·	
	Total ...	10,396	12,119	33,545	56,060	14,485	70,545	61·	86·	79·	

In the superior Courts the amount of personal attendance is shown at a higher proportion to the total number of cases decided than in 1866. In the lower Courts the percentage is the same as for 1866, and is not altogether unsatisfactory. Until an improvement takes place in the character and ability of the pleaders, who practise in the Lower Courts, it is desirable to secure, so far as it can be done, without inconvenience to suitors, or straining the law, the personal attendance of parties

where questions of fact are at issue. In the Appellate Courts, where questions of law have for the most part to be argued, or inferences drawn from evidence already recorded, the presence of pleaders is generally sufficient. But the Courts should exercise a discretion in the matter, and should not allow a routine order for the appearance of parties to issue, as a matter of course, in cases where the examination of the parties is not necessary, and as a matter of fact is not taken.

Debtors Imprisoned.

64. The number of judgment-debtors imprisoned was 593, showing a decrease of 17 as compared with the return of 1866. The average of each district would thus be 33; but the number varies from 88 persons imprisoned in Mynpoory to 2 in Goruckpore. The total amount of debt for which these persons were imprisoned was Rs. 1,25,830, or an average of Rs. 212 for each person. The amount is less by Rs. 39,986 than that shown in the return for 1866.

Work of District Judges.

65. The next statement shows the amount of work performed in the Court of each District Judge:—

Number in order of Civil Work performed.	Zillah.	Work actually performed.										Criminal.		Total value of Civil and Criminal work performed in days.	Order of Zillah according to the last column.	Remarks.
		Original suits.	Appeals.				Miscellaneous Statement No. 2, Headings 1 to 20.	Total.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days on which the Judge actually sat on the Civil side.	Calculated value of work performed in days.	Number of days given to Criminal sittings.				
			From Principal Sudder Ameen.	From Collectors under Act X. of 1859.	From Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.											
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.		7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.		13.	14.	15.
1	Agra ...	20	308	127	37		237	729	333	139	111	78		444	I.	
2	Azimgurh ...	3	44	261	427		363	1,098	316	184	61	61		377	III.	
3	Goruckpore ...	2	114	517	33		586	1,052	281	181	68	63		349	V.	
4	Allygurh ...	19	125	349	9		146	648	260	162	134	64		394	II.	
5	Ghazeepore ...	3	172	191	22		376	764	246	157	65	79		311	VIII.	
6	Bareilly ...	8	37	264	204		136	649	216	131	136	80		352	IV.	
7	Benares ...	19	186	67	...		163	435	211	192	65	40		276	XI.	
8	Meerut ...	19	120	142	36		218	535	208	180	73	55		281	IX.	
9	Cawnpore ...	10	109	75	83		350	627	196	137	142	80		338	VI.	
10	Futtehpore ...	23	110	97	53		161	444	192	158	79	97		271	XII.	
11	Shahjehanpore ...	0	76	195	184		104	559	191	164	86	58		277	X.	
12	Furruckabad ...	1	81	56	241		186	565	182	183	59	41		241	XVI.	
13	Moradabad ...	4	101	193	63		153	514	152	199	49	28		231	XVII.	
14	Mynpoory ...	4	49	202	116		160	531	170	84	143	135		313	VII.	
15	Allahabad ...	5	92	134	94		141	466	159	149	109	74		268	XIII.	
16	Seharunpore ...	4	56	125	88		32	305	145	106	97	75		242	XV.	
17	Mirzapore ...	3	144	40	44		80	311	141	174	112	75		253	XIV.	
18	Jounpore ...	3	74	30	69		148	319	108	134	50	43		158	XVIII.	
	Total	150	1,998	2,865	1,803		3,735	10,551	3,737	2,814	1,639	1,226		5,376	...	
	Total of 1866	203	1,485	2,931	1,865		3,251	9,635	3,461	2,908	1,711	1,349		5,172	...	
	Increase	...	513	...	...		*484	916	276	...	...	...		204	...	
	Decrease	53	...	66	62		...	...	...	94	72	123		...	...	

From the classification adopted, and from the assignment of so much time to each class of work, it appears that the Judges of Agra and Azimgurh performed the greatest amount of civil work; the Judges of Mynpoory and Cawnpore the greatest amount of criminal. The Judge of Mynpoory sat 135 days on the criminal side—no other Judge so many as 100.

66. The number of original suits heard by the District Judges throughout the year was only 150—a decrease of 53 from the number heard in 1866. The districts in which most original suits were taken up by the Judges were—

District.		*No. of original suits.*
Futtehpore	...	23
Agra	...	20
Benares	...	19
Allygurh	...	19
Meerut	...	19

No other Judge heard more than 10; while the Judge of Shahjehanpore decided no original suit.

67. The greatest number of appeals from the decisions of Principal Sudder Ameens was heard by the Judge of Agra who decided 308 such appeals; no other Judge decided 200. The number of appeals from Collectors' decisions heard by the Judges of Allygurh and Goruckpore was much in excess of the number decided in other districts. It is remarkable that notwithstanding the new Stamp Act, and the general decrease in original suits, there was an actual increase of 513 in the number of appeals from Principal Sudder Ameens decided by the District Judges in 1867 as compared with 1866. The cause of this increase may perhaps be found in the increased valuation of suits for immovable property which has removed them from the jurisdiction of the Moonsiffs, and thrown them up into the higher Courts.

68. The Judge of Azimgurh disposed of 427 appeals from Moonsiff's decisions, the Judges of Furruckabad and Bareilly of 241 and 204 respectively. No other Judge heard 200.

69. Of course the number of appeals from Moonsiff's decisions heard by the Judge must depend in a great measure upon the number of appeals from the decisions of the Revenue Courts, and of Principal Sudder Ameens, which are upon his files, the hearing of which by the Judge is compulsory. It is, however, the duty of the Judge to retain on his own file as many appeals from Moonsiffs, as he can dispose of without hindrance to his necessary work. And every Judge who is desirous to make himself acquainted with the judicial character of the Moonsiffs subordinate to him, and with the administration of Civil Justice in his district, will do this.

70. The next statement shows the amount of work performed by individual Judges, and the result of appeals from their decisions to the High Court.

Name of Judge.	Zillah.	Number of original suits and appeals finally decided during 12 months, commencing from the last quarter of 1866 and ending with the 3rd quarter of 1867.	Number of appeals from those decisions to High Court, Regular and Special, preferred in the 12 months of 1867.	Percentage of appeals to cases and appeals decided.	Number of appeals decided by the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces during the year 1867.	Number affirmed.	Number modified or reversed.	Dismissed on default, adjusted, or withdrawn, and otherwise disposed of.	Percentage of cases reversed or modified to total number decided by the High Court, North-Western Provinces.
Mr. G. H. M. Ricketts	Allahabad	370	9	18·	24	8	16	...	66·
" G. P. Money...	Ditto		56		43	26	17	...	39·
" H. G. Keene	Furruckabad	230	52	24·	91	55	36	...	40·
" R. Spankie	Ditto	49	4	8·	3	1	...	2	...
" S. N. Martin	Ditto	106	14	13·	2	1	1	...	50·
" M. B. Thornhill	Ditto	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	100·
" W. G. Probyn	Goruckpore	385	51	13·	57	44	13	...	23·
" J. W. Sherer	Ditto	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	100·
" H. P. Fane	Mirzapore	262	41	16·	44	33	11	...	25·
" A Ross	Azimgurh	856	152	18·	142	66	17	5	50·
" H. B. Henderson	Benares	221	33	39·	37	24	12	1	38·
" J. H. B. Ironside	Ditto		30		36	17	9	...	
" C. R. Lindsay	Moradabad	336	29	18·	46	31	15	...	45·
" B. Sapte	Ditto		24		20	9	11	...	
" S. N. Martin	Ditto		8		6	3	3	...	
" A. L. M. Phillips	Ditto		...		8	3	5	...	
" A. L. M. Phillips	Allygurh	499	141	29·	122	69	53	...	43·
" J. H. Prinsep	Ditto		2		12	8	4	...	
" F. Thompson	Shahjehanpore	435	54	12·	60	35	25	...	42·
" J. W. Sherer ... — ...	Jounpore	150	9	13·	44	26	17	1	39·
" J. H. Prinsep	Ditto	142	20	14·	6	1	5	...	83·
" W. S. Paterson	Agra	400	94	24·	83	57	26	...	31·
" H. Vansittart	Bareilly	874	78	9·	78	3	56	...	72·
" A. O. Hume	Ditto		3		1	...	...	...	
" H. Lushington	Ghazeepore	163	34	20·	42	17	25	...	59·
" F. M. Lind	Ditto	243	47	19·	36	21	15	...	42·
" M. B. Thornhill	Seharunpore	317	65	20·	72	36	36	...	50·
" C. Horne	Mynpoory	472	70	15·	78	53	24	1	30·
" G. E. Lance	Cawnpore	284	45	16·	38	24	13	1	34·
" J. Power	Ditto	...	1	...	18	13	5	...	28·
" G. D. Turnbull	Meerut	163	18	11·	15	10	4	...	27·
" B. Sapte	Ditto	162	54	33·	52	33	19	...	37·
" A. L. M. Phillips	Ditto	...	9	...	8	8	...	...	...
" J. Boldero	Futtehpore	327	35	14·	62	35	25	...	46·
" W. J. R. Carnac	Ditto		12		6	...	6		
	Total ...	7,446	1,295	17·	1,395	770	527	11	38·

The proportion of appeals to decisions stands at 17 per cent.,—an exceedingly low proportion, and a better result than has been shown since 1861. In 1863 the percentage was 44. But the result may be due in great measure to the Stamp Act, although it has not had the same effect upon appeals to the District Courts.

71. The proportion of decisions reversed or modified in appeal to appeals heard by this Court stands at 38 per cent. which cannot be considered favorable. In 1866 the proportion was only 34 per cent. The best percentages are those obtained by Mr. Fane (25), and Mr. Probyn (23).

72. The Court takes this opportunity to express its sense of the loss which the judicial service has experienced in the departure of Mr. Fane.

73. From many of the Judges the Court has received able reports, and the Court is glad to be in a position to acknowledge the valuable services rendered by the District Judges generally in these Provinces.

74. The Court, however, is of opinion that a report of this nature, which necessarily becomes more or less public, is not the proper place in which to express an opinion on the merits or demerits of individual Judges, and that the dignity of their office would not be enhanced by such a course.

75. The Court will always be prepared when consulted to inform the Government of its opinion of the judicial character of any of the officers subject to its superintendence.

76. The following statement shows the work of the Principal Sudder Ameens:— **Work of the Principal Sudder Ameens.**

District.	Name of Principal Sudder Ameen.	Average number of original suits and appeals (reckoning 8 appeals to 1 original suit) decided on merits and *ex parte* permensem.		Average number of original cases and appeals decided on merits per mensem.
Furruckabad	Mahomed Hussun Khan	22		17
	Moulvee Hubeeb-oollah Khan			
Meerut	Pygumber Buksh	41		36
	Mahomed Buksh	35		33
Mirzapore	Hubeeb-oollah Khan	43	41	31
	Qazee Inayet Hoossein Khan	45		
Azimgurh	Abdool Uzeez Khan	14		14
Goruckpore	Rai Bukhtawur Singh	19		18
Bustee	Rai Dabee Dyal	17		16
Cawnpore	Mahomed Abdoollah Khan	28		26
	Baboo Shama Churn	15		14
Allahabad	Mr. A. W. Wollaston	27		18
Moradabad	Mahomed Hussun Khan	27		24
	Rai Soonder Lall			
	Rai Hait Lall	12		12
Mynpoory	Mahomed Wajib-oollah Khan	27		25
Futtehpore	Rai Soonder Lall	19		16
	Mahomed Hussun Khan	19		18
Agra	Mahomed Buksh	30		29
	Pygumber Buksh			
	W. V. Berkeley	3		2
Bareilly	Asud-oollah Mohumdee	16		14
Shahjehanpore	Momin Ali Khan	19		18
	Rai Hait Lall			
	Moulvee Mohomed Hussun Khan ...			
Jounpore	Syud Hussun Ruza	18		18
Allygurh	Syud Ahmed Khan	20		19
	Abd-oollah Khan	22		22
Scharunpore	Rai Sookhbasee Lall	12		12
	Pundit Juggut Narain	27		27
	Mr. C. A. Daniell	2		2
	Mr J. Sladen	2		2
Ghazeepore	Rai Kanjee Sahai	18		18
	Moulvee Ali Buksh Khan	26		25
Benares	Qazee Inayet Hoossein Khan	36		28
	Mr. R. H Smith			
	Syud Ahmed Khan			

Pygumber Buksh, Mahomed Buksh, Hubeeb-oollah Khan, and Cazee Inayet Hoossein Khan, appear to have decided the greatest number of contested cases. The two latter however have Moonsiff's jurisdiction also at Mirzapore; and the cases which came before them as Moonsiffs would be more rapidly disposed of.

77. Rai Bukhtawur Singh at Goruckpore, and Mahomed Hussun Khan at Shahjehanpore, are reported to have worked laboriously and successfully in reducing the arrears which have for some time encumbered the files of those districts.

78. It is to be remarked that Asudoollah Khan, Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly, did almost the least amount of work of any Principal Sudder Ameen, although the arrears at Bareilly are very heavy.

79. The result of appeals from the judgments of the Principal Sudder Ameens is shown below :—

District.	Names of Principal Sudder Ameens.	Ratio Per Cent.			Remarks.
		Of appeals to appealable cases decided.	*Of decisions reversed or modified*		
			To number of appeals tried.	To number of appealable cases decided.	
Allahabad ...	Khoorshyd Ali Khan ...	39·	33·	14·	One otherwise disposed of.
	Mr. A. W. Wollaston ...				
	Mr. W. H. Tyrrell ...				
Furruckabad ...	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	46·	35·	15·	
	Hubeeb-oollah Khan ...		65·		
Goruckpore ...	Asud-oollah Khan ...	...	36·	...	
	Bukhtawur Singh ...	17·	36·	3·	
	Rai Dabee Dyal ...	25·	35·	3·	
Mirzapore ...	Hubeeb-oollah Khan ...	44·	46·	17·	
	Inayet Hossein Khan ...		25·		
Azimgurh ...	Abdool Uzeez ...	28·	36·	16·	
Benares ...	Inayet Hossein ...	65·	44·	28·	
	Mr. R. H. Smith ...				
Moradabad ...	Hait Lall ...	63·	43·	32·	
	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	19·	43·	8·	
	Soonder Lall ...				
Allygurh ...	Syud Ahmud Khan ...	48·	34·	21·	
	Abdoollah Khan ...	10·	...	...	
Shahjehanpore ...	Momin Ali Khan ...	16·	41·	9·	
	Hait Lall ...		44·		
	Hussun Khan ...		38·		
Jounpore ...	Hussun Ruzza ...	18·	38·	9·	
Agra ...	Mahomed Buksh ...	29	37·	15·	
	Pygumber Buksh ...				
	Mr. V. Berkeley ...	16·	20·	2·	
Bareilly ...	Asud-oollah Mohumdee ...	17·	28·	4·	
	Qasim Ali Khan ...	...	71·	...	
Ghazeepore ...	Kanjee Sahai ...	15	46·	7·	
	Ali Buksh Khan ...	21	33·	9·	
Seharunpore ...	Sookhbasee Lall ...	36·	59·	14·	
	Pundit Juggut Narain ...				
	Mr. C. A. Daniell ...	40·	...	26·	
	Mr. J. Sladen ...				
Mynpoory ...	Wajeh-oollah Khan ...	26·	31·	8·	
Cawnpore ...	Abdoollah ..	34·	41·	25·	
	Shama Churn ...	33·	25·	2·	
Meerut ...	Pygumber Buksh ...	43·	29·	15·	
	Mahomed Buksh ...	22·	32·	2·	
Futtehpore ...	Soonder Lall ...	35·	46·	19·	
	Mahomed Hussun Khan ...	9·	...	...	
	Total ...	30·	39.	13·	

The proportion of appeals to appealable cases decided stands at 30 per cent., which is scarcely lower than the percentage of 1866, notwithstanding the introduction of the new Stamp Law. The proportion of decisions reversed or modified to appeals decided is 39 per cent. which is not favorable, the least favorable since 1862.

80. The work of the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs is shown in the next return. Work of Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs.

No.	Zillah.	Average number of cases decided on merits and *ex parte* per mensem per Moonsiff.	Average number of cases decided on merits only.
1	Furruckabad	34	21
2	Meerut	23	18
3	Mirzapore	45	34
4	Azimgurh	29	23
5	Goruckpore	20	17
6	Cawnpore	29	24
7	Allahabad	43	25
8	Seharunpore	20	17
9	Mynpoory	41	25
10	Moradabad	27	25
11	Futtehpore	24	20
12	Agra	24	17
13	Bareilly	34	30
14	Shahjehanpore	31	28
15	Jounpore	36	32
16	Allygurh	29	25
17	Ghazeepore	25	22

The Moonsiffs of Allahabad and Mirzapore performed the greatest amount of work. Abdool Kyoom and Ajoodhia Pershad held office at these cities during the greater portion of the year.

81. Among the Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs are several who could be safely trusted to exercise the powers of a Small Cause Court Judge up to the limit of Rs. 50 as defined by Section 20, Act XVI. of 1868.

82. The proportions of appeals from the decisions of Sudder Ameens and Moonsiffs, and of decisions reversed or modified, are shown below :—

Court.	Number of appealable cases decided.	Number of cases appealed.	Appeals modified and reversed.	Appeals confirmed.	Percentage: Of cases appealed to appealable cases decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified. To number of appeals decided.	Percentage: Of decisions reversed or modified. To number of appealable cases decided.	Remarks.
Sudder Ameens ...	3,392	1,509	512	820	44·	37·	15·	
Moonsiffs ...	17,254	6,393	2,185	3,994	37·	33·	13·	

The percentage of decisions in the Moonsiffs Courts, which were in appeal to the Judge or Principal Sudder Ameen reversed or modified, stands at 33, as compared with 34, which was the similar proportion for 1866.

83. Judges of this Court have inspected the Courts of the Rohilcund, Allahabad, and Benares Divisions, including Goruckpore. Also some of those in the Agra Division have been visited.

84. The Court has to report the conviction of Neaz Mahomed Khan, Moonsiff of Mahoba, in the Humeerpore District, on charges of accepting an illegal gratification, and of abetment of fabrication of false evidence. He was sentenced to two years' rigorous imprisonment on each charge, and to fine.

Financial Results.

85. In the next statement is shown the income and the expenditure of the Courts in these Provinces. The amount realized by stamps has increased, as compared with the Return of 1866, by Rs. 2,60,455, although the new rates were in force little more than half the year. The expenditure has increased on account of the improved salaries of the Subordinate Judges, and the Judges' Establishments, by Rs. 1,89,943.

Zillah.	Aggregate value of stamps filed.		Amount ordered to be refunded for razeenamah.	Net Total.	Cost of Civil and Subordinate Judges' salaries and Establishment.	Net gain to Government.	Loss to Government.	Remarks.
	Value of stamps filed (ordinary).	Value of penalties realized for insufficiently stamped documents.						
	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	Rs. As. P.	
High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces ...	1,79.734 5 0	...	16,847 8 0	1,62,886 13 0	3,87,744 7 8	...	2,24,857 10 8	
Seharunpore	74,443 10 0	254 14 0	2,817 15 0	71,850 9 0	65,099 8 0	6,751 1 0	...	
Meerut	80,943 15 0	623 9 0	1,227 8 0	80,340 0 0	54,350 0 0	25,990 0 0	...	
Allygurh	73,450 14 0	908 5 6	2,089 12 0	72,269 7 6	67,716 0 0	4,553 7 6	...	
Moradabad	86,919 7 0	766 9 0	1,458 0 0	86,263 0 0	76,902 10 8	9,360 5 4	...	
Bareilly	87,365 7 0	158 7 0	2,178 2 0	85.345 12 0	75,687 3 3	9,658 8 9	...	
Shahjehanpore	61,908 8 0	71 3 0	895 4 0	61,084 7 0	68,856 15 9	...	7,772 8 9	
Agra	95,339 13 0	757 14 0	1,274 6 0	94,823 5 0	76,198 0 0	27,625 5 0	...	
Furruckabad	73,286 4 0	384 14 0	1,434 4 0	72,236 14 0	61,046 7 0	11,190 7 0	...	
Mynpoory	64,992 8 0	398 4 0	1,063 12 0	64,327 0 0	63,734 6 5	592 9 7	...	
Cawnpore	65,349 13 0	468 15 0	637 8 0	65,181 4 0	59,201 0 11	5,980 3 1	...	
Futtehpore	38,839 6 0	545 6 0	214 4 0	39,170 8 0	58,083 13 4	...	18,913 5 4	
Allahabad	68,704 7 0	485 6 0	1,845 0 0	67,344 13 0	53,112 0 0	14,232 13 0	..	
Goruckpore	75,602 0 9	817 11 8	507 0 0	75,912 11 8	76,444 11 1	...	531 15 5	
Azimgurh	56,551 15 0	26 1 0	550 12 0	56,027 4 0	62,336 0 0	...	6,308 12 0	
Jounpore	34,497 10 0	58 10 0	561 0 0	33,995 4 0	58,150 11 10	...	24,155 7 10	
Mirzapore	55,287 8 0	405 1 0	1,046 8 0	54,646 1 0	51,328 1 1	3,317 15 11	...	
Benares	43,080 3 6	2,251 3 0	786 4 0	44,545 2 0	48,694 0 0	...	4,148 14 0	
Ghazeepoor	83,040 7 0	151 12 0	2,015 8 0	81,176 11 0	77,480 2 6	3,696 8 6	...	
Total, including High Court ...	13,99,368 0 0	9,534 1 2	39,475 3 0	13,69,426 14 2	15,33,166 3 6	1,22,949 4 8	2,86,688 10 0	Net loss Rs. 1,63,739-5-4
Total of Zillah Judges and Subordinate Courts ...	12,19,633 11 0	9,534 1 2	22,627 11 0	12,06,540 1 2	11,45,421 11 10	1,22,949 4 8	61,830 15 4	Net gain Rs. 61,118-5-4

86. To the income of the Courts, as shown in this statement, must be added the surplus on account of fees paid for the deputation of Ameens, and for the service of processes of the Court. The former amounted to Rs. 40,416, and the latter has been estimated by the Accountant-General at Rs. 67,122. To these items further must be added the surplus income which accrued from the Courts of Small Causes—*viz.*, Rs. 12,333. These amount to Rs. 1,19,871; so that the whole of the Civil Courts of these Provinces, including the High Court, have only cost the Government during the past year Rs. 43,868. And there can be little doubt that during the current year the increased Stamp Revenue will more than cover this deficiency.

87. The Court has no satisfaction in recording that the District Courts have during the past year all brought in revenue to Government, with the exception of the Shahjehanpore, Futtehpore, Goruckpore, Azimgurh, Jounpore, and Benares Courts. The same districts, and two others, were in the same position in last year's report. It is possible that except in Benares, the Courts in these districts are too numerous, or not conveniently situated, or that the jurisdiction is not well-arranged. The re-arrangement of jurisdiction should be taken into consideration, together with the changes, that may be necessary in consequence of the new Act relating to Subordinates Judges and Moonsiffs.

88. The statement showing the financial results of the Ameen's Act is given below:— **Ameens Fund.**

Zillah.	Amount of fees realized.			Total amount of salary.			Net gain to Government.			Remarks.
	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	Rs.	As.	P.	
Seharunpore ...	3,341	4	6	3,240	0	0	101	4	6	
Meerut ...	3,973	5	1	1,680	0	0	2,293	5	1	
Allygurh ...	4,092	1	0	2,160	0	0	1,932	1	0	
Moradabad ...	9 339	3	8	5,280	0	0	4,059	3	8	
Bareilly ...	4,556	10	8	3,360	0	0	1,196	10	8	
Shahjehanpore ...	3,845	9	0	3,360	0	0	485	9	0	
Agra ...	5,620	3	5	4,140	0	0	1,480	3	5	
Furruckabad ...	8,015	2	8	3,360	0	0	4,655	2	8	
Mynpoory ...	4,658	3	0	2,400	0	0	2,258	3	0	
Cawnpore ...	6,504	8	5	1,680	0	0	4,824	8	5	
Futtehpore ...	2,521	15	10	2,160	0	0	361	15	10	
Allahabad ...	8,243	15	5	1,903	0	10	6,340	14	7	
Goruckpore ...	4,883	13	10	4,084	9	7	799	4	3	
Azimgurh ...	4,622	0	7	1,680	0	0	2,942	0	7	
Jounpore ...	2,282	12	8	1,680	0	0	602	12	8	
Mirzapore ...	2,952	14	3	1,663	3	7	1,289	10	8	
Benares ...	4,725	14	6	2,251	7	8	2,474	6	10	
Ghazeepore ...	5,199	2	4	2,880	0	0	2,319	2	4	
Total ...	89,378	12	5	48,962	5	8	40,416	6	9	

The Court has issued a Circular Letter asking for opinions as to the salary that should be assigned to Ameens. The office is one of very great importance. Not only is the highly responsible duty of attachment and sale in execution of decree entrusted to the Ameen, but he is also constantly employed as a Commissioner for conducting local investigations, and as such becomes invested with quasi-judicial functions. The Courts are too apt to direct these investigations, which lighten, indeed, the work of the Judge, but add considerably to the cost of the suit, and afford to the ill-disposed an opportunity for malpractices, and throw upon less responsible shoulders the duty of conducting the enquiry and indicating the decision.

.89 The value and costs of the suits and appeals instituted in the District Courts are shown in the next statement **Value and costs of suits.**

Zillah.	Total number of suits disposed of, original and appeal.	Total value of suits, omitting fractions.	Cost.									Average value of each suit.	Percentage of costs to value.	Average costs per suit.	Percentage of columns 7 to 12.	Percentage of all other costs to col. 12
			Value of stamps required													
			For plaint and appeal, memorandum, &c., &c.	For appointment of pleaders.	Total stamp charges.	Pleaders fees.	Tulubanah.	Diet of witnesses.	Amens fees.	Miscellaneous.	Total.					
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Seharunpore	3,551	7,60,296	51,580	2,750	54,330	44,086	6,854	4,342	295	261	1,10,168	214	14·	31	40·	60·
Meerut	4,095	10,17,684	52,253	3,508	55.761	67,165	7,183	5,990	428	8,535	1,45,062	248	14·	35	46·	54·
Allygurh	4,137	8,44,856	52,991	4,001	56,992	69,157	7,091	4,924	610	254	1,39,028	204	16.	34	50·	50·
Moradabad	6,383	11,55,151	63,831	5,129	68,960	69,839	15,980	11,717	1,949	3,468	1,71,913	180	15·	27	40·	59·
Bareilly	6,228	11,68,962	69,024	5,157	74,181	86,422	9,783	6,397	315	4,337	1,81,435	188	16·	29	47·	53·
Shahjehanpore	5,610	7,27.922	43,387	4,127	47,574	49,824	6,992	4,071	305	1,581	1,10,287	129	15·	20	45·	55
Agra	4,123	17,99,541	83,141	3,471	86,612	1,03,593	9,568	5,846	898	318	2,06,835	436	11.	50	50·	50·
Furruckabad	5,224	7,55,248	52,126	4,080	56,206	54,361	7,466	5,900	1,075	2,245	1,27,253	145	17·	24	43·	57·
Mynpoorie	4,780	7,64,835	43,327	7,313	50,640	55,530	9,501	4,003	612	1,174	1,21,460	160	16·	25	46·	54·
Cawnpore	2,550	31,81,359	44,611	1,561	46,172	49,015	3,999	3,256	823	1,003	1,04,268	1,248	4·	41	47·	53·
Futtehpore	2,314	3,22,400	18,334	3,256	21,590	22 623	4,483	4,647	276	2,066	55,687	139	17·	24	40·	59
Allahabad	2,594	13,56,704	62,064	1,968	64,032	68,559	5,079	5,563	134	3,344	1,46,711	525	10·	56	46.	53·
Goruckpore	4,296	14,07,603	58,669	5,904	64,573	80,826	14,595	7,664	1,711	11,555	1,80 924	328	13·	42	45	55·
Azimgurh	3,323	7,61,506	31,068	2,890	33,958	54,588	4,326	2,459	879	6 532	1,02,742	229	13·	31	53	47·
Jounpore	2,635	5,07,047	29,648	2,422	32,070	39,956	3,621	1,788	611	423	78,469	192	15·	30	38·	62·
Mirzapore	2,256	14,44,975	45,677	1,421	47,098	74,716	3,926	2,199	1,195	2,593	1,31,727	641	9·	58	57·	43·
Benares	1,004	43,85,425	32,430	913	33,343	56,251	1,369	1,474	367	1,345	94,149	4,368	2·	93	60·	40·
Ghazeepore	5,552	11,22,614	58,936	6,336	65,272	1,02,839	8,578	5,305	1,248	8,000	1,91,242	202	17·	34	54·	46·
Total ...	70,635	2,34,84,128	8,93,097	66,207	9,59,304	11,49,350	1,30,394	87,545	13,731	59,034	23,99,360	332	10·	34	48·	52·

The value has increased by nearly 20 lakhs, though the number has decreased. This was to be expected from the higher valuation of landed property introduced by the new Stamp Act. Pleaders' fees, which depend upon valuation, have also increased, though not in the same ratio. The percentage of costs to value has decreased from 11 to 10. This is probably due to the institution of some suits of exceptionally high value, which materially affect the percentage; and to the increased valuation of suits for immovable property effected by the Stamp Act. The average costs per suit have risen from Rs. 30 Rs. to 34.

Work of the High Court.

90. The work of the High Court is shown in the form lately prescribed by the Secretary of State. Two hundred and sixty-four regular appeals, and one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three special appeals were decided in the year. There is an increase of 88 in the number of regular, and a decrease of 398 in the number of special appeals decided, as compared with the previous year. Of the regular appeals pending at the close of the year only 12 were ready for hearing, and of the special only 190, which have since been disposed of. Of the regular and special appeals left pending, six of the former and two of the latter were instituted in 1866. The remainder were instituted in the year 1867.

91. The business of the Court on the appellate side has been invariably conducted by Division Courts consisting of one Barrister and one Civilian Judge, so far as the number of Barrister Judges enabled the Chief Justice to constitute such Courts.

92. The business of the Court on the original side was confined to a few civil suits called up from the District Courts, and to applications for the grant of probate and of letters of administration.

Appellate Side.

	Remaining from last year.	Filed in 1867.	Disposed of in 1867.	Days of sitting, with the number of Judges.			
				Days.		Barrister Judges.	Civilian Judges.
I. Regular appeal ...	104	210	264 A.	233 days.	58	2	4
II. Special appeal ...	471	1,872	1,963 B.		82	2	3
III. References from Small Cause Courts ...	5	20	25		20	1	4
IV. Miscellaneous orders in Court ...	22	2,314	2,252		11	2	2
					25	1	3
					14	1	2
					7	...	2
					3	1	1
					1	...	4
					7	1	...
					5	...	1
Total ...	602	4,317	4,504	...		...	...

A.—Of the 50 regular appeals pending, only 12 were ready for hearing at the close of the year.

B.—Of the 380 special appeals pending, only 190 were ready for hearing at the close of the year.

Original Side.

	Suits, &c.		Disposed of on merits.	Before				Otherwise disposed of.	Days of sittings.
	Remaining from last year.	Filed in 1867.		One Civilian Judge.	One Barrister Judge.	One Barrister and one Civilian Judge.	Before one Barrister and two Civilian Judges.		
I. Original suits, including Equity, Ecclesiastical, Matrimonial, and Testamentary Cases.	1	12	6	2 cases	3 cases	1 case	1 case	1	10 days
II. Insolvent ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
III. Appeals from Division Courts ...	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	1 day

Establishments of District Judges.

93. An important change was made, in the course of the year, in the establishments of the District Judges, the members of which now receive sufficient salaries, and are placed under the control of a highly-paid and responsible official, who is the Head Ministerial Officer of the Court, and relieves the Judge of routine and office work. In some districts, where the Courts of the Judge and the Subordinate Judges are adjacent, the experiment of assigning to this official the duties of Clerk of the Court in all the Courts is under trial.

94. By a Circular Order issued in June last, the Court directed the discontinuance of the periodical visits of Moonsiffs to out-stations, and that Moonsiffs should hold their Court permanently at their appointed stations. Experience had shown that the practice introduced in 1862, when the number of Moonsiffs was reduced, caused constant and vexatious interruptions to the business at Head-Quarters, and the greatest inconvenience to Pleaders and Suitors, while these evils were not counter-balanced by the small advantage of an occasional visit to the out-station, the residents of which were still compelled to visit the Moonsiff's Court at Head-Quarters for purposes of execution of decree, or for the institution of suits at other times of the year. The system of circuits can only be beneficial where there is a machinery for conducting the proceedings preliminary and subsequent to decisions of suit during the absence of the Judge.

95. There is reason to think that the position which should be occupied by the Civil Courts is not universally recognized. Collectors and other officials of Government are in the habit of addressing the Court in regard to pending suits to which Government may be a party by proceeding (roobakaree), or other written communication in spite of the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure that all applications to any Civil Court must be made in person or by pleader. It is important to insist upon the observance of this rule, as laid down in the law which was designed for the protection of Judicial Officers, and to ensure the proper conduct of judicial business in open Court.

96. The attention of Government has, however, by a separate reference, been requested to the matter, and the Court is assured that the mere notice of the practice as an irregularity will be sufficient to stop it.

I have, &c.,

J. CLARKE,

for J. D. SANDFORD,

Registrar.

AGRA :
Dated the 2nd June, 1868.

No. 1.

Abstract Statement showing the number of Regular and Special Appeals admitted and disposed of in the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, during the year 1863, 1864, 1865, 1866, *and* 1867.

	1863.		1864.		1865.		1866.		1867.	
	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.	Regular.	Special.
Pending on 1st January ...	296	1,427	360	2,069	49	474	60	686	104	471
Admitted during the year ...	223	1,740	141	1,541	129	1,684	220	2,146	210	1,872
Total ...	519	3,167	501	3,610	178	2,158	280	2,832	314	2,343
Dismissed on default ...	3	42	6	173	2	117	...	181	1	20
Adjusted or withdrawn ...	2	9	7	16	2	16	1	13	...	5
Confirmed	88	666	247	2,059	66	843	108	1,419	116	1,175
Amended	18	25	60	64	29	39	21	54	36	63
Reversed	48	141	123	355	15	152	40	230	74	197
Remanded	10	208	9	469	4	305	6	464	19	503
Total ...	159	1,091	452	3,136	118	1,472	176	2,361	246	1,963
Pending on 31st December ...	360	2,069	49	474	60	686	104	471	68	380

No. 2.

Abstract Statement showing the total value or amount of Regular and Special Appeals instituted, decided, and pending in the High Court of Judicature, North-Western Provinces, Agra, at the close of the year 1863-64, 1865-66, *and* 1867.

	1863.			1864.			1865.			1866.			1867.		
	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.	Instituted, including pending.	Decided.	Pending at close.
Before the High Court of Judicature, N. W. P.	58,17,535	34,10,750	77,72,169	1,26,13,010	1,09,90,550	16,22,455	48,70,285	34,53,413	14,16,872	1,18,22,109	33,48,609	74,73,500	1,20,75,393	1,12,62,249	8,13,144

Average Duration of Suits.

	1867.		
	Year.	Months.	Days.
High Court of Judicature, North Western Provinces	...	1	28

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, N. W. P.:
Dated Agra, the 2nd June, 1868.

J. CLARKE,
for J. D. SANDFORD,
Registrar.

No. 87A. OF 1868.

FROM

R. SIMSON, ESQUIRE,

Secretary to Government,

No th-Western Provinces,

To

THE REGISTRAR TO THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE,

North-Western Provinces.

DATED NYNEE TAL, THE 19TH AUGUST, 1868.

SIR,

JUDICIAL DEPT. (CIVIL.)

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt, on the 3rd ultimo, of your letter No. 1345, dated the 2nd June last, being the Report on the Administration of Civil Justice in these Provinces during the year 1867, and am desired in reply to communicate the following observations.

2. The amount of litigation has decreased in every district during the year, the total diminution in original suits and appeals being 9,539, which is doubtless due to the action of the new Stamp Law.

3. The greatest decrease, amounting to nearly one-third, has been in suits for immovable property. This is the class of cases which most closely affects the dearest and most cherised interests of the people; and, as has been remarked by the Court, the greater number of suits of this description are brought by the agricultural class, who have little capital at command. The increase of the Stamp duty has thus evidently acted as a practical denial of justice to a large body of suitors, to whom the Courts have hitherto been open.

4. The sudden check in litigation is the more remarkable when viewed in the light of the tendency of litigation of late years to increase.

5. The view taken by the Court in paragraphs 13 to 15 of your letter, that the doors of the Courts have by the action of the new law been closed to large classes of persons who ought to have the easiest access; that, shut out of our Courts, they may be driven to questionable means of enforcing their rights; and that the power of the rich has been, by the change in the law, vastly increased, is deserving of the most serious consideration, and will no doubt receive due attention from the Supreme Government.

6. The largest number of suits (909) pending on the 1st January, 1868, was in Bareilly, and the next largest (656) in Allygurh. In Goruckpore there has been a very satisfactory reduction in the total number of cases pending, from 920 in 1867 to 349. The cases pending in Ghazeepore have also been reduced from 882 to 523, and those in Shahjehanpore from 680 to 305.

7. From paragraph 6 of the Court's letter to the Judge of Bareilly, it is observed that no less than five cases had been pending for more than one year in the Civil Courts of that District, *viz.*, three remanded cases in the Judge's Court, and two pending in that of the Moonsiff of Pilibheet; but in the statement at page 2 of the report no cases pending for more than one year are shown against Bareilly. I am to request that an explanation of this discrepancy may be furnished, and also as to the cause of so great a delay as occurred in the Moonsiff's Court.

8. Similarly in paragraph 6 of the Court's letter to the Judge of Allygurh, it is shown that six cases had been pending for more than one year in the Court of the

Principal Sudder Ameen, and one in that of the Judge, whereas no such cases are entered against Allygurh in the statement at page 2. An explanation in regard to this discrepancy also is requested.

9. With reference to paragraph 25, regarding the disposal of a greater number of cases in Benares by the Judge and Principal Sudder Ameen (the latter being invested with the powers of a Small Cause Court Judge) than in Jounpore, Cawnpore, Futtehpore, and Mirzapore, to which Moonsiffs stand attached in addition to the Judge and Principal Sudder Ameen, I am to observe that it must be remembered that the Benares District has a comparatively small area; that about one-fourth of the population reside in the city and suburbs, and that probably the bulk of the litigation is between parties residing in the city. Cases belonging to the city, where witnesses, documents, &c., can be procured without delay, must always be capable of decision with more promptitude, and with less trouble to the Judge than cases arising in the Mofussil.

10. Regarding the extension of the Small Cause Court jurisdiction, which has been found to work successfully at Benares to other districts, I am to remark that however much Small Cause Courts may be suitable for towns, and their immediate environs, it seems doubtful how far the ruder portion of the extra-urban population are yet fitted for them; it is apprehended that they are yet suspicious of the summary procedure and unalterable results of these Courts. Moreover, suitors would, by this arrangement, often have to travel great distances and be long absent from their homes, instead of obtaining justice, comparatively speaking, at their doors. It would thus often happen that, where the distance is prolonged and the value in contest small, the expense and inconvenience might render justice practically out of reach. There is therefore, the Lieutenant-Governor considers, reason on both these grounds to proceed with caution in this direction.

11. It is satisfactory to find that although miscellaneous cases have increased, the number pending at the end of the year has diminished by nearly 1,500. The action of the Court's Circular of September, 1866, recommending the award of a lower rate of interest subsequent to decree, has proved decidedly good.

12. The number of applications for execution of decree struck off in default (42,141) is nearly equal to the number completely executed and partially executed combined (25,344+18,533). This is not very satisfactory.

13. The observations contained in paragraphs 47 to 54 of the report will be communicated to the Board of Revenue for their remarks. The exception taken by the Court to the extensive employment of Tehseeldars in trying suits instituted under Acts X. of 1859 and XIV. of 1863, may be just in reference to suits arising near the Sudder Station; but in the great mass of cases in which the amount sued for is not large, and the best evidence is available on the spot or near it, opinion seems to be in favor of the Tehseeldar's Court as the tribunal most capable of dispensing substantial justice. The objection urged in paragraph 53—namely, that the influence of the Tehseeldar may check appeal, is novel. It is not stated whether the apprehension is based on evidence or is merely theoretical; the attention of the Board will however be drawn to it.

14. In regard to paragraph 57, I am to state that His Honor, while concurring in the opinion of the Court, considers that hardly any part of a Judge's duty requires greater judgment, discrimination, and temper, than the determination of the right occasion for proceeding against the offences of false swearing and subornation of false evidence.

15. The Court's remarks in paragraph 58, on the importance of verifying plaints, have His Honor's full concurrence.

16. The average duration of suits in the Judges' Courts is two days less than in the previous year. It is highest in the following districts :—

	M	D.
Allygurh	9	20
Goruckpore	6	8
Shahjehanpore	5	26
Moradabad	5	18

As observed by the Court, the Judges of Allygurh and Goruckpore had previous arrears to dispose of, and the average of the year was unfavorably affected by the decision of cases which had been long pending.

17. In the Courts of the Principal Sudder Ameens, the average is one day less than in 1866. The highest average (9 months, 6 days) is in the Court of the Principal Sudder Ameen of Bareilly, who had not the excuse of heavy work; for, although the arrears in his Court were great, the return given at paragraph 76 of your letter shows that he did not perform even an average amount of work during the year. His Honor trusts that the deputation of the additional subordinate Judge, lately sanctioned, will remedy this unsatisfactory state of things.

18. The personal attendance of parties to suits where questions of fact are at issue, until there is an improvement in the character and ability of the pleaders who practice in the Lower Courts, is no doubt desirable, but His Honor is of opinion that great care and judgment are requisite not to inflict unnecessary hardship and harassment on suitors.

19. It is stated in paragraph 77 that Rai Bukhtawur Singh, Principal Sudder Ameen at Goruckpore, and Mahomed Hussun Khan at Shahjehanpore, are reported to have applied themselves laboriously and successfully towards reducing the arrears in those districts; but neither of these officers would appear by the statement in the preceding paragraph to have done more than an average amount of work. They decided on an average 19 cases a month, whereas the highest average was 45 cases.

20. I am to express the satisfaction of the Lieutenant-Governor at the inspection, by the Judges of the High Court on deputation, of the Courts mentioned in paragraph 83, and to state that His Honor considers that material good is to be looked for from such local inspections. His Honor would have been glad to have received, either officially or in a confidential form, the result of the Judges' inspections, as was done, under similar circumstances, by Sir H. Harington when a Judge of the Sudder Court at Agra.

21. It is satisfactory that the Native Bench has been weeded of such men as the Moonsiff of Mahoba, who only serve to bring discredit upon it.

22. The inequalities brought to light in the statement of income and expenditure of the several Courts are singular. In the Districts of Agra and Meerut the excess of income over expenditure was Rs. 27,625 and Rs. 25,990 respectively; while in the Jounpore and Futtehpore Districts the expenditure exceeded the income by Rs. 24,155, and Rs. 18,913 respectively. The excess in Meerut may be partly accounted for by the institution of one or two exceptionally heavy suits during the year.

23. With regard to paragraph 88, I am to state that the Lieutenant-Governor apprehends that the Ameens are not sufficiently well paid. It is understood that they complain, especially those who are heavily worked, that their salaries are now very much less than what their fees used under the previous system to amount to; and there seems to be no reason why Government should secure a large gain from the surplus of the Fund. His Honor will therefore be prepared to receive any good scheme for bettering their position from the surplus.

24. His Honor quite concurs in the remarks of the Court as to the importance of the functions of this class of officials, and the necessity for having a competent and respectable body of men to discharge them. The temptation to abuse of the responsible duties entrusted to them is no doubt great; but it is difficult to see how the Civil Courts could satisfactorily dispose of a large number of cases without the benefit of a local enquiry and report.

25. The state of the file of the High Court is most satisfactory. Only 50 regular appeals and 380 special appeals remained pending at the close of the year, as compared with 104 and 471 respectively in the previous year. The exertions by which this result has been obtained are deserving of great praise.

26. His Honor will be glad to hear the result of the experiment, under trial, of assigning to the Head Ministerial Officer of the Judge's Court the duties of Clerk of the Court, in all places where the Courts of the Judge and the Subordinate Judges are adjacent.

27. In regard to the recognition by officials of Government, of the forms and procedure prescribed in the Civil Courts, adverted to in your 95th and 96th paragraphs, I am to remark that the matter has been disposed of by a communication to the Board of Revenue, and also by a Circular Order to Public Works Officers, who were the parties in fault in the cases referred to by the Court. The Government takes every opportunity of upholding the dignity of the Bench in this respect, and of insisting on the use of the prescribed forms.

28. In conclusion, I am to state that on the whole the results of the administration of Civil Justice now reported are not unsatisfactory; and the Lieutenant-Governor desires me to convey to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and to his colleagues, the Hon'ble Judges of the Court, His Honor's thanks for the cordial aid invariably afforded to Government in the discharge of its executive functions in connection with the Civil administration of these Provinces.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient Servant,

R. SIMSON,
Secy. to Government,
North-Western Provinces.